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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we denote by $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}$ the set of all natural numbers, integers, and real numbers, respectively. For $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $\mathbb{Z}(a)=\{a, a+1, \ldots\}, \mathbb{Z}(a, b)=\{a, a+1, \ldots, b\}$ when $a \leq b$.

Consider the nonlinear second-order difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(\varphi_{p}\left(\Delta x_{n-1}\right)\right)+f\left(n, x_{n+1}, x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)=0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta$ is the forward difference operator $\Delta x_{n}=x_{n+1}-x_{n}, \Delta^{2} x_{n}=\Delta\left(\Delta x_{n}\right), \varphi_{p}(s)$ is $p$-Laplacian operator $\varphi_{p}(s)=|s|^{p-2} s(1<p<\infty)$, and $f: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous functional in the second, the third, and fourth variables and satisfies $f(t+m, u, v, w)=$ $f(t, u, v, w)$ for a given positive integer $m$.

We may think of (1.1) as being a discrete analogue of the second-order functional differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\varphi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right]^{\prime}+f(t, x(t+1), x(t), x(t-1))=0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which includes the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{2} y^{\prime \prime}(x)=v^{\prime}[y(x+1)-y(x)]-v^{\prime}[y(x)-y(x-1)] . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations similar in structure to (1.3) arise in the study of the existence of solitary waves of lattice differential equations, see [1] and the references cited therein.

Some special cases of (1.1) have been studied by many researchers via variational methods, see [2-7]. However, to our best knowledge, no similar results are obtained in the literature for (1.1). Since $f$ in (1.1) depends on $x_{n+1}$ and $x_{n-1}$, the traditional ways of establishing the functional in [2-7] are inapplicable to our case. The main purpose of this paper is to give some sufficient conditions for the existence of periodic and subharmonic solutions of (1.1) using the critical point theory.

## 2. Some basic lemmas

To apply critical point theory to study the existence of periodic solutions of (1.1), we will state some basic notations and lemmas (see [5, 8]), which will be used in the proofs of our main results.

Let $S$ be the set of sequences, $x=\left(\ldots, x_{-n}, \ldots, x_{-1}, x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, \ldots\right)=\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$, that is, $S=\left\{x=\left\{x_{n}\right\}: x_{n} \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$. For a given positive integer $q$ and $m, E_{q m}$ is defined as a subspace of $S$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{q m}=\left\{x=\left\{x_{n}\right\} \in S \mid x_{n+q m}=x_{n}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $x, y \in S, a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a x+b y$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a x+b y=\left\{a x_{n}+b y_{n}\right\}_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $S$ is a vector space. Clearly, $E_{q m}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{q m}, E_{q m}$ can be equipped with inner product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle x, y\rangle_{E_{q m}}=\sum_{j=1}^{q m} x_{j} y_{j}, \quad \forall x, y \in E_{q m}, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

by which the norm $\|\cdot\|$ can be induced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{q m} x_{j}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad \forall x \in E_{q m} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is obvious that $E_{q m}$ with the inner product in (2.3) is a finite dimensional Hilbert space and linearly homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{q m}$.

On the other hand, we define the norm $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ on $E_{q m}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|_{p}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{q m}\left|x_{i}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in E_{q m}$ and $p>1$. Clearly, $\|x\|=\|x\|_{2}$. Since $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{2}$ are equivalent, there exist constants $C_{1}, C_{2}$, such that $C_{2} \geq C_{1}>0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}\|x\|_{p} \leq\|x\|_{2} \leq C_{2}\|x\|_{p}, \quad \forall x \in E_{q m} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the functional $J$ on $E_{q m}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{q m}\left[\frac{1}{p}\left|\Delta x_{n}\right|^{p}-F\left(n, x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)\right], \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(t, u, v, w)=F_{2}^{\prime}(t-1, v, w)+F_{3}^{\prime}(t, u, v), \\
F_{2}^{\prime}(t-1, v, w)=\frac{\partial F(t-1, v, w)}{\partial v}, \quad F_{3}^{\prime}(t, u, v)=\frac{\partial F(t, u, v)}{\partial v}, \tag{2.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(n, x_{n+1}, x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)=F_{3}^{\prime}\left(n, x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)+F_{2}^{\prime}\left(n-1, x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $J \in C^{1}\left(E_{q m}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and for any $x=\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in E_{q m}$, by using $x_{0}=x_{q m}, x_{1}=x_{q m+1}$, we can compute the partial derivative as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial J}{\partial x_{n}}=-\left(\Delta\left(\varphi_{p}\left(\Delta x_{n-1}\right)\right)+f\left(n, x_{n+1}, x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}(1, q m) . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the periodicity of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $f(t, u, v, w)$ in the first variable $t$, we reduce the existence of periodic solutions of (1.1) to the existence of critical points of $J$ on $E_{q m}$. That is, the functional $J$ is just the variational framework of (1.1).

