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#### Abstract

Using a multidimensional analogue of Vinogradov's inequality for a trigonometric integral, the upper bounds are constructed for the moduli of the characteristic functions both of the system of monomials in components of a random vector with an absolutely continuous distribution in $\mathbb{R}^{s}$ and of the system $\left(\cos j_{1} \pi \xi_{1} \cdots \cos j_{s} \pi \xi_{s}, \quad 0 \leq j_{1}, \ldots, j_{s} \leq k, \quad j_{1}+\cdots+j_{s} \geq 1\right)$, where $\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{s}\right)$ is uniformly distributed in $[0,1]^{s}$.


Introduction. This note continues the series of studies [1-3] carried out on the initiative of Yu. V. Prokhorov and dealing with estimates of the characteristic functions (c.f.) of degenerate multidimensional distributions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{s}} \exp \{i(t, \varphi(x))\} p(x) d x\right| \leq C|t|^{-\alpha} \quad \text { for } \quad|t|>t_{0} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^{s} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k}, s<k, t \in \mathbb{R}^{k} ; p(x)$ is the distribution density; $C$, $\alpha$, and $t_{0}$ are positive constants. In what follows we shall use the notation $I(t ; \varphi(x), p(x))$ for the integral from (1) and omit the condition $|t|>t_{0}$.

When $s=1$, Sadikova has shown in [1] that for $\varphi(x)=\varphi_{0}(x)=$ $\left(x, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{k}\right)$ one can take $\alpha=(1+1 / k)^{-(k-1)} / k!$ if the integrals of $\left|p^{\prime}(x)\right|$ and $|x|^{k-1} p(x)$ are finite. Her method is based on van der Corput's lemma whose generalization allowed Yurinskii to assert that "for decreasing of the c.f. like a negative power of the argument modulus it is, roughly speaking, sufficient that the surface carrying the distribution have no tangencies of an
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infinitely high order with $(k-1)$-dimensional hyperplanes, and that the surface density of the distribution be bounded, satisfy the Lipschitz condition in $L_{1}$-norm, and have several moments" (see [2]).

The author's paper [3] deals with the case $s=1$, where $\varphi(x)=\varphi_{1}(x)=$ $(\cos \pi x, \ldots, \cos k \pi x), p(x)=\mathbb{1}_{[0,1]}(x)$, where $\mathbb{1}_{A}(x)$ is the indicator of the set $A$. Using Vinogradov's famous inequality for a trigonometric integral [4], it is shown in [3] that in that case $\alpha=1 / 2 k$ (for $k=1$ it can be deduced from [2] as well). An order with respect to $|t|$ turned out to be exact (because of the exactness of Vinogradov's inequality), i.e., in some directions of $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ the c.f. behaves like $|t|^{-1 / 2 k}$ for $|t| \rightarrow \infty$. Concomitantly, we obtained bounds with $\alpha=1 / k$ for the case $\varphi(x)=\varphi_{0}(x)$ assuming that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{1}} \max (1,|x|)\left|p^{\prime}(x)\right| d x<\infty$ for the density $p(x)$; for analogous estimates see [5].
$\varphi_{0}(x)$ arises in problems connected with behavior of the joint distribution of sample moments. For $\varphi_{1}(x)$ the inequality from [3] turns out important in proving the fact that the deviation of the distribution function of $\omega^{2}$-test statistic from the limiting one has order $n^{-1}$ (see [6], cf. [7]).

1. Multidimensional Analogue of Vinogradov's Inequality. The Results. Let $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{s}$ and the the multiindex $\mathbf{j}=\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{s}\right) \neq$ $\mathbf{0}=(0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{s}$ vary in $J_{k, s}=\{0,1, \ldots, k\}^{s} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}$. Consider the system of monomials in $s$ real variables $\varphi_{0}^{(s)}(x)=\left(x_{1}^{j_{1}} \cdots x_{s}^{j_{s}}, \mathbf{j} \in J_{k, s}\right)$ and also the corresponding system of cosines products

$$
\varphi_{1}^{(s)}(x)=\left(\cos j_{1} \pi x_{1} \cdots \cos j_{s} \pi x_{s}, \quad \mathbf{j} \in J_{k, s}\right)
$$

