

## S-ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION OF DISTRIBUTIONS

BOGOLJUB STANKOVIC

Institute of Mathematics  
University of Novi Sad  
Yugoslavia

(Received December 2, 1986 and in revised form April 28, 1987)

**ABSTRACT.** This paper contains first a definition of the asymptotic expansion at infinity of distributions belonging to  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , named S-asymptotic expansion, as also its properties and application to partial differential equations.

**KEYS WORDS AND PHRASES.** Convex cone, distribution, behaviour of a distribution at infinity, asymptotic expansion.

1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. Primary 41A60, Secondary 46F99.

### 1. INTRODUCTION.

The basic idea of the asymptotic behaviour at infinity of a distribution one can find already in the book of L. Schwartz [1]. To these days many mathematicians tried to find a good definition of the asymptotic behaviour of a distribution. We shall mention only "equivalence at infinity" explored by Lavoine and Misra [2] and the "quasiasymptotic" elaborated by Vladimirov and his pupils [3]. Brichkov [4] introduced the asymptotic expansion of tempered distributions as a useful mathematical tool in quantum field theory. His investigations and definitions were turned just towards these applications. In [4] one can find cited literature in which asymptotic expansion technique, introduced by Brichkov, was used in the quantum field theory. This is a reason to study S-asymptotic expansion.

### 2. DEFINITION OF THE S-ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION.

In the classical analysis we say that the sequence  $\{\psi_n(t)\}$  of numerical functions is asymptotic if and only if  $\psi_{n+1}(t) = o(\psi_n(t))$ ,  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . The formal series  $\sum_{n \geq 1} u_n(t)$  is an asymptotic expansion of the function  $u(t)$  related to the asymptotic sequence  $\{\psi_n(t)\}$  if

$$u(t) - \sum_{n=1}^k u_n(t) = o(\psi_k(t)), \quad t \rightarrow \infty \quad (2.1)$$

for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and we write

$$u(t) \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n(t) \mid \{\psi_n(t)\}, \quad t \rightarrow \infty \quad (2.2)$$

When for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$   $u_n(t) = c_n \psi_n(t)$ ,  $c_n$  are complex numbers, expansion (2.2) is unique, that means the numbers  $c_n$  can be determined in only one way.

In this text  $\Gamma$  will be a convex cone with vertex at zero belonging to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\Sigma(\Gamma)$  the set of all real valued and positive functions  $c(h)$ ,  $h \in \Gamma$ . Notations for the spaces of distributions are as in the books of Schwartz [1].

DEFINITION 1. The distribution  $T \in \mathcal{D}'$  has the S-asymptotic expansion related to the asymptotic sequence  $\{c_n(h)\} \subset \Sigma(\Gamma)$ , we write it

$$T(t+h) \underset{S}{\sim} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n(t,h) \mid \{c_n(h)\}, \quad \|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma \tag{2.3}$$

where  $U_n(t,h) \in \mathcal{D}'$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $h \in \Gamma$ , if for every  $\rho \in \mathcal{D}$

$$\langle T(t+h), \rho(t) \rangle \underset{S}{\sim} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle U_n(t,h), \rho(t) \rangle \mid \{c_n(h)\}, \quad \|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma \tag{2.4}$$

REMARK. 1) In the special case  $U_n(t,h) = u_n(t)c_n(h)$ ,  $u_n \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we shall write

$$T(t+h) \underset{S}{\sim} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n(t) c_n(h), \quad \|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma \tag{2.5}$$

and the given S-asymptotic expansion is unique.

2) To define the S-asymptotic expansion in  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we have only to suppose that in relation (2.4)  $T$  and  $U_n$  are in  $\mathcal{S}'$  and  $\rho$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Brichkov's general definition is slightly different [5].

