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## 1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to construct a class of linear operators in more general conditions. The method was inspired by Jakimovski and Leviatan (see [1]). We do not study the convergence of these operators with the well-known theorem of Bohman-Korovkin. The evaluation theorems for the rate of convergence are different from the well-known theorem of Shisha-Mond. We prove the Voronovskaja-type theorem for these operators. In the end, we give particularizations of these operators.

We recall some notions and results which we will use in this paper.
Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of positive integer numbers and $\mathbb{N}_{0}=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. For a given interval $I$, we will use the following function sets: $B(I)=\{f \mid f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f$ bounded on $I\}, C(I)=$ $\{f \mid f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f$ continuous on $I\}$, and $C_{B}(I)=B(I) \cap C(I)$.

For any $x \in I$, consider the functions $\psi_{x}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\psi_{x}(t)=t-x$ and $e_{i}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $e_{i}(t)=t^{i}$ for any $t \in I, i \in\{0,1,2,3,4\}$.

For $f \in C_{B}(I)$, by the first-order modulus of smoothness of $f$ is meant the function $\omega(f ; \cdot):[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined for any $\delta \geq 0$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(f ; \delta)=\sup \left\{\left|f\left(x^{\prime}\right)-f\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|: x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime} \in I,\left|x^{\prime}-x^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq \delta\right\} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following, we take into account the properties of the first-order modulus of smoothness and the properties of the linear positive functional.

Lemma 1.1. Let $f \in C_{B}(I)$. Then, $\omega(f ; \cdot)$ has the following properties:
(a) $\omega(f ; 0)=0$,
(b) $\omega(f ; \cdot)$ is an increasing function,
(c) $\omega(f ; \cdot)$ is a uniform continuous function on $I$,
(d) for any $\delta>0, x, t \in I$, one has $|f(t)-f(x)| \leq\left[1+\delta^{-2} \psi_{x}^{2}(t)\right] \omega(f ; \delta)$.

Lemma 1.2. Let $A: E(I) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a linear positive functional. Then,
(a) for $f, g \in E(I)$ with $f(x) \leq g(x)$ for any $x \in I$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(f) \leq A(g) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) $|A(f)| \leq A(|f|)$ for any $f \in E(I)$, where $E(I)$ is a subset of the set of real functions defined on I.

In [2] we have demonstrated the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. Let $I$ be an interval $x \in I$, and let the function $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be s times differentiable in $x$. According to the Taylor Expansion Theorem, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{s} \frac{(t-x)^{i}}{i!} f^{(i)}(x)+(t-x)^{s} \mu(t-x) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu$ is a bounded function and $\lim _{t \rightarrow x} \mu(t-x)=0$. If $f^{(s)}$ is a continuous function on $I$, then for any $\delta>0$ and $x \in I$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\lvert\,\left(\mu(t-x) \left\lvert\, \leq \frac{1}{s!}\left[1+\delta^{-2} \psi_{x}^{2}(t)\right] \omega\left(f^{(s)} ; \delta\right)\right.\right.\right. \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we construct a general class of linear and positive operators and we demonstrate for these operators an approximation theorem and a Voronovskaja-type theorem.

Let $I, J$ be intervals and $I \cap J$ is a nonempty interval. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, consider the function $\varphi_{m, k}: J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the property $\varphi_{m, k}(x) \geq 0$ for any $x \in J$ and the linear and positive functional $A_{m, k}: E(I) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

In the following, let $E(I)$ and $F(J)$ be subsets of the set of real functions defined on $I, J$ respectively, such that the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{m, k}(x) A_{m, k}(f)$ is convergent for any $f \in E(I)$ and any $x \in J$. We suppose that $\psi_{x}^{i} \in E(I)$ for any $x \in I \cap J$ and any $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, s+2\}$.

