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## 1. Introduction and main results

In this paper, we use the standard notations and terms in the value distribution theory [4]. For any nonconstant meromorphic function $f(z)$ on the complex plane $\mathbf{C}$, we denote by $S(r, f)$ any quantity satisfying $S(r, f)=o(T(r, f))$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ except possibly for a set of $r$ of finite linear measures. A meromorphic function $a(z)$ is called a small function with respect to $f(z)$ if $T(r, a)=S(r, f)$. Let $S(f)$ be the set of meromorphic functions in the complex plane $\mathbf{C}$ which are small functions with respect to $f$. Set $E(a(z), f)=\{z \mid$ $f(z)-a(z)=0\}, a(z) \in S(f)$, where a zero point with multiplicity $m$ is counted $m$ times in the set. If these zero points are only counted once, then we denote the set by $\bar{E}(a(z), f)$. Let $k$ be a positive integer. Set $E_{k)}(a(z), f)=\{z: f(z)-a(z)=0, \exists i, 1 \leq i \leq k$, such that $\left.f^{(i)}(z)-a^{(i)}(z) \neq 0\right\}$, where a zero point with multiplicity $m$ is counted $m$ times in the set.

Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions, $a(z) \in S(f) \cap S(g)$. If $E(a(z), f)=E(a(z), g)$, then we say that $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ share the function $a(z) \mathrm{CM}$, especially, we say that $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ have the same fixed points when $a(z)=z$. If $\bar{E}(a(z), f)=$ $\bar{E}(a(z), g)$, then we say that $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ share the function $a(z)$ IM. If $E_{k)}(a(z), f)=$ $E_{k)}(a(z), g)$, we say that $f(z)-a(z)$ and $g(z)-a(z)$ have the same zeros with the multiplicities $\leq k$.

In addition, we also use the following notations.
We denote by $\left.N_{k}\right)(r, f)$ the counting function for poles of $f(z)$ with multiplicity $\leq$ $k$, and by $\bar{N}_{k)}(r, f)$ the corresponding one for which multiplicity is not counted. Let $\bar{N}_{(k}(r, f)$ be the counting function for poles of $f(z)$ with multiplicity $\geq k$, and let $\bar{N}_{(k}(r, f)$ be the corresponding one for which multiplicity is not counted. Set $N_{k}(r, f)=\bar{N}(r, f)+$ $\bar{N}_{(2}(r, f)+\cdots+\bar{N}_{(k}(r, f)$.

Similarly, we have the notations

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{k)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right), \bar{N}_{k)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right), N_{(k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right), \bar{N}_{(k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right), N_{k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two nonconstant meromorphic functions and $\bar{E}(1, f)=\bar{E}(1, g)$. We denote by $\bar{N}_{L}(r, 1 /(f-1))$ the counting function for 1-points of both $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ about which $f(z)$ has larger multiplicity than $g(z)$, with multiplicity not being counted, and denote by $N_{11}(r, 1 /(f-1))$ the counting function for common simple 1-points of both $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ where multiplicity is not counted. Similarly, we have the notation $\bar{N}_{L}(r, 1 /(g-1))$.

In 1929, Nevanlinna proved the following well-known result, which is the so-called Nevanlinna four-value theorem.

Theorem 1.1 [5]. Let $f$ and $g$ be two nonconstant meromorphic functions. If $f$ and $g$ share four distinct values CM , then $f$ is a Möbius transformation of $g$.

In 1979, G. G. Gundersen proved the following result, which is an improvement of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2 [6]. Let $f$ and $g$ be two nonconstant meromorphic functions. If $f$ and $g$ share three distinct values CM and a fourth value IM , then $f$ is a Möbius transformation of $g$.

In 1997, Li and Yang proved the following two results, which generalize Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 to small functions.

