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ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the classification of solutions of delay difference equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta^{2} y_{n}=P_{n} y_{n-m} \\
y_{n}=A_{n} \text { for } n=N-(m+1), \cdots N-1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $A_{n}, n=N-(m+1), \cdots, N-1$ are given, $m$ is a nonnegative integer.
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1. INTRODUCTION. The problem of oscillation and nonoscillation of solutions of delay difference equations has been receiving a lot of attention for the last few years. Erbe and Zhang ([1]-[3]), Lalli, Zhang and Zhao ([8], [9]), Ladas, Philos and Sficas ([6], [7]), have done some extensive works on this topic. A survey on the oscillation of delay difference equations could be found in the monograph by Gyori and Ladas [5].

In this paper we consider the second order delay difference equations of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{2} y_{n}=P_{n} y_{n-m} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta$ denotes the forward difference operator: $\Delta y_{n}=y_{n+1}-y_{n}, m$ is a nonnegative integer.
By a solution of equation (1.1) we mean a sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ which is defined for $n \geq N-(m+1)$ and which satisfies equations (1.1) for all $n \geq N$. Clearly if

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}=A_{n}, \text { for } n=N-(m+1), N-m, \cdots, N \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

are given, then equation (1.1) has a unique solution satisfying the initial conditions (1.2), where $N$ is an initial point.

A nontrivial solution $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ of equation (1.1) is said to be oscillatory if for every $N>0$ there exists an $n \geq N$ such that $y_{n} y_{n+1} \leq 0$. Otherwise it is called nonoscillatory.

Set $E_{N}=\{N-(m+1), N-m, \cdots, N-1\}$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}=A_{n}, n \in E_{N} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

are given, then the solutions depend on the parameter $y_{N}=\xi$. We are concerning with the classification of solutions of equation (1.1) with (1.3).
2. MAIN RESULTS.

We always assume that $P_{n} \geq 0$ and $P_{n}$ does not identically equal to zero in equation (1.1). We denote $S$ the set of all solutions of (1.1). Since $P_{n} \geq 0$, it is easy to see that

$$
S=S^{+\infty} \bigcup S^{-\infty} \bigcup S^{k} \bigcup S^{-k} \bigcup S^{o} \bigcup S^{\sim}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{+\infty} & =\left\{\left\{y_{n}\right\} \in S: l i m_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n}=+\infty\right\} \\
S^{-\infty} & =\left\{\left\{y_{n}\right\} \in S: \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} y_{n}=-\infty\right\} \\
S^{k} & =\left\{\left\{y_{n}\right\} \in S: 0<\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n}<+\infty\right\} \\
S^{-k} & =\left\{\left\{y_{n}\right\} \in S: 0>\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n}>-\infty\right\} \\
S^{o} & =\left\{\left\{y_{n}\right\} \in S: y_{n} \text { nontrivial, } \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n}=0 \text { monotonically }\right\} \\
S^{\sim} & =\left\{\left\{y_{n}\right\} \in S: y_{n} \text { is oscillatory }\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

LEMMA 2.1 If

$$
y_{1} \geq 0 \text { on } E_{N}, y_{N}>y_{N-1}
$$

then $y_{n} \in S^{+\infty}$. If

$$
y_{1} \leq 0 \text { on } E_{N}, y_{N}<y_{N-1}
$$

than $y_{n} \in S^{-\infty}$.
PROOF. From (1.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta y_{N+n}-\Delta y_{N-1}=\sum_{i=N-1}^{N+(n-1)} P_{i} y_{i-m} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing it in $n$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{N+n}=y_{N-1}+n \Delta y_{N-1}+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=N-1}^{N+1} P_{j} y_{j-m} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conclusions of Lemma 2.1 follow from (2.2).
From (2.2), the following is also true.
LEMMA 2.2. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=N-1}^{n+N-2}(n+N-1-i) P_{i}=\infty \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
y_{i} \geq 0, i \in E_{N}, y_{N} \geq y_{N-1}
$$

imply that $\left\{y_{n}\right\} \in S^{+\infty}$, and if

$$
y_{i} \leq 0, i \in E_{N}, y_{N} \leq y_{N-1}
$$

imply that $\left\{y_{n}\right\} \in S^{-\infty}$.
LEMMA 2.3. Assume that the solution $y_{n}$ and $z_{n}$ have same initial values on $E_{N}$ with $\Delta y_{N-1}>\Delta z_{N-1}$. Then $y_{n}>z_{n}, \Delta y_{n}>\Delta z_{n}, n \geq N$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(y_{n}-z_{n}\right)=\infty . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

PROOF. Set $x_{n}=y_{n}-z_{n}$, then $x_{1}=0$ on $E_{N}$ and $\Delta x_{N-1}>0$. By Lemma 2.1, $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \in S^{+\infty}$ From (2.1) $\Delta x_{n}>0$ for $n \geq N$.