In what follows $s \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, s$ is even.
Definition 2.1. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, define the operator $L_{m}: E(I) \rightarrow F(J)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{m} f\right)(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{m, k}(x) A_{m, k}(f) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $f \in E(I)$ and $x \in J$.
Proposition 2.2. The operators $\left(L_{m}\right)_{m \geq 1}$ are linear and positive on $E(I \cap J)$.
Proof. The proof follows immediately.
Definition 2.3. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, define $T_{i}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T_{i} L_{m}\right)(x)=m^{i}\left(L_{m} \psi_{x}^{i}\right)(x)=m^{i} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{m, k}(x) A_{m, k}\left(\psi_{x}^{i}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x \in I \cap J$.
Theorem 2.4. If $f \in E(I)$ is an s-times differentiable function in $x \in I \cap J$, with $f^{(s)}$ continuous in $x$, and if there exist $\alpha_{s}, \alpha_{s+2} \in[0, \infty)$ and $m(s) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{s+2}<\alpha_{s}+2 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left(T_{s} L_{m}\right)(x) / m^{\alpha_{s}},\left(T_{s+2} L_{m}\right)(x) / m^{\alpha_{s+2}}$ are bounded for any $m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq(s)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} m^{s-\alpha_{s}}\left[\left(L_{m} f\right)(x)-\sum_{i=0}^{s} \frac{1}{i!m^{i}}\left(T_{i} L_{m}\right)(x) f^{(i)}(x)\right]=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $f$ is an stimes differentiable function on I with $f^{(s)}$ continuous on I and an interval $K \subset I \cap J$ exists such that there exist $m(s) \in \mathbb{N}$ and the constants $k_{j}(K) \in \mathbb{R}$ depending on $K$, so that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq m(s)$ and $x \in K$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(T_{j} L_{m}\right)(x)}{m^{\alpha_{j}}} \leq k_{j}(K) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j \in\{s, s+2\}$. Then, the convergence given in (2.4) is uniform on $K$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& m^{s-\alpha_{s}}\left|\left(L_{m} f\right)(x)-\sum_{i=0}^{s} \frac{1}{i!m^{i}}\left(T_{i} L_{m}\right)(x) f^{(i)}(x)\right|  \tag{2.6}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{s!}\left(k_{s}(K)+k_{s+2}(K)\right) \omega\left(f^{(s)} ; \frac{1}{\sqrt{m^{2+\alpha_{s}-\alpha_{s+2}}}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for any $x \in K$ and $m \geq m(s)$.
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Proof. According to Taylor's Theorem, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{s} \frac{(t-x)^{i}}{i!} f^{(i)}(x)+(t-x)^{s} \mu(t-x) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu$ is a bounded function and $\lim _{t \rightarrow x} \mu(t-x)=0$.
Hence, from (2.7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{m, k}(f)=\sum_{i=0}^{s} \frac{f^{(i)}(x)}{i!} A_{m, k}\left(\psi_{x}^{i}\right)+A_{m, k}\left(\psi_{x}^{s} \mu_{x}\right), \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{x}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \mu_{x}(t)=\mu(t-x)$, for any $t \in I \cap J$.
