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Based on the fixed-point index theory for a Banach space, positive periodic solutions are found for a system of delay difference equations. By using such results, the existence of nontrivial periodic solutions for delay difference equations with positive and negative terms is also considered.

## 1. Introduction

The existence of positive periodic solutions for delay difference equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=a_{n} x_{n}+h_{n} f\left(n, x_{n-\tau(n)}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}=\{\ldots,-2,-1,0,1,2, \ldots\}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has been studied by many authors, see, for example, $[1,3,5,7,8,9]$ and the references contained therein. The above equation may be regarded as a mathematical model for a number of dynamical processes. In particular, $x_{n}$ may represent the size of a population in the time period $n$. Since it is possible that the population may be influenced by another factor of the form $-\widehat{h}_{n} f_{2}\left(n, x_{n-\tau(n)}\right)$, we are therefore interested in a more general equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=a_{n} x_{n}+h_{n} f_{1}\left(n, x_{n-\tau(n)}\right)-\hat{h}_{n} f_{2}\left(n, x_{n-\tau(n)}\right), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which includes the so-called difference equations with positive and negative terms (see, e.g., [6]).

In this paper, we will approach this equation (see Section 4) by treating it as a special case of a system of difference equations of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{n}=\sum_{s=n}^{n+\omega-1} G(n, s) h_{s} f_{1}\left(s, u_{s-\tau(s)}-v_{s-\tau(s)}\right), \\
& v_{n}=\sum_{s=n}^{n+\omega-1} \widehat{G}(n, s) \hat{h}_{s} f_{2}\left(s, u_{s-\tau(s)}-v_{s-\tau(s)}\right), \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We will assume that $\omega$ is a positive integer, $G$ and $\hat{G}$ are double sequences satisfying $G(n, s)=G(n+\omega, s+\omega)$ and $\widehat{G}(n, s)=\widehat{G}(n+\omega, s+\omega)$ for $n, s \in \mathbb{Z}, h=\left\{h_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\hat{h}=\left\{\hat{h}_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are positive $\omega$-periodic sequences, $\{\tau(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an integer-valued $\omega$ periodic sequence, $f_{1}, f_{2}: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions, and $f_{1}(n+\omega, u)=f_{1}(n, u)$ as well as $f_{2}(n+\omega, u)=f_{2}(n, u)$ for any $u \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

By a solution of (1.3), we mean a pair ( $u, v$ ) of sequences $u=\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $v=\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ which renders (1.3) into an identity for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ after substitution. A solution $(u, v)$ is said to be $\omega$-periodic if $u_{n+\omega}=u_{n}$ and $v_{n+\omega}=v_{n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $X$ be the set of all real $\omega$-periodic sequences of the form $u=\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and endowed with the usual linear structure and ordering (i.e., $u \leq v$ if $u_{n} \leq v_{n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ). When equipped with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|=\max _{0 \leq n \leq \omega-1}\left|u_{n}\right|, \quad u \in X \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$X$ is an ordered Banach space with cone $\Omega_{0}=\left\{u=\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in X \mid u_{n} \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} . X \times X$ will denote the product (Banach) space equipped with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|(u, v)\|=\max \{\|u\|,\|v\|\}, \quad u, v \in X \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and ordering defined by $(u, v) \leq(x, y)$ if $u \leq x$ and $v \leq y$ for any $u, v, x, y \in X$.
We remark that a recent paper [4] is concerned with the differential system

$$
\begin{align*}
& y^{\prime}=-a(t) y(t)+f(t, y(t-\tau(t))), \\
& x^{\prime}=-a(t) x(t)+f(t, x(t-\tau(t))) . \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

There are some ideas in the proof of Theorem 2.1 which are similar to those in [4]. But the techniques in the other results are new.

## 2. Main result

In this section, we assume that

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0<m \leq G(n, s) \leq M<+\infty, \quad n \leq s \leq n+\omega-1 \\
& 0<m^{\prime} \leq \widehat{G}(n, s) \leq M^{\prime}<+\infty, \quad n \leq s \leq n+\omega-1 \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=\left\{\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in X: u_{n} \geqslant \sigma\|u\|, n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}, \quad \text { where } \sigma=\min \left\{\frac{m}{M}, \frac{m^{\prime}}{M^{\prime}}\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a cone in $X$ and $\Omega \times \Omega$ is a cone in $X \times X$.

