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#### Abstract

The structure of a Lie superalgebra is defined on the space of multiderivations of a commutative algebra. This structure is used to define some cohomology algebra of Poisson structure. It is shown that when a commutative algebra is an algebra of $C^{\infty}$-functions on the $C^{\infty}$-manifold, the cohomology algebra of Poisson structure is isomorphic to an algebra of vertical cohomologies of the foliation corresponding to the Poisson structure.


## § 0. Introduction

0.1. Let $M$ be a finite-dimensional $C^{\infty}$-manifold. We use the following notation: $\Omega^{K}(M), k=1,2, \ldots$, is the $C^{\infty}(M)$-module of differential $k$ form on $M ; V^{k}(M), k=1,2, \ldots$, is the $C^{\infty}(M)$-module of contravariant antisymmetric tensor fields of degree $k$ on $M ; S$ is some foliation on the manifold $M ; V^{k}(M, S), k=1,2, \ldots$, is a submodule of $V^{k}(M)$ consisting of the fields tangent to the leaves of the foliation $S ; \Omega^{k}(M, S), k=1,2, \ldots$, is the $C^{\infty}(M)$-module of homomorphisms from the module $V^{k}(M, S)$ into $C^{\infty}(M) ; \Omega_{s}^{k}(M), k=1,2, \ldots$, is a submodule of $\Omega^{k}(M)$ consisting of $k$ forms vanishing on $V^{k}(M, S)$. Also, we put
$\Omega^{0}(M)=V^{0}(M)=\Omega^{0}(M, S)=V^{0}(M, S)=C^{\infty}(M) ;$
$\Omega^{*}(M)=\underset{k=0}{\oplus} \Omega^{k}(M) ; \quad V^{*}(M)=\underset{k=0}{\oplus} V^{k}(M) ;$
$\Omega^{*}(M, S)=\underset{k=0}{\oplus} \Omega^{k}(M, S) ; \quad V^{*}(M, S)=\underset{k=0}{\oplus} V^{k}(M, S) ; \Omega_{s}^{*}(M)=\underset{k=0}{\oplus} \Omega_{s}^{*}(M)$,
where $\Omega_{s}^{0}(M)$ is a subalgebra of $C^{\infty}(M)$ consisting of functions constant along the leaves of the foliation $S$.

[^0]0.2. The exterior derivation $d: \Omega^{k}(M) \underset{\sim}{\rightarrow} \Omega^{k+1}(M)$ carries $\Omega_{s}^{k}(M)$ into $\Omega_{s}^{k+1}(M)$ and thus induces a differential $\tilde{d}: \Omega^{k}(M) / \Omega_{s}^{k}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}(M) /$ $\Omega_{s}^{k+1}(M)$.

A cohomology of the complex $\left(\Omega^{*}(M) / \Omega_{s}^{*}(M), \widetilde{d}\right)$ is called a relative cohomology of the foliated manifold $(M, S)$. It is a generalization of cohomology of the family of manifold defined in [1]. We denote the $p$ th cohomology space by $H^{p}(M, S)$, and the cohomology algebra $\underset{k=0}{\infty} H^{p}(M, S)$ by $H^{*}(M, S)$.
0.3. Let $R: \Omega^{*}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{*}(M, S)$ be a restriction map. It is clear that Kernel $(R)=\Omega_{s}^{*}(M)$. If we denote by $d_{s}$ the operator of exterior derivation on $\Omega^{k}(M, S)$, then it can be said that the map $R$ is a homomorphism of the complex $\left(\Omega^{*}(M), d\right)$ into the complex $\left(\Omega^{*}(M, S), d_{s}\right)$. In general, the homomorphism $R$ is not an epimorphism, and therefore, in general, the induced homomorphism $\widetilde{R}:\left(\Omega^{*}(M) / \Omega_{s}^{*}(M), \widetilde{d}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega^{*}(M, S), d_{s}\right)$ is not an isomorphism.