Multiplying by $\varphi_{m, k}(x)$ and summing over $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{m} f\right)(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{s} \frac{f^{(i)}(x)}{i!}\left(L_{m} \psi_{x}^{i}\right)(x)+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{m, k}(x) A_{m, k}\left(\psi_{x}^{s} \mu_{x}\right) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{s-\alpha_{s}}\left[\left(L_{m} f\right)(x)-\sum_{i=0}^{s} \frac{f^{(i)}(x)}{i!m^{i}}\left(T_{i} L_{m}\right)(x)\right]=\left(R_{m} f\right)(x) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(R_{m} f\right)(x)=m^{s-\alpha_{s}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{m, k}(x) A_{m, k}\left(\psi_{x}^{s} \mu_{x}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(R_{m} f\right)(x)\right| \leq m^{s-\alpha_{s}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{m, k}(x)\left|A_{m, k}\left(\psi_{x}^{s} \mu_{x}\right)\right| \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and taking Lemma 1.2 into account, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(R_{m} f\right)(x)\right| \leq m^{s-\alpha_{s}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{m, k}(x) A_{m, k}\left(\psi_{x}^{s}|\mu x|\right) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to the relation (1.4), for any $\delta>0$ and $t \in I \cap J$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mu_{x}(t)\right|=|\mu(t-x)| \leq \frac{1}{s!}\left[1+\delta^{-2} \psi_{x}^{2}(t)\right] \omega\left(f^{(s)} ; \delta\right), \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\psi_{x}^{s}\left|\mu_{x}\right|\right)(t) \leq \frac{1}{s!}\left[\psi_{x}^{s}(t)+\delta^{-2} \psi_{x}^{s+2}(t)\right] \omega\left(f^{(s)} ; \delta\right) . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.13) and (2.15), it results that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(R_{m} f\right)(x)\right| \leq \frac{1}{s!} m^{s-\alpha_{s}}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{m, k}(x) A_{m, k}\left(\psi_{x}^{s}\right)+\delta^{-2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{m, k}(x) A_{m, k}\left(\psi_{x}^{s+2}\right)\right] \omega\left(f^{(s)} ; \delta\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(R_{m} f\right)(x)\right| \leq \frac{1}{s!}\left[\frac{\left(T_{s} L_{m}\right)(x)}{m^{\alpha_{s}}}+\delta^{-2} \frac{\left(T_{s+2} L_{m}\right)(x)}{m^{\alpha_{s+2}}} m^{-2-\alpha_{s}+\alpha_{s+2}}\right] \omega\left(f^{(s)} ; \delta\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering $\delta=1 / \sqrt{m^{2+\alpha}-\alpha_{2}+2}$, the inequality above becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(R_{m} f\right)(x)\right| \leq \frac{1}{s!}\left[\frac{\left(T_{s} L_{m}\right)(x)}{m^{\alpha_{s}}}+\frac{\left(T_{s+2} L_{m}\right)(x)}{m^{\alpha_{s+2}}}\right] \omega\left(f^{(s)} ; \frac{1}{\sqrt{m^{2+\alpha_{s}-\alpha_{s+2}}}}\right) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account that $\left(T_{s} L_{m}\right)(x) / m^{\alpha_{s}}$ and $\left(T_{s+2} L_{m}\right)(x) / m^{\alpha_{s+2}}$ are bounded for any $m \in$ $\mathbb{N}, m \geq m(s)$, and considering the fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \omega\left(f^{(s)} ; \frac{1}{\sqrt{m^{2+\alpha_{s}-\alpha_{s+2}}}}\right)=\omega\left(f^{(s)} ; 0\right)=0 \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left(R_{m} f\right)(x)=0 \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.10) and (2.20), (2.4) follows.
If in addition (2.5) takes place then, (2.18) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(R_{m} f\right)(x)\right| \leq \frac{1}{s!}\left(k_{s}(K)+k_{s+2}(K)\right) \omega\left(f^{(s)} ; \frac{1}{\sqrt{m^{2+\alpha_{s}}-\alpha_{s+2}}}\right) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $m \geq m(s)$ and $x \in K$. Therefore, the convergence from (2.4) is uniform on $K$. Now, (2.10) and (2.21) yield (2.6).