Theorem 1.3 [7]. Let $f$ and $g$ be two nonconstant meromorphic functions, and let $a_{j}(j=$ $1, \ldots, 4)$ be distinct small functions of $f$ and $g$. If $f$ and $g$ share $a_{j}(j=1, \ldots, 4) \mathrm{CM}^{*}$, then $f$ is a quasi-Möbius transformation of $g$.

Theorem 1.4 [7]. Let $f$ and $g$ be two nonconstant meromorphic functions, and let $a_{j}(j=$ $1, \ldots, 4)$ be distinct small functions of $f$ and $g$. If $f$ and $g$ share $a_{j}(j=1, \ldots, 3) \mathrm{CM}^{*}$ and $a_{4}(z) \mathrm{IM}$, then $f$ is a quasi-Möbius transformation of $g$.

Recently, some papers studied the uniqueness of meromorphic functions and differential polynomials, and obtained some results as follows.

In 2002, C.-Y Fang and M.-L. Fang [1] proved the following result.
Theorem 1.5 [1]. Let $f$ and $g$ be two nonconstant meromorphic functions and let $n(\geq 13)$ be an integer. If $f^{n}(f-1)^{2} f^{\prime}=g^{n}(g-1)^{2} g^{\prime}$ share the value 1 CM , then $f \equiv g$.

In 2006, Lahiri and Pal [2] proved the following results, the first of which improves Theorem 1.5.

Theorem 1.6 [2]. Let $f$ and $g$ be two nonconstant meromorphic functions and let $n(\geq 13)$ be an integer. If $E_{3)}\left(1, f^{n}(f-1)^{2} f^{\prime}\right)=E_{3)}\left(1, g^{n}(g-1)^{2} g^{\prime}\right)$, then $f \equiv g$.

Fang and Qiu [8] proved the following results.
Theorem 1.7 [8]. Let $f$ and $g$ be two nonconstant meromorphic (entire) functions, $n \geq$ $11(n \geq 6)$ is a positive integer. If $f^{n} f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n} g^{\prime}$ share $z C M$, then either $f=c_{1} e^{c z^{2}}, g=$ $c_{2} e^{-c z^{2}}$, where $c_{1}, c_{2}$, and $c$ are three constants satisfying $4\left(c_{1} c_{2}\right)^{n+1} c^{2}=-1$, or $f \equiv \operatorname{tg}$ for a constant $t$ such that $t^{n+1}=1$.

Lin and Yi [3] proved the following results.
Theorem 1.8 [3]. Let $f$ and $g$ be two transcendental meomorphic functions, $n \geq 13$ is an integer. If $f^{n}(f-1)^{2} f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n}(g-1)^{2} g^{\prime}$ share $z \mathrm{CM}$, then $f(z) \equiv g(z)$.

Question 1.9. Is it possible that the value 1 can be replaced by a small function $a(z)$ in Theorems 1.5 and 1.6?

Question 1.10. Is it possible to relax the nature of sharing $z$ in Theorem 1.8 and if possible, how far?

The purpose of this paper is to answer the above questions, and we get the following results.

Theorem 1.11. Let $f$ and $g$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions and let $n \geq 13$, $k \geq 3$ be two positive integers. If $E_{k)}\left(z, f^{n}(f-1)^{2} f^{\prime}\right)=E_{k}\left(z, g^{n}(g-1)^{2} g^{\prime}\right)$, then $f \equiv g$.

Theorem 1.12. Let $f$ and $g$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions and let $n \geq 15$ be a positive integer. If $E_{2)}\left(z, f^{n}(f-1)^{2} f^{\prime}\right)=E_{2)}\left(z, g^{n}(g-1)^{2} g^{\prime}\right)$, then $f \equiv g$.

Theorem 1.13. Let $f$ and $g$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions and let $n \geq 23$ be a positive integer. If $E_{1)}\left(z, f^{n}(f-1)^{2} f^{\prime}\right)=E_{1)}\left(z, g^{n}(g-1)^{2} g^{\prime}\right)$, then $f \equiv g$.