If we denote the $p$ th cohomology space of the complex $\left(\Omega^{*}(M, S), d_{s}\right)$ by $H_{s}^{p}(M)$ and the cohomology algebra $\underset{k=0}{\infty} H_{s}^{p}(M)$ by $H_{s}^{*}(M)$, we can say that, in general, the algebras $H^{*}(M, S)$ and $H_{s}^{*}(M)$ are not isomorphic though we have the natural homomorphism $[R]: H^{*}(M, S) \rightarrow H_{s}^{*}(M)$ induced by $\widetilde{R}$.
0.4. In the case where the manifold $M$ is provided with a Riemannian metric, we have the map of orthogonal projection $\pi: V^{\prime}(M) \rightarrow V^{\prime}(M, S)$. The map $\pi$ induces the endomorphism $\pi^{*}$ of the algebra $\Omega^{*}(M)$ defined as $\left(\pi^{*} w\right)\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right)=w\left(\pi v_{1}, \ldots, \pi v_{k}\right)$. It is clear that $\pi^{*}$ is the projection $\pi^{*} \circ \pi^{*}=\pi^{*}$. We denote the subalgebra $\operatorname{Image}\left(\pi^{*}\right)$ by $\Omega_{v}^{*}(M)$ and call its elements vertical differential forms on the foliated manifold ( $M, S$ ) (see [2]).

It is easy to check that the operator $\pi^{*} \circ d \equiv d_{v}: \Omega_{v}^{*}(M) \rightarrow \Omega_{v}^{*}(M)$ is a coboundary operator, and we call the cohomology algebra of the complex $\left(\Omega_{v}^{*}(M), d_{v}\right)$ the algebra of vertical cohomologies of the foliation $S_{i}$ and denote it by $H_{v}^{*}(M)$ (see [2]).
0.5. If $M$ is a Riemannian manifold, we can define the reverse map of $\widetilde{R}$ as follows: $\left(R^{-1} w\right)\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right)=w\left(\pi v_{1}, \ldots, \pi v_{k}\right)$, and $\widetilde{R}^{-1}(w)=\left[R^{-1} w\right]$. So, the complexes $\left(\Omega^{*}(M) / \Omega_{s}^{*}(M), \widetilde{d}\right),\left(\Omega^{*}(M, S), d_{s}\right)$, and $\left(\Omega_{v}^{*}(M), d_{s}\right)$ are isomorphic.

For the foliated Riemannian manifold $(M, S)$, three cohomology algebras $H^{*}(M, S), H_{s}^{*}(M)$, and $H_{v}^{*}(M)$ are isomorphic.
0.6. The definition of the complexes $\left(\Omega^{*}(M) / \Omega_{s}^{*}(M), \widetilde{d}\right),\left(\Omega^{k}(M, S), d_{s}\right)$ and $\left(\Omega_{v}^{*}(M), d_{v}\right)$ can be generalized as follows: Let $L$ be a $C^{\infty}(M)$-submodule of $V^{\prime}(M)$, and also be a Lie subalgebra of $V^{\prime}(M)$. Let us denote by $\Omega_{L}^{*}(M)$ a subalgebra of the exterior algebra $\Omega^{*}(M)$ consisting of the forms $w$ such that $w\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)=0$ for every system $\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right\} \subset L$. Further,
we denote by $\Omega^{*}(M, L)$ the algebra of $C^{\infty}(M)$-multilinear antisymmetric maps from $L^{k}$ into $C^{\infty}(M)$.

The definition of derivations $d_{L}: \Omega^{*}(M, L) \rightarrow \Omega^{*}(M, L)$ and $\widetilde{d}:$ $\Omega^{*}(M) / \Omega_{L}^{*}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{*}(M) / \Omega_{L}^{*}(M)$ is clear.

Indeed, the cohomologies of the complex $\left(\Omega^{*}(M, L), d_{L}\right)$ are the cohomologies of the Lie algebra $L$, with coefficients in $C^{\infty}(M)$, denoted by $H^{*}\left(L, C^{\infty}(M)\right)$ (see [3]).