DEFINITION 1'. The distribution  $g \in \mathcal{S}'$  has the asymptotic expansion related to the asymptotic sequence  $\{\psi_n(t)\}$  on the ray  $\{\lambda h_0, \lambda > 0\}$ ,  $h_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$g(\lambda h_0 - t) \underset{S}{\sim} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{c}_n(t, \lambda) \mid \{\psi_n(\lambda)\}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.6}$$

where  $\hat{c}_n(t, \lambda) \in \mathcal{S}'$  for  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0 > 0$ , if for every  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$

$$\langle g(\lambda h_0 - t), \phi(t) \rangle \underset{S}{\sim} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle \hat{c}_n(t, \lambda), \phi(t) \rangle \mid \{\psi_n(\lambda)\}, \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.7}$$

Relation 2.6 can be transformed in

$$f(x) e^{i\lambda \langle x, h_0 \rangle} \underset{S}{\sim} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n(x, \lambda) \mid \{\psi_n(\lambda)\}, \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.8}$$

by the Fourier transform, if we take  $f(x) = F^{-1}[g(t)]$ ;  $\rho(x) = F^{-1}[\phi(t)]$  and  $F[\hat{c}_n(t, \lambda)] = (2\pi)^n c_n(x, \lambda)$ . We denote by  $F[\rho]$  the Fourier transform of  $\rho$  and by  $F^{-1}[g]$  the inverse Fourier transform of  $g$ . Also, for  $x, t \in \mathbb{R}^n \ll x, t \gg = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i t_i$ .

In his papers Bričkov considered only the asymptotic expansions (2.8) and in one dimensional case. We shall study the asymptotic expansion not in  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$  but in the whole  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , not only on a ray but on a cone in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Our results enlarge Brichkov's to be valued for the elements of  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (Corollary 1), they are proved with less suppositions (Propositions 5 and 6) or give new properties of the S-asymptotic.

A distribution belonging to  $\mathcal{S}'$  can have S-asymptotic expansion in  $\mathcal{D}'$  without having the same S-asymptotic expansion in  $\mathcal{S}'$ . Such an example is the regular distribution  $f$  defined by the function

$$f(t) = H(t) \exp(1/(1+t^2)) \exp(-t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

where

$$H(t) = 1, t \geq 0 \text{ and } H(t) = 0, t < 0.$$

It is easy to prove that for  $h \in R_+$

$$\tilde{f}(t+h) \cong \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} (1+(t+h)^2)^{1-n} \exp(-t-h) \{e^{-h} h^{2(1-n)}\}, h \rightarrow \infty.$$

But

$$U_n(t,h) = (1+(t+h)^2)^{1-n} \exp(-t-h), n \in N, h > 0$$

do not belong to  $\mathcal{D}'$ .

The regular distribution  $\tilde{g}$  defined by the function

$$g(t) = \exp(1+(1+t^2)) \exp(t), t \in R$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{D}'$  but it is not in  $\mathcal{D}'$ . It has S-asymptotic expansion in  $\mathcal{D}'$ :

$$\tilde{g}(t+h) \cong \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} (1+(t+h)^2)^{1-n} \exp(t+h) \{e^{h} h^{2(1-n)}\}, h \rightarrow \infty$$

where  $\Gamma = R_+$ .

3. PROPERTIES OF THE S-ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION.

PROPOSITION 1. Let  $S \in \mathcal{E}'$  and  $T \in \mathcal{D}'$ . If

$$T(t+h) \cong \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n(t,h) \mid \{c_n(h)\}, \|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma$$

then the convolution

$$(S*T)(t+h) \cong \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (S * U_n)(t,h) \mid \{c_n(h)\} \quad \|h\| \rightarrow \infty \quad h \in \Gamma \tag{3.1}$$

PROOF. We know that

$$\langle (S*T)(t+h), \rho(t) \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^k \langle (S*U_n)(t,h), \rho(t) \rangle = \langle S*[T(t+h) - \sum_{n=1}^k U_n(t,h)], \rho(t) \rangle.$$

It remains only to use the continuity of the convolution.

COROLLARY 1. If

$$T(t+h) \cong \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n(t,h) \mid \{c_n(h)\} \quad \|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma$$

then

$$T^{(k)}(t+h) \cong \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n^{(k)}(t,h) \mid \{c_n(h)\}, \|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma \tag{3.2}$$

where  $T^{(k)} = (D_{t_1}^{k_1} \dots D_{t_n}^{k_n}) T, k = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in N_0^n, N_0 = NU\{0\}$ .

PROOF. We have only to take  $S = \delta^{(k)}$  in Proposition 1.

REMARK. Proposition 1. is valued as well if we suppose that  $T \in \mathcal{D}'$  and  $S \in \mathcal{O}'_c$ .