Denote $\tau=\tau(t)=\max \left\{\left|t_{\mathbf{j}}\right|: \mathbf{j} \in J_{k, s}\right\}, \quad t=\left(t_{\mathbf{j}}, \mathbf{j} \in J_{k, s}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{(k+1)^{s}-1}$.
For $\varphi_{j}^{(s}(x), j=0,1$, inequalities of form (1), which can be used to study distributions of a system of mixed sample moments and other multivariate statistics, can be derived by the following multidimensional analogue of Vinogradov's inequality [8, p. 39] written for our convenience in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mid I\left(t ; \varphi_{0}^{(s)}(x), \mathbb{1}_{[0,1]^{s}}(x) \mid \leq 32^{s}(2 \pi)^{1 / k} \tau^{-1 / k} \ln ^{s-1}(2+\tau / 2 \pi)\right. \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

note that by [8, p. 41] we have for $\gamma>1$ that

$$
\left|\int_{[0,1]^{s}} \exp \left\{i \gamma x_{1}^{k} \cdots x_{s}^{k}\right\} d x\right| \geq\left[2 \pi k^{s}(s-1)!\right]^{-1}(2 \pi)^{1 / k} \gamma^{-1 / k} \ln ^{s-1} \frac{\gamma}{2 \pi}
$$

By virtue of the fact that the inequality $\tau(\widetilde{t}) \geq \prod_{j=1}^{s}\left[\min \left(1,\left|y_{j}\right|\right)\right]^{k} \tau$ holds for $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{s}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{s}$ and for $\widetilde{t}=\left(y_{1}^{j_{1}} \cdots y_{s}^{j_{s}} t_{\mathbf{j}}, \mathbf{j} \in J_{k, s}\right)$ and the function on the right-hand side of (2) decreases with respect to $\tau$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{y}(t)\right| \leq \prod_{j=1}^{s}\left|\operatorname{sgn} y_{j}\right| \max \left(1,\left|y_{j}\right|\right) 32^{s}(2 \pi)^{1 / k} \tau^{-1 / k} \ln ^{s-1}(2+\tau / 2 \pi) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for

$$
I_{y}(t)=\int_{0}^{y_{1}} \cdots \int_{0}^{y_{s}} \exp \left\{i\left(t, \varphi_{0}^{(s)}(x)\right)\right\} d x
$$

Let $D=\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right] \times \cdots \times\left[a_{s}, b_{s}\right]$ with positive $h_{j}=b_{j}-a_{j}, j=1, \ldots, s$; denote $D\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r}\right)=\left[a_{j_{1}}, b_{j_{1}}\right] \times \cdots \times\left[a_{j_{r}}, b_{j_{r}}\right]$ and $D_{c}\left(j_{1} \ldots j_{r}\right)=\prod\left\{\left[a_{j}, b_{j}\right]:\right.$ $\left.j=1, \ldots, s, j \neq j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r}\right\}$ for $1 \leq j_{1}<\cdots<j_{r} \leq s, 1<r<s$. The notation $x\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{s}\right)$ and $x_{c}\left(j_{1} \ldots j_{r}\right)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{s}$ is evident. Denote further by $V, V_{c}\left(j_{1} \ldots j_{r}\right)$ the sets of vertices of the parallelepipeds $D, D_{c}\left(j_{1} \ldots j_{r}\right)$, respectively, and $\Pi(y)=\prod_{j=1}^{r} \max \left(1,\left|y_{j}\right|\right)$ for $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{r}, 1 \leq r \leq s$.

Proposition 1. If a random vector $\xi$ has the density

$$
u_{D}(x)=\left(h_{1} \cdots h_{s}\right)^{-1} \mathbb{1}_{D}(x),
$$

then for the c.f. of $\varphi_{0}^{(s)}(\xi)$ the inequality

$$
\left|I\left(t ; \varphi_{0}^{(s)}(x), u_{D}(x)\right)\right| \leq \Pi\left(h_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, h_{s}^{-1}\right) 32^{s}(2 \pi)^{1 / k} \tau^{-1 / k} \ln ^{s-1}(2+\tau / 2 \pi)
$$

holds.
To proceed to the general case with density $p(x)$ concentrated on $D$ one should use

Proposition 2. If for $x \in D$ a function $p(x) \geq 0$ is continuous in $D$ and has, in $D$, continuous partial derivatives $p_{i}=p_{x_{i}}, p_{i j}=p_{x_{i} x_{j}}, \ldots, p_{1 \ldots s}=$ $p_{x_{1} \ldots x_{s}}$, then the estimate

$$
\left|I\left(t ; \varphi_{0}^{(s)}(x), \mathbb{1}_{D}(x) p(x)\right)\right| \leq C 32^{s}(2 \pi)^{1 / k} \tau^{-1 / k} \ln ^{s-1}(2+\tau / 2 \pi)
$$

holds, where $C=C_{0}+\cdots+C_{s}$ with

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{r}=\sum_{1 \leq j_{1}<\cdots<j_{r} \leq s} \sum_{x_{c}\left(j_{1} \ldots j_{r}\right) \in V_{c}\left(j_{1} \ldots j_{r}\right)} \Pi\left(x_{c}\left(j_{1} \ldots j_{r}\right)\right) \times \\
\times \int_{D\left(j_{1} \ldots j_{r}\right)} \Pi\left(x\left(j_{1} \ldots j_{r}\right)\right) p_{j_{1} \ldots j_{r}}(x) d x\left(j_{1} \ldots j_{r}\right), \quad 1 \leq r<s, \\
C_{0}=\sum_{x \in V} \Pi(x) p(x), \quad C_{s}=\int_{D} \Pi(x) p_{1 \ldots s}(x) d x
\end{gathered}
$$

If the above functions are unbounded or discontinuous in $D$ or $D$ is unbounded, then the estimate will demand obvious changes (conditions of the existence of some limits and integrals).