In the cases considered in $0.1-0.5$, the submodule $L$ is $V^{\prime}(M, S)$.
If there is some projector $\pi: V^{\prime}(M) \rightarrow V^{\prime}(M)$ with Image $(\pi)=L$, then the algebra of vertical cohomologies can be defined as in 0.4. The proof of the fact that the cohomologies of the complexes

$$
\left(\Omega^{*}(M) / \Omega_{L}^{*}(M), \tilde{d}\right), \quad\left(\Omega^{*}(M, L), d_{L}\right), \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\Omega_{v}^{*}(M), d_{v}\right)
$$

are isomorphic is analogous to the proof of the thereom in 0.5 .
We use the above-described generalization in $\S 2$ in considering a cohomology of the Poisson structure.

In $\S 1$ we introduce the notion of Poisson algebra and define its cohomologies. We also describe here some algebraic constructions which help us to arrange a connection between the cohomologies defined in $\S 0$ and the cohomologies of the Poisson structure.

## § 1. Lie Superalgebra Structure on the Space of Multiderivations of a Commutative Algebra. The Poisson Algebra

1.1. Let $F$ be a real or complex vector space. For each positive integer $k$ we denote by $A^{k}(F)$ the space of multilinear antisymmetric maps from $F^{k}$ into $F$. Also we put $A^{0}(F)=F$ and $A^{*}(F)=\underset{k=0}{\oplus} A^{k}(F)$.
1.2. There is a natural structure of the Lie subalgebra on $A^{\prime}(F)$ defined by the commutator. It might be defined as a structure of the Lie subalgebra on $A^{*}(F)$. The supercommutator $[\alpha, \beta] \in A^{m+n-1}(F)$ of two elements $\alpha \in A^{m}(F)$ and $\beta \in A^{n}(F)$ is defined as follows (see [4]):

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[\alpha, \beta]\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m+n-1}\right)=} \\
=\frac{1}{m!n!} \sum_{s} \operatorname{sgn}(s)\left(( - 1 ) ^ { m n + n } \alpha \left(\beta\left(v_{s(1)}, \ldots, v_{s(n)}\right), v_{s(n+1)}, \ldots\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.\ldots, v_{s(m+n-1)}\right)+(-1)^{m} \beta\left(\alpha\left(v_{s(1)}, \ldots, v_{s(n)}\right), v_{s(n+1)}, \ldots, v_{s(m+n-1)}\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

also, for $v, w \in A^{0}(F)=F$ we put $[\alpha, v]\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m-1}\right)=[v, \alpha]\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m-1}\right)=$ $\alpha\left(v, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m-1}\right)$ and $[v, w]=0$.
1.3. It is easy to check that the bracket as defined above satisfies the axioms of the Lie superalgebra: For $\alpha \in A^{m}(F), \beta \in A^{n}(F)$ and $\gamma \in A^{k}(F)$ we have (a) $[\alpha, \beta]=(-1)^{m n}[\beta, \alpha]$; (b) $(-1)^{m k}[[\alpha, \beta], \gamma]+(-1)^{m n}[[\beta, \gamma], \alpha]+$ $(-1)^{n k}[[\gamma, \alpha], \beta]=0$.
1.4. One classical notion that can be translated into the language of the bracket defined in $A^{*}(F)$ is the notion of "a Lie algebra structure on $F$ ". A structure of the Lie algebra on $F$ is an element $\mu \in A^{2}(F)$ satisfying the condition $[\mu, \mu]=0$. The latter is equivalent to the Jacobi identity

$$
\mu(\mu(a, b), c)+\mu(\mu(b, c), d)+\mu(\mu(c, a), b)
$$

We call such an element an involutive element.
1.5. An involutive element $\mu \in A^{2}(F)$ defines the linear operator $\widetilde{\mu}$ : $A^{*}(F) \rightarrow A^{*}(F), \widetilde{\mu}(\alpha)=[\mu, \alpha]$. It is clear that if $\alpha \in A^{k}(F)$, then $\widetilde{\mu}(\alpha) \in$ $A^{k+1}(F)$. Moreover, the property (b) in 1.3 implies $\widetilde{\mu}^{2}=0$, i.e., $\widetilde{\mu}$ is a coboundary operator and therefore defines some space of cohomologies. As a matter of fact, it is the Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology of the Lie algebra $F$ with coefficients in $F$ (see [4]).
1.6. Further we shall consider only the case with $F$ as a commutative algebra over the field of real or complex numbers.