PROPOSITION 2. Let  $f, U_n(t,h)$  and  $V_n(t), n \in N$  and  $h \in \Gamma$ , be the local integrable functions such that for every compact set  $K \subset R^n$

$$f(t+h) \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n(t,h) \mid \{c_n(h)\}, \|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma, t \in K$$

and

$$|f(t+h) - \sum_{n=1}^k U_n(t,h) | /c_k(h) \leq V_k(t), t \in K, h \in \Gamma$$

and  $\|h\| \geq r(k,K)$ , then for the regular distribution  $\tilde{f}$  defined by  $f$  we have

$$\tilde{f}(t+h) \cong \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{U}_n(t,h) | \{c_n(h)\}, \|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma.$$

PROOF. The proof is a consequence of the Lebesgue's theorem.

PROPOSITION 3. Suppose that  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  belong to  $\mathcal{D}'$  and equal over the open set  $\Omega$  which has the property: for every  $r > 0$  there exists a  $\beta_0$  such that the ball  $B(0,r) = \{x \in R^n, \|x\| \leq r\}$  is in  $\{\Omega-h, h \in \Gamma, \|h\| \geq \beta_0\}$ . If

$$T_1(t+h) \cong \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n(t,h) | \{c_n(h)\}, \|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma$$

then

$$T_2(t+h) \cong \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n(t,h) | \{c_n(h)\}, \|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma$$

as well.

PROOF. We have only to prove that for every  $c_k(h)$

$$\lim_{\|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma} \langle [T_1(t+h) - T_2(t+h)] / c_k(h), \rho(t) \rangle = 0, \rho \in \mathcal{D} \tag{3.3}$$

Let  $\text{supp } \rho \subset B(0,r)$ . The distribution  $T_1(t+h) - T_2(t+h)$  equals zero over  $\Omega-h$ . By the supposition there exists a  $\beta_0$  such that the ball  $B(0,r)$  is in  $\{\Omega-h, h \in \Gamma, \|h\| \geq \beta_0\}$ . This proves out relation (3.3).

PROPOSITION 4. Let  $S \in \mathcal{D}'$  and for  $1 \leq m \leq n$

$$D_{t_m} S(t+h) \cong \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i(t,h) | \{c_i(h)\}, \|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma.$$

If the family  $\{V_i(t,h), i \in N, h \in \Gamma\}$  has the properties:  $D_{t_m} V_i(t,h) = U_i(t,h), i \in N, h \in \Gamma$  and for a  $\rho_0 \in \mathcal{D}(R), \int_R \rho_0(\tau) d\tau = 1$ , and for every  $\rho \in \mathcal{D}, k \in N$

$$\lim_{\|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma} \langle [S(t+h) - \sum_{i=4}^k V_i(t,h)] / c_k(h), \rho_0(t_m) \lambda_m(t) \rangle = 0$$

where  $\lambda_m(t) = \int_R \rho(t_1, \dots, t_m, \dots, t_n) dt_m$ , then

$$S(t+h) \cong \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i(t,h) | \{c_i(h)\}, \|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma.$$

PROOF. If  $\rho \in \mathcal{D}$  then  $\rho(t) = \rho_0(t_m) \lambda_m(t) + \psi(t)$  where  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $\int_R \psi(t_1, \dots, t_m, \dots, t_n) dt_m = 0$ .

Now we have the following equality

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [S(t+h) - \sum_{i=1}^k V_i(t,h)], \rho(t) \rangle &= \langle [S(t+h) - \sum_{i=1}^k V_i(t,h)], \rho_0 \lambda_m(t) \rangle \\ &- \langle [D_{t_m} S(t+h) - \sum_{i=1}^k U_i(t,h)], \int_{-\infty}^{t_m} \psi(t_1, \dots, u_m, \dots, t_n) du_m \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It remains only to use the limit in it and Corollary 1.

PROPOSITION 5. Suppose that  $S \in \mathcal{D}'$ ,  $\Gamma = \{h \in \mathbb{R}^n, h = (0, \dots, h_m, \dots, 0)\}$ , where  $m$  is fixed,  $1 \leq m \leq n$  and

$$(D_{t_m} S)(t+h) \cong \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i(t,h) | \{c_i(h)\}, \quad \|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma$$

If there exists  $V_i(t,h)$ ,  $D_{h_m} V_i(t,h) = U_i(t,h)$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and if  $c_i(h)$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  are local integrable in  $h_m$  and such that

$$\hat{c}_i(h) = \int_1^{h_m} c_i(u) du \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as} \quad h_m \rightarrow \infty$$

then

$$S(t+h) \cong \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i(t,h) | \{\hat{c}_i(h)\}, \quad \|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma.$$

