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#### Abstract

A multidimensional version of the first Darboux problem is considered for a model second order degenerating hyperbolic equation. Using the technique of functional spaces with a negative norm, the correct formulation of this problem in the Sobolev weighted space is proved.


In a space of variables $x_{1}, x_{2}, t$ let us consider a second-order degenerating hyperbolic equation of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
L u \equiv u_{t t}-\left|x_{2}\right|^{m} u_{x_{1} x_{1}}-u_{x_{2} x_{2}}+a_{1} u_{x_{1}}+a_{2} u_{x_{2}}+a_{3} u_{t}+a_{4} u=F \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 4, F$ are given real functions and $u$ is an unknown real function, $m=$ const $>0$.

Denote by $D: x_{2}<t<1-x_{2}, 0<x_{2}<\frac{1}{2}$, an unbounded domain lying in a space $x_{2}>0$ and bounded by characteristic surfaces $S_{1}: t-x_{2}=0$, $0<x_{2}<\frac{1}{2}, S_{2}: t+x_{2}-1=0,0<x_{2}<\frac{1}{2}$, of equation (1) and by a plane surface $S_{0}: x_{2}=0,0<t<1$, of time type with an equation degenerating on it. The coefficients $a_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 4$, of equation (1) in the domain $D$ are assumed to be bounded functions of the class $C^{1}(\bar{D})$.

For equation (1) let us consider a multidimensional version of the first Darboux problem formulated as follows: find in the domain $D$ a solution $u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right)$ of equation (1) satisfying the boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u\right|_{S_{0} \cup S_{1}}=0 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35L80.
Key words and phrases. Degenerating hyperbolic equation, multidimensional version of the first Darboux problem, Sobolev's weighted space, functional space with negative norm.

The problem in the domain $D$ for the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{*} v \equiv v_{t t}-x_{2}^{m} v_{x_{1} x_{1}}-v_{x_{2} x_{2}}-\left(a_{1} v\right)_{x_{1}}-\left(a_{2} v\right)_{x_{2}}-\left(a_{3} v\right)_{t}+a_{4} v=F \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is posed analogously by the boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.v\right|_{S_{0} \cup S_{2}}=0, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L^{*}$ is a formally conjugate operator of $L$.
Note that similar problems for $m=0$, when equation (1) is not degenerating and contains, in its principal part, a wave operator, were studied in [1-6]. Other versions of multidimensional Darboux problems can be found in [7-9].

Denote by $E, E^{*}$ the classes of functions from the Sobolev space $W_{2}^{2}(D)$ satisfying respectively the boundary condition (2) and the boundary condition (4). Let $W_{+}\left(W_{+}^{*}\right)$ be the weighted Hilbert space obtained by closing the space $E\left(E^{*}\right)$ in the norm

$$
\|u\|_{1,+}^{2}=\int_{D}\left(u_{t}^{2}+x_{2}^{m} u_{x_{1}}^{2}+u_{x_{2}}^{2}+u^{2}\right) d D
$$

Denote by $W_{-}\left(W_{-}^{*}\right)$ the space with a negative norm constructed with respect to $L_{2}(D)$ and $W_{+}\left(W_{+}^{*}\right)[10]$.

Consider the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\sup _{\bar{D}}\left|x_{2}^{-\frac{m}{2}} a_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right)\right|<\infty \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

imposed an the lowest coefficient $a_{1}$ in equation (1).
The uniqueness theorem required for solving problem (1), (2) of the class $W_{2}^{2}(D)$ follows from

Lemma 1. Let condition (5) be fulfilled. Then for every $u \in W_{2}^{2}(D)$ satisfying the homogeneous boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u\right|_{S_{0}}=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

the a priori estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{1,+} \leq c\left(\|f\|_{1, *}+\|F\|_{L_{2}(D)}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid, where the positive constant $c$ does not depend on $u ; f=\left.u\right|_{S_{1}}$, $F=L u$,

$$
\|f\|_{1, *}^{2}=\int_{S_{1}}\left[x_{2}^{m} f_{x_{1}}^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial N}\right)^{2}\right] d s
$$

and $\frac{\partial}{\partial N}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)$ is the derivative with respect to the conormal which is the inner differential operator on the characteristic surface $S_{1}$.

