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Let $A, B, D, E \in[-1,1]$ and let $p(z)$ be an analytic function defined on the open unit disk, $p(0)=1$. Conditions on $A, B, D$, and $E$ are determined so that $1+\beta z p^{\prime}(z)$ being subordinated to $(1+D z) /(1+E z)$ implies that $p(z)$ is subordinated to $(1+A z) /(1+B z)$. Similar results are obtained by considering the expressions $1+\beta\left(z p^{\prime}(z) / p(z)\right)$ and $1+$ $\beta\left(z p^{\prime}(z) / p^{2}(z)\right)$. These results are then applied to obtain sufficient conditions for analytic functions to be Janowski starlike.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathscr{A}$ be the class of all analytic functions $f(z)$ defined in the open unit disk $U:=\{z \in$ $\mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ and normalized by the conditions $f(0)=0=f^{\prime}(0)-1$. Let $S^{*}[A, B]$ denote the class of functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfying the subordination

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}, \quad(-1 \leq B<A \leq 1) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Functions in $S^{*}[A, B]$ are called the Janowski starlike functions ([1, 2]). Certain wellknown subclasses of starlike functions are special cases of the class $S^{*}[A, B]$ for suitable choices of the parameters $A$ and $B$. For example, when $0 \leq \alpha<1, S^{*}[1-2 \alpha,-1]=$ : $S_{\alpha}^{*}$ is the familiar class of starlike functions of order $\alpha$ and $S^{*}[1-\alpha, 0]=\left\{f \in \mathscr{A}: \mid z f^{\prime}(z) /\right.$ $f(z)-1 \mid<1-\alpha \quad(z \in U)\}=: S^{*}(\alpha)$. For $0<\alpha \leq 1$, let $S^{*}[\alpha,-\alpha]=\left\{f \in \mathscr{A}: \mid z f^{\prime}(z) /\right.$ $\left.f(z)-1|<\alpha| z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)+1 \mid \quad(z \in U)\right\}=: S^{*}[\alpha]$.

Silverman [3], Obradowič and Tuneski [4], and many others (see [5-9]) have studied properties of functions defined in terms of the quotient $\left(1+z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)\right) /\left(z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)\right)$. In fact, Silverman [3] has obtained the order of starlikeness for the functions in the class
$G_{b}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{b}:=\left\{f \in \mathscr{A}:\left|\frac{1+z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)}{z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)}-1\right|<b, 0<b \leq 1, z \in U\right\} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Obradowič and Tuneski [4] improved the result of Silverman [3] by showing $G_{b} \subset S^{*}[0,-b] \subset S^{*}(2 /(1+\sqrt{1+8 b}))$. Later, Tuneski [10] obtained conditions for the inclusion $G_{b} \subset S^{*}[A, B]$ to hold. If we let $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)=: p(z)$, then $G_{b} \subset S^{*}[A, B]$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)^{2}} \prec 1+b z \Longrightarrow p(z) \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f \in \mathscr{A}$ and $0 \leq \alpha<1$. Frasin and Darus [11] have shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(z f(z))^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{2 z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{(1-\alpha) z}{2-\alpha} \Longrightarrow\left|\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{f^{2}(z)}-1\right|<1-\alpha . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again by writing $z^{2} f^{\prime}(z) /(f(z))^{2}$ as $p(z)$, we see that the above implication is special case of

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\beta \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)} \prec \frac{1+D z}{1+E z} \Longrightarrow p(z) \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Another special case of the above implications was considered by Ponnusamy and Rajasekaran [12].

Nunokawa et al. [13] have shown that if $p(z)$ is analytic in $U, p(0)=1$ and $1+$ $z p^{\prime}(z) \prec 1+z$, then $p(z) \prec 1+z$. Using this, they have obtained a criterion for a normalized analytic function to be univalent. In this paper, we extend the result by replacing the subordinate function $1+z$ by a function of the form $(1+D z) /(1+E z)$. In fact, we determine conditions on $A, B, D, E \in[-1,1]$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\beta z p^{\prime}(z) \prec \frac{1+D z}{1+E z} \Longrightarrow p(z) \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar results are obtained by considering the expressions $1+\beta\left(z p^{\prime}(z) / p^{2}(z)\right)$, $1+\beta\left(z p^{\prime}(z) / p(z)\right)$. These results are then applied to obtain sufficient conditions for analytic functions to be Janowski starlike.