Theorem 1.14. Let $f$ and $g$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions and $n \geq 28$ be a positive integer. If $f^{n}(f-1)^{2} f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n}(g-1)^{2} g^{\prime}$ share $z \mathrm{IM}$, then $f \equiv g$.

## 2. Some lemmas

In order to prove our results, we need the following lemmas.
Lemma 2.1 [9]. Let $f$ be a nonconstant meromorphic function and $P(f)=a_{0}+a_{1} f+a_{2} f^{2}+$ $\cdots+a_{n} f^{n}$, where $a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ are constants and $a_{n} \neq 0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r, P(f))=n T(r, f)+S(r, f) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.2 [10]. Let $f$ and $g$ be two meromorphic functions, and let $k$ be a positive integer, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+k \bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.3 [11]. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(w)=(n-1)^{2}\left(w^{n}-1\right)\left(w^{n-2}-1\right)-n(n-2)\left(w^{n-1}-1\right)^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(w)=(w-1)^{4}\left(w-\beta_{1}\right)\left(w-\beta_{2}\right) \cdots\left(w-\beta_{2 n-6}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta_{j} \in C \backslash\{0,1\}(j=1,2, \ldots, 2 n-6)$, which are distinct, respectively.
Lemma 2.4. Let $f$ and $g$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions. Then $f^{n}(f$ $-1)^{2} f^{\prime} g^{n}(g-1)^{2} g^{\prime} \not \equiv z^{2}$, where $n \geq 8$ is a positive integer.

Proof. If possible, let $f^{n}(f-1)^{2} f^{\prime} g^{n}(g-1)^{2} g^{\prime} \equiv z^{2}$. Let $z_{0}(\neq 0, \infty)$ be a 1-point of $f$ with multiplicity $p(\geq 1)$. Then $z_{0}$ is a pole of $g$ with multiplicity $q(\geq 1)$ such that $2 p+p-1=$ $(n+2) q+q+1$, and so $p \geq(n+5) / 3$.

Let $z_{1}(\neq 0, \infty)$ be a zero of $f$ with multiplicity $p(\geq 1)$ and let it be a pole of $g$ with multiplicity $q(\geq 1)$. Then $n p+p-1=(n+3) q+1$, that is, $2 q=(n+1)(p-q)-2 \geq$ $n-1$, that is, $q \geq(n-1) / 2$. So $(n+1) p=(n+3) q+2$, that is, $p \geq(n+1) / 2$.

Since a pole of $f$ is either a zero of $g(g-1)$ or a zero of $g^{\prime}$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{N}(r, f) & \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{2}{n+1} N\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+\frac{3}{n+5} N\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\right)  \tag{2.5}\\
& \leq\left(\frac{2}{n+1}+\frac{3}{n+5}\right) T(r, g)+\bar{N}_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\bar{N}_{0}\left(r, 1 / g^{\prime}\right)$ is the reduced counting function of those zeros of $g^{\prime}$ which are not the zeros of $g(g-1)$.

By the second fundamental theorem, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
T(r, f) \leq & \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\bar{N}(r, f)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right)-\bar{N}_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\right)+S(r, f) \\
\leq & \frac{2}{n+1} N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\frac{3}{n+5} N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right)+\left(\frac{2}{n+1}+\frac{3}{n+5}\right) T(r, g)  \tag{2.6}\\
& +\bar{N}_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\right)-\bar{N}_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\right)+2 \log r+S(r, f) .
\end{align*}
$$