Proof. Let $n=\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \nu_{0}\right)$ be the outer unit vector to $\partial D$, i.e., $\nu_{1}=$ $\cos \left(\widehat{n, x_{1}}\right), \nu_{2}=\cos \left(\widehat{n, x_{2}}\right), \nu_{0}=\cos (\widehat{n, t})$. For the function $u \in W_{2}^{2}(D)$ satisfying the boundary condition (6) and $\lambda=$ const $>0$ a simple integration by parts gives

$$
\begin{gather*}
2 \int_{D} e^{-\lambda t} u_{t t} u_{t} d D=\int_{\partial D} e^{-\lambda t} u_{t}^{2} \nu_{0} d s+\int_{D} \lambda e^{-\lambda t} u_{t}^{2} d D  \tag{8}\\
-2 \int_{D} e^{-\lambda t}\left(x_{2}^{m} u_{x_{1} x_{1}} u_{t}+u_{x_{2} x_{2}} u_{t}\right) d D= \\
=-2 \int_{\partial D} e^{-\lambda t}\left(x_{2}^{m} u_{x_{1}} u_{t} \nu_{1}+u_{x_{2}} u_{t} \nu_{2}\right) d s+ \\
+\int D e^{-\lambda t}\left(x_{2}^{m} u_{x_{1}}^{2}+u_{x_{2}}^{2}\right) \nu_{0} d s+\int_{D} e^{-\lambda t}\left(\lambda x_{2}^{m} u_{x_{1}}^{2}+\lambda u_{x_{2}}^{2}\right) d D \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

It can be easily seen that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.u\right|_{S_{0}}=\left.u_{t}\right|_{S_{0}}=\left.\nu_{0}\right|_{S_{0}}=0,\left.\quad n\right|_{S_{1}}=\left(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)  \tag{10}\\
\left.\nu_{0}\right|_{S_{2}}>0,\left.\quad\left(\nu_{0}^{2}-x_{2}^{m} \nu_{1}^{2}-\nu_{2}^{2}\right)\right|_{S_{1} \cup S_{2}}=0
\end{gather*}
$$

Taking into account (8)-(10), multiplying both parts of equation (1) by $2 e^{-\lambda t} u_{t}$, where $F=L u$, and integrating the obtained expression with respect to $D$, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
2\left(L u, e^{-\lambda t} u_{t}\right)_{L_{2}(D)}=\int_{D} e^{-\lambda t}\left[\lambda\left(u_{t}^{2}+x_{2}^{m} u_{x_{1}}^{2}+u_{x_{2}}^{2}\right)+\right. \\
\left.+2\left(a_{1} u_{x_{1}}+a_{2} u_{x_{2}}+a_{3} u_{t}+a_{4} u\right) u_{t}\right] d D+\int_{S_{1} \cup S_{2}} e^{-\lambda t} \nu_{0}^{-1}\left[x _ { 2 } ^ { m } \left(\nu_{0} u_{x_{1}}-\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.-\nu_{1} u_{t}\right)^{2}+\left(\nu_{0} u_{x_{2}}-\nu_{2} u_{t}\right)^{2}+\left(\nu_{0}^{2}-x_{2}^{m} \nu_{1}^{2}-\nu_{2}^{2}\right) u_{t}^{2}\right] d s \geq \\
\geq \int_{D} e^{-\lambda t}\left[\lambda\left(u_{t}^{2}+x_{2}^{m} u_{x_{1}}^{2}+u_{x_{2}}^{2}\right)+2\left(a_{1} u_{x_{1}}+a_{2} u_{x_{2}}+a_{3} u_{t}+\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.+a_{4} u\right) u_{t}\right] d D-\sqrt{2} \int_{S_{1}} e^{-\lambda t}\left[x_{2}^{m} u_{x_{1}}^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial N}\right)^{2}\right] d s \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