## 2. Differential subordination

Lemma 2.1. Let $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1,-1 \leq E<D \leq 1$, and $\beta \neq 0$. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A-B)|\beta| \geq(D-E)\left(1+B^{2}\right)+|2 B(D-E)-E \beta(A-B)| . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $p(z)$ is analytic in $U$ with $p(0)=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\beta z p^{\prime}(z) \prec \frac{1+D z}{1+E z}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z) \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Define the function $P(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(z):=1+\beta z p^{\prime}(z) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the function $w(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(z):=\frac{p(z)-1}{A-B p(z)}, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\frac{1+A w(z)}{1+B w(z)} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $w(z)$ is meromorphic in $U$ and $w(0)=0$. We need to show that $|w(z)|<1$ in $U$. By a computation, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(z)=\frac{(1+B w(z))^{2}+(A-B) \beta z w^{\prime}(z)}{(1+B w(z))^{2}} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P(z)-1}{D-E P(z)}=\frac{(A-B) \beta z w^{\prime}(z)}{(D-E)(1+B w(z))^{2}-E(A-B) \beta z w^{\prime}(z)} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that there exists a point $z_{0} \in U$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|z| \leq\left|z_{0}\right|}|w(z)|=\left|w\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=1 . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by [14, Lemma 1.3, page 28], there exists $k \geq 1$ such that $z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=k w\left(z_{0}\right)$. Let $w\left(z_{0}\right)=e^{i \theta}$. For this $z_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\frac{P\left(z_{0}\right)-1}{D-E P\left(z_{0}\right)}\right| & =\frac{(A-B) k|\beta|}{\left[I^{2}+(H-J)^{2}+4 H J t^{2}+4 I(H+J) t\right]^{1 / 2}} \\
& \geq \frac{(A-B) k|\beta|}{\max _{-1 \leq t \leq 1}\left\{\left[I^{2}+(H-J)^{2}+4 H J t^{2}+4 I(H+J) t\right]^{1 / 2}\right\}}, \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I:=2 B(D-E)-k \beta E(A-B), J:=(D-E) B^{2}, H:=(D-E)$, and $t:=\cos \theta$. A computation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{P\left(z_{0}\right)-1}{D-E P\left(z_{0}\right)}\right| \geq \frac{(A-B)|\beta| k}{H+|I|+J} . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Yet another calculation shows that the function $\psi(k):=(A-B)|\beta| k /(H+|I|+J)$ is an increasing function of $k$. Since $k \geq 1$, we have $\psi(k) \geq \psi(1)$ and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{P\left(z_{0}\right)-1}{D-E P\left(z_{0}\right)}\right| \geq \frac{(A-B)|\beta|}{(D-E)\left(1+B^{2}\right)+|2 B(D-E)-E \beta(A-B)|}, \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which by (2.1) is greater than or equal to 1 . This contradicts $P(z) \prec(1+D z) /(1+E z)$ and completes the proof.

Remark 2.2. When $\beta=1, E=0=B$, and $D=1=A$, Lemma 2.1 reduces to [13, Lemma 1, page 1035]. Further if $p(z)=z^{2} f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)^{2}$, Lemma 2.1 reduces to [13, Theorem 1 , page 1036].

By taking $p(z)=z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$ in Lemma 2.1, we have the following result.
Theorem 2.3. Let the conditions of Lemma 2.1 hold. If $f \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\beta \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right) \prec \frac{1+D z}{1+E z}, \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f \in S^{*}[A, B]$.
By taking $\beta=1, A=\alpha=-B$, and $D=-E=\delta(0<\alpha, \delta \leq 1)$ in Theorem 2.3, we have the following result.

Corollary 2.4. Let $0<\alpha \leq 1$ and $\delta=\alpha /(1+\alpha)^{2}$. If $f \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)\right|<\delta\left|2+\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)\right| \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f(z) \in \mathscr{S}^{*}[\alpha]$.
By taking $\beta=1 A=1-2 \alpha, B=-1, D=(1-\alpha) / 2$, and $E=0(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ in Theorem 2.3, we have the following result.