PROOF. By L'Hospital's rule with the Stolz's improvement we have for every  $\rho \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma} \frac{\langle S(t+h), \rho(t) \rangle - \langle \sum_{i=1}^k V_i(t,h), \rho(t) \rangle}{\hat{c}_k(h)} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma} \frac{\langle (D_{t_m} S)(t+h), \rho(t) \rangle - \langle \sum_{i=1}^k U_i(t,h), \rho(t) \rangle}{c_k(h)}. \end{aligned}$$

These five propositions give how is related the S-asymptotic with convolution, derivative, classical expansion and the primitive of a distribution. The next proposition gives the analytical expression of  $U_n(t,h) = u_n(t) c_n(h)$ .

PROPOSITION 6. Suppose that  $T \in \mathcal{D}'$ ,  $\Gamma$  with nonempty interior,

$$T(t+h) \cong \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n(t) c_n(h), \quad \|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma.$$

If  $u_m \neq 0$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $u_m$  has the form

$$u_m(t) = \sum_{k=1}^m P_k^m(t_1, \dots, t_n) \exp(\langle a^k, t \rangle), \quad m \in \mathbb{N} \tag{3.4}$$

where  $a^k = (a_1^k, \dots, a_n^k) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $P_k^m$  are polynomials, the power of them less of  $k$  in every  $t_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ :  $\langle x, t \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i t_i$ .

PROOF. By Definition 1 and our supposition

$$\lim_{\|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma} T(t+h)/c_1(h) = u_1(t) \neq 0 \tag{3.5}$$

From relation (3.5) follows that  $u_1$  satisfies the equation

$$u_1(t+h_0) = d(h_0) u_1(t), \quad h_0 \in \Gamma \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$d(h_0) = \lim_{\|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma} c_1(h+h_0)/c_1(h)$$

If  $h_0$  is an interior point of  $\Gamma$  and  $e_k$  is such element from  $R^n$  for which all the coordinates equal zero except the  $k$ -th which is 1. Then

$$u_1(t+h_0+\epsilon e_k) - u_1(t+h_0) = [d(\epsilon e_k) - d(0)]u_1(t+h_0).$$

Hence the existence of  $D_{h_k} d(h)_{h=0} = a_k^1$  and

$$D_{t_k} u_1(t+h_0) = a_k^1 u_1(t+h_0), \quad k = 1, \dots, n. \tag{3.7}$$

We know that all the solutions of equation (3.7) are of the form  $u_1(t) = C_1 \exp(\langle a^1, t \rangle)$ , where  $C_1$  is a constant and  $a^1 = (a_1^1, \dots, a_n^1)$ .

The following limit gives  $u_2$

$$\lim_{\|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma} \frac{\langle T(t+h), \rho(t) \rangle - \langle u_1(t), \rho(t) \rangle c_1(h)}{c_2(h)} = \langle u_2, \rho \rangle$$

By Corollary 1 follows for  $i = 1, \dots, n$

$$\lim_{\|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma} \frac{\langle (D_{t_i} - a_i^1)T(t+h), \rho(t) \rangle}{c_2(h)} = \langle (D_{t_i} - a_i^1)u_2(t), \rho(t) \rangle$$

Two cases are possible. a) If  $(D_{t_i} - a_i^1)u_2 = 0, i=1, \dots, n$ , then  $u_2(t) = C_2 \exp(\langle a^1, t \rangle)$ .

b) If  $(D_{t_i} - a_i^1)u_2 \neq 0$  for some  $i$ , then  $(D_{t_i} - a_i^1)u_2(t) = c \exp(\langle a^2, t \rangle)$  and  $u_2$  has the form  $C_2 \exp(\langle a^1, t \rangle) + P_2^2(t_1, \dots, t_n) \exp(\langle a^2, t \rangle)$ , where  $P_2^2$  is a polynomial of the power less of 2 in every  $t_i, i=1, \dots, n$ .

In the same way we prove for every  $u_m$ .

PROPOSITION 7. Let  $T \in \mathcal{D}'$  and  $\Omega \in R^n$  be an open set with the property: for every  $r > 0$  there exists a  $\beta_r$  such that the ball  $B(h,r) \subset \Omega$  for all  $h \in \Gamma, \|h\| \geq \beta_r$ .