In the following, we suppose that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{m, k}\left(e_{0}\right)=1 \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for any $x \in I \cap J$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{m, k}(x)=1 \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.5. Taking (2.22) and (2.23) into account, it results that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T_{0} L_{m}\right)(x)=1 \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x \in I \cap J$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 2.6. In Theorem 2.4, we choose the smallest $\alpha_{s}$ and $\alpha_{s+2}$, if they exist.
Remark 2.7. Taking (2.24) into account, we choose $\alpha_{0}=0$.
Remark 2.8. For $s=0, s=2$, respectively, we state two corollaries which we will use in the section Main results.

Corollary 2.9. If $f \in E(I)$ is a continuous function in $x \in I \cap J$, and if there exist $\alpha_{2}$ and $m(0) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \alpha_{2}<2 \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left(T_{2} L_{m}\right)(x) / m^{\alpha_{2}}$ is bounded for any $m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq m(0)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left(L_{m} f\right)(x)=f(x) \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $f$ is continuous on $I$ and an interval $K \subset I \cap J$ exists, such that there exist $m(0) \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_{2}(K)$ so that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq m(0)$, and $x \in K$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(T_{2} L_{m}\right)(x)}{m^{\alpha_{2}}} \leq k_{2}(K) \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the convergence given in (2.26) is uniform on $K$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(L_{m} f\right)(x)-f(x)\right| \leq\left(1+k_{2}(K)\right) \omega\left(f ; \frac{1}{\sqrt{m^{2-\alpha_{2}}}}\right) \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x \in K$ and $m \geq m(0)$.
Corollary 2.10. If $f \in E(I)$ is a two-times differentiable function in $x \in I \cap J$, with $f^{(2)}$ continuous in $x$, and if there exist $\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{4}$ and $m(2) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
0 \leq \alpha_{2}<2, \\
0 \leq \alpha_{4}<\alpha_{2}+2, \tag{2.29}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\left(T_{2} L_{m}\right)(x) / m^{\alpha_{2}}$ and $\left(\left(T_{4} L_{m}\right)(x)\right) / m^{\alpha_{4}}$ are bounded for any $m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq m(2)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} m^{2-\alpha_{2}}\left[\left(L_{m} f\right)(x)-f(x)-\frac{1}{m}\left(T_{1} L_{m}\right)(x) f^{(1)}(x)-\frac{1}{2 m^{2}}\left(T_{2} L_{m}\right)(x) f^{(2)}(x)\right]=0 \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $f$ is a two-times differentiable function on $I$ with $f^{(2)}$ continuous on $I$ and an interval $K \subset I \cap J$ exists, such that there exist $m(2) \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_{j}(K)$, so that for any $m \geq m(2)$ and $x \in K$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(T_{j} L_{m}\right)(x)}{m^{\alpha_{j}}} \leq k_{j}(K), \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j \in\{2,4\}$. Then, the convergence given in (2.30)is uniform on $K$.
Remark 2.11. Theorem 2.4, Corollary 2.9, and 2.10 are Voronovskaja-type theorems.

## 3. Main results

In this section, we construct a general class of linear positive operators. Let $\mathbb{R}_{0}=[0, \infty)$ and $J$ be an interval with $J \subset \mathbb{R}_{0}$. Let the sequence $\left(a_{m}\right)_{m \geq 1}$ so that $a_{m} x \in J$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in J$. The indefinitely differentiable functions $a, b: J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ have the property:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(x)>0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(1) \neq 0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for any compact $K \subset J$ the constants $M_{1}(K), M_{2}(K)$ depending on $K$ exist, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|a^{(k)}(x)\right| \leq M_{1}(K), \\
& \left|b^{(k)}(x)\right| \leq M_{2}(K) \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $x \in K$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.
Then, it is known that

$$
\begin{align*}
& a(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} a^{(n)}(0) x^{n},  \tag{3.4}\\
& b(x)=\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p!} b^{(p)}(0) x^{p}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $x \in J$.
If $u, x, u x \in J$, we calculate

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(u) b(u x)=\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} a^{(n)}(0) u^{n}\right)\left(\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p!} b^{(p)}(0)(u x)^{p}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we take it to the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(u) b(u x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{k}(x) u^{k} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{k}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{1}{i!(k-i)!} a^{(i)}(0) b^{(k-i)}(0) x^{k-i} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.1. If $u=1$, then from (3.6), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(1) b\left(a_{m} x\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{k}\left(a_{m} x\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in J$.
Remark 3.2. We consider that the conditions $a^{(i)}(0) b^{(k-i)}(0) / a(1) \geq 0, i \in\{0,1, \ldots, k\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, hold and then it results that $a(1) p_{k}(x) \geq 0$ for any $x \in J$ and any $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.