LEMMA 2.4. For every given initial value on $E_{N}$. equation (1.1) has no more than one bounded solution.

PROOF. Suppose the contrary, let $\left\{y_{n}\right\},\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ be two bounded solutions of (1.1) with $y_{1}=z_{1}$, on $E_{N}$ and $y_{N}>z_{N}$. This implies that $\left|y_{n}-z_{n}\right|$ is bounded. On the other hand, by Lemma 2.3, (2.4) should be true. This contradiction proves Lemma 2.4.

For given $y_{i}=A_{1}$ on $E_{N}$, then the solution of (1.1) depends on the parameter $y_{N}=\xi \in R$. Define the sets of $\xi$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
K^{+\infty} & =\left\{\xi \in R,\left\{y_{n}\right\} \in S^{+\infty}\right\} \\
K^{-\infty} & =\left\{\xi \in R,\left\{y_{n}\right\} \in S^{-\infty}\right\} \\
K^{o} & =\left\{\xi \in R,\left\{y_{n}\right\} \in S^{o}\right\} \\
K^{\sim} & =\left\{\xi \in R,\left\{y_{n}\right\} \in S^{\sim}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

THEOREM 2.1. For given $y_{\mathrm{t}}$ on $E_{N}$, the sets $K^{+\infty}$ and $K^{-\infty}$ are nonempty.
PROOF. If $y_{1}=0$ on $E_{N}$, the conclusion follows from Lemma 2.1. Otherwise, from (2.1) and (2.2) we can find a number $y_{N}=\xi$ so large that $y_{t}>0, i=N, N+1, \cdots N+m$ and $\Delta y_{N+m}>0$. Translating the initial point to $n+m$ and using Lemma 2.1 we conclude that the solution with this $y_{N}$ belongs to $S^{+\infty}$. Therefore $\xi \in K^{+\infty}$. It is similar to prove that $K^{-\infty}$ is nonempty.

THEOREM 2.2. The sets $K^{-\infty}, K^{+\infty}$ are open sets which are given by nonintersecting half lines $(-\infty, \alpha)$ and $(\beta,+\infty)(\alpha \leq \beta)$. The set $F=R-\left(K^{+\infty} \cup K^{-\infty}\right)$ is nonempty and consists of the interval $[\alpha, \beta]$, if $\alpha<\beta$, or the point $\alpha$, if $\alpha=\beta$.

PROOF. Let $\left\{y_{n}\right\} \in S^{+\infty}$. Then there exists $N^{\prime}$ such that $y_{i}>0$ and $\Delta y_{i}>0$ on $E_{N^{\prime}}$. By continuous dependence of solutions and their differences on the initial conditions, all solutions with $y_{i}$ on $E_{N}$ and $\bar{y}_{N}$ differ slightly from $y_{N}$ are positive and have positive differences on $E_{N^{\prime}}$. If the initial point is translated to the point $i=N^{\prime}$, then by Lemma 2.1 all those solutions belong to $S^{+\infty}$, i.e., $K^{+\infty}$ is open. Similarly, one can prove that $K^{-\infty}$ is open. Using Lemma 2.3, the conclusions of theorem follow.

THEOREM 2.3. If $\alpha<\beta$, then each $y_{N} \in F$ the corresponding solution is unbounded and oscillatory.

PROOF. It is sufficient to show that every solution with $y_{N} \in F$ is unbounded. Suppose the contrary, $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is a bounded solution with $y_{N} \in F$. Let $z_{N} \neq y_{N}$. By Lemma 2.4, $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is unbounded and oscillatory. On the other hand, Lemma 2.3 shows that $\left|y_{n}-z_{n}\right| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and hence $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|z_{n}\right|=\infty$ which contradicts the oscillation of $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$.

THEOREM 2.4. If $\sum_{t=N}^{\infty} i P_{t}=\infty$, then every bounded solution of (1.1) either belongs to $S^{o}$ or $S^{\sim}$.