So

$$
\begin{align*}
(1- & \left.\frac{2}{n+1}-\frac{3}{n+5}\right) T(r, f) \\
& \leq\left(\frac{2}{n+1}+\frac{3}{n+5}\right) T(r, g)+\bar{N}_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\right)-\bar{N}_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\right)+2 \log r+S(r, f) \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
(1- & \left.\frac{2}{n+1}-\frac{3}{n+5}\right) T(r, g) \\
& \leq\left(\frac{2}{n+1}+\frac{3}{n+5}\right) T(r, f)+\bar{N}_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\right)-\bar{N}_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\right)+2 \log r+S(r, g) \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Adding (2.7) and (2.8) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\frac{4}{n+1}-\frac{6}{n+5}\right)\{T(r, f)+T(r, g)\} \leq 4 \log r+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a contradiction. This proves this lemma.
Lemma 2.5. Let $f$ and $g$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions, $F=f^{n}(f-1)^{2} f^{\prime} / z$, and $G=g^{n}(g-1)^{2} g^{\prime} / z$, where $n(\geq 5)$ is a positive integer. If $F \equiv G$, then $f \equiv g$.

Proof. If $F \equiv G$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{*} \equiv G^{*}+c, \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is a constant,

$$
\begin{align*}
& F^{*}=\frac{1}{n+3} f^{n+3}-\frac{2}{n+2} f^{n+2}+\frac{1}{n+1} f^{n+1} \\
& G^{*}=\frac{1}{n+3} g^{n+3}-\frac{2}{n+2} g^{n+2}+\frac{1}{n+1} g^{n+1} . \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

If follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r, f)=T(r, g)+S(r, f) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $c \neq 0$. By the second fundamental theorem, from (2.10) and (2.12) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
(n+3) T(r, g)= & T\left(r, G^{*}\right)<\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{*}}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{*}+c}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, G^{*}\right)+S(r, g) \\
\leq & \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g-\alpha_{1}}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g-\alpha_{2}}\right)+\bar{N}(r, g)  \tag{2.13}\\
& +\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-\alpha_{1}}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-\alpha_{2}}\right)+S(r, f),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ are distinct roots of the algebraic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n+3} z^{2}-\frac{2}{n+2} z+\frac{1}{n+1}=0 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n+3) T(r, g)<7 T(r, f)+S(r, f) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $n \geq 5$, we can get a contradiction. Therefore $F^{*} \equiv G^{*}$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{n+1}\left(\frac{1}{n+3} f^{2}-\frac{2}{n+2} f+\frac{1}{n+1}\right)=g^{n+1}\left(\frac{1}{n+3} g^{2}-\frac{2}{n+2} g+\frac{1}{n+1}\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $h=f / g$, we substitute $f=h g$ in (2.16), and it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n+2)(n+1) g^{2}\left(h^{n+3}-1\right)-2(n+3)(n+1) g\left(h^{n+2}-1\right)+(n+2)(n+3)\left(h^{n+1}-1\right)=0 . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $h$ is not constant, using Lemma 2.3 and (2.17), we can conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{(n+1)(n+2)\left(h^{n+3}-1\right) g-(n+1)(n+3)\left(h^{n+2}-1\right)\right\}^{2}=-(n+3)(n+1) Q(h) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q(h)=(h-1)^{4}\left(h-\beta_{1}\right)\left(h-\beta_{2}\right) \cdots\left(h-\beta_{2 n}\right), \beta_{j} \in \backslash\{0,1\}(j=1,2, \ldots, 2 n)$, which are pairwise distinct.

This implies that every zero of $h-\beta_{j}(j=1,2, \ldots, 2 n)$ has a multiplicity of at least 2. By the second fundamental theorem, we obtain that $n \leq 2$, which is again a contradiction. Therefore, $h$ is a constant. We have from (2.17) that $h^{n+1}-1=0$ and $h^{n+2}-1=0$, which imply $h=1$, and hence $f \equiv g$, so the lemma is proved.
Lemma 2.6 [1]. Let $f$ and $g$ be two meromorphic functions, then and let $k$ be a positive integer. If $E_{k)}(1, f)=E_{k)}(1, g)$, one of the following cases must occur:
(i)

$$
\begin{align*}
T(r, f)+T(r, g) \leq & \bar{N}_{2}(r, f)+\bar{N}_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{2}(r, g)+\bar{N}_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) \\
& +\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right) \\
& -N_{11}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{(k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right)  \tag{2.19}\\
& +\bar{N}_{(k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) ;
\end{align*}
$$

(ii) $f=((b+1) g+(a-b-1)) /(b g+(a-b))$, where $a(\neq 0)$, $b$ are two constants.

