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#### Abstract

The existence and uniqueness of a solution of the first, the second and the third plane boundary value problem are considered for the basic homogeneous equations of statics in the theory of elastic mixtures. Applying the general Kolosov-Muskhelishvili representations from [1], these problems can be splitted and reduced to the first and the second boundary value problem for an elliptic equation which structurally coincides with the equation of statics of an isotropic elastic body.


## Introduction

Analogues of Kolosov-Muskhelishvili representation formulas were obtained in [1] for equations of statics in the theory of elastic mixtures. These formulas have various applications. In this paper they will be used to reduce the first, the second and the third boundary value problems of statics in the theory of elastic mixtures [2] to the first and the second boundary value problem for an elliptic equation which structurally coincides with an equation of statics of an isotropic elastic body. It will be shown that the theory and methods of solving the boundary value problems developed in [3] can be extended to the plane boundary value problems of statics in the theory of elastic mixtures.

## § 1. First Boundary Value Problem

As is known [2], the first boundary value problem is considered with a displacement vector given on the boundary. To split this problem we have

[^0]to use the general representations of displacement vector components [1] having the form
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}+i u_{2}=m_{1} \varphi_{1}(z)+m_{2} \varphi_{2}(z)+\frac{z}{2}\left[l_{4} \overline{\varphi_{1}^{\prime}(z)}+l_{5} \overline{\varphi_{2}^{\prime}(z)}\right]+\overline{\psi_{1}(z)}  \tag{1.1}\\
& u_{3}+i u_{4}=m_{2} \varphi_{1}(z)+m_{3} \varphi_{2}(z)+\frac{z}{2}\left[l_{5} \overline{\varphi_{1}^{\prime}(z)}+l_{6} \overline{\varphi_{2}^{\prime}(z)}\right]+\overline{\psi_{2}(z)}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

where $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right)$ is a four-dimensional displacement vector; $\varphi_{1}(z)$, $\varphi_{2}(z), \psi_{1}(z), \psi_{2}(z)$ are arbitrary analytic functions, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{1}=e_{1}+\frac{l_{4}}{2}, \quad m_{2}=e_{2}+\frac{l_{5}}{2}, \quad m_{3}=e_{3}+\frac{l_{6}}{2} \\
& l_{1}=\frac{a_{2}}{d_{2}}, \quad l_{2}=-\frac{c}{d_{2}}, \quad l_{3}=\frac{a_{1}}{d_{2}}, \quad d_{2}=a_{1} a_{2}-c^{2}>0  \tag{1.2}\\
& l_{1}+l_{4}=\frac{a_{2}+b_{2}}{d_{1}}, \quad l_{2}+l_{5}=-\frac{c+d}{d_{1}}, \quad l_{3}+l_{6}=\frac{a_{1}+b_{1}}{d_{1}} \\
& d_{1}=\left(a_{1}+b_{1}\right)\left(a_{2}+b_{2}\right)-(c+d)^{2}>0
\end{align*}
$$

the coefficients $a_{1}, b_{1}, a_{2}, b_{2}, c, d$ are contained in the basic homogeneous equations of statics in the theory of elastic mixtures which are written as [1]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1} \Delta u^{\prime}+b_{1} \operatorname{grad} \theta^{\prime}+c \Delta u^{\prime \prime}+d \operatorname{grad} \theta^{\prime \prime}=0 \\
& c \Delta u^{\prime}+d \operatorname{grad} \theta^{\prime}+a_{2} \Delta u^{\prime \prime}+b_{2} \operatorname{grad} \theta^{\prime \prime}=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u^{\prime}=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right), u^{\prime \prime}=\left(u_{3}, u_{4}\right)$ are partial displacements of an elastic mixture and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta^{\prime}=\operatorname{div} u^{\prime}, \quad \theta^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{div} u^{\prime \prime} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To reduce the first boundary value problem of statics in the theory of elastic mixtures to the first boundary value problem of statics of an isotropic elastic body we rewrite (1.1) as

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{1}+ & X u_{3}+i\left(u_{2}+X u_{4}\right)=\left(m_{1}+X m_{2}\right) \varphi_{1}(z)+\left(m_{2}+X m_{3}\right) \varphi_{2}(z)+ \\
& +\frac{z}{2}\left[\left(l_{4}+X l_{5}\right) \overline{\varphi_{1}^{\prime}(z)}+\left(l_{5}+X l_{6}\right) \overline{\varphi_{2}^{\prime}(z)}\right]+\overline{\psi_{1}(z)}+X \overline{\psi_{2}(z)} \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $X$ is an arbitrary real constant. We define the unknown $X$ by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{m_{2}+X m_{3}}{m_{1}+X m_{2}}=\frac{l_{5}+X l_{6}}{l_{4}+X l_{5}} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the formulas [1,2]

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \Delta_{0} \varepsilon_{1}=l_{5} m_{2}-l_{4} m_{3}, \quad 2 \Delta_{0} \varepsilon_{3}=l_{4} m_{2}-l_{5} m_{1}, \\
& 2 \Delta_{0} \varepsilon_{2}=l_{6} m_{2}-l_{5} m_{3}, \quad 2 \Delta_{0} \varepsilon_{4}=l_{5} m_{2}-l_{6} m_{1},  \tag{1.7}\\
& \Delta_{0}=m_{1} m_{3}-m_{2}^{2}>0
\end{align*}
$$

and their equivalent formulas

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta_{0} \varepsilon_{1}=2\left(a_{2} b_{1}-c d\right)+b_{1} b_{2}-d^{2}, \quad \delta_{0} \varepsilon_{3}=2\left(d a_{2}-c b_{2}\right), \\
& \delta_{0} \varepsilon_{2}=2\left(d a_{1}-c b_{1}\right), \quad \delta_{0} \varepsilon_{4}=2\left(a_{1} b_{2}-c d\right)+b_{1} b_{2}-d^{2},  \tag{1.8}\\
& \delta_{0}=\left(2 a_{1}+b_{1}\right)\left(2 a_{2}+b_{2}\right)-(2 c+d)^{2}=4 \Delta_{0} d_{1} d_{2}>0,
\end{align*}
$$

by (1.6) we obtain the quadratic equation with respect to $X$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{2} X^{2}-\left(\varepsilon_{4}-\varepsilon_{1}\right) X-\varepsilon_{3}=0 \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\varepsilon_{2}$ and $\varepsilon_{3}$ do not vanish simultaneously. Indeed, if the equality $\varepsilon_{2}=\varepsilon_{3}=0$ is satisfied, then by virtue of (1.8) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{b_{1}}{a_{1}}=\frac{d}{c}=\frac{b_{2}}{a_{2}}=\lambda \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant $\lambda \neq 0$, since for $\lambda=0$ equality (1.10) implies $b_{1}=d=b_{2}=0$ and (1.3) gives

$$
a_{1} \Delta u^{\prime}+c \Delta u^{\prime \prime}=0, \quad c \Delta u^{\prime}+a_{2} \Delta u^{\prime \prime}=0
$$

