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ABSTRACT. Some integral criteria for the asymptotic behdvior of oscillatory solutions of higher order retarded differential equations are given.
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1. INTRODUCTION.

Recently, Tong [1] proved the following interesting result.
Theorem. Let $f(t, u)$ be continuous on $R_{+} \times R$. If there are two nonnegative continuous functions $v(t), p(t)$ for $t \geqslant 0$, and a continuous function $g(u)$ for $u \geqslant 0$ such that
(a) $\int_{1}^{\infty} v(t) p(t) d t<\infty$.
(b) $g(u)$ is positive and nondecreasing for $u>0$,
(c) $|f(t, u)| \leqslant v(t) p(t) g\left(t^{-1}|u|\right)$ for $t \geqslant 1, u \in R$,
then the equation

$$
u^{\prime \prime}+f(t, u)=0
$$

has solutions which are asymptotic to $a+b t$, where $a, b$ are constant and b $\neq 0$.

In this note we generalize Tong's result to a more general case which improves also the results of Chen and Yeh [2] and Kusano and Singh [3]. Using this result, we establish an asymptotic behavior of oscillatory solutions of retarded differential equations.
2. MAIN RESULTS.

Consider the following retarded differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{t})+\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{g}(\mathrm{t})))=\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{t}), \quad \mathbf{t} \geqslant 0, \quad \mathbf{n} \geqslant 2 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{n}$ is an operator defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{o} y(t):=\frac{y(t)}{r_{0}(t)}, \quad L_{i} y(t):=\frac{1}{r_{i}}(t) \frac{d}{d t} L_{i-1} y(t), \quad i=1,2, \cdots, n \\
& r_{n}(t):=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $r_{i}(t) \in C^{n-i}\left[R_{+}, R\right]$ with $r_{i}(t)>0$ for $i=0,1, \cdots, n-1$.
Sufficient smoothness to guarantee the existence of solutions of (2.1) on an infinite subinterval of $R_{\dot{+}}$ will be assumed without mention. The following conditions are assumed to hold in this note.
(i) $f \in C\left[R_{\dot{+}} \times R, R_{j}\right.$ and there exist two positive functions $p(t), H(t)$ $\epsilon C\left[R_{+}, R_{+}\right]$with $H(t)$ nondecreasing and $k H(t) \leqslant H(k t)$ for any $k>0$ such that

$$
|f(t, u)| \leqslant p(t) H(|u|),
$$

(ii) $g, h \in C\left[R_{+}, R\right], g(t) \leqslant t, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t)=\infty$,
(iii) $\quad \lim \inf \frac{1}{r_{0}(t)}>0, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{i})}^{w_{\mathrm{n}-1}(t, u)}<\infty, \quad i=1,2, \cdots, n-2$,
where $w_{i}(t, u)$ is defined by

$$
w_{i}(t, u):=\int_{u}^{t} r_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) \int_{u}^{s_{1}} r_{2}\left(s_{2}\right) \cdots \int_{u}^{s_{i-1}} r_{i}\left(s_{i}\right) d s_{i} \cdots d s_{2} d s_{1}
$$

Theorem 1. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{w_{n-1}}^{\infty}(t) p(t) d t<\infty \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int^{\infty} \ln (t) \mid d t<\infty \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold. If $y(t)$ is a solution of (2.1), then $y(g(t))=O\left(w_{n-1}(t, T)\right)$ for some $T \geqslant 0$.

Proof. Let $y(t)$ be a solution of (2.1) on an interval $\left[T_{0}, \infty\right), T_{0} \geqslant 0$. It follows from (ii) and (iii) that there exist a $T \geqslant T_{0}$ and a positive constant $m$ such that