Since $|t| \leq\left[(k+1)^{s}-1\right]^{1 / 2} \tau$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}^{(k+1)^{s}-1}$, Propositions $1-2$ can be expressed in terms of $|t|$.

Let now $T_{r}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{r} a_{r j} x^{j}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{1}$, be a Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind and $A_{k}$ be the matrix with rows $\left(a_{r 0}, \ldots, a_{r r}, 0, \ldots, 0\right), r=$ $0, \ldots, k$.

For a matrix $B=\left(b_{p q}\right)_{p, q=0, \ldots, k}$ denote $\rho(B)=\max \left\{\sum_{q}\left|b_{p q}\right|: p=\right.$ $0, \ldots, k\}$ and $\rho_{k}=\rho\left(\left(A_{k}^{-1}\right)^{\prime}\right)\left({ }^{\prime}\right.$ means transposition). Let $\lambda_{k}=\lambda_{\min }\left(A_{k} A_{k}^{\prime}\right)$ be the least eigenvalue of the matrix $A_{k} A_{k}^{\prime}$.

Proposition 3. The following inequalities hold:
(a) $\left|I\left(t ; \varphi_{1}^{(s)}(x), \mathbb{1}_{[0,1]^{s}}(x)\right)\right| \leq 2^{\frac{9 k s+1}{k(s+1)}} \pi^{-\frac{2 k s-1}{k(s+1)}} s^{\frac{1}{s+1}} \times$

$$
\times \rho_{k}^{\frac{s}{k(s+1)}} \tau^{-\frac{1}{k(s+1)}} \ln ^{\frac{s-1}{s+1}}\left(2+\tau / 2 \pi \rho_{k}^{s}\right) ;
$$

(b) $\left|I\left(t ; \varphi_{1}^{(s)}(x), \mathbb{1}_{[0,1]^{s}}(x)\right)\right| \leq 2^{\frac{9 k s+1}{k(s+1)}} \pi^{-\frac{2 k s-1}{k(s+1)}} s^{\frac{1}{s+1}}\left[(k+1)^{s}-1\right]^{\frac{1}{2 k(s+1)}} \times$

$$
\times \lambda_{k}^{-\frac{1}{2 k(s+1)}}|t|^{-\frac{1}{k(s+1)}} \ln \ln ^{\frac{s-1}{s+1}}\left(2+\frac{|t| \lambda_{k}^{s / 2}}{2 \pi\left[(k+1)^{s}-1\right]^{1 / 2}}\right) .
$$

2. Proofs. Proposition 1 is evident.

Proof of Proposition 2. If the functions $u: \mathbb{R}^{s} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $v: \mathbb{R}^{s} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are continuous in $D$ along with the partial derivatives $u_{x_{i}}, v_{x_{i}}, u_{x_{i} x_{j}}$, $v_{x_{i} x_{j}}, \ldots, u_{x_{1} \ldots x_{s}}, v_{x_{1} \ldots x_{s}}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{D} u v_{x_{1} \ldots x_{s}} d x=\Delta_{a}^{b}(u v)-\sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} \Delta_{a_{c}(i)}^{b_{c}(i)} \int_{D(i)} v u_{x_{i}} d x(i)+ \\
+ & \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq s} \Delta_{a_{c}(i j)}^{b_{c}(i j)} \int_{D(i j)} v u_{x_{i} x_{j}} d x(i j)-\cdots+(-1)^{s} \int_{D} v u_{x_{1} \ldots x_{s}} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting here $u=p(x)$ and $v=I_{x}(t)$, passing to moduli, and applying (3), we complete the proof.