Hence, taking into account that $d_{2}=a_{1} a_{2}-c^{2}>0$ [2], we have

$$
\Delta u^{\prime}=0, \quad \Delta u^{\prime \prime}=0
$$

Thus we have obtained a trivial case of an elastic mixture.
Now, substituting (1.10) into (1.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}\left(\Delta u^{\prime}+\lambda \operatorname{grad} \theta^{\prime}\right)+c\left(\Delta u^{\prime \prime}+\lambda \operatorname{grad} \theta^{\prime \prime}\right)=0 \\
& c\left(\Delta u^{\prime}+\lambda \operatorname{grad} \theta^{\prime}\right)+a_{2}\left(\Delta u^{\prime \prime}+\lambda \operatorname{grad} \theta^{\prime \prime}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, again taking into account that $a_{1} a_{2}-c^{2}>0$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u^{\prime}+\lambda \operatorname{grad} \theta^{\prime}=0, \quad \Delta u^{\prime \prime}+\lambda \operatorname{grad} \theta^{\prime \prime}=0 \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., we have splitted the first boundary value problem. For $u^{\prime}$ and $u^{\prime \prime}$ the splitted problems are investigated by the same technique as the first boundary value problem of statics of an isotropic elastic body. Since $1+\lambda=$ $\frac{a_{1}+b_{1}}{a_{1}}=\frac{a_{2}+b_{2}}{a_{2}}>0$, equations (1.11) are elliptic.
Thus we have shown that $\varepsilon_{2}$ and $\varepsilon_{3}$ do not vanish simultaneously.
In what follows it will be assumed that $\varepsilon_{2} \neq 0$. This assumption can be made without loss of generality. Indeed, if $\varepsilon_{3} \neq 0$ and $\varepsilon_{2}=0$, then equation
(1.9) has only one root, which is not sufficient for our further investigation. So we have to combine (1.1) and (1.5) as follows:

$$
u_{3}+Y u_{1}+i\left(u_{4}+Y u_{2}\right)
$$

By repeating the above arguments we obtain the quadratic equation

$$
\varepsilon_{3} Y^{2}-\left(\varepsilon_{4}-\varepsilon_{1}\right) Y=0
$$

which yields

$$
Y_{1}=0, \quad Y_{2}=\frac{\varepsilon_{4}-\varepsilon_{1}}{\varepsilon_{3}}
$$

Thus we have derived two roots, which enables us to accomplish our task.
It is important that the roots of equation (1.9) be real values. The discriminant of equation (1.9) can be written as
$\left(\varepsilon_{4}-\varepsilon_{1}\right)^{2}+4 \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3}=\frac{4}{\delta_{0}^{2} a_{1} a_{2}}\left\{\left[a_{2}\left(d a_{1}-c b_{1}\right)+a_{1}\left(d a_{2}-c b_{2}\right)\right]^{2}+d_{2}\left(a_{1} b_{2}-a_{2} b_{1}\right)^{2}\right\}$.
The latter expression vanishes only if conditions (1.10) are fulfilled. In what follows this trivial case will be omitted.

Thus equation (1.9) has two different real roots:

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{1}=\frac{\varepsilon_{4}-\varepsilon_{1}+\sqrt{\left(\varepsilon_{4}-\varepsilon_{1}\right)^{2}+4 \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3}}}{2 \varepsilon_{2}}  \tag{1.12}\\
& X_{2}=\frac{\varepsilon_{4}-\varepsilon_{1}-\sqrt{\left(\varepsilon_{4}-\varepsilon_{1}\right)^{2}+4 \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3}}}{2 \varepsilon_{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

Rewriting condition (1.5) for $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ separately, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{1} & +X_{1} u_{3}+i\left(u_{2}+X_{1} u_{4}\right)=\left(m_{1}+X_{1} m_{2}\right) \varphi_{1}(z)+ \\
& +\left(m_{2}+X_{1} m_{3}\right) \varphi_{2}(z)-k_{1} z\left[\left(m_{1}+X_{1} m_{2}\right) \overline{\varphi_{1}^{\prime}(z)}+\right. \\
& \left.+\left(m_{2}+X_{1} m_{3}\right) \overline{\varphi_{2}^{\prime}(z)}\right]+\overline{\psi_{1}(z)}+X_{1} \overline{\psi_{2}(z)} \\
u_{1} & +X_{2} u_{3}+i\left(u_{2}+X_{2} u_{4}\right)=\left(m_{1}+X_{2} m_{2}\right) \varphi_{1}(z)+  \tag{1.13}\\
& +\left(m_{2}+X_{2} m_{3}\right) \varphi_{2}(z)-k_{2} z\left[\left(m_{1}+X_{2} m_{2}\right) \overline{\varphi_{1}^{\prime}(z)}+\right. \\
& \left.+\left(m_{2}+X_{2} m_{3}\right) \overline{\varphi_{2}^{\prime}(z)}\right]+\overline{\psi_{1}(z)}+X_{2} \overline{\psi_{2}(z)},
\end{align*}
$$

where we have introduced the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{j}=-\frac{l_{4}+X_{j} l_{5}}{2\left(m_{1}+X_{j} m_{2}\right)}, \quad j=1,2 \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of (1.7) and (1.9) we readily obtain

$$
k_{j}=\varepsilon_{1}+X_{j} \varepsilon_{2}, \quad j=1,2
$$

Therefore $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ have the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 k_{1}=\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{4}+\sqrt{\left(\varepsilon_{4}-\varepsilon_{1}\right)^{2}+4 \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3}}, \\
& 2 k_{2}=\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{4}-\sqrt{\left(\varepsilon_{4}-\varepsilon_{1}\right)^{2}+4 \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3}} . \tag{1.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Introducing the notation

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{1}+X_{j} u_{3}=v_{1}^{(j)}, \quad u_{2}+X_{j} u_{4}=v_{2}^{(j)}  \tag{1.16}\\
\left(m_{1}+X_{j} m_{2}\right) \varphi_{1}(z)+\left(m_{2}+X_{j} m_{3}\right) \varphi_{2}(z)=\Phi_{j}(z)  \tag{1.17}\\
\psi_{1}(z)+X_{j} \psi_{2}(z)=\Psi_{j}(z), \quad j=1,2
\end{gather*}
$$

we can rewrite (1.13) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}^{(j)}+i v_{2}^{(j)}=\Phi_{j}(z)-k_{j} z \overline{\Phi_{j}^{\prime}(z)}+\overline{\Psi_{j}(z)}, \quad j=1,2 \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi_{1}(z), \Phi_{2}(z), \Psi_{1}(z), \Psi_{2}(z)$ are new analytic functions.
Note that structurally (1.18) coincides with the general Kolosov-Muskhelishvili representation for displacement vector components.

It is obvious by (1.16) that if $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}$ are given, this will mean that $v_{1}^{(j)}$ and $v_{2}^{(j)}, j=1,2$, are given, too. It is likewise obvious that if $v_{1}^{(j)}$ and $v_{2}^{(j)}, j=1,2$, are found, then

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u_{1}=\frac{-X_{2} v_{1}^{(1)}+X_{1} v_{1}^{(2)}}{X_{1}-X_{2}}, & u_{2}=\frac{-X_{2} v_{2}^{(1)}+X_{1} v_{2}^{(2)}}{X_{1}-X_{2}} \\
u_{3}=\frac{v_{1}^{(1)}-v_{1}^{(2)}}{X_{1}-X_{2}}, & u_{4}=\frac{v_{2}^{(1)}-v_{2}^{(2)}}{X_{1}-X_{2}} . \tag{1.19}
\end{array}
$$