In that case, the space $A^{*}(F)$ has a structure of the anticommutative (exterior) algebra defined by the classical formula: For $\alpha \in A^{m}(F), \beta \in$ $A^{n}(F)$, and $a \in A^{0}(F)=F$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
(\alpha \beta)\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m+n}\right)= \\
=\frac{1}{m!n!} \sum_{s} \operatorname{sgn}(s) \alpha\left(v_{s(1)}, \ldots, v_{s(m)}\right) \beta\left(v_{s(m+1)}, \ldots, v_{s(m+n)}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and $(a \alpha)\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right)=(\alpha a)\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right)=a \cdot \alpha\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right)$.
1.7. Definition. For every positive integer $k$ we denote by $\operatorname{Der}^{k}(F)$ the subspace of such elements $\alpha$ in $A^{k}(F)$ that $\alpha\left(a, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)=$ $a \alpha\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)+a_{1} \alpha\left(a, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$ for every system $\left\{a, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\} \subset F$.

Also, we put $\operatorname{Der}^{0}(F)=F$ and $\operatorname{Der}^{*}(F)=\underset{k=0}{\oplus} \operatorname{Der}^{k}(F)$.
We call elements of the space $\operatorname{Der}^{k}(F) k$-derivations of the algebra $F$, and elements of $\operatorname{Der}^{*}(F)$ multiderivations.
1.8. It is easy to check that the subspace $\operatorname{Der}^{*}(F)$ in $A^{*}(F)$ is closed under the operation of exterior multiplication defined in 1.6 as well as under the bracket defined in 1.2. In other words, $\operatorname{Der}^{*}(F)$ is an anticommutative algebra and a Lie superalgebra. Moreover, these two structures are connected by the following property: For $\alpha \in \operatorname{Der}^{m}(F), \beta \in \operatorname{Der}^{n}(F)$, and $\gamma \in \operatorname{Der}^{*}(F)$ we have (c) $[\alpha, \beta \gamma]=[\alpha, \beta] \cdot \gamma+(-1)^{m n+n} \beta \cdot[\alpha, \gamma]$.
1.9. For $k=0,1,2, \ldots$ let $\wedge^{k} \operatorname{Der}^{\prime}(F)$ be the subspace of $\operatorname{Der}^{k}(F)$ which consists of elements of the form $a v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}$, where $a \in F$ and $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\} \subset$ $\operatorname{Der}^{\prime}(F)$. The subalgebra $\wedge^{*} \operatorname{Der}^{\prime}(F)=\underset{k=0}{\oplus} \wedge^{k} \operatorname{Der}^{\prime}(F)$ in $\operatorname{Der}^{*}(F)$ is closed under the bracket [, ] which has a more explicit form on the elements of the algebra

$$
\begin{gathered}
\wedge^{*} \operatorname{Der}^{\prime}(F):\left[\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{m}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}\right]= \\
=\sum_{i, j}(-1)^{m+i+j-1}\left[\alpha_{i}, \beta_{j}\right] \alpha_{1} \cdots \widehat{\alpha}_{i} \cdots \alpha_{m} \beta_{1} \cdots \widehat{\beta}_{j} \cdots \beta_{n}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}\right\} \subset \operatorname{Der}^{\prime}(F)$, and $\left[\alpha_{i}, \beta_{j}\right]$ is the commutator of $\alpha_{i}$ and $\beta_{j}$.
1.10. A Poisson structure on the commutative algebra $F$ is an involutive element (see 1.4) $P \in \operatorname{Der}^{2}(F)$. The pair $(F, P)$ is said to be a Poisson algebra.

As mentioned in 1.5, an involutive element $P \in \operatorname{Der}^{2}(F)$ defines the operator with a vanishing square $\widetilde{P}: \operatorname{Der}^{*}(F) \rightarrow \operatorname{Der}^{*}(F)$. By virtue of the property (c) of the bracket in $\operatorname{Der}^{*}(F)$ (see 1.8) it is easy to check that for $\alpha \in \operatorname{Der}^{m}(F)$ and $\beta \in \operatorname{Der}^{n}(F)$ we have $\widetilde{P}(\alpha \beta)=\widetilde{P}(\alpha) \beta+(-1)^{m} \alpha \widetilde{P}(\beta)$. Such an operator is said to be an antiderivation of degree +1 .