Owing to condition (6) and the structure of $D$, one can easily verify that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{D} u^{2} d D \leq c_{0} \int_{D} u_{x_{2}}^{2} d D \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid for some $c_{0}=$ const $>0$ not depending on $u \in W_{2}^{2}(D)$.
Using inequality (5), we can show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|2 a_{1} u_{x_{1}} u_{t}\right| \leq 2 M\left(x_{2}^{\frac{m}{2}} u_{x_{1}}\right) u_{t} \leq M\left(x_{2}^{m} u_{x_{1}}^{2}+u_{t}^{2}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of (12) and (13), from (11) for sufficiently large $\lambda$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
2\left(L u, e^{-\lambda t} u_{t}\right)_{L_{2}(D)} & \geq c_{1} \int_{D}\left(u_{t}^{2}+x_{2}^{m} u_{x_{1}}^{2}+u_{x_{2}}^{2}+u^{2}\right) d D- \\
& -c_{2} \int_{S_{1}}\left[x_{2}^{m} u_{x_{1}}^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial N}\right)^{2}\right] d s, \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

where the positive constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ do not depend on $u$; note that depending on $\lambda$, the constant $c_{1}$ can be chosen arbitrarily large. Therefore estimate (7) follows obviously from (14).

Remark 1. Since for the principal part of the operator $L$ the derivative with respect to the conormal $\frac{\partial}{\partial N}=\nu_{0} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}-x_{2}^{m} \nu_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}-\nu_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}$ is the inner differential operator on the characteristic surfaces of equation (1), by (2) and (4) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\partial u}{\partial N}\right|_{S_{1}}=0,\left.\quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial N}\right|_{S_{2}}=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the functions $u \in E$ and $v \in E^{*}$.

Lemma 2. Let condition (5) be fulfilled. Then for all functions $u \in E, v \in E^{*}$ the inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|L u\|_{W_{-}^{*}} \leq c_{1}\|u\|_{W_{+}}  \tag{16}\\
& \left\|L^{*} v\right\|_{W_{-}} \leq c_{2}\|v\|_{W_{+}^{*}}^{*} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

are fulfilled, where the positive constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ do not depend respectively on $u$ and $v,\|\cdot\|_{W_{+}}=\|\cdot\|_{W_{+}^{*}}=\|\cdot\|_{1,+}$.

Proof. According to the definition of a negative norm and because of (2), (4), and (15), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|L u\|_{W_{-}^{*}}=\sup _{v \in W_{+}^{*}}\|v\|_{W_{+}^{*}}^{-1}(L u, v)_{L_{2}(D)}=\sup _{v \in E^{*}}\|v\|_{W_{+}^{*}}^{-1}(L u, v)_{L_{2}(D)}= \\
=\sup _{v \in E^{*}}\|v\|_{W_{+}^{*}}^{-1} \int_{\partial D}\left[u_{t} v \nu_{0}-x_{2}^{m} u_{x_{1}} v \nu_{1}-u_{x_{2}} v \nu_{2}\right] d s+\sup _{v \in E^{*}}\|v\|_{W_{+}^{*}}^{-1} \int_{D}\left[-u_{t} v_{t}+\right. \\
\left.+x_{2}^{m} u_{x_{1}} v_{x_{1}}+u_{x_{2}} v_{x_{2}}+a_{1} u_{x_{1}} v+a_{2} u_{x_{2}} v+a_{3} u_{t} v+a_{4} u v\right] d D= \\
=\sup _{v \in E^{*}}\|v\|_{W_{+}^{*}}^{-1} \int_{S_{1} \cup S_{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial N} v d s+\sup _{v \in E^{*}}\|v\|_{W_{+}^{*}}^{-1} \int_{D}\left[-u_{t} v_{t}+x_{2}^{m} u_{x_{1}} v_{x_{1}}+\right. \\
\left.+u_{x_{2}} v_{x_{2}}+a_{1} u_{x_{1}} v+a_{2} u_{x_{2}} v+a_{3} u_{t} v+a_{4} u v\right] d D=\sup _{v \in E^{*}}\|v\|_{W_{+}^{*}}^{-1} \int_{D}\left[-u_{t} v_{t}+\right. \\
\left.+x_{2}^{m} u_{x_{1}} v_{x_{1}}+u_{x_{2}} v_{x_{2}}+a_{1} u_{x_{1}} v+a_{2} u_{x_{2}} v+a_{3} u_{t} v+a_{4} u v\right] d D . \tag{18}
\end{gather*}
$$