Theorem 2.1. In addition to the assumptions imposed on the functions $G, \hat{G}, h, \hat{h}, f_{1}$, and $f_{2}$ in Section 1, suppose that $G$ and $\hat{G}$ satisfy (2.1). Suppose further that $f_{1}, f_{2}$ are nonnegative and satisfy $f_{1}(n, 0)=0=f_{2}(n, 0)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ as well as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{|x| \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_{1}(n, x)}{|x|}=+\infty,  \tag{2.3}\\
& \lim _{|x| \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_{2}(n, x)}{|x|}<+\infty  \tag{2.4}\\
& \lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{f_{1}(n, x)}{x}=0  \tag{2.5}\\
& \lim _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{f_{2}(n, x)}{|x|}=0, \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

uniformly with respect to all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then (1.3) has an $\omega$-periodic solution (u,v) in $\Omega \times$ $\Omega$ such that $\|(u, v)\|>0$. In the sequel, $(\Omega \times \Omega)_{\alpha}$ will denote the set $\{(u, v) \in \Omega \times \Omega \mid$ $\|(u, v)\|=\alpha\}$.

Proof. Let $A_{1}, A_{2}: \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ and $A: \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow X \times X$ be defined, respectively, by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(A_{1}(u, v)\right)_{n}=\sum_{s=n}^{n+\omega-1} G(n, s) h_{s} f_{1}\left(s, u_{s-\tau(s)}-v_{s-\tau(s)}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \\
\left(A_{2}(u, v)\right)_{n}=\sum_{s=n}^{n+\omega-1} \widehat{G}(n, s) \hat{h}_{s} f_{2}\left(s, u_{s-\tau(s)}-v_{s-\tau(s)}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},  \tag{2.7}\\
(A(u, v))_{n}=\left(A_{1}(u, v)_{n}, A_{2}(u, v)_{n}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},
\end{gather*}
$$

for $u, v \in \Omega$. For any $n, \check{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(A_{1}(u, v)\right)_{n} & =\sum_{s=n}^{n+\omega-1} G(n, s) h_{s} f_{1}\left(s, u_{s-\tau(s)}-v_{s-\tau(s)}\right) \\
& \leq M \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} h_{s} f_{1}\left(s, u_{s-\tau(s)}-v_{s-\tau(s)}\right), \\
\left(A_{1}(u, v)\right)_{\check{n}} & =\sum_{s=\check{n}}^{\check{n}+\omega-1} G(\check{n}, s) h_{s} f_{1}\left(s, u_{s-\tau(s)}-v_{s-\tau(s)}\right)  \tag{2.8}\\
& \geqslant m \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} h_{s} f_{1}\left(s, u_{s-\tau(s)}-v_{s-\tau(s)}\right) \\
& \geqslant \sigma\left(A_{1}(u, v)\right)_{n} .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we can prove that $\left(A_{2}(u, v)\right)_{\check{n}} \geqslant \sigma\left(A_{2}(u, v)\right)_{n}$ for any $n, \check{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, $A: \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow$ $\Omega \times \Omega$. Furthermore, in view of the boundedness of $G$ and $\widehat{G}$, and the continuity of $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$, it is not difficult to show that $A$ is completely continuous. Indeed, $A(B)$ is a bounded set for any bounded subset $B$ of $X \times X$. Since $X \times X$ is made up of $\omega$-periodic sequences, thus $A(B)$ is precompact. Consequently, $A$ is completely continuous.