(1.17) immediately implies that when $\Phi_{j}(z)$ and $\Psi_{j}(z), j=1,2$, are known, we can define $\varphi_{1}(z), \varphi_{2}(z), \psi_{1}(z), \psi_{2}(z)$ uniquely and write them as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_{1}(z)=\frac{-\left(m_{2}+X_{2} m_{3}\right) \Phi_{1}(z)+\left(m_{2}+X_{1} m_{3}\right) \Phi_{2}(z)}{\left(X_{1}-X_{2}\right) \Delta_{0}} \\
& \varphi_{2}(z)=\frac{\left(m_{1}+X_{2} m_{2}\right) \Phi_{1}(z)-\left(m_{1}+X_{1} m_{2}\right) \Phi_{2}(z)}{\left(X_{1}-X_{2}\right) \Delta_{0}}  \tag{1.20}\\
& \psi_{1}(z)=\frac{-X_{2} \Psi_{1}+X_{1} \Psi_{2}}{X_{1}-X_{2}}, \quad \psi_{2}(z)=\frac{\Psi_{1}-\Psi_{2}}{X_{1}-X_{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we shall show which equation is satisfied by the vector $v^{(j)}=$ $\left(v_{1}^{(j)}, v_{2}^{(j)}\right), j=1,2$. Let this equation have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta v^{(j)}+M_{j} \operatorname{grad} \operatorname{div} v^{(j)}=0, \quad j=1,2 \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and define $M_{j}$ depending on $k_{j}$.

By simple calculations we find from (1.18) that
$\operatorname{div} v^{j)}=2\left(1-k_{j}\right) \operatorname{Re} \Phi_{j}^{\prime}(z), \Delta v_{1}^{(j)}=-4 k_{j} \operatorname{Re} \Phi_{j}^{\prime \prime}(z), \Delta v_{2}^{(j)}=4 k_{j} \operatorname{Im} \Phi_{j}^{\prime \prime}(z)$.
After substituting the latter expression into (1.21) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{j}=\frac{2 k_{j}}{1-k_{j}}, \quad j=1,2 \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore we have proved that the vector $v^{(j)}=\left(v_{1}^{(j)}, v_{2}^{(j)}\right)$ defined by (1.18) satisfies equation (1.21) if $M_{j}$ is given by (1.22). It will be shown below that $\left|k_{j}\right|<1,1+M_{j}>0, j=1,2$. The latter inequality is a sufficient condition for system (1.21) to be elliptic.

Thus in the theory of elastic mixtures the first boundary value problem for an equation of statics is splitted, in the general case, into two problems for equation (1.21) which structurally coincides with an equation of statics of an isotropic elastic body.

Similarly to the first boundary value problem for an equation of statics of an isotropic elastic body [3] we can derive here an integral Fredholm equation of second order for equation (1.21) using the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}^{(j)}+i v_{2}^{(j)}=F_{j}(t), \quad t \in S, \quad j=1,2 \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, if we define the functions $\Phi_{j}(z)$ and $\Psi_{j}(z), j=1,2$, from (1.18) by means of the potentials

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{j}(z) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S} g_{j}(\zeta) \frac{\partial \ln \sigma}{\partial s(y)} d s \\
\Psi_{j}(z) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S} \bar{g}_{j}(\zeta) \frac{\partial \ln \sigma}{\partial s(y)} d s-\frac{k_{j}}{2 \pi i} \int_{S} g_{j}(\zeta) \frac{\partial}{\partial s(y)} \frac{\bar{\zeta}}{\sigma} d s \tag{1.24}
\end{align*}
$$

then

$$
\overline{\Phi_{j}^{\prime}(z)}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S} \overline{g_{j}(\zeta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial s(y)} \frac{1}{\bar{\sigma}} d s
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}^{(j)}+i v_{2}^{(j)}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S} g_{j}(\zeta) \frac{\partial}{\partial s(y)} \ln \frac{\sigma}{\bar{\sigma}} d s+\frac{k_{j}}{2 \pi i} \int_{S} \overline{g_{j}(\zeta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial s(y)} \frac{\sigma}{\bar{\sigma}} d s \tag{1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (1.24) and (1.25) $g_{j}(\zeta)$ are the desired functions of the point $\zeta=$ $y_{1}+i y_{2}$, where $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ are the coordinates of the point $y \in s, \sigma=z-\zeta$, $\bar{\sigma}=\bar{z}-\bar{\zeta}, z=x_{1}+i x_{2}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial s(y)}=n_{1}(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2}}-n_{2}(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{1}} \tag{1.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n=\left(n_{1}(y), n_{2}(y)\right)$ is the external (with respect to the finite domain $D^{+}$) normal unit vector at the point $y$, while $s$ is the Lyapunov curve.

Passing to the limit as $z \rightarrow t \in s$, externally or internally, to define $g_{j}$ we obtain the integral Fredholm equation of second kind

$$
\begin{align*}
\pm g_{j}(t) & +\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S} g_{j}(\zeta) \frac{\partial}{\partial s(y)} \ln \frac{t-\zeta}{\bar{t}-\bar{\zeta}} d s+ \\
& +\frac{k_{j}}{2 \pi i} \int_{S} \overline{g_{j}(\zeta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial s(y)} \frac{t-\zeta}{\bar{t}-\bar{\zeta}} d s=F_{j}(t) \tag{1.27}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{j}(t)=f_{1}+X_{j} f_{3}+i\left(f_{2}+X_{j} f_{4}\right), \quad j=1,2 \tag{1.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $f_{k}(t)=\left(u_{k}\right)^{ \pm}, \quad k=\overline{1,4}$.
Equations (1.27) have a simple form and are very helpful both for theoretical investigations and for an effective solution of the first boundary value problem. These equations actually coincide with the Sherman-Lauricella equation [3].

Let us investigate the parameters $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ in (1.27) which are defined by (1.15).

Formula (1.15) gives rise to

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}+k_{2}=\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{4}, \quad k_{1} k_{2}=\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{4}-\varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3}, \tag{1.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1-k_{1}+1-k_{2}=2-\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{4} \\
& \left(1-k_{1}\right)\left(1-k_{2}\right)=1-\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{4}+\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{4}-\varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3} \tag{1.30}
\end{align*}
$$

By easy calculations it follows from (1.8) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta_{0}\left(\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{4}\right)=2\left(a_{1} b_{2}+a_{2} b_{1}-2 c d+b_{1} b_{2}-d^{2}\right) \\
& \delta_{0}\left(\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{4}-\varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3}\right)=b_{1} b_{2}-d^{2} \tag{1.31}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting the latter expression into (1.30) and performing some simple transformations, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
1-k_{1}+1-k_{2}=\frac{2}{\delta_{0}}\left\{4 \Delta_{1}-3 \lambda_{5}\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}+2 \mu_{3}\right)+\right. \\
+\frac{1}{a_{1}\left(b_{2}-\lambda_{5}\right)}\left[a_{1}\left(b_{2}-\lambda_{5}\right)-c\left(d+\lambda_{3}\right)\right]^{2}+ \\
\left.+\frac{d_{2}\left(b_{1}-\lambda_{5}\right)\left(b_{2}-\lambda_{5}\right)+c^{2}\left[\left(b_{1}-\lambda_{5}\right)\left(b_{2}-\lambda_{5}\right)-\left(d+\lambda_{5}\right)^{2}\right]}{a_{1}\left(b_{2}-\lambda_{5}\right)}\right\}  \tag{1.32}\\
\left(1-k_{1}\right)\left(1-k_{2}\right)=1-\frac{2\left(a_{1} b_{2}+a_{2} b_{1}-2 c d+b_{1} b_{2}-d^{2}\right)}{\delta_{0}}+ \\
+\frac{b_{1} b_{2}-d^{2}}{\delta_{0}}=\frac{4 d_{2}}{\delta_{0}}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since the potential energy of an elastic mixture is positive definite [2], we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta_{1}=\mu_{1} \mu_{2}-\mu_{3}^{2}>0, \quad \lambda_{5}<0, \quad \mu_{1}+\mu_{2}+2 \mu_{3}>0 \\
& d_{2}=a_{1} a_{2}-c^{2}=\Delta_{1}-\lambda_{5}\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}+2 \mu_{3}\right)>0  \tag{1.33}\\
& a_{1}>0, \quad b_{2}-\lambda_{5}>0, \quad\left(b_{1}-\lambda_{5}\right)\left(b_{2}-\lambda_{5}\right)-\left(d+\lambda_{5}\right)^{2}>0
\end{align*}
$$

With (1.33) taken into account, (1.32) implies that the sum and the product of two values $1-k_{1}$ and $1-k_{2}$ are greater than zero. Hence we conclude that each value is positive.

