AN ELLIPTIC PROBLEM WITH CRITICAL EXPONENT AND POSITIVE HARDY POTENTIAL

SHAOWEI CHEN AND SHUJIE LI

Received 9 September 2003

We give the existence result and the vanishing order of the solution in 0 for the following equation: $-\triangle u(x) + (\mu/|x|^2)u(x) = \lambda u(x) + u^{2^*-1}(x)$, where $x \in B_1$, $\mu > 0$, and the potential $\mu/|x|^2 - \lambda$ is positive in B_1 .

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following problem:

$$-\Delta u(x) + \frac{\mu}{|x|^2} u(x) = \lambda u(x) + u^{2^* - 1}(x), \quad x \in B_1,$$

$$u(x) \ge 0, \quad x \in B_1,$$

$$u(x) = 0, \quad x \in \partial B_1,$$

(1.1)

where $B_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid |x| < 1\}$ is the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^N ($N \ge 3$), $\lambda, \mu > 0, 2^* := 2N/(N-2)$. When $\mu < 0$, this problem has been considered by many authors recently (cf. [5, 6, 7, 8]). But when $\mu > 0$, this problem has not been considered as far as we know. In fact, the existence of nontrivial solution for (1.1) when $\mu > 0$ is an open problem which was imposed in [7]. In this paper, we get the following results.

THEOREM 1.1. If N = 3 and $3/4 < \lambda \le \mu$ or if $N \ge 4$ and $0 < \lambda \le \mu$, then for (1.1) there exists a nontrivial radially symmetric solution.

Remark 1.2. Condition $0 < \lambda \le \mu$ shows that the potential $\mu/|x|^2 - \lambda$ is positive in B_1 . Thus the Brézis-Nirenberg method (cf. [1]) cannot be used.

THEOREM 1.3. If $\mu > 0$ and $u \in H_0^1(B_1)$ is a solution of (1.1), then there are $C_1, C_2 > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $C_2|x|^{\alpha} \ge u(x) \ge C_1|x|^{\alpha}$, for $x \in B_{\delta}$, where $\alpha = (1/2)(\sqrt{(N-2)^2 + 4\mu^2} - (N-2)) > 0$.

Remark 1.4. One can easily deduce that if $u \in H_0^1(B_1)$ is a solution of (1.1), then $u \in C^2(B_1 \setminus \{\theta\})$ and u > 0 in $B_1 \setminus \{\theta\}$. Theorem 1.3 shows that $u(\theta) = 0$. It is greatly different from the case of $\mu \le 0$ (see [6]).

Copyright © 2004 Hindawi Publishing Corporation Abstract and Applied Analysis 2004:2 (2004) 91–98 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35J20, 35J25 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/S1085337504311036

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

LEMMA 2.1. Every radially symmetric nonnegative solution u of the equation

$$-\Delta u + \frac{\mu}{|x|^2} u(x) = u^{2^* - 1}(x), \quad u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$
(2.1)

can be represented by $u(x) = \rho^{(N-2)/2} U(\rho x)$ for some positive number ρ , where

$$U(x) = \frac{C_0 |x|^{\tau - (N-2)/2}}{\left(1 + |x|^{4\tau/(N-2)}\right)^{(N-2)/2}},$$
(2.2)

 $\tau = \sqrt{((N-2)/2)^2 + \mu}$, and C_0 is a constant.

Proof. Let $t = -\ln |x|$, $\theta = x/|x|$, and $v(t, \theta) := e^{-((N-2)/2)t}u(e^{-t}\theta)$. Then by [3], we know that *v* satisfies the equation

$$-\nu_{tt} - \triangle_{\theta}\nu + \tau^{2}\nu = \nu^{2^{*}-1} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{N-1}.$$
(2.3)

Since *u* is radially symmetric, *v* depends only on *t* and satisfies $-v_{tt} + \tau^2 v = v^{2^*-1}$, v > 0 in \mathbb{R} . By [3], we know that the only positive solutions of the equation are translation of

$$\nu(t) = \left(\frac{\tau^2 2^*}{2}\right)^{1/(2^*-1)} \left(\cosh\left(\frac{2^*-2}{2}\tau t\right)\right)^{-2/(2^*-2)}.$$
(2.4)

Thus, every radially symmetric nonnegative solution *u* of (2.1) can be represented by $u(x) = \rho^{(N-2)/2} U(\rho x)$ for some positive number ρ .