We will show that there exist $r^{*}, r_{*}$ which satisfy $0<r_{*}<r^{*}$ such that the fixed point index

$$
\begin{equation*}
i\left(A,(\Omega \times \Omega)_{r^{*}} \backslash(\Omega \times \Omega)_{r_{*}}, \Omega \times \Omega\right)=1 . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

To see this, we first infer from (2.4) that there exist $\beta>0$ and $r_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{h}_{s} f_{2}(s, x) \leq \beta|x| \quad \text { for }|x| \leq r_{1}, s \in \mathbb{Z} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{gather*}
0<\varepsilon<\min \left\{1, \frac{\sigma}{2\left(1+M^{\prime} \beta \omega\right)}\right\},  \tag{2.11}\\
F_{\eta}(s ; u, v)=\left\{s \leq n \leq s+\omega-1:\left|u_{n}-v_{n}\right| \geq \eta\right\}, \quad u, v \in \Omega .
\end{gather*}
$$

Then the number of elements in $F_{\varepsilon r}(s ; u, v)$, denoted by \#, satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# F_{\varepsilon r}(s ; u, v) \geq \min \left\{\omega, \frac{\sigma}{2 M^{\prime} \beta}\right\}, \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $\|(u, v)\|=r \leq r_{1}$ and $A_{2}(u, v)=v$. Indeed, if $\left|u_{n}-v_{n}\right| \geq \varepsilon r$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then (2.12) is obvious. If there exists $n_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\left|u_{n_{1}}-v_{n_{1}}\right|<\varepsilon r$, then $\|v\| \geq v_{n_{1}}>u_{n_{1}}-$ $\varepsilon r \geq \sigma\|u\|-\varepsilon r$. Thus $\|v\|>(\sigma-\varepsilon) r$. Assume that $v_{n_{2}}=\|v\|$. Then from $A_{2}(u, v)=v$ and (2.10), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
(\sigma-\varepsilon) r & \leq v_{n_{2}}=\sum_{s=n_{2}}^{n_{2}+\omega-1} \hat{G}\left(n_{2}, s\right) \hat{h}_{s} f_{2}\left(s, u_{s-\tau(s)}-v_{s-\tau(s)}\right) \\
& \leq M^{\prime} \beta\left(\sum_{s \in F_{\varepsilon r}\left(n_{2} ; u, v\right)}+\sum_{s \in F\left(n_{2}\right) \backslash F_{\varepsilon r}\left(n_{2} ; u, v\right)}\right)\left|u_{s-\tau(s)}-v_{s-\tau(s)}\right|  \tag{2.13}\\
& \leq M^{\prime} \beta r\left[\# F_{\varepsilon r}\left(n_{2} ; u, v\right)+\varepsilon \#\left(F\left(n_{2}\right) \backslash F_{\varepsilon r}\left(n_{2} ; u, v\right)\right)\right],
\end{align*}
$$

where $F\left(n_{2}\right)=\left\{n \in \mathbb{Z}: n_{2} \leq n \leq n_{2}+\omega-1\right\}$. It is now not difficult to check that $\# F_{\varepsilon r}(s ; u$, $v) \geq \sigma / 2 M^{\prime} \beta$, that is, (2.12) holds.

Next choose $\alpha$ such that $\alpha \geq 1 / m a \varepsilon$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\min \left\{\omega, \sigma \backslash\left(2 M^{\prime} \beta\right)\right\} . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then in view of (2.3), there exists $r_{*} \leq r_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{s} f_{1}(s, x) \geq \alpha|x|, \quad \text { for }|x| \leq r_{*}, s \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n}=\sum_{s=n}^{n+\omega-1} G(n, s), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $H=\left\{H_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \Omega$, and for any $(u, v) \in \partial(\Omega \times \Omega)_{r_{*}}$ and $t \geq 0$, we assert that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u, v)-A(u, v) \neq t(H, 0) . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

To see this, assume to the contrary that there exist $\left(u^{0}, \nu^{0}\right) \in \partial(\Omega \times \Omega)_{r_{*}}$ and $t_{0} \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{0}-A_{1}\left(u^{0}, v^{0}\right)=t_{0} H  \tag{2.18}\\
v^{0}-A_{2}\left(u^{0}, v^{0}\right)=0 \tag{2.19}
\end{gather*}
$$