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}<1, \quad k_{2}<1 \tag{1.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

In quite a similar manner, with (1.15) taken into account, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1+k_{1}+1+k_{2}=2+\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{4} \\
& \left(1+k_{1}\right)\left(1+k_{2}\right)=1+\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{4}+\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{4}-\varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now using (1.31) and performing cumbersome calculations, we derive

$$
\begin{gathered}
1+k_{1}+1+k_{2}=\frac{2}{\delta_{0}}\left\{4 \Delta_{1}-\lambda_{5}\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}+2 \mu_{3}\right)+\right. \\
+2\left[\left(b_{1}-\lambda_{5}\right)\left(b_{2}-\lambda_{5}\right)-\left(d+\lambda_{5}\right)^{2}\right]+ \\
+\frac{1}{\left(3 \mu_{1}-\lambda_{5}\right)\left(b_{2}-\lambda_{5}\right)}\left[\left(3 \mu_{1}-\lambda_{5}\right)\left(b_{2}-\lambda_{5}\right)-\left(3 \mu_{3}+\lambda_{5}\right)\left(d+\lambda_{5}\right)\right]^{2}+ \\
+\frac{\left[\left(b_{1}-\lambda_{5}\right)\left(b_{2}-\lambda_{5}\right)-\left(d+\lambda_{5}\right)^{2}\right]\left(3 \mu_{1}-\lambda_{5}\right)\left(3 \mu_{2}-\lambda_{5}\right)}{\left(3 \mu_{1}-\lambda_{5}\right)\left(b_{2}-\lambda_{5}\right)}+ \\
\left.+3\left(d+\lambda_{5}\right)^{2}\left[3 \Delta_{1}-\lambda_{5}\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}+2 \mu_{3}\right)\right]\right\} \\
\left.\times\left[\left(b_{1}-\lambda_{5}\right)\left(b_{2}-\lambda_{5}\right)-\left(d+\lambda_{3}\right)^{2}\right]+\frac{1}{b_{2}-\lambda_{5}}\left[\mu_{1}\left(b_{2}-\lambda_{5}\right)-\mu_{3}\left(d+\lambda_{5}\right)\right]^{2}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence, as above, we conclude that $1+k_{1}$ and $1+k_{2}$ are greater than zero, i. e., which together with (1.34) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-1<k_{j}<1, \quad j=1,2 \tag{1.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is interesting to note that for the parameter $k_{1}$ one can obtain a more narrow change interval. Indeed, by virtue of (1.32) formula (1.22) for $j=1$
can be rewritten as

$$
M_{1}=\frac{2 k_{1}\left(1-k_{2}\right) \delta_{0}}{4 d_{2}}
$$

By virtue of (1.15) and (1.31) the latter formula takes the form

$$
M_{1}=\frac{a_{1} b_{2}+a_{2} b_{1}-2 c d}{2 d_{2}}+\frac{\delta_{0}}{4 d_{2}} \sqrt{\left(\varepsilon_{4}-\varepsilon_{1}\right)^{2}+4 \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3}},
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{1}+\frac{1}{2} & =\frac{a_{1}\left(b_{2}-\lambda_{5}\right)+a_{2}\left(b_{1}-\lambda_{5}\right)-2 c\left(d+\lambda_{5}\right) \Delta_{1}}{2 d_{2}}+ \\
& +\frac{\delta_{0}}{4 d_{2}} \sqrt{\left(\varepsilon_{4}-\varepsilon_{1}\right)^{2}+4 \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3}}>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}>-\frac{1}{2} . \tag{1.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using now (1.22), it is easy to establish that $k_{1}>-\frac{1}{3}$ and thus we obtain the interval

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.k_{1} \in\right]-\frac{1}{3}, 1[. \tag{1.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that though inequality (1.36) does not hold for $M_{2}$, we can rewrite $M_{2}$ similarly to $M_{1}$ as follows:

$$
M_{2}=\frac{a_{1} b_{2}+a_{2} b_{1}-2 c d}{2 d_{2}}-\frac{\delta_{0}}{4 d_{2}} \sqrt{\left(\varepsilon_{4}-\varepsilon_{1}\right)^{2}+4 \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3}}
$$

Applying (1.35), we find from (1.22) that

$$
1+M_{j}=\frac{1+k_{j}}{1-k_{j}}>0, \quad j=1,2
$$

Therefore equation (1.21) is elliptic.
Let us now rewrite (1.21) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta v+M \operatorname{grad} \operatorname{div} v=0 \tag{1.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v=v^{(j)}, j=1,2$, when $M=M_{j}$.
For equation (1.38) we introduce the generalized stress vector [2]:

$$
\stackrel{\varkappa}{T} v=(1+\varkappa) \frac{\partial v}{\partial n}+(M-\varkappa) n \operatorname{div} v+\varkappa s\left(\frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}-\frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}\right)
$$

where $n=\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$ is an arbitrary unit vector, $s=-\left(n_{2}, n_{1}\right)$, and $\varkappa$ an arbitrary constant.

For the generalized stress vector we choose a particular case with $\varkappa=$ $\frac{M}{M+2}$.

Then $\stackrel{\varkappa}{T} \equiv N$ and the Green formula for the finite domain $D^{+}$and the infinite domain $D^{-}$will respectively have the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{D^{+}} N(v, v) d y_{1} d y_{2}=\int_{S} v N v d s  \tag{1.39}\\
& \int_{D^{-}} N(v, v) d y_{1} d y_{2}=-\int_{S} v N v d s \tag{1.40}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
N(v, v)= & \frac{(1+M)^{2}}{M+2}\left(\frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial y_{1}}+\frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial y_{2}}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{M+2}\left(\frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial y_{1}}-\frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial y_{2}}\right)^{2}+ \\
& +\frac{M+1}{M+2}\left[\left(\frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial y_{2}}+\frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial y_{1}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial y_{1}}-\frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial y_{2}}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{1.41}
\end{align*}
$$

For equation (1.38) $1+M>0$, which means that $N(v, v)$ defined by (1.41) is positive definite.

Formulae (1.39) and (1.40) hold when $v$ is a regular vector [2]. Moreover, for the infinite domain $D^{-}$the vector $v$ satisfies the conditions

$$
v=O(1), \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_{k}}=O\left(R^{-2}\right), \quad k=1,2,
$$

where $R^{2}=y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}$.
The operator $N$ plays an important role in the investigation of the first boundary value problem.

Our further discussion and effective solution of the first boundary value problem proceed exactly in the same way as for an equation of statics of an isotropic elastic body.