Define $\mathfrak{D}_r^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) := \{u \in \mathfrak{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \mid u \text{ is radially symmetric}\}\ \text{and}\ H^1_{0,r}(B_1) := \{u \in H^1_0(B_1) \mid u \text{ is radially symmetric}\}.$ Let

$$S_{\mu} := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{D}_{r}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^{N}), u \neq 0} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u|^{2} + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} (u^{2}/|x|^{2})}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |u|^{2^{*}}\right)^{2/2^{*}}}.$$
(2.5)

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that $S_{\mu} = (\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla U|^2 + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (U^2/|x|^2))/(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U^{2^*})^{2/2^*}$. Let $\Sigma = \{u \in H^1_{0,r}(B_1) \mid ||u||_{2^*} = 1\}$. For $u \in \Sigma$, define

$$S_{\lambda,\mu}(u) = \int_{B_1} |\nabla u|^2 + \mu \int_{B_1} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} - \lambda \int_{B_1} u^2.$$
(2.6)

LEMMA 2.2. If N = 3 and $3/4 < \lambda \le \mu$ or if $N \ge 4$ and $0 < \lambda \le \mu$, then $S_{\lambda,\mu} := \inf_{u \in \Sigma} S_{\lambda,\mu}(u) < S_{\mu}$.

Proof. Let $\eta \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a cut function which satisfies $0 \le \eta(x) \le 1$, $|\nabla \eta| \le 2$ in \mathbb{R}^N , $\eta(x) \equiv 1$ in $B_{1/2}$, and $\eta(x) \equiv 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1$. Let $U_\rho(x) := \rho^{(N-2)/2} U(\rho x)$ and $u_\rho(x) = \eta(x) U_\rho(x)$. By (2.2), we know that when |x| is big enough, there are constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that

$$|U(x)| \le \frac{C_1}{|x|^{\tau+N/2-1}}, \qquad |\nabla U(x)| \le \frac{C_2}{|x|^{\tau+N/2}},$$
 (2.7)

since

$$\begin{split} \int_{B_{1}} |\nabla u_{\rho}|^{2} &= \int_{B_{1}} \eta^{2} |\nabla u_{\rho}|^{2} + \int_{B_{1}} u_{\rho}^{2} |\nabla \eta|^{2} + 2 \int_{B_{1}} u_{\rho} \cdot \eta \cdot \nabla u_{\rho} \cdot \nabla \eta \\ &\leq \int_{B_{1}} |\nabla u_{\rho}|^{2} + 4 \int_{B_{1} \setminus B_{1/2}} u_{\rho}^{2} + 4 \left(\int_{B_{1} \setminus B_{1/2}} u_{\rho}^{2} \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{B_{1} \setminus B_{1/2}} |\nabla u_{\rho}|^{2} \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla U|^{2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \setminus B_{\rho}} |\nabla U|^{2} + \frac{4}{\rho^{2}} \int_{B_{\rho} \setminus B_{\rho/2}} U^{2} \\ &+ \frac{4}{\rho} \left(\int_{B_{\rho} \setminus B_{\rho/2}} U^{2} \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{B_{\rho} \setminus B_{\rho/2}} |\nabla U|^{2} \right)^{1/2}. \end{split}$$
(2.8)

By (2.7), when N = 3 and $3/4 < \lambda \le \mu$ or when $N \ge 4$ and $0 < \lambda \le \mu$, for ρ big enough,

$$\int_{B_{\rho} \setminus B_{\rho/2}} U^{2} \leq \int_{B_{\rho} \setminus B_{\rho/2}} \frac{C_{1}}{|x|^{2\tau+N-2}} dx = \frac{C_{3}}{\rho^{2\tau-2}},$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \setminus B_{\rho}} |\nabla U|^{2} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \setminus B_{\rho}} \frac{C_{2}}{|x|^{2\tau+N}} dx = \int_{\rho}^{+\infty} \frac{C_{2}}{r^{2\tau+1}} dr = \frac{C_{4}}{\rho^{2\tau}},$$

$$\int_{B_{1}} |\nabla u_{\rho}|^{2} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla U|^{2} + \frac{C_{5}}{\rho^{2\tau}},$$
(2.10)