In the following, let a fixed function $w: \mathbb{R}_{0} \rightarrow(0, \infty)$, called the weight function, and the set functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(w)=\left\{f \mid f: \mathbb{R}_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text { such that } w f \text { is bounded on }[0, \infty)\right\} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $f \in E(w)$, there exists a positive constant $M$ such that $w(x)|f(x)| \leq M$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in J$, and taking in the end (3.8) into account, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\frac{1}{a(1) b\left(a_{m} x\right)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{k}\left(a_{m} x\right) f\left(\frac{k}{m}\right)\right| & \leq \frac{1}{a(1) b\left(a_{m} x\right)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{k}\left(a_{m} x\right)\left|f\left(\frac{k}{m}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{M}{w(x)} \frac{1}{a(1) b\left(a_{m} x\right)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{k}\left(a_{m} x\right)=\frac{M}{w(x)}, \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

from where it results that the series $\left(1 / a(1) b\left(a_{m} x\right)\right) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{k}\left(a_{m} x\right) f(k / m)$ is convergent.

Definition 3.3. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, define the operator $L_{m}: E(w) \rightarrow F(J)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{m} f\right)(x)=\frac{1}{a(1) b\left(a_{m} x\right)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{k}\left(a_{m} x\right) f\left(\frac{k}{m}\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $f \in E(w)$ and $x \in J$, where $F(J)$ is a subset of the set of real functions defined on $J$.

Remark 3.4. The operators $\left(L_{m}\right)_{m \geq 1}$ are linear and positive on $E(w)$.

In the following, we consider that for any $x \in J$, we have $\psi_{x}^{i} \in E(w), i \in\{1,2,3,4\}$.
Definition 3.5. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in\{1,2,3,4\}$, define $T_{i}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T_{i} L_{m}\right)(x)=m^{i}\left(L_{m} \psi_{x}^{i}\right)(x)=m^{i} \frac{1}{a(1) b\left(a_{m} x\right)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{k}\left(a_{m} x\right)\left(\frac{k}{m}-x\right)^{i} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x \in J$.