For convenience, we identify $x \in E_{q m}$ with $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{q m}\right)^{T}$.
Let $X$ be a real Hilbert space, $I \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$, which means that $I$ is a continuously Fréchet differentiable functional defined on $X . I$ is said to satisfy Palais-Smale condition (P-S condition for short) if any sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset X$ for which $\left\{I\left(u_{n}\right)\right\}$ is bounded and $I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, possesses a convergent subsequence in $X$.

Let $B_{\rho}$ be the open ball in $X$ with radius $\rho$ and centered at 0 and let $\partial B_{\rho}$ denote its boundary.

Lemma 2.1 (linking theorem) [8, Theorem 5.3]. Let $X$ be a real Hilbert space, $X=X_{1} \oplus X_{2}$, where $X_{1}$ is a finite-dimensional subspace of $X$. Assume that $I \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the $P$-S condition and
$\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$ there exist constants $\sigma>0$ and $\rho>0$, such that $\left.I\right|_{\partial_{\rho} \cap X_{2}} \geq \sigma$;
$\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$ there is an $e \in \partial B_{1} \cap X_{2}$ and a constant $R_{1}>\rho$, such that $\left.I\right|_{\partial Q} \leq 0$, where $Q=$ $\left(\bar{B}_{R_{1}} \cap X_{1}\right) \oplus\left\{r e \mid 0<r<R_{1}\right\}$.
Then, I possesses a critical value $c \geq \sigma$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\inf _{h \in \Gamma} \max _{u \in Q} I(h(u)), \quad \Gamma=\left\{h \in C(\bar{Q}, X)|h|_{\partial Q}=\mathrm{id}\right\} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and id denotes the identity operator.

## 3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right) f(t, u, v, w) \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{4}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and there exists a positive integer $m$, such that for every $(t, u, v, w) \in \mathbb{R}^{4}, f(t+m, u, v, w)=f(t, u, v, w) ;$
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ there exists a functional $F(t, u, v) \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ with $F(t, u, v) \geq 0$ and it satisfies

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{2}^{\prime}(t-1, v, w)+F_{3}^{\prime}(t, u, v)=f(t, u, v, w), \\
\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(t, u, v)}{\rho^{p}}=0, \quad \rho=\sqrt{u^{2}+v^{2}} ; \tag{3.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ there exist constants $\beta \geq p+1, a_{1}>0, a_{2}>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t, u, v) \geq a_{1}\left(\sqrt{u^{2}+v^{2}}\right)^{\beta}-a_{2}, \quad \forall(t, u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for a given positive integer $q$, (1.1) has at least two nontrivial qm-periodic solutions.
First, we prove two lemmas which are useful in the proof of Theorem 3.1.
Lemma 3.2. Assume that $f(t, u, v, w)$ satisfies condition $\left(H_{3}\right)$ of Theorem 3.1, then the functional $J(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{q m}\left[1 / p\left|\Delta x_{n}\right|^{p}-F\left(n, x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)\right]$ is bounded from above on $E_{q m}$.