When N = 3 and $3/4 < \lambda \le \mu$ or when $N \ge 4$ and $0 < \lambda \le \mu$, we have $2\tau > 2$. Thus by (2.10) and (2.11), we get

$$S_{\lambda,\mu} \frac{u_{\rho}}{||u_{\rho}||_{2^*}} \le S_{\mu} - \frac{C_9}{\rho^2} + o\left(\frac{1}{\rho^2}\right), \quad \text{as } \rho \longrightarrow \infty.$$
(2.12)

It proves the lemma.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Lemma 2.2 and [10, Theorem 8.8], we deduce that $S_{\lambda,\mu}$ can be achieved by some $0 \le u \in H^1_{0,r}(B_1)$, then $S^{-1/(2^*-2)}_{\lambda,\mu}u$ is a nontrivial radially symmetric solution of (1.1).

3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Let *E* be the space which is the completion of $C_0^{\infty}(B_1)$ under the norm $||u||_E = (\int_{B_1} |x|^{2\alpha} |\nabla u|^2 dx)^{1/2}$.

LEMMA 3.1 (see [2]). For all $u \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ $(N \ge 3)$,

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |x|^{-bp} |u|^{p} dx\right)^{2/p} \leq C_{a,b} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |x|^{-2a} |\nabla u|^{2} dx,$$
(3.1)

where $-\infty < a < (N-2)/2$, $a \le b \le a+1$, and p = 2N/(N-2+2(b-a)).

Choosing $a = -\alpha$, p = 2 and 2^* , respectively, in (3.1), we get the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.2. There is a constant C > 0 such that, for any $u \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |x|^{2^{*}\alpha} |u|^{2^{*}} dx\right)^{2/2^{*}} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |x|^{2\alpha} |\nabla u|^{2} dx,$$
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |x|^{2\alpha-2} |u|^{2} dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |x|^{2\alpha} |\nabla u|^{2} dx.$$
(3.2)

Proof of Theorem 1.3. If $v \in H_0^1(B_1)$ is a solution of (1.1), then by the standard regularity theory, one can easily deduce that $v \in C^2(B_1 \setminus \{\theta\})$. Let $u(x) = |x|^{-\alpha}v(x)$ (this kind of transform has been used in [9]). Direct calculation shows that, for any $x \in B_1 \setminus \{\theta\}$,

$$-\operatorname{div}(|x|^{2\alpha}\nabla u) = |x|^{2^{*\alpha}}u^{2^{*}-1} + \lambda|x|^{2\alpha}u.$$
(3.3)

Since $v \in E$, then by Lemma 3.1 we know that v is a weak solution of (3.3), that is, for any $\zeta \in C_0^{\infty}(B_1)$,

$$\int_{B_1} |x|^{2\alpha} \nabla u \nabla \zeta = \int_{B_1} |x|^{2^* \alpha} u^{2^* - 1} \zeta + \int_{B_1} |x|^{2\alpha} u \zeta.$$
(3.4)

For t > 2, k > 0, define

$$h(r) = \begin{cases} r^{t/2}, & 0 \le r \le k, \\ \frac{t}{2}k^{t/2-1}r + \left(1 - \frac{t}{2}\right)k^{t/2}, & r \ge k, \end{cases}$$
(3.5)

and $\phi(r) = \int_0^r |h'(s)|^2 ds$. It is easy to verify that there exists a constant C > 0 independent of k such that

$$|r\phi(r)| \le \frac{t^2}{4(t-1)} |h(r)|^2,$$
 (3.6)

$$|\phi(r) - h(r)h'(r)| \le C_t |h(r)h'(r)|,$$
 (3.7)

where $C_t = (t-2)/2(t-1) < 1$.