Lemma 3.6. One has

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(L_{m} e_{0}\right)(x)= & 1,  \tag{3.13}\\
\left(L_{m} e_{1}\right)(x)= & \frac{a_{m}}{m} \frac{b^{(1)}\left(a_{m} x\right)}{b\left(a_{m} x\right)} x+\frac{1}{m} \frac{a^{(1)}(1)}{a(1)}, \\
\left(L_{m} e_{2}\right)(x)= & \left(\frac{a_{m}}{m}\right)^{2} \frac{b^{(2)}\left(a_{m} x\right)}{b\left(a_{m} x\right)} x^{2}+\frac{1}{m} \frac{a_{m}}{m} \frac{a(1)+2 a^{(1)}(1)}{a(1)} \frac{b^{(1)}\left(a_{m} x\right)}{b\left(a_{m} x\right)} x+\frac{1}{m^{2}} \frac{a^{(1)}(1)+a^{(2)}(1)}{a(1)}, \\
\left(L_{m} e_{3}\right)(x)= & \left(\frac{a_{m}}{m}\right)^{3} \frac{b^{(3)}\left(a_{m} x\right)}{b\left(a_{m} x\right)} x^{3}+\frac{1}{m}\left(\frac{a_{m}}{m}\right)^{2} \frac{3 a(1)+3 a^{(1)}(1)}{a(1)} \frac{b^{(2)}\left(a_{m} x\right)}{b\left(a_{m} x\right)} x^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{m^{2}} \frac{a_{m}}{m} \frac{a(1)+6 a^{(1)}(1)+3 a^{(2)}(1)}{a(1)} \frac{b^{(1)}\left(a_{m} x\right)}{b\left(a_{m} x\right)} x+\frac{1}{m^{3}} \frac{a^{(1)}+3 a^{(2)}(1)+a^{(3)}(1)}{a(1)}, \\
\left(L_{m} e_{4}\right)(x)= & \left(\frac{a_{m}}{m}\right)^{4} \frac{b^{(4)}\left(a_{m} x\right)}{b\left(a_{m} x\right)} x^{4}+\frac{1}{m}\left(\frac{a_{m}}{m}\right)^{3} \frac{6 a(1)+4 a^{(1)}(1)}{a(1)} \frac{b^{(3)}\left(a_{m} x\right)}{b\left(a_{m} x\right)} x^{3} \\
& +\frac{1}{m^{2}}\left(\frac{a_{m}}{m}\right)^{2} \frac{7 a(1)+18 a^{(1)}(1)+6 a^{(2)}(1)}{a(1)} \frac{b^{(2)}\left(a_{m} x\right)}{b\left(a_{m} x\right)} x^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{m^{3}} \frac{a_{m}}{m} \frac{a(1)+14 a^{(1)}(1)+18 a^{(2)}(1)+4 a^{(3)}(1)}{a(1)} \frac{b^{(1)}\left(a_{m} x\right)}{b\left(a_{m} x\right)} x \\
& +\frac{1}{m^{4}} \frac{a^{(1)}(1)+7 a^{(2)}(1)+6 a^{(3)}(1)+a^{(4)}(1)}{a(1)} \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $x \in J$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. The relation (3.13) results from (3.8). The proof of relations (3.14) follows immediately by differentiating (3.6) with respect to $u$, and after that take 1 for $u$ and $a_{m} x$ for $x$.

Lemma 3.7. For $x \in J$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the following hold