Lemma 2.7 [12]. Let $f$ and $g$ be two meromorphic functions. If $f$ and $g$ share 1IM, then one of the following cases must occur:
(i)

$$
\begin{align*}
T(r, f)+T(r, g) \leq & 2\left[\bar{N}_{2}(r, f)+\bar{N}_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\bar{N}_{2}(r, g)+\bar{N}_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)\right] \\
& +3 \bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right)+3 \bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right)  \tag{2.20}\\
& +S(r, f)+S(r, g)
\end{align*}
$$

(ii) $f=((b+1) g+(a-b-1)) /(b g+(a-b))$, where $a(\neq 0)$, $b$ are two constants.

Lemma 2.8. Let $f$ and $g$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions, let $n \geq 8$ be a positive integer, and let $F=f^{n}(f-1)^{2} f^{\prime} / z$ and $G=g^{n}(g-1)^{2} g^{\prime} / z$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\frac{(b+1) G+(a-b-1)}{b G+(a-b)} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a(\neq 0), b$ are two constants, then $f \equiv g$.
Proof. By Lemma 2.1, we know

$$
\begin{align*}
T(r, F)= & T\left(r, \frac{f^{n}(f-1)^{2} f^{\prime}}{z}\right) \\
\leq & T\left(r, f^{n}(f-1)^{2}\right)+T\left(r, f^{\prime}\right)+\log r \\
\leq & (n+2) T(r, f)+2 T(r, f)+\log r+S(r, f) \\
= & (n+4) T(r, f)+\log r+S(r, f), \\
(n+2) T(r, f)= & T\left(r, f^{n}(f-1)^{2}\right)+S(r, f) \\
= & N\left(r, f^{n}(f-1)^{2}\right)+m\left(r, f^{n}(f-1)^{2}\right)+S(r, f) \\
\leq & N\left(r, \frac{f^{n}(f-1)^{2} f^{\prime}}{z}\right)-N\left(r, f^{\prime}\right)+m\left(r, \frac{f^{n}(f-1)^{2} f^{\prime}}{z}\right)  \tag{2.22}\\
& +m\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\right)+\log r+S(r, f) \\
\leq & T\left(r, \frac{f^{n}(f-1)^{2} f^{\prime}}{z}\right)+T\left(r, f^{\prime}\right)-N\left(r, f^{\prime}\right)-N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\right) \\
& +\log r+S(r, f) \\
\leq & T(r, F)+T(r, f)-N(r, f)-N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\right) \\
& +\log r+S(r, f) .
\end{align*}
$$

So

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r, F) \geq(n+1) T(r, f)+N(r, f)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\right)+\log r+S(r, f) \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by (2.22), (2.23) and $n \geq 8$, we get $S(r, F)=S(r, f)$. Similarly, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r, G) \geq(n+1) T(r, g)+N(r, g)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\right)+\log r+S(r, g) \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality, we suppose that $T(r, f) \leq T(r, g), r \in I$, where $I$ is a set with infinite measures. Next, we consider three cases.