Suppose

$$T(t+h) \stackrel{s}{=} \sum_{n=1}^m U_n(t+h) \mid \{c_1(h), \dots, c_m(h)\}, \quad \|h\| \rightarrow \infty, \quad h \in \Gamma$$

for any function  $c_m(h)$  from  $\Sigma(\Gamma)$ , then  $T = \sum_{n=1}^m U_n$  over  $\Omega$ .

PROOF. The statement of this Proposition can be obtained from a proposition proved in [6]. However, for completeness, we shall give the proof on the whole.

First we shall prove that if for every  $c_m(h) \in \Sigma(\Gamma)$

$$\lim_{\|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma} \langle \frac{T(t+h) - \sum_{n=1}^m U_n(t+h)}{c_m(h)}, \rho(t) \rangle = 0 \tag{3.8}$$

then there exists a  $\beta(\rho)$  such that

$$\langle [T(t+h) - \sum_{n=1}^m U_n(t+h)], \rho(t) \rangle = 0, \quad h \in \Gamma, \quad \|h\| \geq \beta(\rho).$$

Suppose the opposite. We would have a sequence  $h_n \in \Gamma, \|h_n\| \rightarrow \infty$  such that

$$\langle [T(t+h_n) - \sum_{n=1}^m U_n(t+h_n)], \rho(t) \rangle = p_n \neq 0, \quad n \in N$$

then we choose  $c_m(h)$  in such a way that  $c_m(h_n) = p_n$  and relation (3.8) would be false.

We denote by  $\beta_0(\rho) = \inf \beta(\rho)$ . We shall prove that the set  $\{\beta_0(\rho), \rho \in \mathcal{D}_K\}$  for every compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is bounded. Let us suppose the opposite; then there exists a sequence  $\{h_k\}$ ,  $h_k \in \Gamma$ ,  $\|h_k\| \rightarrow \infty$  and the sequence  $\{\phi_k(t)\}$ ,  $\phi_k \in \mathcal{D}_K$  such that

$$\langle \bar{T}(t+h_k), \phi_p(t) \rangle = A_{k,p} = \begin{cases} a_k \neq 0, & p = k \\ 0, & p < k \end{cases}; \bar{T} = T - \sum_{n=1}^m U_n.$$

The construction of the sequence  $\{h_k\}$  and  $\phi_k$  can be the following. Let  $\phi_k \in \mathcal{D}_K$  be such that  $\beta_0(\phi_k)$  is a strict monotone sequence which tends to infinity, then there exist  $\{h_k\} \subset \Gamma$  and  $\varepsilon_k > 0$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\beta_0(\phi_{k-1}) + \varepsilon_k \leq \|h_k\| \leq \beta_0(\phi_k) - \varepsilon_k$ . Now, we shall construct the sequence  $\{\psi_p(t)\}$ ,  $\psi_p \in \mathcal{D}_K$  for which we have

$$\langle \bar{T}(t+h_k), \psi_p(t) \rangle = \begin{cases} 0, & p \neq k \\ a_k, & p = k \end{cases}.$$

Let  $\psi_p(t) = \phi_p(t) - \lambda_1^p \phi_1(t) - \dots - \lambda_{p-1}^p \phi_{p-1}(t)$ ,  $p > 1$ . The numbers  $\lambda_1^p$  we can find in such a way that  $\psi_p(t)$  satisfies the sought property.

It is easy to see that  $\langle \bar{T}(t+h_k), \psi_k(t) \rangle = a_k$  and  $\langle \bar{T}(t+h_k), \psi_p(t) \rangle = 0$ ,  $k > p$ . For a fixed  $p$  and  $k < p$  we can find  $\lambda_i^p$ ,  $i=1, \dots, p-1$  so that for  $k=1, \dots, p-1$

$$0 = \langle \bar{T}(t+h_k), \psi_p(t) \rangle = A_{k,p} - \lambda_1^p A_{k,1} - \dots - \lambda_{p-1}^p A_{k,p-1}$$

Hence

$$\lambda_1^p A_{k,1} + \dots + \lambda_{p-1}^p A_{k,p-1} = A_{k,p}, \quad k=1, \dots, p-1, \quad p > 1.$$

As  $A_{k,k} \neq 0$  for every  $k$ , this system has always a solution.

We introduce now a sequence of numbers  $\{b_k\}$ ,  $b_k = \sup\{2^k |\psi_k^{(i)}(t)|, i < k\}$ .