## § 2. Second Boundary Value Problem

The second boundary value problem is investigated with the vector $T u$ given on the boundary. The projections of this vector are defined as follows [1]:

$$
\begin{align*}
(T u)_{2} & -i(T u)_{1}=\frac{\partial}{\partial s(x)}\left\{\left(A_{1}-2\right) \varphi_{1}(z)+A_{2} \varphi_{2}(z)+\right. \\
& \left.+z\left[B_{1} \overline{\varphi_{1}^{\prime}(z)}+B_{2} \overline{\varphi_{2}^{\prime}(z)}\right]+2 \mu_{1} \overline{\psi_{1}(z)}+2 \mu_{3} \overline{\psi_{2}(z)}\right\}  \tag{2.1}\\
(T u)_{4} & -i(T u)_{3}=\frac{\partial}{\partial s(x)}\left\{A_{3} \varphi_{1}(z)+\left(A_{4}-2\right) \varphi_{2}(z)+\right. \\
& \left.+z\left[B_{3} \overline{\varphi_{1}^{\prime}(z)}+B_{4} \overline{\varphi_{2}^{\prime}(z)}\right]+2 \mu_{3} \overline{\psi_{1}(z)}+2 \mu_{2} \overline{\psi_{2}(z)}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varphi_{k}(z)$ and $\psi_{k}(z)(k=1,2)$ are arbitrary analytic functions; the constants $A_{k}$ and $B_{k}(k=\overline{1,4})$ have the values:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{1}=2\left(\mu_{1} m_{1}+\mu_{3} m_{2}\right)=2+B_{1}+2 \lambda_{5} \frac{a_{2}+c}{d_{2}}, \quad B_{1}=\mu_{1} l_{4}+\mu_{3} l_{5} \\
& A_{2}=2\left(\mu_{1} m_{2}+\mu_{3} m_{3}\right)=B_{2}-2 \lambda_{5} \frac{a_{1}+c}{d_{2}}, \quad B_{2}=\mu_{1} l_{5}+\mu_{3} l_{6}  \tag{2.2}\\
& A_{3}=2\left(\mu_{3} m_{1}+\mu_{2} m_{2}\right)=B_{3}-2 \lambda_{5} \frac{a_{2}+c}{d_{2}}, \quad B_{3}=\mu_{3} l_{4}+\mu_{2} l_{5} \\
& A_{4}=2\left(\mu_{3} m_{2}+\mu_{2} m_{3}\right)=2+B_{4}+2 \lambda_{5} \frac{a_{1}+c}{d_{2}}, \quad B_{4}=\mu_{3} l_{5}+\mu_{2} l_{6}
\end{align*}
$$

the operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial s(x)}$ is defined by (1.26).
Representations (2.1) can be rewritten equivalently as

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{2}-i F_{1}+c_{1}=\left(A_{1}-2\right) \varphi_{1}(z)+A_{2} \varphi_{2}(z)+ \\
& \quad+z\left[B_{1} \overline{\varphi_{1}^{\prime}(z)}+B_{2} \overline{\varphi_{2}^{\prime}(z)}\right]+2 \mu_{1} \overline{\psi_{1}(z)}+2 \mu_{3} \overline{\psi_{2}(z)}  \tag{2.3}\\
& F_{4}
\end{align*}-i F_{3}+c_{2}=A_{3} \varphi_{1}(z)+\left(A_{4}-2\right) \varphi_{2}(z)+6 .
$$

where $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are arbitrary constants and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{k}=\int_{0}^{S(x)}(T u)_{k} d s, \quad k=\overline{1,4} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we combine (2.3) as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{2}+X F_{4}-i\left(F_{1}+X F_{3}\right)+c_{1}+X c_{2}=\left(A_{1}-2+X A_{3}\right) \varphi_{1}(z)+ \\
& \quad+\left[A_{2}+X\left(A_{4}-2\right)\right] \varphi_{2}(z)+z\left[\left(B_{1}+X B_{3}\right) \overline{\varphi_{1}^{\prime}(z)}+\right. \\
& \left.+\left(B_{2}+X B_{4}\right) \overline{\varphi_{2}^{\prime}(z)}\right]+2\left(\mu_{1}+X \mu_{3}\right) \overline{\psi_{1}(z)}+2\left(\mu_{3}+X \mu_{2}\right) \overline{\psi_{2}(z)} \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $X$ is the unknown constant value.
Define $X$ by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{B_{2}+X B_{4}}{B_{1}+X B_{3}}=\frac{A_{2}+X\left(A_{4}-2\right)}{A_{1}-2+X A_{3}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the notation [2]

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{1}=B_{1}\left(2-A_{4}\right)+B_{2} A_{3}, & H_{2}=B_{1} A_{2}+B_{2}\left(2-A_{1}\right) \\
H_{3}=B_{3}\left(2-A_{4}\right)+B_{4} A_{3}, & H_{4}=B_{3} A_{2}+B_{4}\left(2-A_{1}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{array}
$$

we can rewrite (2.6) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{3} X^{2}-\left(H_{4}-H_{1}\right) X-H_{2}=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By substituting the coefficients from (2.2) and (1.2) into (2.7) we obtain, for $H_{k}(k=\overline{1,4})$, the new expressions

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{1}=-\Delta_{2}+\frac{2 \lambda_{5}}{d_{2}}\left[\left(a_{1}+c\right) A_{3}+\left(a_{2}+c\right)\left(A_{4}-2\right)\right] \\
& H_{2}=\frac{2 \lambda_{5}}{d_{2}}\left[\left(a_{1}+c\right)\left(2-A_{1}\right)-\left(a_{2}+c\right) A_{2}\right]  \tag{2.9}\\
& H_{3}=\frac{2 \lambda_{5}}{d_{2}}\left[\left(a_{2}+c\right)\left(2-A_{4}\right)-\left(a_{1}+c\right) A_{3}\right] \\
& H_{4}=-\Delta_{2}-\frac{2 \lambda_{5}}{d_{2}}\left[\left(a_{1}+c\right)\left(2-A_{1}\right)-\left(a_{2}+c\right) A_{2}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta_{2}=\left(2-A_{1}\right)\left(2-A_{4}\right)-A_{2} A_{3} \\
& H_{1}+H_{3}=-\Delta_{2}, \quad H_{2}+H_{4}=-\Delta_{2} \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