We may assume that $t_{0}>0$, for otherwise $\left(u^{0}, v^{0}\right)$ is a fixed point of $A$. From (2.19), we know that (2.12) holds for the above $\varepsilon$. From (2.15), we have $u^{0} \geq t_{0} H$. Set $t^{*}=\sup \{t \mid$ $\left.u^{0} \geq t H\right\}$. Then $t^{*} \geq t_{0}>0$. Furthermore, from (2.12), (2.15), and (2.18), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{n}^{0} & =t_{0} H_{n}+A_{1}\left(u^{0}, v^{0}\right)_{n} \\
& =t_{0} H_{n}+\sum_{s=n}^{n+\omega-1} G(n, s) h_{s} f_{1}\left(s, u_{s-\tau(s)}^{0}-v_{s-\tau(s)}^{0}\right) \\
& \geq t_{0} H_{n}+\sum_{s-\tau(s) \in F_{\varepsilon r}(n-\tau(n) ; u, v)} G(n, s) h_{s} f_{1}\left(s, u_{s-\tau(s)}^{0}-v_{s-\tau(s)}^{0}\right) \\
& \geq t_{0} H_{n}+\alpha \sum_{s-\tau(s) \in F_{\varepsilon r}(n-\tau(n) ; u, v)} G(n, s)\left|u_{s-\tau(s)}^{0}-v_{s-\tau(s)}^{0}\right|  \tag{2.20}\\
& \geq t_{0} H_{n}+m \alpha \varepsilon r \cdot \# F_{\varepsilon r}(n-\tau(n) ; u, v) \\
& \geq t_{0} H_{n}+m a \alpha \varepsilon t^{*} H_{n} \\
& \geq\left(t_{0}+t^{*}\right) H_{n},
\end{align*}
$$

which is contrary to the definition of $t^{*}$. Thus (2.17) holds. Consequently (see, e.g., [2]),

$$
\begin{equation*}
i\left(A,(\Omega \times \Omega)_{r_{*}}, \Omega \times \Omega\right)=0 \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we will prove that there exists $r^{*}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(u, v) \nsupseteq(u, v) \quad \text { for }(u, v) \in \partial(\Omega \times \Omega)_{r^{*}} . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

To see this, pick $c$ such that $0<c<\min \left\{\sigma / M \omega, \sigma / M^{\prime} \omega\right\}$. In view of (2.5) and (2.6), there exists $r_{0}$ such that $h_{s} f_{1}(s, u) \leq c u$ for $u \geq r_{0}$ and $\hat{h}_{s} f_{2}(s, v) \leq c|v|$ for $|v| \geq r_{0}$, where $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{0}=\max \left\{\sup _{0 \leq u \leq r_{0}, s \in \mathbb{Z}} h_{s} f_{1}(s, u), \sup _{0 \leq|v| \leq r_{0}, s \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{h}_{s} f_{2}(s, v)\right\} . \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
h_{s} f_{1}(s, u) \leq c u+T_{0} \quad \text { for } u \geq 0  \tag{2.24}\\
\hat{h}_{s} f_{2}(s, v) \leq c|v|+T_{0} \quad \text { for } v \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{2.25}
\end{gather*}
$$

Take

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{*}>\max \left\{r_{*}, r_{0}, \frac{\omega M T_{0}}{\sigma-c M \omega}, \frac{\omega M^{\prime} T_{0}}{\sigma-c M^{\prime} \omega}\right\} . \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assert that (2.22) holds. In fact, let $\|(u, v)\|=r^{*}$ and $u \geq v$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(A_{1}(u, v)\right)_{n} & =\sum_{s=n}^{n+\omega-1} G(n, s) h_{s} f_{1}\left(s, u_{s-\tau(s)}-v_{s-\tau(s)}\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{s=n}^{n+\omega-1} G(n, s)\left[c\left(u_{s-\tau(s)}-v_{s-\tau(s)}\right)+T_{0}\right]  \tag{2.27}\\
& \leq M r^{*} c \omega+M T_{0} \omega \\
& <\sigma r^{*}<r^{*}=\|u\|
\end{align*}
$$

by (2.24). Thus $A_{1}(u, v) \nsupseteq u$. That is, $A(u, v) \nsupseteq(u, v)$. If there exists $n_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $u_{n_{0}}<v_{n_{0}}$, then $\|v\| \geq \sigma r^{*}$. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{2}(u, v)_{n} & =\sum_{s=n}^{n+\omega-1} \widehat{G}(n, s) \hat{h}_{s} f_{2}\left(s, u_{s-\tau(s)}-v_{s-\tau(s)}\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{s=n}^{n+\omega-1} \widehat{G}(n, s)\left[c\left|u_{s-\tau(s)}-v_{s-\tau(s)}\right|+T_{0}\right]  \tag{2.28}\\
& \leq M^{\prime} r^{*} c \omega+\omega M^{\prime} T_{0} \\
& <\sigma r^{*} \leq\|v\|
\end{align*}
$$