Proof. By $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$, there exist $a_{1}>0, a_{2}>0, \beta>p$, such that for all $x \in E_{q m}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
J(x) & =\sum_{n=1}^{q m}\left[\frac{1}{p}\left|\Delta x_{n}\right|^{p}-F\left(n, x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)\right] \leq \sum_{n=1}^{q m}\left[\frac{2^{p}}{p} \max \left\{\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{p},\left|x_{n}\right|^{p}\right\}-F\left(n, x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)\right] \\
& \leq \frac{2^{p}}{p} \sum_{n=1}^{q m}\left[\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{p}+\left|x_{n}\right|^{p}\right]-a_{1} \sum_{n=1}^{q m}\left(\sqrt{x_{n+1}^{2}+x_{n}^{2}}\right)^{\beta}+a_{2} q m \\
& \leq \frac{2^{p+1}}{p} \sum_{n=1}^{q m}\left|x_{n}\right|^{p}-a_{1} \sum_{n=1}^{q m}\left|x_{n}\right|^{\beta}+a_{2} q m=\frac{2^{p+1}}{p}\|x\|_{p}^{p}-a_{1}\|x\|_{\beta}^{\beta}+a_{2} q m . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of (2.6), there exist constants $C_{1}, C_{3}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|_{p} \leq \frac{1}{C_{1}}\|x\|, \quad\|x\|_{\beta} \geq \frac{1}{C_{3}}\|x\| . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

So

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(x) \leq \frac{2^{p+1}}{p\left(C_{1}\right)^{p}}\|x\|^{p}-\frac{a_{1}}{\left(C_{3}\right)^{\beta}}\|x\|^{\beta}+a_{2} q m . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $\beta>p$ and the above inequality, there exists a constant $M>0$, such that for every $x \in$ $E_{q m}, J(x) \leq M$. The proof is complete.

Lemma 3.3. Assume that $f(t, u, v, w)$ satisfies condition $\left(H_{3}\right)$ of Theorem 3.1, then the functional $J$ satisfies $P-S$ condition.

Proof. Let $x^{(k)} \in E_{q m}$, for all $k \in N$, be such that $\left\{J\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right\}$ is bounded. Then there exists $M_{1}>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-M_{1} \leq J\left(x^{(k)}\right) \leq \frac{2^{p+1}}{p C_{1}^{p}}\left\|x^{(k)}\right\|^{p}-\frac{a_{1}}{C_{3}^{\beta}}\left\|x^{(k)}\right\|^{\beta}+a_{2} q m \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a_{1}}{C_{3}^{\beta}}\left\|x^{(k)}\right\|^{\beta}-\frac{2^{p+1}}{p C_{1}^{p}}\left\|x^{(k)}\right\|^{p} \leq M_{1}+a_{2} q m \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $\beta>p$, there exists $M_{2}>0$ such that for every $k \in N,\left\|x^{(k)}\right\| \leq M_{2}$.
Thus, $\left\{x^{(k)}\right\}$ is bounded on $E_{q m}$. Since $E_{q m}$ is finite dimensional, there exists a subsequence of $\left\{x^{(k)}\right\}$, which is convergent in $E_{q m}$ and the P-S condition is verified.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. The proof of Lemma 3.2 implies $\lim _{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} J(x)=-\infty$, then $-J$ is coercive. Let $c_{0}=\sup _{x \in E_{q m}} J(x)$. By continuity of $J$ on $E_{q m}$, there exists $\bar{x} \in E_{q m}$, such that $J(\bar{x})=c_{0}$, and $\bar{x}$ is a critical point of $J$. We claim that $c_{0}>0$. In fact, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
J(x) & =\frac{1}{p}\left(\left[\sum_{n=1}^{q m}\left|\Delta x_{n}\right|^{p}\right]^{1 / p}\right)^{p}-\sum_{n=1}^{q m} F\left(n, x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{1}{C_{2}}\right)^{p}\left(\left[\sum_{n=1}^{q m}\left|\Delta x_{n}\right|^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\right)^{p}-\sum_{n=1}^{q m} F\left(n, x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{1}{C_{2}}\right)^{p}\left[\sum_{n=1}^{q m} 2\left(x_{n}^{2}-x_{n} x_{n+1}\right)\right]^{p / 2}-\sum_{n=1}^{q m} F\left(n, x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)  \tag{3.8}\\
& =\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{1}{C_{2}}\right)^{p}\left(x^{T} A x\right)^{p / 2}-\sum_{n=1}^{q m} F\left(n, x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{q m}\right)^{T}$,