We shall show that the condition $\Delta_{2} \neq 0$ is fulfilled. To this end, using (2.2), we rewrite $\Delta_{2}$ as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta_{2}=\left(B_{1}+2 \lambda_{5} \frac{a_{2}+c}{d_{2}}\right)\left(B_{4}+2 \lambda_{5} \frac{a_{1}+c}{d_{2}}\right)- \\
-\left(B_{2}-2 \lambda_{5} \frac{a_{1}+c}{d_{2}}\right)\left(B_{3}-2 \lambda_{5} \frac{a_{2}+c}{d_{2}}\right)= \\
=B_{1} B_{4}-B_{2} B_{3}+\frac{2 \lambda_{5}}{d_{2}}\left[\left(a_{1}+c\right)\left(B_{1}+B_{3}\right)+\left(a_{2}+c\right)\left(B_{2}+B_{4}\right)\right] . \tag{2.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that, by virtue of (1.2), from (2.2) we readily obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
B_{1} B_{4}-B_{2} B_{3}=\Delta_{1} \frac{b_{1} b_{2}-d^{2}}{d_{1} d_{2}}  \tag{2.12}\\
B_{1}+B_{3}=-\frac{1}{d_{1}}\left(b_{1} b_{2}-d^{2}+a_{2} b_{1}-c d+d a_{2}-c b_{2}\right) \\
B_{2}+B_{4}=-\frac{1}{d_{1}}\left(b_{1} b_{2}-d^{2}+a_{1} b_{2}-c d+d a_{1}-c b_{1}\right) \tag{2.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Using the identity
$\left(a_{1}+c\right)\left(a_{2} b_{1}-c d+d a_{2}-c b_{2}\right)+\left(a_{2}+c\right)\left(a_{1} b_{2}-c d+d a_{1}-c b_{1}\right)=d_{2}\left(b_{1}+b_{2}+2 d\right)$,
which is easy to prove, and taking into account (2.12) and (2.13), expression (2.11) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta_{2} d_{1} d_{2}=\left[\Delta_{1}-2 \lambda_{5}\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+2 c\right)\right]\left(b_{1} b_{2}-d^{2}\right)-2 \lambda_{5} d_{2}\left(b_{1}+b_{2}+2 d\right)= \\
=\left[\Delta_{1}-2 \lambda_{5}\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+2 c\right)\right]\left[\left(b_{1}-\lambda_{5}\right)\left(b_{2}-\lambda_{5}\right)-\left(d+\lambda_{5}\right)^{2}\right]- \\
-\lambda_{5}\left(b_{1}+b_{2}+2 d\right) \Delta_{1} . \tag{2.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $d_{1}>0, d_{2}>0, \lambda_{5}<0, a_{1}+a_{2}+2 c \equiv \mu_{1}+\mu_{2}+2 \mu_{3}>0$, $\left(b_{1}-\lambda_{5}\right)\left(b_{2}-\lambda_{5}\right)-\left(d+\lambda_{5}\right)^{2}>0$ and $b_{1}+b_{2}+2 d \equiv b_{1}-\lambda_{5}+b_{2}-\lambda_{5}+2\left(d+\lambda_{5}\right)>$ 0 , we have $\Delta_{2}>0$.

Now we shall show that $H_{2}$ and $H_{3}$ do not vanish simultaneously. Indeed, if it is assumed that $H_{2}$ and $H_{3}$ vanish simultaneously, then for $\lambda_{5} \neq 0$ and $d_{2} \neq 0(2.9)$ will imply $\left(a_{1}+c\right)\left(2-A_{1}\right)-\left(a_{2}+c\right) A_{2}=0,\left(a_{2}+c\right)\left(2-A_{4}\right)-$ $\left(a_{1}+c\right) A_{3}=0$, i. e.,

$$
\frac{a_{2}+c}{a_{1}+c}=\frac{2-A_{1}}{A_{2}}=\frac{A_{3}}{2-A_{4}} .
$$

Hence we conclude that $\Delta_{2}=0$, which is impossible.
Without loss of generality we can take $H_{3} \neq 0$. This can be shown in the same manner as a similar statement in $\S 1$.

By solving equation (2.8) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{1}=1, \quad X_{2}=-\frac{H_{2}}{H_{3}}=H_{0} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rewriting now (2.5) for $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ separately, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{2}+F_{4}-i\left(F_{1}+F_{3}\right)+c_{1}+c_{2}=\Phi(z)+z \overline{\Phi^{\prime}(z)}+\overline{\Psi(z)}  \tag{2.16}\\
F_{2}+H_{0} F_{4}-i\left(F_{1}+H_{0} F_{3}\right)+c_{1}+H_{0} c_{2}=\Phi_{0}(z)+k_{0} z \overline{\Phi_{0}^{\prime}(z)}+\overline{\Psi_{0}(z)} \tag{2.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{0}=\frac{B_{1}+H_{0} B_{3}}{A_{1}-2+H_{0} A_{3}} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the functions $\Phi(z), \Psi(z), \Phi_{0}(z), \Psi_{0}(z)$ are defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi(z)=\left(B_{1}+B_{3}\right) \varphi_{1}(z)+\left(B_{2}+B_{4}\right) \varphi_{2}(z) \\
& \Phi_{0}(z)=\left(A_{1}-2+H_{0} A_{3}\right) \varphi_{1}(z)+\left(A_{2}+H_{0} A_{4}-2 H_{0}\right) \varphi_{2}(z),  \tag{2.19}\\
& \Psi(z)=2\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{3}\right) \psi_{1}(z)+2\left(\mu_{3}+\mu_{2}\right) \psi_{2}(z) \\
& \Psi_{0}(z)=2\left(\mu_{1}+H_{0} \mu_{3}\right) \psi_{1}(z)+2\left(\mu_{3}+H_{0} \mu_{2}\right) \psi_{2}(z) . \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

We next substitute the value of $H_{0}$ from (2.15) and the values of $H_{2}$ and $H_{3}$ from (2.7) into (2.18). After performing some simple transformations we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{0}=\frac{\Delta_{1}\left(b_{1} b_{2}-d^{2}\right)}{\Delta_{2} d_{1} d_{2}} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us show that the value of $k_{0}$ lies in the interval ] $-1,1[$. Indeed, using (2.14), from (2.21) we have

$$
1-k_{0}=\frac{\Delta_{2} d_{1} d_{2}-\Delta_{1}\left(b_{1} b_{2}-d^{2}\right)}{\Delta_{2} d_{1} d_{2}}=
$$

$$
=-2 \lambda_{5} \frac{\left(b_{1}+b_{2}+2 d\right) \Delta_{1}+\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+2 c\right)\left[\left(b_{1}-\lambda_{5}\right)\left(b_{2}-\lambda_{5}\right)-\left(d+\lambda_{5}\right)^{2}\right]}{\Delta_{2} d_{1} d_{2}}>0
$$

Hence $k_{0}<1$. (2.21) now readily implies

$$
1+k_{0}=\frac{2}{\Delta_{2} d_{1}}\left[\left(b_{1}-\lambda_{5}\right)\left(b_{2}-\lambda_{5}\right)-\left(d+\lambda_{5}\right)^{2}\right]
$$

Thus we have found that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-1<k_{0}<1 \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $H_{0} \neq 1$. Indeed, if $H_{0}=1$, then (2.18) implies $k_{0}=1$, which is impossible because (2.22) holds.

After $\Phi(z), \Phi_{0}(z), \Psi(z)$ and $\Psi_{0}(z)$ are found, using (2.19) and (2.20) we define $\varphi_{k}(z)$ and $\psi_{k}(z), k=1,2$, uniquely, since the determinants of the respective transformations are equal to $\left(H_{0}-1\right) \Delta_{2}$ and $\left(H_{0}-1\right) \Delta_{1}$.