PROOF. Let $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ be a bounded positive solution of (1.1). Then

$$
\Delta y_{n}<0 \text { eventually and } \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta y_{n}=0
$$

From (2.1)

$$
\Delta y_{N-1}=-\sum_{i=N-1}^{\infty} P_{1} y_{i-m}
$$

and from (2.2)

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{N+n}=y_{N-1}-n \sum_{i=N-1}^{\infty} P_{t} y_{i-m}+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=N-1}^{N+i-1} P_{y} y_{j-m} \\
& =y_{N-1}-n \sum_{i=N-1}^{\infty} P_{t} y_{i-m}+\sum_{i=N-1}^{N+n-2}(n+N-1-i) P_{i} y_{i}-m \\
& =y_{N-1}-n \sum_{i=N-1}^{N+n-2} P_{i} y_{1-m}-n \sum_{i=N+n-1}^{\infty} P_{i} y_{i-m}+\sum_{i=N-1}^{N+n-2}(n+N-1-i) P_{1} y_{i-m} \\
& =y_{N-1}-n \sum_{i=N+n-1}^{\infty} P_{1} y_{i-m}+\sum_{i=N-1}^{N+n-2}(N-1-i) P_{1} y_{i}-m \\
& =y_{N-1}-n \sum_{i=N+n-1}^{\infty} P_{1} y_{i-m}+(N-1) \sum_{i=N-1}^{N+n-2} P_{i} y_{i-m}-\sum_{i=N-1}^{N+n-2} i P_{1} y_{i-m} \\
& =y_{N-1}+(N-1)\left(\Delta y_{N+n-2}-\Delta y_{N-1}\right)-\sum_{i=N-1}^{N+n-2} i p_{t} y_{t-m}+n \Delta y_{N+n-1} \\
& \leq y_{N-1}-(N-1) \Delta y_{N-1}-\sum_{i=N-1}^{N+n-2} i p_{i} y_{i-m} . \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

If $y_{n} \rightarrow l>0$, then (2.5) lead to that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n}=-\infty$. This contradiction shows that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n}=0$. The proof is complete.

COROLLARY 2.1. If $\sum_{i=N}^{\infty} i p_{i}=\infty$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=K^{+\infty} \bigcup K^{-\infty} \bigcup K^{o} \bigcup K^{\sim} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $K^{+\infty}, K^{-\infty}$ and $K^{o} \cup K^{\sim}$ are nonempty.
THEOREM 2.5. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{i=n-m+1}^{n}(i-(n-m)) p_{i}>1 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then every bounded solution of (1.1) is oscillatory.
PROOF. Let $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ be a bounded positive solution of (1.1). Then $\Delta y_{n}<0$ eventually. Summing (1.1) from $N$ to $n$, we have

$$
\Delta y_{n+1}-\Delta y_{N}=\sum_{i=N}^{n} p_{1} y_{i-m}
$$

Summing it from $n-m+1$ to $n$ in $N$, we obtain

$$
m \Delta y_{n+1}-y_{n+1}+y_{n-m+1}=\sum_{j=n-m+1}^{n} \sum_{i=j}^{n} p_{i} y_{i-m}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq y_{n+1}-y_{n-m+1}+\sum_{i=n-m+1}^{n}(i-(n-m)) p_{1} y_{i-m} \\
& \leq y_{n+1}-y_{n-m+1}\left(1-\sum_{i=n-m+1}^{n}(i-(n-m)) p_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts to (2.7). The proof is complete.
COROLLARY 2.2 Assume that the assumptions of Corollary 2.1 and Theorem 2.5 hold.

Then $K^{\sim}$ is nonempty.
In fact, by Corollary 2.1, $K^{\circ} \cup K^{\sim}$ is nonempty and by Theorem 2.5, $K^{o}$ is empty Therefore $K^{\sim}$ is nonempty.

It is easy to see that if $p_{1} \equiv p>0$ in (1.1), then all assumptions of Corollary 2.2 hold, therefore for any given $A_{n}$ on $E_{N}$, equation (1.1) with (1.3) has at least one oscillatory solution, i.e., $K^{\sim}$ is nonempty.

EXAMPLE 2.1. Consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{2} y_{n}=P_{n} y_{n-4} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $y_{1}=(-1)^{2}, \imath=1, \cdots, 5 P_{n} \equiv 1$. Then through computation if $y_{6}>-0.21675$, the solution $\left\{y_{n}\right\} \in S^{+\infty}$, if $y_{6}<-0.21676$, the solution $\left\{y_{n}\right\} \in S^{-\infty}$, in this case we see $\alpha=\beta$.

OPEN PROBLEM. What condition could guarantee that $\alpha<\beta$ ?
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