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
2 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1  \tag{3.9}\\
-1 & 2 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 2
\end{array}\right)_{q m \times q m}
$$

Clearly, 0 is an eigenvalue of $A$ and $\xi=(v, v, \ldots, v)^{T} \in E_{q m}$ is an eigenvector of $A$ corresponding to 0 , where $v \neq 0, v \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{q m-1}$ be the other eigenvalues of $A$. By matrix theory, we have $\lambda_{j}>0$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}(1, q m-1)$.

Denote $Z=\left\{(v, v, \ldots, v)^{T} \in E_{q m} \mid v \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$ and $Y=Z^{\perp}$, such that $E_{q m}=Y \oplus Z$.
Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\min }=\min _{j \in \mathbb{Z}(1, q m-1)} \lambda_{j}>0, \quad \lambda_{\max }=\max _{j \in \mathbb{Z}(1, q m-1)} \lambda_{j}>0 . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By condition $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(t, u, v)}{\rho^{p}}=0, \quad \rho=\sqrt{u^{2}+v^{2}} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose $\varepsilon=2^{-p / 2-2}(1 / p) \lambda_{\min }^{p / 2}\left(C_{1} / C_{2}\right)^{p}$, there exists $\delta>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F(t, u, v)| \leq 2^{-p / 2-2} \frac{1}{p} \lambda_{\min }^{p / 2}\left(\frac{C_{1}}{C_{2}}\right)^{p} \rho^{p}, \quad \forall \rho \leq \delta . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, for any $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{q m}\right)^{T}$ with $\|x\| \leq \delta, x \in Y$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
J(x) & \geq \frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{1}{C_{2}}\right)^{p}\left(x^{T} A x\right)^{p / 2}-\sum_{n=1}^{q m} F\left(n, x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p} \lambda_{\min }^{p / 2}\left(\frac{1}{C_{2}}\right)^{p}\|x\|^{p}-2^{-p / 2-2} \frac{1}{p} \lambda_{\min }^{p / 2}\left(\frac{C_{1}}{C_{2}}\right)^{p} \sum_{n=1}^{q m}\left[2^{p / 2} \max \left(\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{p},\left|x_{n}\right|^{p}\right)\right] \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p} \lambda_{\min }^{p / 2}\left(\frac{1}{C_{2}}\right)^{p}\|x\|^{p}-2^{-p / 2-2} \frac{1}{p} \lambda_{\min }^{p / 2}\left(\frac{C_{1}}{C_{2}}\right)^{p} \sum_{n=1}^{q m}\left[2^{p / 2}\left(\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{p}+\left|x_{n}\right|^{p}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{p} \lambda_{\min }^{p / 2}\left(\frac{1}{C_{2}}\right)^{p}\|x\|^{p}-2^{-p / 2-2} \frac{1}{p} \lambda_{\min }^{p / 2}\left(\frac{C_{1}}{C_{2}}\right)^{p} 2^{p / 2+1}\|x\|_{p}^{p} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p} \lambda_{\min }^{p / 2}\left(\frac{1}{C_{2}}\right)^{p}\|x\|^{p}-\frac{1}{2 p} \lambda_{\min }^{p / 2}\left(\frac{C_{1}}{C_{2}}\right)^{p}\left(\frac{1}{C_{1}}\right)^{p}\|x\|^{p}=\frac{1}{2 p}\left(\frac{1}{C_{2}}\right)^{p} \lambda_{\min }^{p / 2}\|x\|^{p} . \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Take $\sigma=1 / 2 p\left(1 / C_{2}\right)^{p} \lambda_{\min }^{p / 2} \delta^{p}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(x) \geq \sigma>0, \quad \forall x \in Y \cap \partial B_{\delta} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

So

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{0}=\sup _{x \in E_{q m}} J(x) \geq \sigma>0, \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $J$ satisfies the condition $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$ of the linking theorem.
Noting that $A x=0$, for all $x \in Z$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(x) \leq \frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{1}{C_{1}}\right)^{p}\left(x^{T} A x\right)^{p / 2}-\sum_{n=1}^{q m} F\left(n, x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right) \leq 0 . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the critical point associated to the critical value $c_{0}$ of $J$ is a nontrivial qmperiodic solution of (1.1). Now, we need to verify other conditions of the linking theorem.