Applying arguments similar to those used for representation (1.18), the desired functions $\Phi(z), \Psi(z), \Phi_{0}(z)$ and $\Psi_{0}(z)$ from (2.16) and (2.17) must be sought for in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S} g(\zeta) \frac{\partial \ln \sigma}{\partial s(y)} d s \\
& \Psi(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S} \overline{g(\zeta)} \frac{\partial \ln \sigma}{\partial s(y)} d s+\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S} g(\zeta) \frac{\partial}{\partial s(y)} \frac{\bar{\zeta}}{\sigma} d s \\
& \Phi_{0}(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S} g_{0}(\zeta) \frac{\partial \ln \sigma}{\partial s(y)} d s \\
& \Psi_{0}(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S} \overline{g_{0}(\zeta)} \frac{\partial \ln \sigma}{\partial s(y)} d s+\frac{k_{0}}{2 \pi i} \int_{S} g_{0}(\zeta) \frac{\partial}{\partial s(y)} \frac{\bar{\zeta}}{\sigma} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

In that case (2.16) and (2.17) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{2}+F_{4} & -i\left(F_{1}+F_{3}\right)+c_{1}+c_{2}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S} g(\zeta) \frac{\partial}{\partial s(y)} \ln \frac{\sigma}{\bar{\sigma}} d s- \\
& -\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S} \overline{g(\zeta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial s(y)} \frac{\sigma}{\bar{\sigma}} d s  \tag{2.23}\\
F_{2}+H_{0} F_{4} & -i\left(F_{1}+H_{0} F_{3}\right)+c_{1}+H_{0} c_{2}= \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S} g_{0}(\zeta) \frac{\partial}{\partial s(y)} \ln \frac{\sigma}{\bar{\sigma}} d s-\frac{k_{0}}{2 \pi i} \int_{S} \overline{g_{0}(\zeta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial s(y)} \frac{\sigma}{\bar{\sigma}} d s
\end{align*}
$$

Now passing to the limit in (2.23) as $z \rightarrow t \in s$, internally or externally, to define $g$ and $g_{0}$ we obtain the integral Fredholm equations of second order:

$$
\pm g(t)+\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S} g(\zeta) \frac{\partial}{\partial s(y)} \ln \frac{t-\zeta}{\bar{t}-\bar{\zeta}} d s-
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S} \overline{g(\zeta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial s(y)} \frac{t-\zeta}{\bar{t}-\bar{\zeta}} d s=f(t)  \tag{2.24}\\
\pm g_{0}(t) & +\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S} g_{0}(\zeta) \frac{\partial}{\partial s(y)} \ln \frac{t-\zeta}{\bar{t}-\bar{\zeta}} d s- \\
& -\frac{k_{0}}{2 \pi i} \int_{S} \overline{g_{0}(\zeta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial s(y)} \frac{t-\zeta}{\bar{t}-\bar{\zeta}} d s=F(t) \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
f(t)=\left[F_{2}+F_{4}-i\left(F_{1}+F_{3}\right)\right]^{ \pm}+c_{1}+c_{2} \\
F(t)=\left[F_{2}+H_{0} F_{4}-i\left(F_{1}+H_{0} F_{3}\right)\right]^{ \pm}+c_{1}+H_{0} c_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

One can investigate equations (2.24) and (2.25) in the same manner as the equation of the basic biharmonic problem and the first boundary value problem of statics of an isotropic elastic body [3].

Thus the second boundary value problem of statics in the theory of elastic mixtures is reduced to the second and the first plane boundary value problem of statics of an isotropic elastic body.

## § 3. Third Boundary Value Problem

As is known [2], the third boundary value problem is considered with the values $u_{3}-u_{1}, u_{4}-u_{2}, F_{1}+F_{3}+c_{1}, F_{1}+F_{4}+c_{2}$, given on the boundary, where $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are arbitrary constants; $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}$ are the projections of the four-dimensional vector $u$, and $F_{k}(x)(k=\overline{1,4})$ is defined by (2.4).

By virtue of (1.1), (2.2) and (2.3) the conditions of the third boundary value problem can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{3}-u_{1} & +i\left(u_{4}-u_{2}\right)=\left(m_{2}-m_{1}\right) \varphi_{1}(z)+\left(m_{3}-m_{2}\right) \varphi_{2}(z)+ \\
& +\frac{z}{2}\left[\left(l_{5}-l_{4}\right) \overline{\varphi_{1}^{\prime}(z)}+\left(l_{6}-l_{5}\right) \overline{\varphi_{2}^{\prime}(z)}\right]+\overline{\psi_{2}(z)}-\overline{\psi_{1}(z)} \\
F_{2}+F_{4} & -i\left(F_{1}+F_{3}\right)+c_{1}+c_{2}=\left(B_{1}+B_{3}\right) \varphi_{1}(z)+  \tag{3.1}\\
& +\left(B_{2}+B_{4}\right) \varphi_{2}(z)+z\left[\left(B_{1}+B_{3}\right) \overline{\varphi_{1}^{\prime}(z)}+\right. \\
& \left.+\left(B_{2}+B_{4}\right) \overline{\varphi_{2}^{\prime}(z)}\right]+2\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{3}\right) \overline{\psi_{1}(z)}+2\left(\mu_{2}+\mu_{3}\right) \overline{\psi_{2}(z)}
\end{align*}
$$

Introducing the notattion

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(m_{2}-m_{1}\right) \varphi_{1}(z)+\left(m_{3}-m_{2}\right) \varphi_{2}(z)=\Phi_{3}(z) \\
& \psi_{2}(z)-\psi_{1}(z)=\Psi_{3}(z) \\
& \left(B_{1}+B_{3}\right) \varphi_{1}(z)+\left(B_{2}+B_{4}\right) \varphi_{2}(z)=\Phi(z)  \tag{3.2}\\
& 2\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{3}\right) \psi_{1}(z)+2\left(\mu_{2}+\mu_{3}\right) \psi_{2}(z)=\Psi(z)
\end{align*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_{1}(z)=\frac{\left(B_{2}+B_{4}\right) \Phi_{3}+\left(m_{2}-m_{3}\right) \Phi}{\Delta_{3}} \\
& \varphi_{2}(z)=\frac{-\left(B_{1}+B_{3}\right) \Phi_{3}+\left(m_{2}-m_{1}\right) \Phi}{\Delta_{3}}  \tag{3.3}\\
& \psi_{1}(z)=-\frac{\mu_{2}+\mu_{3}}{\beta} \Psi_{3}+\frac{1}{2 \beta} \Psi, \quad \psi_{2}(z)=\frac{\mu_{1}+\mu_{3}}{\beta} \Psi_{3}+\frac{1}{2 \beta} \Psi
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{3}=2 \Delta_{0}(\alpha-\beta), \quad \alpha=\frac{m_{1}+m_{3}-2 m_{2}}{\Delta_{0}}, \quad \beta=\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}+2 \mu_{3} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\Delta_{0}>0$ is given by (1.7)
Since $\Delta_{0}>0$ and $\Delta_{1}=\mu_{1} \mu_{2}-\mu_{3}^{2}>0$, the constants $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are greater than zero. We shall show that $\Delta_{3}>0$. For this it is sufficient to prove that $\alpha-\beta>0$. By virtue of (3.4) and (1.2) we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha-\beta=\frac{a_{1}+a_{2}+2 c}{2 \Delta_{0} d_{2}}+\frac{a_{1}+a_{2}+2 c+b_{1}+b_{2}+2 d}{2 \Delta_{0} d_{1}}-\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+2 c\right)= \\
=\frac{1}{\delta_{0}}\left[2\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+2 c\right)\left(d_{1}+d_{2}\right)+2\left(b_{1}+b_{2}+2 d\right) d_{2}-\delta\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+2 c\right)\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\delta_{0}$ is defined by formula (1.8) which can be rewritten as

$$
\delta_{0}=d_{1}+d_{2}+a_{2}\left(a_{1}+b_{1}\right)+a_{1}\left(a_{2}+b_{2}\right)-2 c(c+d) .
$$

Substituting this value of $\delta_{0}$ into the preceding formula, we obtain

$$
\alpha-\beta=\frac{1}{\delta_{0}}\left[2\left(b_{1}+b_{2}+2 d\right) d_{2}+\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+2 c\right)\left(b_{1} b_{2}-d^{2}\right)\right] .
$$