Therefore, on the space of cohomologies defined by $\widetilde{P}$, we can introduce a structure of anticommutative algebra. This cohomology algebra will be called the cohomology of Poisson structure $(F, P)$. We denote by $H^{k}(F, P)$ the $k$ th cohomology space, and by $H^{*}(F, P)$ the comology algebra $\underset{k=0}{\infty} H^{k}(F, P)$.

## § 2. Various Cohomology Algebras of a Manifold with Poisson Structure and Their Interconnections

2.1. As in Section $1, F$ is a commutative algebra over $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$.

The space of $k$-linear antisymmetric homomorphisms of $F$-modules from $\left.\left(\operatorname{Der}^{\prime}(F)\right)^{k}\right)$ into $F$ is denoted by $A^{k}\left(\operatorname{Der}^{\prime}(F), F\right), k=1,2, \ldots$ It is assumed that $A^{0}\left(\operatorname{Der}^{\prime}(F), F\right)=F$.

There is a classical operator of derivation on the exterior algebra $A^{*}\left(\operatorname{Der}^{\prime}(F), F\right)=\underset{k=0}{\oplus} A^{k}\left(\operatorname{Der}^{\prime}(F), F\right)$.
2.2. Let $P$ be a Poisson structure on the algebra $F$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}, P$ defines the homomorphism $P^{k}: A^{k}\left(\operatorname{Der}^{\prime}(F), F\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Der}^{k}(F)$ as follows:
for $a \in A^{0}\left(\operatorname{Der}^{\prime}(F), F\right)=F$ we put $P^{0}(a)=a$;
for elements of the form $d a \in A^{\prime}\left(\operatorname{Der}^{\prime}(F), F\right)$, where $a \in F$ and $(d a)(X)=$ $X(a)$, we put $p^{\prime}(d a)(b)=P(a, b), b \in F$;
next, for $w \in A^{k}\left(\operatorname{Der}^{\prime}(F), F\right), k=1,2, \ldots$, we put $\left(P^{k} w\right)\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)=$ $(-1)^{k} w\left(P^{\prime}\left(d a_{1}\right), \ldots, P^{\prime}\left(d a_{k}\right)\right)$ with every system $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\} \subset F$.
2.3. Let us note some interesting properties of $P^{k}, k=0,1, \ldots$ : The map $P^{*}=\underset{k=0}{\oplus} P^{*}: A^{*}\left(\operatorname{Der}^{\prime}(F), F\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Der}^{\prime}(F)$ is a homomorphism of exterior algebras;

Theorem. The composition map $P^{\prime} \circ d: F \rightarrow \operatorname{Der}^{\prime}(F)$ is a homomorphism of Lie algebras.

Proof. We must prove the identity $P^{\prime}(d P(a, b))=\left[P^{\prime}(d a), P^{\prime}(d b)\right]$ for each $a, b \in F$. By the definitions of $P^{\prime}$ and [, ] we have $P^{\prime}(d P(a, b))(c)=$ $\left.\left(P^{\prime}(d a)\right)\left(P^{\prime}(d b) c\right)-\left(P^{\prime}(d b)\right)\left(P^{\prime}(d a) c\right)=P(a, P(b, c))-P(b, P(a, c))\right)$. Now the identity we want to prove follows from the Jacobi identity for $P$.
2.4. Theorem. The map $P^{*}$ is a homomorphism from the complex $\left(A^{*}\left(\operatorname{Der}^{\prime}(F), F\right), d\right)$ into the complex $\left(\operatorname{Der}^{*}(F), \widetilde{P}\right)$, where $d$ is the classical derivation and $\widetilde{P}$ is defined in 1.5 and 1.11 .