By Lemma 3.3, $J$ satisfies P-S condition. So, it suffices to verify condition ( $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ ). Take $e \in$ $\partial B_{1} \cap Y$, for any $z \in Z, r \in \mathbb{R}$, let $x=r e+z$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
J(x) & =\frac{1}{p} \sum_{n=1}^{q m}\left|\Delta x_{n}\right|^{p}-\sum_{n=1}^{q m} F\left(n, x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right) \leq \frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{1}{C_{1}}\right)^{p}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{q m}\left|\Delta x_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 2}-\sum_{n=1}^{q m} F\left(n, x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{1}{C_{1}}\right)^{p}\left(x^{T} A x\right)^{p / 2}-\sum_{n=1}^{q m} F\left(n, x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{1}{C_{1}}\right)^{p}\langle A(r e+z),(r e+z)\rangle^{p / 2}-\sum_{n=1}^{q m} F\left(n, r e_{n+1}+z_{n+1}, r e_{n}+z_{n}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{1}{C_{1}}\right)^{p}\langle A r e, r e\rangle^{p / 2}-\sum_{n=1}^{q m} F\left(n, r e_{n+1}+z_{n+1}, r e_{n}+z_{n}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{1}{C_{1}}\right)^{p} \lambda_{\max }^{p / 2} r^{p}-a_{1} \sum_{n=1}^{q m}\left(\sqrt{\left(r e_{n+1}+z_{n+1}\right)^{2}+\left(r e_{n}+z_{n}\right)^{2}}\right)^{\beta}+a_{2} q m \\
& \leq \frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{1}{C_{1}}\right)^{p} \lambda_{\max }^{p / 2} r^{p}-a_{1}\left(\frac{1}{C_{3}}\right)^{\beta}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{q m}\left[\left(r e_{n+1}+z_{n+1}\right)^{2}+\left(r e_{n}+z_{n}\right)^{2}\right]\right)^{\beta / 2}+a_{2} q m \\
& =\frac{1}{p} \lambda_{\max }^{p / 2}\left(\frac{1}{C_{1}}\right)^{p} r^{p}-a_{1}\left(\frac{1}{C_{3}}\right)^{\beta}\left(2 r^{2}+2\|z\|^{2}\right)^{\beta / 2}+a_{2} q m \\
& \leq \frac{1}{p} \lambda_{\max }^{p / 2}\left(\frac{1}{C_{1}}\right)^{p} r^{p}-a_{1}\left(\frac{1}{C_{3}}\right)^{\beta} 2^{\beta / 2} r^{\beta}-a_{1}\left(\frac{1}{C_{3}}\right)^{\beta} 2^{\beta / 2}\|z\|^{\beta}+a_{2} q m . \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}(r)=\frac{1}{p} \lambda_{\max }^{p / 2}\left(\frac{1}{C_{1}}\right)^{p} r^{p}-a_{1}\left(\frac{1}{C_{3}}\right)^{\beta} 2^{\beta / 2} r^{\beta}, \quad g_{2}(t)=-a_{1}\left(\frac{1}{C_{3}}\right)^{\beta} 2^{\beta / 2} t^{\beta}+a_{2} q m . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} g_{1}(r)=-\infty, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} g_{2}(t)=-\infty \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $g_{1}(r)$ and $g_{2}(t)$ are bounded from above.
Thus, there exists a constant $R_{2}>\delta$, such that $J(x) \leq 0$, for all $x \in \partial Q$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\left(\bar{B}_{R_{2}} \cap Z\right) \oplus\left\{r e \mid 0<r<R_{2}\right\} . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the linking theorem, $J$ possesses a critical value $c \geq \sigma>0$, where

$$
\begin{gather*}
c=\inf _{h \in \Gamma} \max _{u \in Q} J(h(u)),  \tag{3.21}\\
\Gamma=\left\{h \in C\left(\bar{Q}, E_{q m}\right)|h|_{\partial Q}=\mathrm{id}\right\} .
\end{gather*}
$$