by (2.25). Thus $A_{2}(u, v) \nsupseteq v$. That is, $A(u, v) \nsupseteq(u, v)$.
From (2.22), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
i\left(A,(\Omega \times \Omega)_{r^{*}}, \Omega \times \Omega\right)=1 \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from (2.21) and (2.29), we have $i\left(A,(\Omega \times \Omega)_{r^{*}} \backslash(\Omega \times \Omega)_{r_{*}}, \Omega \times \Omega\right)=1$ as required.
Thus, there exists $\left(u^{*}, v^{*}\right) \in(\Omega \times \Omega)_{r^{*}} \backslash(\Omega \times \Omega)_{r_{*}}$ such that $A\left(u^{*}, v^{*}\right)=\left(u^{*}, v^{*}\right)$. The proof is complete.

## 3. Sublinear $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$

It is possible to find periodic solutions of (1.3) without the assumptions (2.3) through (2.6). One such case arises when functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ satisfy the assumptions

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{1}(n, x-y) \leq a_{n} x+b_{n}, \quad x \geqslant 0, y \geqslant 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}  \tag{3.1}\\
f_{2}(n, x-y) \leq c_{n} y+d_{n}(x), \quad x \geqslant 0, y \geqslant 0, n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $a=\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}, b=\left\{b_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, and $c=\left\{c_{n}\right\}$ are positive $\omega$-periodic sequences, and for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the function $d_{n}(x)$ is continuous, nonnegative, and $d_{n+\omega}(x)=d_{n}(x)$ for $x \geq 0$.

Let $\Omega_{0}=\{u \in X \mid u \geq 0\}$. Define $K_{1}, K_{2}: X \rightarrow X$ by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(K_{1} u\right)_{n}=\sum_{s=n}^{n+\omega-1} G(n, s) h_{s} a_{s} u_{s-\tau(s)}, & u \in X, \\
\left(K_{2} u\right)_{n}=\sum_{s=n}^{n+\omega-1} \hat{G}(n, s) \hat{h}_{s} c_{s} u_{s-\tau(s)}, & u \in X, \tag{3.3}
\end{array}
$$

respectively. Then under conditions (2.1), it is not difficult to show that $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ are completely continuous linear operators on $X$, and $K_{1}, K_{2}$ map $\Omega_{0}$ into $\Omega_{0}$.

Theorem 3.1. In addition to the assumptions imposed on the functions $G, \hat{G}, h, \hat{h}, f_{1}$, and $f_{2}$ in Section 1, suppose that $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ satisfy (3.1) and (3.2). Suppose further that the operators defined by (3.3) satisfy $\rho\left(K_{1}\right)<1$ and $\rho\left(K_{2}\right)<1$. Then (1.3) has at least one periodic solution.

Proof. Note that $\Omega_{0} \times \Omega_{0}$ is a normal solid cone of $X \times X$. Let $A_{1}, A_{2}$, and $A$ be the same operators in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{n}=\sum_{s=n}^{n+\omega-1} G(n, s) h_{s} b_{s}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $g=\left\{g_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \Omega_{0} . \rho\left(K_{1}\right)<1$ implies that $\left(I-K_{1}\right)^{-1}$ exists and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I-K_{1}\right)^{-1}=I+K_{1}+K_{1}^{2}+\ldots \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have $\left(I-K_{1}\right)^{-1}\left(\Omega_{0}\right) \subset \Omega_{0}$ and it is increasing. Then $u-K_{1} u \leq g$ for $u \in X$ implies that $u \leq\left(I-K_{1}\right)^{-1} g$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{0}=\max _{s \in[0, \omega]}\left(I-K_{1}\right)^{-1} g_{s}, \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get that $u \leq K_{1} u+g$ for any $u \in \Omega_{0}$, which satisfies $\|u\| \leq r_{0}$.