Case $1 b \neq 0,-1$. If $a-b-1 \neq 0$, then by (2.21) we know

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G+(a-b-1) /(b+1)}\right)=\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) . \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Nevanlinna second fundamental theorem and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
T(r, G) \leq & \bar{N}(r, G)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G+(a-b-1) /(b+1)}\right)+S(r, G) \\
= & \bar{N}(r, G)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+S(r, g) \\
\leq & \bar{N}(r, g)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+T(r, g)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\right)+\log r \\
& +\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+T(r, f)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\bar{N}(r, f)+\log r+S(r, g)  \tag{2.26}\\
\leq & 2 T(r, g)+\bar{N}(r, g)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\right)+\log r+2 N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) \\
& +T(r, f)+\bar{N}(r, f)+\log r+S(r, g) \\
\leq & 6 T(r, g)+\bar{N}(r, g)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\right)+2 \log r+S(r, g) .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, by $n \geq 8$ and (2.24), we know $T(r, g) \leq S(r, g), r \in I$, this is impossible.
If $a-b-1=0$, then by (2.21) we know $F=((b+1) G) /(b G+1)$. Obviously,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G+1 / b}\right)=\bar{N}(r, F) \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Nevanlinna second fundamental theorem and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
T(r, G) \leq & \bar{N}(r, G)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G+1 / b}\right)+S(r, G) \\
= & \bar{N}(r, G)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+\bar{N}(r, F)+S(r, g) \\
\leq & \bar{N}(r, g)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+T(r, g)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\right)+\log r+\bar{N}(r, f)  \tag{2.28}\\
& +\log r+S(r, g) \\
\leq & 2 T(r, g)+\bar{N}(r, g)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\right)+T(r, f)+2 \log r+S(r, g) \\
\leq & 3 T(r, g)+\bar{N}(r, g)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\right)+2 \log r+S(r, g)
\end{align*}
$$

Then by $n \geq 8$ and (2.24), we know $T(r, g) \leq S(r, g), r \in I$, a contradiction.
Case $2 b=-1$. Then (2.21) becomes $F=a /(a+1-G)$.
If $a+1 \neq 0$, then $\bar{N}(r, 1 /(G-a-1))=\bar{N}(r, F)$. Similarly, we can deduce a contradiction as in Case 1.

If $a+1=0$, then $F G \equiv 1$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{n}(f-1)^{2} f^{\prime} g^{n}(g-1)^{2} g^{\prime} \equiv z^{2} \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $n \geq 8$, by Lemma 2.4, a contradiction.
Case $3 b=0$. Then (2.21) becomes $F=(G+a-1) / a$.
If $a-1 \neq 0$, then $\bar{N}(r, 1 /(G+a-1))=\bar{N}(r, 1 / F)$. Similarly, we can again deduce a contradiction as in Case 1.

If $a-1=0$, then $F \equiv G$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{n}(f-1)^{2} f^{\prime} \equiv g^{n}(g-1)^{2} g^{\prime} . \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.5, we obtain $f \equiv g$.
This completes the proof of this lemma.

## 3. Proof of theorems

Let $F$ and $G$ be defined as in Lemma 2.8.
Proof of Theorem 1.11. Since $k \geq 3$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)-N_{11}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{(k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{(k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\
\quad \leq \frac{1}{2} N\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\frac{1}{2} N\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} T(r, F)+\frac{1}{2} T(r, G)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) . \tag{3.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then (i) in Lemma 2.6 becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r, F)+T(r, G) \leq 2\left\{N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+N_{2}(r, F)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+N_{2}(r, G)\right\}+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+N_{2}(r, F) & =N_{2}\left(r, \frac{z}{f^{n}(f-1)^{2} f^{\prime}}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{f^{n}(f-1)^{2} f^{\prime}}{z}\right) \\
& \leq 2 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+2 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\right)+2 \bar{N}(r, f)+2 \log r . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+N_{2}(r, G) \leq 2 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+2 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\right)+2 \bar{N}(r, g)+2 \log r . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r, F)+T(r, G) \leq 2\left\{N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+N_{2}(r, F)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+N_{2}(r, G)\right\}+S(r, f)+S(r, g) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.2 and (2.23), (2.24), and (3.3), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
T(r, F)+T(r, G) \leq & 4 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+4 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right)+2 N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\right)+4 \bar{N}(r, f) \\
& +4 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+4 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right)+2 N\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\right)+4 \bar{N}(r, g) \\
& +8 \log r+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \\
\leq & 5 N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+4 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\right)+5 \bar{N}(r, f)  \tag{3.6}\\
& +5 N\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+4 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\right)+5 \bar{N}(r, g) \\
& +8 \log r+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \\
\leq & 13 T(r, f)+\bar{N}(r, f)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\right)+S(r, f)+13 T(r, g) \\
& +\bar{N}(r, g)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\right)+8 \log r+S(r, g) .
\end{align*}
$$