Let $0 < r_2 < r_1 < 1$ and $\eta \in C_0^{\infty}(B(\theta, r_1))$ satisfying $0 \le \eta \le 1$, $\eta \equiv 1$ in $B(\theta, r_2)$, $\eta \equiv 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(\theta, r_1)$, and $|\nabla \eta| \le 2/(r_1 - r_2)$. Notice that $\eta^2 \phi(u) \in E$, then

$$\begin{split} \int_{B_1} |x|^{2\alpha} \nabla u \nabla (\eta^2 \phi(u)) &= \int_{B_1} |x|^{2\alpha} \eta^2 (h'(u))^2 |\nabla u|^2 + 2 \int_{B_1} |x|^{2\alpha} \eta \phi(u) \nabla u \nabla \eta \\ &= \int_{B_1} |x|^{2\alpha} \eta^2 |\nabla (h(u))|^2 + 2 \int_{B_1} |x|^{2\alpha} \eta \phi(u) \nabla u \nabla \eta. \end{split}$$
(3.8)

Since $|\nabla(\eta h(u))|^2 = \eta^2 |\nabla(h(u))|^2 + h^2(u) |\nabla \eta|^2 + 2\eta h(u) \nabla(h(u)) \nabla \eta$, by (3.7), we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2\alpha} \nabla u \nabla (\eta^{2} \phi(u)) &= \int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2\alpha} |\nabla (\eta h(u))|^{2} - \int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2\alpha} h^{2}(u) |\nabla \eta|^{2} \\ &- 2 \int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2\alpha} \eta h(u) h'(u) \nabla u \nabla \eta + 2 \int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2\alpha} \eta \phi(u) \nabla u \nabla \eta \\ &\geq \int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2\alpha} |\nabla (\eta h(u))|^{2} - \int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2\alpha} h^{2}(u) |\nabla \eta|^{2} \\ &- 2 \int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2\alpha} \eta |\phi(u) - h(u) h'(u)| |\nabla u \nabla \eta| \\ &\geq \int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2\alpha} |\nabla (\eta h(u))|^{2} - \int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2\alpha} h^{2}(u) |\nabla \eta|^{2} \\ &- 2 C_{t} \int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2\alpha} |\eta h(u) \nabla (h(u)) \nabla \eta|. \end{split}$$
(3.9)

Since

$$\begin{split} \int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2\alpha} |\eta h(u) \nabla (h(u)) \nabla \eta | &= \int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2\alpha} | (\nabla (\eta h(u)) - h(u) \nabla \eta) \nabla \eta | |h(u)| \\ &\leq \int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2\alpha} |h(u) \nabla (\eta h(u)) \nabla \eta | + \int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2\alpha} |h(u)|^{2} |\nabla \eta|^{2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2\alpha} h^{2}(u) |\nabla \eta|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2\alpha} |\nabla (\eta h(u))|^{2} \\ &+ \int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2\alpha} |h(u)|^{2} |\nabla \eta|^{2}, \end{split}$$
(3.10)

and by (3.9), we deduce that

$$\begin{split} \int_{B_1} |x|^{2\alpha} \nabla u \nabla (\eta^2 \phi(u)) \\ &\geq \int_{B_1} |x|^{2\alpha} |\nabla (\eta h(u))|^2 - \int_{B_1} |x|^{2\alpha} h^2(u) |\nabla \eta|^2 \\ &- 2C_t \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{B_1} |x|^{2\alpha} h^2(u) |\nabla \eta|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_1} |x|^{2\alpha} |\nabla (\eta h(u))|^2 + \int_{B_1} |x|^{2\alpha} |h(u)|^2 |\nabla \eta|^2 \right) \end{split}$$

$$= \frac{t}{2(t-1)} \int_{B_1} |x|^{2\alpha} |\nabla(\eta h(u))|^2 - (1+3C_t) \int_{B_1} |x|^{2\alpha} h^2(u) |\nabla\eta|^2$$

$$\geq \frac{Ct}{2(t-1)} \left(\int_{B_1} |x|^{2^*\alpha} |\eta h(u)|^{2^*} \right)^{2/2^*} - (1+3C_t) \int_{B_1} |x|^{2\alpha} h^2(u) |\nabla\eta|^2.$$
(3.11)

By (**3.6**), we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2^{*}\alpha} u^{2^{*}-1} \eta^{2} \phi(u) + \int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2\alpha} u \eta^{2} \phi(u) \\ &\leq \frac{t^{2}}{4(t-1)} \int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2^{*}\alpha} |u|^{2^{*}-2} |\eta h(u)|^{2} + \frac{t^{2}}{4(t-1)} \int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2\alpha} |\eta h(u)|^{2} \\ &\leq \frac{t^{2}}{4(t-1)} \left(\int_{\eta \neq 0} |x|^{2^{*}\alpha} |u|^{2^{*}} \right)^{(2^{*}-2)/2^{*}} \left(\int_{B_{1}} |\eta h(u)|^{2^{*}} \right)^{2/2^{*}} \\ &+ \frac{t^{2}}{4(t-1)} \int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2\alpha} |\eta h(u)|^{2}. \end{split}$$
(3.12)