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(T_{0} L_{m}\right)(x)=1, \\
& \left(T_{1} L_{m}\right)(x)=-m\left(1-\frac{a_{m}}{m} \frac{b^{(1)}\left(a_{m} x\right)}{b\left(a_{m} x\right)}\right) x+\frac{a^{(1)}(1)}{a(1)},  \tag{3.15}\\
& \left(T_{2} L_{m}\right)(x)=-m^{2}\left[1-\left(\frac{a_{m}}{m}\right)^{2} \frac{b^{(2)}\left(a_{m} x\right)}{b\left(a_{m} x\right)}\right] x^{2} \\
& +m^{2}\left(1-\frac{a_{m}}{m} \frac{b^{(1)}\left(a_{m} x\right)}{b\left(a_{m} x\right)}\right)\left(2 x^{2}-\frac{1}{m} \frac{a(1)+2 a^{(2)}(1)}{a(1)} x\right)  \tag{3.16}\\
& +m x+\frac{a^{(1)}(1)+a^{(2)}(1)}{a(1)}, \\
& \left(T_{4} L_{m}\right)(x)=-m^{4}\left[1-\left(\frac{a_{m}}{m}\right)^{4} \frac{b^{(4)}\left(a_{m} x\right)}{b\left(a_{m} x\right)}\right] x^{4} \\
& +m^{4}\left[1-\left(\frac{a_{m}}{m}\right)^{3} \frac{b^{(3)}\left(a_{m} x\right)}{b\left(a_{m} x\right)}\right]\left(4 x^{4}-\frac{1}{m} \frac{6 a(1)+4 a^{(1)}(1)}{a(1)} x^{3}\right) \\
& +m^{4}\left[1-\left(\frac{a_{m}}{m}\right)^{2} \frac{b^{(2)}\left(a_{m} x\right)}{b\left(a_{m} x\right)}\right]\left(-6 x^{4}+4 \frac{1}{m} \frac{3 a(1)+3 a^{(1)}(1)}{a(1)} x^{3}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{m^{2}} \frac{7 a(1)+18 a^{(1)}(1)+6 a^{(2)}(1)}{a(1)} x^{2}\right) \\
& +m^{4}\left(1-\frac{a_{m}}{m} \frac{b^{(1)}\left(a_{m} x\right)}{b\left(a_{m} x\right)}\right)\left(4 x^{4}-6 \frac{1}{m} \frac{a(1)+2 a^{(1)}(1)}{a(1)} x^{3}\right. \\
& +4 \frac{1}{m^{2}} \frac{a(1)+6 a^{(1)}+3 a^{(2)}(1)}{a(1)} x^{2} \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{m^{3}} \frac{a(1)+14 a^{(1)}+18 a^{(2)}(1)+4 a^{(3)}(1)}{a(1)} x\right) \\
& +3 m^{2} x^{2}+\frac{a(1)+10 a^{(1)}(1)+6 a^{(2)}(1)}{a(1)} m x \\
& +\frac{a^{(1)}(1)+7 a^{(2)}(1)+6 a^{(3)}(1)+a^{(4)}(1)}{a(1)} . \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The proof follows immediately from (3.12) and Lemma 3.6.
Theorem 3.8. Let $f: \mathbb{R}_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function, $f \in E(w)$. If $x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}, f$ is continuous in $x, \alpha_{2}$ and $m(0) \in \mathbb{N}$ exist such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq \alpha_{2}<2 \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $m^{2-\alpha_{2}}\left|1-\left(a_{m} / m\right)^{i}\left(b^{(i)}\left(a_{m} x\right) / b\left(a_{m} x\right)\right)\right|$ is bounded for any $m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq m(0)$, where $i \in\{1,2\}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left(L_{m} f\right)(x)=f(x) \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $f$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}_{0}$ and a compact interval $K \subset \mathbb{R}_{0}$ exists, such that there exist $m(0) \in \mathbb{N}$ and $l_{i}(K)$ so that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq m(0)$, and $x \in K$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{2-\alpha_{2}}\left|1-\left(\frac{a_{m}}{m}\right)^{i} \frac{b^{(i)}\left(a_{m} x\right)}{b\left(a_{m} x\right)}\right| \leq l_{i}(K) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i \in\{1,2\}$.
Then, the convergence given in (3.19) is uniform in $K$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(L_{m} f\right)(x)-f(x)\right| \leq M(K) \omega\left(f ; \frac{1}{\sqrt{m^{2-\alpha_{2}}}}\right) \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x \in K$ and any $m \geq m(0)$, where $M(K)$ is a constant depending on $K$.
Proof. Because $m^{2-\alpha_{2}}\left|1-\left(a_{m} / m\right)^{i}\left(b^{(i)}\left(a_{m} x\right) / b\left(a_{m} x\right)\right)\right|$ is bounded for any $m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq$ $m(0)$, it results that $\left(T_{2} L_{m}\right)(x) / m^{\alpha_{2}}$ is bounded for any $m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq m(0)$. Taking relation (3.16) into account, we apply now the Corollary 2.9. The proof is similar on a compact interval $K$.

Theorem 3.9. Let $f: \mathbb{R}_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function, $f \in E(w)$. If $x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}$, $f$ is a two times differentiable function in $x$ with $f^{(2)}$ continuous in $x, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{4}$ and $m(2) \in \mathbb{N}$ exist such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
1 \leq \alpha_{2}<2  \tag{3.22}\\
2 \leq \alpha_{4}<\alpha_{2}+2 \tag{3.23}
\end{gather*}
$$