Proof of Proposition 3. By the change of variables $x_{j}=\arccos \pi y_{j}, j=$ $1, \ldots, s$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(t ; \varphi_{1}^{(s)}(x), \mathbb{1}_{(0,1)^{s}}(x)\right)=I\left(t ; \widetilde{\varphi}_{0}^{(s)}(y), q(y)\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q(y)=\pi^{-s} \prod_{j=1}^{s}\left(1-y_{j}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \mathbb{1}_{(-1,1)^{s}}(y), \\
& \widetilde{\varphi}_{0}^{(s)}(y)=\left(T_{j_{1}}\left(y_{1}\right) \cdots T_{j_{s}}\left(y_{s}\right), \quad \mathbf{j} \in J_{k, s}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Apply Proposition 2 for a cube $[-(1-\varepsilon), 1-\varepsilon]^{s}$ with $0<\varepsilon<1$. Since $\left(1-(1-\varepsilon)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}<\varepsilon^{-1 / 2}$ and $\int_{0}^{1-\varepsilon} x\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-3 / 2} d x<\varepsilon^{-1 / 2}$, we have

$$
C_{r}<(2 / \pi)^{s}\binom{s}{r} \varepsilon^{-s / 2}, \quad 0 \leq r \leq s, \quad \text { i.e., } \quad C<(4 / \pi)^{s} \varepsilon^{-s / 2}
$$

The remaining part of $\mid I\left(t ; \varphi_{0}^{(s)}(y), q(y) \mid\right.$ is less than $1-(1-z)^{s}<s z$ with $z=\frac{2}{\pi}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\arcsin (1-\varepsilon)\right) \leq \frac{4}{\pi} \sqrt{\varepsilon}$. Finally, we have

$$
\left|I\left(t ; \varphi_{0}^{(y)}(s), q(y)\right)\right| \leq\left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{s} 32^{s}(2 \pi)^{1 / k} \tau^{-1 / k} \ln ^{s-1}(2+\tau / 2 \pi) \varepsilon^{-s / 2}+\frac{4 s}{\pi} \sqrt{\varepsilon}
$$

whence, minimizing with respect to $\varepsilon$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I\left(t ; \varphi_{0}^{(s)}(y), q(y)\right)\right| \leq 2^{\frac{9 k s+1}{k(s+1)}} \pi^{-\frac{2 k s-1}{k(s+1)}} s^{\frac{1}{s+1}} \tau^{-\frac{1}{k(s+1)}} \ln ^{\frac{s-1}{s+1}}(2+\tau / 2 \pi) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further,

$$
\left(t, \widetilde{\varphi}_{0}^{(s)}(y)\right)=\sum_{\mathbf{j} \in J_{k, s}} t_{\mathbf{j}} T_{j_{1}}\left(y_{1}\right) \cdots T_{j_{s}}\left(y_{s}\right)=\left(t^{0}\right)^{\prime} A_{k}^{(s)}\left(1, \varphi_{0}^{(s)}(y)\right)
$$

with $A_{k}^{(s)}=\underbrace{A_{k} \otimes \cdots \otimes A_{k}}_{s \text { times }}, A_{k}^{(1)}=A_{k}$, where $\otimes$ denotes the Kronecker product, $t^{0}=(0, t)$ and $\varphi_{0}^{(s)}(y)$ and $t$ are understood as row vectors with lexicographically arranged components.

From (4) we now obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(t ; \varphi_{1}^{(s)}(x), \mathbb{1}_{(0,1)^{s}}(x)\right)=I\left(A_{k}^{(s)^{\prime}} t^{0} ;\left(1, \varphi_{0}^{(s)}(y)\right), q(y)\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\rho\left(B_{1} \otimes B_{2}\right)=\rho\left(B_{1}\right) \rho\left(B_{2}\right)$ for matrices $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$, according to [9, Theorem 6.5.1], $\tau\left(\left(A_{k}^{(s)}\right)^{\prime} t^{0}\right) \geq \tau / \rho\left(\left(A_{k}^{(s)^{\prime}}\right)^{-1}\right) \geq \tau / \rho_{k}^{s}$, which by virtue of (5) and (6) leads to (a).

To prove (b), note that since $A_{k}^{(s)}\left(A_{k}^{(s)}\right)^{\prime}=\left(A_{k} A_{k}^{\prime}\right)^{(s)}$ (see [10]) and for two positive definite matrices $\lambda_{\min }\left(B_{1} \otimes B_{2}\right)=\lambda_{\min }\left(B_{1}\right) \lambda_{\min }\left(B_{2}\right)$ (see, e.g., Supplement to [11]), we obtain $\left|A_{k}^{(s)^{\prime}} t^{0}\right|=\left(\left(t^{0}\right)^{\prime} A_{k}^{(s)}\left(A_{k}^{(s)}\right)^{\prime}\left(t^{0}\right)\right)^{1 / 2} \geq \lambda_{k}^{s / 2}|t|$ and $\tau\left(A_{k}^{(s)^{\prime}} t^{0}\right) \geq\left[(k+1)^{s}-1\right]^{-1 / 2} \lambda_{k}^{s / 2}|t|$.

Other applications of (2) to obtain bounds for c.f. can be found in [12]. Inequalities similar to the general ones from [2] can be found in [13].

Based on the theory of singularities and asymptotic expansions of oscillating integrals [14] one can study the exactness properties of bounds (a) and (b) with respect to $|t|$ and $\tau$ for the case $s>1$, too.
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