Proof. We must prove the identity $P^{*}(d w)=\left[P, P^{*}(w)\right]$ for every $w \in$ $A^{*}\left(\operatorname{Der}^{\prime}(F), F\right), n=0,1, \ldots$ By the definitions we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
P^{n+1}(d w)\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n+1}\right)=(-1)^{n+1} d w\left(P^{\prime}\left(d a_{1}\right), \ldots, P^{\prime}\left(d a_{n+1}\right)\right)= \\
=(-1)^{n+1}\left(\sum _ { i } ( - 1 ) ^ { i - 1 } ( P ^ { \prime } ( d a _ { i } ) ) w \left(P^{\prime}\left(d a_{1}\right), \ldots, \widehat{\left.P^{\prime}\left(d a_{i}\right), \ldots, P^{\prime}\left(d a_{n+1}\right)\right)+}\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.+\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j} w\left(\left[P^{\prime}\left(d a_{i}\right), P^{\prime}\left(d a_{j}\right)\right], \ldots, \widehat{P^{\prime}}\left(d a_{i}\right), \ldots, \widehat{P^{\prime}}\left(d a_{j}\right), \ldots\right)\right)\right)= \\
=(-1)^{n+1}\left(\sum_{i}(-1)^{i-1} P\left(a_{i} w\left(P^{\prime}\left(d a_{1}\right), \ldots, \widehat{P^{\prime}}\left(d a_{i}\right), \ldots, P^{\prime}\left(d a_{n+1}\right)\right)\right)+\right. \\
\left.\left.\quad+\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j} w\left(\left[P^{\prime}\left(d a_{i}\right), P^{\prime}\left(d a_{j}\right)\right], \ldots, \widehat{P^{\prime}\left(d a_{i}\right)}, \ldots, \widehat{P^{\prime}\left(d a_{j}\right.}\right), \ldots\right)\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[P, P^{n}(w)\right]\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n+1}=\sum_{i}(-1)^{i-1} P\left(P^{n}(w)\left(a_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{a_{i}}, \ldots, a_{n+1}\right), a_{i}\right)+\right.} \\
& \quad+\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j-3}\left(P^{n}(w)\right)\left(P\left(a_{i} a_{j}\right), \ldots, \widehat{a_{i}}, \ldots, \widehat{a_{j}}, \ldots\right)=
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=(-1)^{n+1}\left(\sum_{i}(-1)^{i-1} P\left(a_{i} w\left(P^{\prime}\left(d a_{1}\right), \ldots, \widehat{P^{\prime}\left(d a_{i}\right.}\right), \ldots, P^{\prime}\left(d a_{n+1}\right)\right)\right)+ \\
\left.\left.\left.=\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j} w\left(P^{\prime}\left(d P\left(a_{i} a_{j}\right)\right), \ldots, \widehat{P^{\prime}\left(d a_{i}\right.}\right), \ldots, \widehat{P^{\prime}\left(d a_{j}\right.}\right), \ldots\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

As in the proof of Theorem 2.3, we obtain $\left[P^{\prime}\left(d a_{i}\right), P^{\prime}\left(d a_{j}\right)\right]=P^{\prime}\left(d P\left(a_{i}, a_{j}\right)\right)$, which completes the proof of the theorem.

As a consequence, $P^{*}$ defines a homomorphism from the cohomology of the Lie algebra $\operatorname{Der}^{\prime}(F)$ with coefficients in the algebra $F$ into the cohomology of Poisson structure $H^{*}(F, P)$.
2.5. Further, we consider the case with $F=C^{\infty}(M)$, where $M$ is a finitedimensional $C^{\infty}$-manifold. Then $\operatorname{Der}^{k}(F)$ is the space of contravariant antisymmetric tensor fields of degree $k$ on the manifold $M$ and $A^{k}\left(\operatorname{Der}^{\prime}(F), F\right)$ is the space of differential $k$-forms on $M$. These spaces are denoted by $V^{k}(M)$ and $\Omega^{k}(M)$, respectively.