$m^{4-\alpha_{4}}\left|1-\left(a_{m} / m\right)^{i}\left(b^{(i)}\left(a_{m} x\right) / b\left(a_{m} x\right)\right)\right|$ is bounded for any $m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq m(2)$, where $i \in$ $\{1,2,3,4\}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} m^{2-\alpha_{2}}\left[\left(L_{m} f\right)(x)-f(x)-\frac{1}{m}\left(T_{1} L_{m}\right)(x) f^{(1)}(x)-\frac{1}{2 m^{2}}\left(T_{2} L_{m}\right)(x) f^{(2)}(x)\right]=0 \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, if the limit $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left(T_{2} L_{m}\right)(x) / m^{\alpha_{2}}\right)$ exists and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(T_{2} L_{m}\right)(x)}{m^{\alpha_{2}}}=B_{2}(x) \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} m^{2-\alpha_{2}}\left[\left(L_{m} f\right)(x)-f(x)-\frac{1}{m}\left(T_{1} L_{m}\right)(x) f^{(1)}(x)\right]=\frac{1}{2} B_{2}(x) f^{(2)}(x) \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $f$ is a two-times differentiable function on $\mathbb{R}_{0}$ with $f^{(2)}$ continuous on $\mathbb{R}_{0}$ and a compact interval $K \subset \mathbb{R}_{0}$ exists, such that there exist $m(2) \in \mathbb{N}$ and $l_{i}(K)$ so that for any $m \geq m(2)$ and $x \in K$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{4-\alpha_{4}}\left|1-\left(\frac{a_{m}}{m}\right)^{i} \frac{b^{(i)}\left(a_{m} x\right)}{b\left(a_{m} x\right)}\right| \leq l_{i}(K) \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i \in\{1,2,3,4\}$. Then, the convergence given in (3.24) is uniform on $K$.

Proof. From (3.23), it results that $4-\alpha_{4}>2-\alpha_{2}$, and then we have that $m^{2-\alpha_{2}} \mid 1-$ $\left(a_{m} / m\right)^{i}\left(b^{(i)}\left(a_{m} x\right) / b\left(a_{m} x\right)\right) \mid, i \in\{1,2\}$ are bounded for any $m \geq m(2)$. So $\left(T_{2} L_{m}\right)(x) / m^{\alpha_{2}}$ is bounded for any $m \geq m(2)$. Using the same idea from the proof of Theorem 3.8, we have that $\left(T_{2} L_{m}\right)(x) / m^{\alpha_{2}}$ and $\left(T_{4} L_{m}\right)(x) / m^{\alpha_{4}}$ are bounded for any $m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq m(2)$, and then we apply Corollary 2.10.

Now, we give some applications where $a_{m}=m$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. In the following, by particularization and applying Theorems 3.8 and 3.9 , we can obtain approximation theorems and Voronovskaja-type theorems for some known operators. Because every application is a simple substitute in the theorems of this section, we will not replace anything.
Application 3.10. If $a(x)=1$ and $b(x)=e^{x}, x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}$, we obtain the Mirakjan-Favard-Szász operators (see [3-5]).
Application 3.11. If $a(x)=g(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}$ and $b(x)=e^{x}, x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}$, we obtain the operators considered by Jakimovski and Leviatan in the paper [1].
Application 3.12. If $a(x)=g(x)=1$ and $b(x)=\cosh x=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(1 /(2 k)!) x^{2 k}, x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}$, then we get the operators considered by Leśniewicz and Rempulska in the paper [6].
Application 3.13. If $a(x)=g(x)=1$ and $b(x)=\sinh x=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(1 /(2 k+1)!) x^{2 k+1}, x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}$, we get the operators

$$
\left(A_{m} f\right)(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\sinh m x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(m x)^{2 k+1}}{(2 k+1)!} f\left(\frac{2 k+1}{m}\right) & \text { if } x>0  \tag{3.28}\\ f(0) & \text { if } x=0\end{cases}
$$

where $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}$. The operators of this type are introduced and studied by Rempulska and Skorupka in the paper [7].
Application 3.14. If $a(x)=b(x)=g(x)=\cosh x, x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}$, we obtain the operators studied by Ciupa in [8].
Application 3.15. If $a(x)=g(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}$ and $b(x)=\cosh x, x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}$, we get the operators constructed by Ciupa in the paper [9], and studied in [9, 10].

Application 3.16. If $a(x)=1$ and $b(x)=b_{m}((1 / m) x), x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain the operators studied in the paper [11].
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