By $n \geq 13$ and (2.23), (2.24), we can obtain a contradiction.
Thus, by Lemma 2.6, $F=((b+1) G+(a-b-1)) /(b G+(a-b))$, where $a(\neq 0), b$ are two constants. By Lemma 2.8, we get $f \equiv g$.This completes the proof of Theorem 1.11.

Proof of Theorem 1.12. Obviously, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)-N_{11}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \bar{N}_{(3}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \bar{N}_{(3}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\
\quad \leq \frac{1}{2} N\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\frac{1}{2} N\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} T(r, F)+\frac{1}{2} T(r, G)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) . \tag{3.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then (i) in Lemma 2.6 becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
T(r, F)+T(r, G) \leq & 2\left\{N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+N_{2}(r, F)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+N_{2}(r, G)\right\}  \tag{3.8}\\
& +\bar{N}_{(3}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{(3}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) .
\end{align*}
$$

Consider

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{N}_{3}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) & \leq \frac{1}{2} N\left(r, \frac{F}{F^{\prime}}\right)=\frac{1}{2} N\left(r, \frac{F^{\prime}}{F}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \bar{N}(r, F)+\frac{1}{2} \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\right)+\bar{N}(r, f)\right]+\log r+S(r, f) \\
& \leq \frac{5}{2} T(r, f)+\log r+S(r, f) . \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{N}_{(3}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \leq \frac{5}{2} T(r, g)+\log r+S(r, g) . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that

$$
\begin{align*}
T(r, F)+T(r, G) \leq & 2\left\{N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+N_{2}(r, F)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+N_{2}(r, G)\right\}  \tag{3.11}\\
& +\bar{N}_{(3}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{(3}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+S(r, f)+S(r, g)
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (3.3), (3.5) and (3.9)-(3.11), we can get

$$
\begin{align*}
T(r, F)+T(r, G) \leq & \frac{31}{2} T(r, f)+\bar{N}(r, f)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\right)+S(r, f)+\frac{31}{2} T(r, g)  \tag{3.12}\\
& +\bar{N}(r, g)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\right)+10 \log r+S(r, g) .
\end{align*}
$$

From $n \geq 15$ and (2.23), (2.24), we can get a contradiction.
By Lemma 2.6, we obtain $F=((b+1) G+(a-b-1)) /(b G+(a-b))$, where $a(\neq 0), b$ are two constants. Then by Lemma 2.8, we can prove Theorem 1.12.

Proof of Theorem 1.13. Similarly, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)-N_{11}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) & \leq \frac{1}{2} N\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\frac{1}{2} N\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} T(r, F)+\frac{1}{2} T(r, G)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) . \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Then (i) in Lemma 2.6 becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
T(r, F)+T(r, G) \leq 2\{ & N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+N_{2}(r, F)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+N_{2}(r, G) \\
& \left.+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)\right\}+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Consider

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) & \leq N\left(r, \frac{F}{F^{\prime}}\right)=N\left(r, \frac{F^{\prime}}{F}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq \bar{N}(r, F)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+S(r, f)  \tag{3.15}\\
& \leq 5 T(r, f)+2 \log r+S(r, f)
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \leq 5 T(r, g)+2 \log r+S(r, g) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that

$$
\begin{align*}
T(r, F)+T(r, G) \leq 2\{ & N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+N_{2}(r, F)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+N_{2}(r, G) \\
& \left.+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)\right\}+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Considering (3.3), (3.4), (3.6), and (3.15)-(3.17), we know

$$
\begin{align*}
T(r, F)+T(r, G) \leq & 23 T(r, f)+\bar{N}(r, f)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\right)+S(r, f)+23 T(r, g) \\
& +\bar{N}(r, g)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\right)+12 \log r+S(r, g) \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