Let $d^{*}=\max \left\{d_{n}(x) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq x \leq r_{0}\right\}$. Then from (3.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2}(n, x-y) \leq c_{n} y+d^{*}, \quad y \geqslant 0,0 \leq x \leq r_{0}, n \in \mathbb{Z} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{n}=d^{*} \sum_{s=n}^{n+\omega-1} \widehat{G}(n, s) \hat{h}_{s}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $q=\left\{q_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \Omega_{0}$ and $A_{2}(u, v) \leq K_{2}(v)+q$. If for any $(u, v) \in X \times X$, there exists $\lambda_{0} \in[0,1]$ such that $v=\lambda_{0} A_{2}(u, v)$, then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|v|=\lambda_{0}\left|A_{2}(u, v)\right| \leq\left|A_{2}(u, v)\right| \leq K_{2}(|v|)+q . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that if $|v| \in \Omega_{0}$ and $\rho\left(K_{2}\right)<1$, we have $|v| \leq\left(I-K_{1}\right)^{-1} q$. Choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{*}>\max \left\{r_{0},\left\|\left(I-K_{1}\right)^{-1} q\right\|\right\} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for any open set $\Psi \subset \Omega_{0} \times \Omega_{0}$ that satisfies $\Psi \supset\left(\Omega_{0} \times \Omega_{0}\right)_{r^{*}}, A_{2}(u, v) \neq \mu \nu$ for $(u, v) \in \partial \Psi$ and $\mu \geqslant 1$.

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(u, v) \neq \mu(u, v) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $(u, v) \in \Omega_{0} \times \Omega_{0},\|(u, v)\|=r^{*}$, and $\mu \geqslant 1$. Indeed, if there exist $\left(u^{0}, v^{0}\right) \in \Omega_{0} \times$ $\Omega_{0},\left\|\left(u^{0}, v^{0}\right)\right\|=r^{*}$, and $\mu_{0} \geqslant 1$ such that $A\left(u^{0}, v^{0}\right)=\mu_{0}\left(u^{0}, v^{0}\right)$, then from $A_{2}\left(u^{0}, v^{0}\right)=$ $\mu_{0} v^{0}, r^{*}>r_{0}$, and (3.2), we have $\|u\|>r_{0}$. But from (3.1), we know that $u_{n} \leq \mu_{0} u_{n}=$ $\left(A_{1}(u, v)\right)_{n} \leq K_{1} u_{n}+g_{n}$, this is contrary to the fact that $\|u\| \leq r_{0}$ as shown above.

Thus $i\left(A,\left(\Omega_{0} \times \Omega_{0}\right)_{r^{*}}, \Omega_{0} \times \Omega_{0}\right)=1$, which shows that there exists $\left(u^{*}, v^{*}\right) \in\left(\Omega_{0} \times\right.$ $\left.\Omega_{0}\right)_{r^{*}}$ such that $A\left(u^{*}, v^{*}\right)=\left(u^{*}, v^{*}\right)$. The proof is complete.
Theorem 3.2. In addition to the assumptions imposed on the functions $G, \hat{G}, h, \hat{h}, f_{1}$, and $f_{2}$ in Section 1, suppose that $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{1}(n, x-y) \leq a_{n} y+b_{n}(x), \quad x \geqslant 0, y \geqslant 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}  \tag{3.12}\\
f_{2}(n, x-y) \leq c_{n} x+d_{n}, \quad x \geqslant 0, y \geqslant 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $a=\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}, b=\left\{b_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, and $c=\left\{c_{n}\right\}$ are positive $\omega$-periodic sequences, and for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}, b_{n}=b_{n}(x)$ is continuous, nonnegative, and $b_{n+\omega}(x)=b_{n}(x)$ for $x \geq 0$. Suppose further that the operators defined by (3.3) satisfy $\rho\left(K_{1}\right)<1$ and $\rho\left(K_{2}\right)<1$. Then (1.3) has at least one periodic solution.

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.1 and hence omitted.