Then the function

$$\psi(t) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \psi_p(t)/b_p \in \mathcal{D}_K$$

and this series converges in  $\mathcal{D}_K$ , thus in  $\mathcal{D}$  as well. With this

$$\langle \bar{T}(t+h_k), \psi(t) \rangle = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \langle \bar{T}(t+h_k), \psi_p(t)/b_p \rangle = a_k/b_k$$

If we choose  $c(h)$  such that  $c(h_k) = a_k/b_k$  then  $\langle [\bar{T}(t+h)/c(h)], \psi(t) \rangle$  does not converge to zero when  $\|h\| \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $h \in \Gamma$ . This is in contradiction with (3.8). Hence, for every compact set  $K$  there exists a  $\beta_0(K)$  such that  $\langle \bar{T}(t+h), \phi(t) \rangle = 0$ ,  $\|h\| \geq \beta_0(K)$ ,  $h \in \Gamma$ ,  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_K$ . That means that  $\bar{T}(t+h) = 0$  over  $B(0,r)$ ,  $\|h\| \geq \beta(r)$ ,  $h \in \Gamma$  and  $\bar{T}(t) = 0$  over  $B(h,r)$ ,  $\|h\| \geq \beta(r)$ ,  $h \in \Gamma$ .

4. APPLICATION OF THE S-ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION TO PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS.

As we mentioned in [4], one can find cited literature in which asymptotic expansion technique (in  $\mathcal{S}'$  and in one dimensional case) was used in the quantum field theory. We show how the S-asymptotic expansion in  $\mathcal{D}'$  can be applied to solutions of partial differential equations.

PROPOSITION 8. Suppose that E is a fundamental solution of the operator

$$L(D) = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} a_\alpha D^\alpha, \quad a_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \alpha \in (\mathbb{N} \cup 0)^n; \quad L(D) \neq 0 \tag{4.1}$$

such that

$$E(t+h) \cong \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n(t,h) | \{c_n(h)\}, \|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma. \quad (4.2)$$

Then there exists a solution  $X$  of the equation

$$L(D) X = G, G \in \mathcal{E}' \quad (4.3)$$

which has  $S$ -asymptotic expansion

$$X(t+h) \cong \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (G * u_n(t,h)) | \{c_n(h)\}, \|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma.$$

PROOF. The well-known Malgrange-Ehrenpreis theorem (see for example [7], p. 212) asserts that there exists a fundamental solution of the operator (4.1) belonging to  $\mathcal{D}'$ . The solution of equation (4.3) exists and can be expressed by the formula  $X = E * G$ . To find the  $S$ -asymptotic of  $X$  we have only to apply Proposition 1.

REMARKS. If we denote by  $A(L(D), E)$  the collection of those  $T \in \mathcal{D}'$  for which the convolution  $E * T$  and  $L(D)\delta * E * T$  exist in  $\mathcal{D}'$ , then the solution  $X = E * G$  is unique in the class  $A(L(D), E)$  ([7], p. 87).

We can enlarge the space to which belongs  $G$  ([7], p. 216).

The fundamental solutions are known for the most important operators  $L(D)$ .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. This material is based on work supported by the U.S.-Yugoslavia Joint Fund for Scientific and Technological Cooperation, in cooperation with the NSF under Grant (JFP) 544.

#### REFERENCES

1. SCHWARTZ, L. Théorie des distributions, T. I, II, Herman, Paris, 1957-1951.
2. LAVOINE, J. and MISRA, O.P. Théorèmes Abéliens pour la transformation de Stieltjes des distributions, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. T. 279 (1974), 99-102.
3. VLADIMIROV, V.S., DROŽŽINOV, Yu.N., and ZAVJALOV, B.I. Multidimensional Theorems of Tauberian Type for Generalized Functions, Moskva, "Nauka", 1986.
4. BRICHKOV, Yu.A. Asymptotical Expansions of the Generalized Functions I, Theoret. Mat. Fiz. T. 5 (1970), 98-109.
5. ZEMANIAN, A.H. Generalized Integral Transformations, Appendix I in the Russian translation, Moskva "Nauka", 1974.
6. STANKOVIĆ, B. Characterization of Some Subspaces of  $\mathcal{D}'$  by  $S$ -asymptotic, Publ. Inst. Mat. Beograd 41 (1987), in print.
7. VLADIMIROV, V.S. Generalized Functions in Mathematical Physics, Mir Publishers, Moscow, 1979.