Notice that u is a solution of (3.3), by (3.11) and (3.12) we have

$$\left(\int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2^{*}\alpha} |\eta h(u)|^{2^{*}} \right)^{2/2^{*}}$$

$$\leq \frac{t}{2C} \left(\int_{\eta \neq 0} |x|^{2^{*}\alpha} |u|^{2^{*}} \right)^{(2^{*}-2)/2^{*}} \left(\int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2^{*}\alpha} |\eta h(u)|^{2^{*}} \right)^{2/2^{*}}$$

$$+ \frac{2(1+3C_{t})(t-1)}{Ct} \int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2\alpha} h^{2}(u) |\nabla \eta|^{2} + \frac{t}{2C} \int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2\alpha} |\eta h(u)|^{2}.$$

$$(3.13)$$

Choose r_1 small enough such that $(t/2C)(\int_{\eta \neq 0} |x|^{2^*\alpha} |u|^{2^*})^{(2^*-2)/2^*} < 1/2$. Notice that $2(1 + 3C_t)(t-1)/t < 8$ (since $0 < C_t < 1$ and t > 2) and $|\nabla \eta| < 2/(r_1 - r_2)$, from (3.13) we have

$$\left(\int_{B(\theta,r_2)} |x|^{2^*\alpha} |h(u)|^{2^*}\right)^{2/2^*} \le \left(\frac{64}{C(r_1 - r_2)^2} + \frac{t}{C}\right) \int_{B(\theta,r_1)} |x|^{2\alpha} h^2(u).$$
(3.14)

Choosing $2(N - 2\alpha)/(N - 2 + 2\alpha) > t_0 > 2$ and letting $k \to \infty$ in (3.14), we get

$$\left(\int_{B(\theta,r_2)} |x|^{2^*\alpha} |u|^{2^*t_0/2}\right)^{2/2^*} \le \left(\frac{64}{C(r_1 - r_2)^2} + \frac{t_0}{C}\right) \int_{B(\theta,r_1)} |x|^{2\alpha} |u|^{t_0}.$$
(3.15)

By Lemma 3.1, we know that $(\int_{B_1} |x|^{2\alpha} |u|^{t_0})^{2/t_0} \le \int_{B_1} |x|^{2\alpha} |\nabla u|^2 < \infty$. Combining (3.15), we get that

$$\int_{B_1} |x|^{2^* \alpha} |u|^{2^* t_0/2} < \infty.$$
(3.16)

Since

$$\begin{split} \int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2\alpha} \nabla u \nabla(\phi(u)) &= \int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2\alpha} |\nabla(h(u))|^{2} \geq \left(\int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2^{*}\alpha} |h(u)|^{2^{*}} \right)^{2/2^{*}}, \\ \int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2^{*}\alpha} u^{2^{*}-1} \phi(u) + \int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2\alpha} u \phi(u) \\ &\leq \frac{t^{2}}{4(t-1)} \int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2^{*}\alpha} |u|^{2^{*}-2} |h(u)|^{2} + \frac{t^{2}}{4(t-1)} \int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2\alpha} |h(u)|^{2} \\ &\leq \frac{t^{2}}{4(t-1)} \left(\int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2^{*}\alpha} |u|^{2^{*}t_{0}/2} \right)^{2(2^{*}-2)/2^{*}t_{0}} \left(\int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2^{*}\alpha} |h(u)|^{q} \right)^{2/q} \\ &+ \frac{t^{2}}{4(t-1)} \left(\int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2^{*}\alpha} |u|^{2^{*}t_{0}/2} \right)^{2(2^{*}-2)/2^{*}t_{0}} \left(\int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2^{*}\alpha} |h(u)|^{q} \right)^{2/q} \\ &+ \frac{t^{2}}{4(t-1)} \left(\int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2^{*}\alpha} |u|^{2^{*}t_{0}/2} \right)^{1/q'} \left(\int_{B_{1}} |x|^{2^{*}\alpha} |h(u)|^{q} \right)^{2/q}, \end{split}$$