## 4. Applications

We now turn to the existence of nontrivial periodic solutions for the delay difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=a_{n} x_{n}+h_{n} f_{1}\left(n, x_{n-\tau(n)}\right)-\hat{h}_{n} f_{2}\left(n, x_{n-\tau(n)}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{h_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\left\{\hat{h}_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are positive $\omega$-periodic sequences, $\{\tau(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an integervalued $\omega$-periodic sequence, and $f_{1}, f_{2}$ are real continuous functions which satisfy $f_{1}(n+$ $\omega, u)=f_{1}(n, u)$ and $f_{2}(n+\omega, u)=f_{2}(n, u)$ for any $u \in \mathbb{R}^{1}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We proceed formerly from (4.1) and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left\{x_{n} \prod_{k=q}^{n-1} \frac{1}{a_{k}}\right\}=\prod_{k=q}^{n} \frac{1}{a_{k}}\left[h_{n} f_{1}\left(n, x_{n-\tau(n)}\right)-\hat{h}_{n} f_{2}\left(n, x_{n-\tau(n)}\right)\right] \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then summing the above formal equation from $n$ to $n+\omega-1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}=\sum_{s=n}^{n+\omega-1} G(n, s)\left[h_{s} f_{1}\left(s, x_{s-\tau(s)}\right)-\hat{h}_{s} f_{2}\left(s, x_{s-\tau(s)}\right)\right], \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(n, s)=\left(\prod_{k=n}^{s} \frac{1}{a_{k}}\right)\left(\prod_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \frac{1}{a_{k}}-1\right)^{-1}, \quad n, s \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is positive if $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a positive $\omega$-periodic sequence which satisfies $\prod_{s=0}^{\omega-1} a_{s}^{-1}>1$.
It is not difficult to check that any $\omega$-periodic sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ that satisfies (4.3) is also an $\omega$-periodic solution of (4.1). Furthermore, note that

$$
\begin{gather*}
G(n, n)=\left(\frac{1}{a_{n}}\right)\left(\prod_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \frac{1}{a_{k}}-1\right)^{-1}=G(n+\omega, n+\omega), \\
G(n, n+\omega-1)=\left(\prod_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \frac{1}{a_{k}}\right)\left(\prod_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \frac{1}{a_{k}}-1\right)^{-1}=G(0, \omega-1),  \tag{4.5}\\
0<N \equiv \min _{n \leq i \leq n+\omega-1} G(n, s) \leq G(n, s) \leq \max _{n \leq i \leq n+\omega-1} G(n, i) \equiv M, \quad n \leq s \leq n+\omega-1 .
\end{gather*}
$$

Theorem 4.1. Suppose that $\left\{h_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\left\{\hat{h}_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are positive $\omega$-periodic sequences, $\{\tau(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an integer-valued $\omega$-periodic sequence, and $f_{1}, f_{2}$ are nonnegative continuous functions which satisfy $f_{1}(n+\omega, u)=f_{1}(n, u)$ and $f_{2}(n+\omega, u)=f_{2}(n, u)$ for any $u \in \mathbb{R}^{1}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose further that $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a real sequence which satisfies $\prod_{s=0}^{\omega-1} a_{s}^{-1}>1$. If $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ satisfy the additional conditions $f_{1}(n, 0)=0=f_{2}(n, 0)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ as well as (2.3), (2.4), (2.5), and (2.6) uniformly with respect to all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then (4.1) has at least a nontrivial periodic solution.

Indeed, let $A_{1}, A_{2}$, and $A$ be defined as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Then from Theorem 2.1, we know that there exists $\left(u^{*}, v^{*}\right) \neq(0,0)$, such that $A\left(u^{*}, v^{*}\right)=\left(u^{*}, v^{*}\right)$, that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{n}^{*}=\sum_{s=n}^{n+\omega-1} G(n, s) h_{s} f_{1}\left(s, u_{s-\tau(s)}^{*}-v_{s-\tau(s)}^{*}\right), \\
& v_{n}^{*}=\sum_{s=n}^{n+\omega-1} G(n, s) \hat{h}_{s} f_{2}\left(s, u_{s-\tau(s)}^{*}-v_{s-\tau(s)}^{*}\right) . \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $f_{1}(n, 0)=0=f_{2}(n, 0)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we know that $u^{*} \neq v^{*}$. (Indeed, if $u^{*}=v^{*}$, then $u^{*}=v^{*}=0$, which is contrary to the fact that $\left(u^{*}, v^{*}\right) \neq(0,0)$.) Thus $u^{*}-v^{*}$ is a nontrivial periodic solution of (4.3), and also a nontrivial periodic solution of (4.1).