Hence, after some simple transformations, we readily have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha-\beta & =\frac{1}{\delta_{0}}\left\{\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+2 c\right)\left[\left(b_{1}-\lambda_{5}\right)\left(b_{2}-\lambda_{5}\right)-\left(d+\lambda_{5}\right)^{2}\right]+\right. \\
& \left.+\left(b_{1}+b_{2}+2 d\right)\left[2 \Delta_{1}-\lambda_{5}\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+2 c\right)\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have shown that $\Delta_{3}>0$.
Now, using (3.3), (1.7) and (2.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(l_{5}-l_{4}\right) \overline{\varphi_{1}^{\prime}(z)}+\left(l_{6}-l_{5}\right) \overline{\varphi_{2}^{\prime}(z)}=-2 k_{3} \overline{\Phi_{3}^{\prime}(z)}- \\
-\frac{2 \Delta_{0}}{\Delta_{3}}\left(\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{3}-\varepsilon_{2}-\varepsilon_{4}\right) \overline{\Phi^{\prime}(z)} \tag{3.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{3}=\frac{\beta\left(b_{1} b_{2}-d^{2}\right)}{2 \Delta_{3} d_{1} d_{2}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us show that the parameter $k_{3}$ changes in the interval $]-1,1$. Taking into account the fact that the inequality $\Delta_{3}>0$ holds, performing some obvious transformations and applying the formulae

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1-k_{3}=\frac{b_{1}+b_{2}+2 d}{\Delta_{3} d_{1}}=\frac{\left(b_{1}+d\right)^{2}+\left(b_{1}-\lambda_{5}\right)\left(b_{2}-\lambda_{5}\right)-\left(d+\lambda_{5}\right)^{2}}{\left(b_{1}-\lambda_{5}\right) \Delta_{3} d_{1}}>0 \\
& 1+k_{3}=\frac{\beta\left[\left(b_{1}-\lambda_{5}\right)\left(b_{2}-\lambda_{5}\right)-\left(d+\lambda_{5}\right)^{2}\right]+\left(b_{1}+b_{2}+2 d\right) \Delta_{1}}{\Delta_{3} d_{1} d_{2}}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

we conclude that $-1<k_{3}<1$.
Taking into account (3.5) and substituting (3.2) into (3.1) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{3}-u_{1} & +i\left(u_{4}-u_{2}\right)=\Phi_{3}(z)-k_{3} z \overline{\Phi_{3}^{\prime}(z)}+\overline{\Psi_{3}(z)}- \\
& -\frac{\Delta_{0}}{\Delta_{3}}\left(\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{3}-\varepsilon_{2}-\varepsilon_{4}\right) z \overline{\Phi^{\prime}(z)}  \tag{3.7}\\
F_{2}+ & F_{4}
\end{align*}-i\left(F_{1}+F_{3}\right)+c_{1}+c_{2}=\Phi(z)+z \overline{\Phi^{\prime}(z)}+\overline{\Psi(z)} .
$$

Applying the arguments of the preceding paragraphs, we must seek for the desired functions having the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi(z) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S} g(\zeta) \frac{\partial \ln \sigma}{\partial s(y)} d s \\
\Psi(z) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S} \overline{g(\zeta)} \frac{\partial \ln \sigma}{\partial s(y)} d s+\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S} g(\zeta) \frac{\partial}{\partial s(y)} \frac{\bar{\zeta}}{\sigma} d s \\
\Phi_{3}(z) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S} g_{3}(\zeta) \frac{\partial \ln \sigma}{\partial s(y)} d s  \tag{3.8}\\
\Psi_{3}(z) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S} \overline{g_{3}(\zeta)} \frac{\partial \ln \sigma}{\partial s(y)} d s+\frac{k_{3}}{2 \pi i} \int_{S} g_{3}(\zeta) \frac{\partial}{\partial s(y)} \frac{\bar{\zeta}}{\sigma} d s \\
& +\frac{\Delta_{0}}{2 \pi i \Delta_{3}}\left(\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{3}-\varepsilon_{2}-\varepsilon_{4}\right) \int_{S} g(\zeta) \frac{\partial}{\partial s(y)} \frac{\bar{\zeta}}{\sigma} d s .
\end{align*}
$$

After substituting (3.8) into (3.7) and performing some simple transformations we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{3}-u_{1} & +i\left(u_{4}-u_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S} g_{3}(\zeta) \frac{\partial}{\partial s(y)} \ln \frac{\sigma}{\bar{\sigma}} d s+\frac{k_{3}}{2 \pi i} \int_{S} \overline{g_{3}(\zeta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial s(y)} \frac{\sigma}{\bar{\sigma}} d s+ \\
& +\frac{\Delta_{0}}{2 \pi i \Delta_{3}}\left(\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{3}-\varepsilon_{2}-\varepsilon_{4}\right) \int_{S} \overline{g(\zeta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial s(y)} \frac{\sigma}{\bar{\sigma}} d s \\
F_{2}+F_{4} & -i\left(F_{1}+F_{3}\right)+c_{1}+c_{2}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S} g(\zeta) \frac{\partial}{\partial s(y)} \ln \frac{\sigma}{\bar{\sigma}} d s- \\
& -\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S} \overline{g(\zeta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial s(y)} \frac{\sigma}{\bar{\sigma}} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Passing to the limit in this formula as $z \rightarrow t \in s$, internally or externally, to define $g_{3}$ and $g$ we obtain the integral Fredholm equations of second order

$$
\begin{align*}
\pm g_{3}(t) & +\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S} g_{3}(\zeta) \frac{\partial}{\partial s(y)} \ln \frac{t-\zeta}{\bar{t}-\bar{\zeta}} d s+\frac{k_{3}}{2 \pi i} \int_{S} \overline{g_{3}(\zeta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial s(y)} \frac{t-\zeta}{\bar{t}-\bar{\zeta}} d s+ \\
& +\frac{\Delta_{0}}{2 \pi i \Delta_{3}}\left(\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{3}-\varepsilon_{2}-\varepsilon_{4}\right) \int_{S} \overline{g(\zeta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial s(y)} \frac{t-\zeta}{\bar{t}-\bar{\zeta}} d s=f(t)  \tag{3.9}\\
\pm g(t) & +\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S} g(\zeta) \frac{\partial}{\partial s(y)} \ln \frac{t-\zeta}{\bar{t}-\bar{\zeta}} d s- \\
& -\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S} \overline{g(\zeta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial s(y)} \frac{t-\zeta}{\bar{t}-\bar{\zeta}} d s=F(t) \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
f(t)=\left[u_{3}-u_{1}+i\left(u_{4}-u_{2}\right)\right]^{ \pm}, \quad F(t)=\left[F_{2}+F_{4}-i\left(F_{1}+F_{3}\right)\right]^{ \pm}+c_{1}+c_{2}
$$

Equation (3.10) is the integral Fredholm equation of the basic biharmonic problem. By solving this equation and substituting the found value of $g$ into (3.9) we obtain the integral Fredholm equation with respect to the desired function $g_{3}$. This equation is investigated as the equation of the first boundary value problem of statics of an isotropic elastic body.

Thus in the theory of elastic mixtures the third boundary value problem of statics is splitted into two boundary value problems, of which one is the basic biharmonic problem and the other is the first boundary value problem of statics of an isotropic elastic body.
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