The rest of the proof is similar to that of [5, Theorem 1.1], but for the sake of completeness, we give the details.

Let $\tilde{x} \in E_{q m}$ be a critical point associated to the critical value $c$ of $J$, that is, $J(\tilde{x})=c$. If $\tilde{x} \neq \bar{x}$, then the proof is complete; if $\tilde{x}=\bar{x}$, then $c_{0}=J(\bar{x})=J(\tilde{x})=c$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in E_{q m}} J(x)=\inf _{h \in \Gamma u \in Q} \sup J(h(u)) . \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose $h=\mathrm{id}$, we have $\sup _{x \in Q} J(x)=c_{0}$. Since the choice of $e \in \partial B_{1} \cap Y$ is arbitrary, we can take $-e \in \partial B_{1} \cap Y$. By a similar argument, there exists a constant $R_{3}>\delta$, for any $x \in \partial Q_{1}, J(x) \leq 0$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{1}=\left(\bar{B}_{R_{3}} \cap Z\right) \oplus\left\{-r e \mid 0<r<R_{3}\right\} . \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, by using the linking theorem, $J$ possesses a critical value $c^{\prime} \geq \sigma>0$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{\prime}=\inf _{h \in \Gamma_{1} u \in Q_{1}} \max _{1} J(h(u)), \quad \Gamma_{1}=\left\{h \in C\left(\bar{Q}_{1}, E_{q m}\right)|h|_{\partial Q_{1}}=\mathrm{id}\right\} . \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $c^{\prime} \neq c_{0}$, then the proof is complete. If $c^{\prime}=c_{0}$, then $\sup _{x \in Q_{1}} J(x)=c_{0}$. Due to the fact that $\left.J\right|_{\partial Q} \leq 0,\left.J\right|_{\partial Q_{1}} \leq 0, J$ attains its maximum at some points in the interior of the set $Q$ and $Q_{1}$. Clearly, $Q \cap Q_{1}=\varnothing$, and for any $x \in Z, J(x) \leq 0$. This shows that there must be a point $\hat{x} \in E_{q m}$, such that $\hat{x} \neq \tilde{x}$ and $J(\hat{x})=c^{\prime}=c_{0}$.

The above argument implies that whether or not $c=c_{0}$, (1.1) possesses at least two nontrivial qm-periodic solutions.

Remark 3.4. when $q m=1,(1.1)$ is reduced to trivial case; when $q m=2, A$ has the following form:

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 & -2  \tag{3.25}\\
-2 & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

In this case, it is easy to complete the proof of Theorem 3.1.
Finally, we give an example to illustrate Theorem 3.1.
Example 3.5. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, u, v, w)=2(p+1) v\left[\left(1+\sin ^{2} \frac{\pi t}{m}\right)\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)^{p}+\left(1+\sin ^{2} \frac{\pi(t-1)}{m}\right)\left(v^{2}+w^{2}\right)^{p}\right] \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t, u, v)=\left(1+\sin ^{2} \frac{\pi t}{m}\right)\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)^{p+1} \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{2}^{\prime}(t-1, v, w)+F_{3}^{\prime}(t, u, v) \\
& \quad=2(p+1) v\left[\left(1+\sin ^{2} \frac{\pi t}{m}\right)\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)^{p}+\left(1+\sin ^{2} \frac{\pi(t-1)}{m}\right)\left(v^{2}+w^{2}\right)^{p}\right] . \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to verify that the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied and then (1.1) possesses at least two nontrivial qm-periodic solutions.
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