Next, we illustrate Theorem 3.1 by considering the delay difference equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=a_{n} x_{n}+f\left(n, x_{n-\tau(n)}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a positive $\omega$-periodic sequence but $\prod_{s=0}^{\omega-1} a_{s}^{-1}>1,\{\tau(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is integervalued $\omega$-periodic sequence, $f(n, u)$ is a real continuous function, and $f(n+\omega, u)=$ $f(n, u)$ for any $u \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The existence of positive periodic solutions for (4.7) have been studied extensively by a number of authors (see, e.g., $[1,3,5,7,8,9]$ ). Here, we proceed formerly from (4.7) and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left\{x_{n} \prod_{k=q}^{n-1} \frac{1}{a_{k}}\right\}=\prod_{k=q}^{n} \frac{1}{a_{k}} f\left(n, x_{n-\tau(n)}\right) . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then summing the above formal equation from $n$ to $n+\omega-1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}=\sum_{s=n}^{n+\omega-1} G(n, s) f\left(s, x_{s-\tau(s)}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(n, s)=\left(\prod_{k=n}^{s} \frac{1}{a_{k}}\right)\left(\prod_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \frac{1}{a_{k}}-1\right)^{-1} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $\lambda_{0}=\left(\prod_{k=0}^{\omega-1}\left(1 / a_{k}\right)-1\right)$, then $G(n, s)=\left(1 / \lambda_{0}\right)\left(\prod_{k=n}^{s}\left(1 / a_{k}\right)\right)$. It is not difficult to check that any $\omega$-periodic sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ that satisfies (4.9) is also an $\omega$-periodic solution of (4.7).

Choose

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(n, x)=\lambda \sin x+p_{n}, \\
f_{1}(n, x)=\lambda \frac{|\sin x|+\sin x}{2}+p_{n},  \tag{4.11}\\
f_{2}(n, x)=\lambda \frac{|\sin x|-\sin x}{2},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\lambda>0$ and $\left\{p_{n}\right\}$ is a positive $\omega$-periodic sequence. Then $f_{1}(n, x-y) \leq \lambda x+2 \lambda+p_{n}$ and $f_{2}(n, x-y) \leq \lambda y+2 \lambda$ for $x, y \geqslant 0$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(K_{i} u\right)_{n}=\lambda \sum_{s=n}^{n+\omega-1} G(n, s) u_{s-\tau(s)}, \quad i=1,2 \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|K_{i} u\right\| & =\max _{0 \leq n \leq \omega-1}\left|\lambda \sum_{s=n}^{n+\omega-1} G(n, s) u_{s-\tau(s)}\right| \\
& =\max _{0 \leq n \leq \omega-1}\left|\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{0}} \sum_{s=n}^{n+\omega-1}\left(\prod_{k=n}^{s} \frac{1}{a_{k}}\right) u_{s-\tau(s)}\right|  \tag{4.13}\\
& \leq \max _{0 \leq n \leq \omega-1}\left|\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{0}}\|u\| \sum_{s=n}^{n+\omega-1} \prod_{k=n}^{s} \frac{1}{a_{k}}\right| \\
& =\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{0}}\|u\| \max _{0 \leq n \leq \omega-1} \sum_{s=n}^{n+\omega-1}\left(\prod_{k=n}^{s} \frac{1}{a_{k}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for $i=1,2$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|K_{i}\right\| \leq \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{0}} \max _{0 \leq n \leq \omega-1} \sum_{s=n}^{n+\omega-1}\left(\prod_{k=n}^{s} \frac{1}{a_{k}}\right), \quad i=1,2 . \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\rho\left(K_{i}\right) \leq\left\|K_{i}\right\|$, thus $\rho\left(K_{i}\right) \leq\left\|K_{i}\right\|<1$ for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda<\lambda_{0}\left[\max _{0 \leq n \leq \omega-1} \sum_{s=n}^{n+\omega-1}\left(\prod_{k=n}^{s} \frac{1}{a_{k}}\right)\right]^{-1} . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under this condition, Theorem 3.1 asserts that (4.7) has at least one periodic solution. Note that 0 is not its solution. Thus, our periodic solution is nontrivial.
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