Due to condition (5) and the Schwartz inequality we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{D}\left[-u_{t} v_{t}+x_{2}^{m} u_{x_{1}} v_{x_{1}}+u_{x_{2}} v_{x_{2}}\right] d D\right| \leq 3\left[\int_{D}\left(u_{t}^{2}+x_{2}^{m} u_{x_{1}}^{2}+u_{x_{2}}^{2}\right) d D\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \\
& \quad \times\left[\int_{D}\left(v_{t}^{2}+x_{2}^{m} v_{x_{1}}^{2}+v_{x_{2}}^{2}\right) d D\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 3\|u\|_{W_{+}}\|v\|_{W_{+}^{*}}  \tag{19}\\
& \left|\int_{D}\left(a_{1} u_{x_{1}} v+a_{2} u_{x_{2}} v+a_{3} u_{t} v+a_{4} u v\right] d D\right| \leq M\left(\iint_{D}^{m} u_{x_{1}}^{2} d D\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|v\|_{L_{2}(D)}+ \\
& \quad+\sup _{D}\left|a_{2}\right|\left\|u_{x_{2}}\right\|_{L_{2}(D)}\|v\|_{L_{2}(D)}+\sup _{D}\left|a_{3}\right|\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{L_{2}(D)}\|v\|_{L_{2}(D)}+ \\
& \quad+\sup _{D}\left|a_{4}\right|\|u\|_{L_{2}(D)}\|v\|_{L_{2}(D)} \leq\left(M+\sum_{i=1}^{4} \sup _{D}\left|a_{i}\right|\right)\|u\|_{W_{+}}\|v\|_{W_{+}^{*}} . \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

From (18)-(20) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|L u\|_{W_{-}^{*}} & \leq\left(3+M+\sum_{i=2}^{4} \sup _{D}\left|a_{i}\right|\right) \sup _{v \in E^{*}}\|v\|_{W_{+}^{*}}^{-1}\|u\|_{W_{+}}\|v\|_{W_{+}^{*}}= \\
& =\left(3+M+\sum_{i=2}^{4} \sup _{D}\left|a_{i}\right|\right)\|u\|_{W_{+}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves inequality (16). Thus Lemma 2 is completely proved, since the proof of inequality (17) repeats that of the inequality (16).

Remark 2. By inequalities (16) and (17), the operator $L: W_{+} \rightarrow W_{-}^{*}(L:$ $W_{+}^{*} \rightarrow W_{-}$) with a dense domain of definition $E\left(E^{*}\right)$ admits a closure which is a continuous operator from $W_{+}\left(W_{+}^{*}\right)$ to $W_{-}^{*}\left(W_{-}\right)$. Denoting this closure as previously by $L\left(L^{*}\right)$, we note that it is defined on the whole Hilbert space $W_{+}\left(W_{+}^{*}\right)$.

Lemma 3. Problems (1), (2) and (3), (4) are self-conjugate, i.e., the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
(L u, v)=\left(u, L^{*} v\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for every $u \in W_{+}$and $v \in W_{+}^{*}$.
Proof. By Remark 2 it suffices to prove equality (21) when $u \in E$ and $v \in E^{*}$. We have

$$
\begin{gather*}
(L u, v)=(L u, v)_{L_{2}(D)}=\int_{\partial D}\left[u_{t} v \nu_{0}-x_{2}^{m} u_{x_{1}} v \nu_{1}-u_{x_{2}} v \nu_{2}\right] d s+ \\
+\int_{\partial D}\left[a_{1} \nu_{1}+a_{2} \nu_{2}+a_{3} \nu_{0}\right] u v d s+\int_{D}\left[-u_{t} v_{t}+x_{2}^{m} u_{x_{1}} v_{x_{1}}+u_{x_{2}} v_{x_{2}}-\right. \\
\left.-u\left(a_{1} v\right)_{x_{1}}-u\left(a_{2} v\right)_{x_{2}}-u\left(a_{3} v\right)_{t}+a_{4} u v\right] d D=\int_{\partial D}\left[u_{t} v \nu_{0}-x_{2}^{m} u_{x_{1}} v \nu_{1}-\right. \\
\left.-u_{x_{2}} v \nu_{2}\right] d s+\int_{\partial D}\left[a_{1} \nu_{1}+a_{2} \nu_{2}+a_{3} \nu_{0}\right] u v d s-\int_{\partial D}\left[u v_{t} \nu_{0}-x_{2}^{m} u v_{x_{1}} \nu_{1}-\right. \\
\left.-u v_{x_{2}} \nu_{2}\right] d s+\int_{D}\left[u v_{t t}-x_{2}^{m} u v_{x_{1} x_{1}}-u v_{x_{2} x_{2}}-u\left(a_{1} v\right)_{x_{1}}-\right. \\
\left.-u\left(a_{2} v\right)_{x_{2}}-u\left(a_{3} v\right)_{t}+a_{4} u v\right] d D=\int\left[\left(v \frac{\partial u}{\partial N}-u \frac{\partial v}{\partial N}\right)+\right. \\
\left.+\left(a_{1} \nu_{1}+a_{2} \nu_{2}+a_{3} \nu_{3}\right] u v\right] d s+\left(u, L^{*} v\right)_{L_{2}(D)} \tag{22}
\end{gather*}
$$