The Poisson structure $P$ on $M$ is a contravariant antisymmetric involutive tensor field of degree 2 .
$P$ induces a homomorphism $\bar{P}: T^{*}(M) \rightarrow T(M)$ from the cotangent bundle of $M$ into the tangent bundle of $M$. Let $\beta\left(P_{x}^{*}(\alpha)\right)=(\alpha \wedge \beta)\left(P_{x}\right)$ for $x \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in T_{x}^{*}(M)$.

The set of subspaces $\left\{\operatorname{Image}\left(\bar{P}_{x} \subset T_{x}(M): x \in M\right\}\right.$ is an integrable distribution (see [5]). Integral manifolds are called symplectic leaves of the Poisson structure $P$ (see [5], [6]).

Thus we have a foliation $\mathcal{F}_{p}$ with different-dimensional leaves induced by the Poisson structure $P$. Now we use the generalization of the cohomology from in 0.6 , associated with a submodule on the Lie subalgebra $L \subset V^{\prime}(M)$.

Let $L$ be the set of vector fields on the manifold $M$, tangent to the leaves of the foliation $\mathcal{F}_{p}$.

Since $L$ is a submodule of $V^{\prime}(M)$ generated by elements of the form $P^{\prime}(d \varphi)$, where $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(M)$, it is clear that $w$ is an element of $\Omega_{L}^{k}(M)$ if and only if $w\left(P^{\prime}\left(d \varphi_{1}\right), \ldots, P^{\prime}\left(d \varphi_{k}\right)\right)=0$ for every system $\left\{\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{k}\right\} \subset$ $C^{\infty}(M)$; this is the same as $P^{k}(w)=0$. So we have $\Omega_{L}^{*}(M)=\operatorname{Kernel}\left(P^{*}\right)$.

The consequence of the above result can be formulated as
Theorem. The cohomology algebra of the complex $\left(\Omega^{*}(M) / \Omega_{L}^{*}(M), \widetilde{d}\right)$ (relative cohomologies) is isomorphic to the cohomology algebra of the complex $\left(\operatorname{Im} P^{*}, \widetilde{P}\right)$.
2.6. The homomorphism of bundles $\bar{P}: T^{*}(M) \rightarrow T(M)$ induces homomorphisms of the associated bundles $\wedge^{k} \bar{P}: \wedge^{k} T^{*}(M) \rightarrow \wedge^{k} T(M), k=$ $1,2, \ldots$. We denote by $V^{k}(M, P)$ the subspace of $V^{k}(M)$ consisting of such elements $v$ that $v_{x} \in \operatorname{Image}\left(\wedge^{k} \bar{P}_{x}^{*}\right)$ for every $x \in M$. The subalgebra
$V^{*}(M, P)=\underset{k=0}{\infty} V^{k}(M, P)$ is invariant under the action of th e operator $\widetilde{P}$ (see [7]). Hence we have a complex $\left(V^{*}(M, P), \widetilde{P}\right)$ and the corresponding cohomology algebra denoted by $h^{*}(M, P)$ (see [7]).

Theorem. The cohomology of the Lie algebra $L$ with coefficients in $C^{\infty}(M)$ (in other words, the cohomology of the complex $\left(\Omega^{*}(M, L), d_{L}\right)$ (see $0.6)$ ) is isomorphic to $h^{*}(M, P)$.

Proof. We construct a homomorphism $P_{L}^{k}: \Omega^{k}(M, L) \rightarrow V^{k}(M, P)$ for each $k=0,1, \ldots$, analogously to the homomorphisms $P^{k}: \Omega^{k}(M) \rightarrow V^{k}(M)$ defined in 2.2. To prove that it is an isomorphism, it is sufficient to show that it is a monomorphism: $P_{L}^{k}(w)=0 \Rightarrow\left(P_{L}^{k}(w)\right)\left(\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{k}\right)=0$ for every $\left\{\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{k}\right\} \subset C^{\infty}(M) \Rightarrow w\left(P_{L}^{\prime}\left(d \varphi_{1}\right), \ldots, P_{L}^{\prime}\left(d \varphi_{k}\right)\right)=0$. Since $L$ is a module generated by elements of the form $P_{L}^{\prime}(d \varphi), \varphi \in C^{\infty}(M)$, the above identity is equivalent to the identity $w=0$.
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