By $n \geq 23$ and (2.23), (2.24), we get a contradiction.
Applying Lemma 2.6, we know $F=((b+1) G+(a-b-1)) /(b G+(a-b))$, where $a(\neq$ $0), b$ are two constants. Then by Lemma 2.8, we can prove Theorem 1.13.

Proof of Theorem 1.14. Since

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) & \leq N\left(r, \frac{F}{F^{\prime}}\right)=N\left(r, \frac{F^{\prime}}{F}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq \bar{N}(r, F)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+S(r, f)  \tag{3.19}\\
& \leq 5 T(r, f)+2 \log r+S(r, f)
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \leq 5 T(r, g)+2 \log r+S(r, g) . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $F$ and $G$ satisfied (i) in Lemma 2.7, then we get

$$
\begin{align*}
T(r, F)+T(r, G) \leq & 2\left\{N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+N_{2}(r, F)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+N_{2}(r, G)\right\} \\
& +3 \bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+3 \bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) . \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Considering (3.3), (3.4), (3.6), and (3.19)-(3.21), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
T(r, F)+T(r, G) \leq & 28 T(r, f)+\bar{N}(r, f)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\right)+S(r, f)+28 T(r, g) \\
& +\bar{N}(r, g)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\right)+20 \log r+S(r, g) . \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

From $n \geq 28$ and (2.23), (2.24), we get a contradiction.
Applying Lemma 2.7, we know $F=((b+1) G+(a-b-1)) /(b G+(a-b))$, where $a(\neq$ $0), b$ are two constants. Then by Lemma 2.8, we can prove Theorem 1.14.

## 4. Remarks

It follows from the proof of Theorems $1.11-1.14$ that if " $z$ " is replaced by " $a(z)$ " in Theorems 1.11-1.14, where $a(z)$ is a meromorphic function such that $a \not \equiv 0, \infty$ and $T(r, a)=$ $o\{T(r, f), T(r, g)\}$, then the conclusions of Theorems 1.11-1.14 still hold. So we obtain the following results.

Theorem 4.1. Let $f$ and $g$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions and let $n \geq 13$, $k \geq 3$ be two positive integers. If $E_{k)}\left(a(z), f^{n}(f-1)^{2} f^{\prime}\right)=E_{k)}\left(a(z), g^{n}(g-1)^{2} g^{\prime}\right)$, then $f \equiv g$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $f$ and $g$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions and let n $(\geq 15)$ be a positive integer. If $E_{2)}\left(a(z), f^{n}(f-1)^{2} f^{\prime}\right)=E_{2)}\left(a(z), g^{n}(g-1)^{2} g^{\prime}\right)$, then the conclusion of Theorem 4.1 still holds.

Theorem 4.3. Let $f$ and $g$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions and let $n(\geq 23)$ be a positive integer. If $E_{1)}\left(a(z), f^{n}(f-1)^{2} f^{\prime}\right)=E_{1)}\left(a(z), g^{n}(g-1)^{2} g^{\prime}\right)$, then the conclusion of Theorem 4.1 still holds.

Theorem 4.4. Let $f$ and $g$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions and let $n(\geq 28)$ be a positive integer. If $f^{n}(f-1)^{2} f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n}(g-1)^{2} g^{\prime}$ share $a(z) \mathrm{IM}$, then the conclusion of Theorem 4.1 still holds.

Obviously, we can use the analog method of Theorems 1.11-1.14 to prove Theorems 4.1-4.4 easily. Here, we omit them.
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