where $q = 2 \cdot 2^* t_0 / ((t_0 - 2)2^* + 4)$ and 2/q + 1/q' = 1, we can deduce that if $\epsilon > 0$ small enough and $t_0 \in (2, 2 + \epsilon)$, then $(2\alpha - 2^*\alpha/q)q' > -2$. Thus $(\int_{B_1} |x|^{(2\alpha - 2^*\alpha/q)q'})^{1/q'} < \infty$. Let $C' = (\int_{B_1} |x|^{2^*\alpha} |u|^{2^*t_0/2})^{2(2^*-2)/2^*t_0} + (\int_{B_1} |x|^{(2\alpha - 2^*\alpha/q)q'})^{1/q'}$, then by (3.17), we have

$$\left(\int_{B_1} |x|^{2^*\alpha} |h(u)|^{2^*}\right)^{2/2^*} \le \frac{C't^2}{4(t-1)} \left(\int_{B_1} |x|^{2^*\alpha} |h(u)|^q\right)^{2/q}.$$
(3.18)

Letting $k \to \infty$, we get

$$|u|_{2^*t/2, 2^*\alpha} \le \left(\frac{C't^2}{4(t-1)}\right)^{1/t} |u|_{qt/2, 2^*\alpha},\tag{3.19}$$

where $|u|_{l,2^*\alpha} := (\int_{B_1} |x|^{2^*\alpha} |u|^l)^{1/l}$.

Choose $t_1 = (2^*/q)^n$, n = 1, 2, ... Then by (3.19) we have

$$|u|_{2^*t_n/2, 2^*\alpha} \le \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{C't_i^2}{4(t_i-1)}\right)^{1/t_i} |u|_{2^*/2, 2^*\alpha}.$$
(3.20)

Letting $n \to \infty$, we deduce that $u \in L^{\infty}(B_1)$. Thus there is $C_2 > 0$ such that $v(x) \le C_2 |x|^{\alpha}$.

Since div $(|x|^{2\alpha}\nabla u) \le 0$, by [4, Lemma 4.2], we have $u(x) \ge C'' > 0$ for $x \in B_{\delta}$. So, there is $C_1 > 0$ such that $u(x) \ge C_1 |x|^{\alpha}$ for $x \in B_{\delta}$.

References

- [1] H. Brézis and L. Nirenberg, *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **36** (1983), no. 4, 437–477.
- [2] L. A. Caffarelli, R. Kohn, and L. Nirenberg, *First order interpolation inequalities with weights*, Compositio Math. 53 (1984), no. 3, 259–275.
- [3] F. Catrina and Z.-Q. Wang, On the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities: sharp constants, existence (and nonexistence), and symmetry of extremal functions, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 54 (2001), no. 2, 229–258.
- [4] K. S. Chou and C. W. Chu, On the best constant for a weighted Sobolev-Hardy inequality, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 48 (1993), no. 1, 137–151.
- [5] K. S. Chou and D. Geng, On the critical dimension of a semilinear degenerate elliptic equation involving critical Sobolev-Hardy exponent, Nonlinear Anal. 26 (1996), no. 12, 1965–1984.
- [6] A. Ferrero and F. Gazzola, *Existence of solutions for singular critical growth semilinear elliptic equations*, J. Differential Equations **177** (2001), no. 2, 494–522.
- [7] E. Jannelli, *The role played by space dimension in elliptic critical problems*, J. Differential Equations 156 (1999), no. 2, 407–426.
- [8] D. Ruiz and M. Willem, *Elliptic problems with critical exponents and Hardy potentials*, J. Differential Equations 190 (2003), no. 2, 524–538.
- [9] D. Smets and A. Tesei, *On a class of singular elliptic problems with first order terms*, Adv. Differential Equations **8** (2003), no. 3, 257–278.
- [10] M. Willem, *Minimax Theorems*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications, vol. 24, Birkhäuser Boston, Massachusetts, 1996.

Shaowei Chen: Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics and System Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, China *E-mail address*: chensw@mail.amss.ac.cn

E-mail adaress: chensw@mail.amss.ac.cn

Shujie Li: Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics and System Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, China

E-mail address: lisj@math.ac.cn