$$
g(t) \geqslant T_{0} \text { for } t \geqslant T
$$

and

$$
\inf _{t \geqslant T} \frac{1}{r_{0}(t)}=\frac{1}{m}
$$

By (iii), there is a positive constant $c$ such that

$$
w_{i}(t, T) \leqslant w_{n-1}(t, T), \quad i=1,2, \cdots, n-2
$$

Now a simple argument shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\operatorname{ly}(g(t))}{m} \leqslant\left|L_{o} y(g(t))\right| \leqslant \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left|L_{i} y(T)\right|_{w_{i}}(g(t), T) \\
& \quad+\int_{\Gamma}^{g(t)} r_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) \int_{T}^{s_{1}} r_{2}\left(s_{2}\right) \cdots \int_{T}^{s_{n-2}} r_{n-1}\left(s_{n-1}\right) \int_{T}^{s_{n-1}}\left|L_{n} Y(s)\right| d s d s_{n-1} \cdots d s_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\leqslant w_{n-1}(t, T) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} L_{i} y(T) w_{n-1}(t, T) \int_{T}^{t}\left|L_{n} y(s)\right| d s .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\operatorname{ly}(g(1)) \mid}{w_{n-1}(t, T)} & \leqslant c m \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left|L_{i} y(T)\right|+m \int_{T}^{t}|h(s)| d s+m \int_{T}^{l} p(s) H(y(g(s))) d s \\
& \leqslant M+m \int_{T}^{t} w_{n-1}(s, T) p(s) H\left(\frac{l_{y}(g(s))}{w_{n-1}(s, T)}\right) d s,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
M:=c m \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left|L_{i} y(T)\right|+m \int_{T}^{\infty}|h(s)| d s .
$$

By Bihari's inequality [4] or LaSalle's inequality [5] we have

$$
\frac{|y(g(t))|}{w_{n-1}(t, T)} \leqslant G^{-1}\left(G(M)+\int_{T}^{t} w_{n-1}(s, T) p(s) d s\right),
$$

where $G(x):=\int_{T}^{x} \frac{d t}{H(t)}$ and $G^{-1}(x)$ is the inverse function of $G(x)$. This and (2.2) imply $\frac{|y(g(t))|}{w_{n-1}(t, T)}$ is bounded. This completes the proof.

Remark 1. For $n=2, r_{0}(t)=r_{1}(t)=1$ and $g(t)=t$, Theorem 1 improves Tong's result [1].

Remark 2. For $H(u)=|u|^{r}$, where $r \in(0,1]$, Theorem 1 improves the results of Chen and Yeh [2, Theorem 1] and Singh and Kusano [3, Theorem 1] which require the condition

$$
\int^{\infty} r_{i}(t) d t=\infty, \quad \text { for } i=1,2, \cdots, n-1
$$

Using Theorem 1, we can prove the following theorem which extends Theorem 3 of Philos [6].

Theorem 2. Let (2.2) and (2.3) hold. Assume that for some $T \geqslant 0$
(2.4) $\int_{T}^{\infty} r_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) \int_{s_{1}}^{\infty} r_{2}\left(s_{2}\right) \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^{\infty} r_{n-1}\left(s_{n-1}\right) \int_{s_{n-1}}^{\infty} p(s) H\left(c w_{n-1}(s, T)\right) d s d s_{n-1} \cdots d s_{1}$ $<\infty$
for any constant $c>0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{T}^{\infty} r_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) \int_{s_{1}}^{\infty} r_{2}\left(s_{2}\right) \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^{\infty} r_{n-1}\left(s_{n-1}\right) \int_{s_{n-1}}^{\infty}|h(s)| d s d s_{n-1} \cdots d s_{1}<\infty \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold. Then every oscillatory solution $y(t)$ of (2.1) satisfies

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} L_{i} y(t)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad i=1,2, \cdots, n-1
$$

The proof of Theorem 2 is essentially the same as that of Theorem 3 in [6], so we omit the details.

Example 1. The differential equation

$$
\left(t y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{t} y(t)=\frac{2}{t^{2}}, \quad t \geqslant 1
$$

has an oscillatory solution $y(t)=\frac{1}{t}+\sin (1 n t)$ but $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t)$ does not exist.
In this example, condition (2.2) and (2.4) are not satisfied, while (2.3)
and (2.5) are valid.
Example 2. Consider the differential equation

$$
\left(e^{-t} y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}+e^{-3 t-\pi} y(t-\pi)=e^{-2 t}\left[\sin t+7 \cos t-e^{-2 t} \sin t\right],
$$

for $t \geqslant 0$. All conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied. It has $y(t)=e^{-t} \sin t$ as an oscullatory solution which approaches zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
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