Corollary 2.5. If $f \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)\right|<\frac{1-\alpha}{3} \quad(0 \leq \alpha<1) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f(z) \in \mathscr{S}_{\alpha}^{*}$.
By replacing $p(z)$ by $1 / p(z), \beta=-1, A$ by $-B$, and $B$ by $-A$ in Lemma 2.1, we have the following result.

Lemma 2.6. Let $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1,-1 \leq E<D \leq 1$. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A-B) \geq(D-E)\left(1+A^{2}\right)+|E(A-B)-2 A(D-E)| \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $p(z)$ is analytic in $U$ with $p(0)=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p^{2}(z)} \prec \frac{1+D z}{1+E z}, \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z) \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z} . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $p(z)=z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$, in Lemma 2.6, we have the following theorem.
Theorem 2.7. Let $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1,-1 \leq E<D \leq 1$. Assume that (2.16) holds. If $f \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1+z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)}{z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)} \prec \frac{1+D z}{1+E z}, \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f \in S^{*}[A, B]$.
Example 2.8. If $f \in G_{1-\alpha /(2-\alpha)^{2}}(0 \leq \alpha<1)$, then $f \in S^{*}(\alpha)$. If $f \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right|<\beta\left|1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}+\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right| \quad\left(\beta=\frac{\alpha}{1+3 \alpha+\alpha^{2}}, 0<\alpha \leq 1\right) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f \in S^{*}[\alpha]$. Similarly if (2.20) holds with $\beta=(1-\alpha) /\left[1+(1-2 \alpha)^{2}+|5 \alpha-3|\right](0 \leq$ $\alpha<1)$, then $f \in S_{\alpha}^{*}$.

Remark 2.9. When $E=0$ and $D=b(0<b \leq 1)$, Corollary 2.5 reduces to [10, Corollary 2.6, page 203]. When $A=0=E$ and $D=-B=b(0<b \leq 1)$, Corollary 2.5 reduces to [4, Theorem 1, page 61]. When $A=0=E$ and $D=-B=1$, Corollary 2.5 reduces to [3, Corollary 1, page 76].

Lemma 2.10. Let $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1,-1 \leq E<D \leq 1, A B \geq 0$, and $\beta \neq 0$. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\beta|(A-B) \geq(D-E)(1+A B)+|(D-E)(A+B)-E \beta(A-B)| . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $p(z)$ be analytic in $U$ with $p(0)=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\beta \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)} \prec \frac{1+D z}{1+E z}, \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z) \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z} . \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.1.

Remark 2.11. When $E \beta \leq 0, A B \leq 0$, Lemma 2.10 is valid provided the following conditions hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-A \beta)^{2}\left\{2 E \beta(A+B)(D-E)-(A-B)\left[(D-E)^{2}+(E \beta)^{2}\right]\right\} \geq 4 \beta^{2}(A-B) A B \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

instead of (2.21).
Remark 2.12. When $\beta=-1, A=\lambda=E$, and $D=B=0(|\lambda| \leq 1)$, Lemma 2.10 reduces to [12, Theorem 1(iii), page 195].

Example 2.13. By taking $\beta=1, B=0, D=A /(1+A)$, and $E=0$ in Lemma 2.10, we have the following result. Let $0<A \leq 1$. Let $p(z)$ be analytic in $U$ with $p(0)=1$. If $\left|z p^{\prime}(z) / p(z)\right|$ $<A /(1+A)$, then $p(z) \prec 1+A z$. When $p(z)=z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z), A=1-\alpha$, we have the following result.

If $f(z) \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right|<\frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha} \quad(0 \leq \alpha<1) \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f(z) \in \mathscr{S}^{*}(\alpha)$.
By taking $p(z)=z^{2} f^{\prime}(z) / f^{2}(z)$ in Lemma 2.10, we have the following result.
Theorem 2.14. Let the conditions of Lemma 2.10 hold. If $f \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\beta\left(\frac{(z f(z))^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{2 z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right) \prec \frac{1+D z}{1+E z} \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{f^{2}(z)} \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.15. When $\beta=1, A=\alpha, B=0, E=0$, and $D=(1-\alpha) /(2-\alpha)(0 \leq \alpha<1)$, Theorem 2.14 reduces to [11, Theorem 2.4, page 307].
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