By (2), (4), and (15), equality (21) follows directly from (22), which proves Lemma 3.

Lemma 4. Let condition (5) be fulfilled. Then for every $u \in W_{+} w e$ have the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
c\|u\|_{L_{2}(D)} \leq\|L u\|_{W_{-}^{*}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the positive constant $c$ not depending on $u$.

Proof. By Remark 2 it suffices to prove the inequality (23) when $u \in E$. If $u \in E$, then it can be easily verified that the function

$$
v\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right)=\int_{t}^{\varphi_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)} e^{-\lambda \tau} u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \tau\right) d \tau, \quad \lambda=\mathrm{const}>0
$$

where $t=\varphi_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is the equation of the characteristic surface $S_{2}$, belongs to the space $E^{*}$, and the equalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{t}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right)=-e^{-\lambda t} u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right), \quad u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right)=-e^{\lambda t} v_{t}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

are valid. By (10), (15) and (24) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad(L u, v)_{L_{2}(D)}=\int_{D}\left[v \frac{\partial u}{\partial N}+\left(a_{1} \nu_{1}+a_{2} \nu_{2}+a_{3} \nu_{0}\right) u v\right] d s+ \\
& +\int_{D}\left[-u_{t} v_{t}+x_{2}^{m} u_{x_{1}} v_{x_{1}}+u_{x_{2}} v_{x_{2}}-u a_{1 x_{1}} v-u a_{1} v_{x_{1}}-u a_{2 x_{2}} v-\right. \\
& \left.\quad-u a_{2} v_{x_{2}}-u a_{3 t} v-u a_{3} v_{t}+a_{4} u v\right] d D=\int_{D} e^{-\lambda t} u_{t} u d D+ \\
& +\int_{D} e^{\lambda t}\left[-x_{2}^{m} v_{x_{1} t} v_{x_{1}}-v_{x_{2} t} v_{x_{2}}+a_{1 x_{1}} v_{t} v+a_{1} v_{t} v_{x_{1}}+a_{2 x_{2}} v_{t} v+\right. \\
& \left.\quad+a_{2} v_{t} v_{x_{2}}+a_{3 t} v_{t} v+a_{3} v_{t}^{2}-a_{4} v_{t} v\right] d D . \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Analogously to (8) and (9), because of (2) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{D} e^{-\lambda t} u_{t} u d D=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial D} e^{-\lambda t} u^{2} \nu_{0} d s+\frac{1}{2} \int_{D} e^{-\lambda t} \lambda u^{2} d D= \\
=\frac{1}{2} \int_{S_{2}} e^{-\lambda t} u^{2} \nu_{0} d s+\frac{1}{2} \int_{D} e^{\lambda t} \lambda v_{t}^{2} d D= \\
=\frac{1}{2} \int_{S_{2}} e^{\lambda t} v_{t}^{2} \nu_{0} d s+\frac{1}{2} \int_{D} e^{\lambda t} \lambda v_{t}^{2} d D  \tag{26}\\
\int_{D} e^{\lambda t}\left[-x_{2}^{m} v_{x_{1} t} v_{x_{1}}-v_{x_{2} t} v_{x_{2}}\right] d D=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial D} e^{\lambda t}\left[x_{2}^{m} v_{x_{1}}^{2}+v_{x_{2}}^{2}\right] \nu_{0} d s+ \\
+\frac{1}{2} \int_{D} e^{\lambda t} \lambda\left[x_{2}^{m} v_{x_{1}}^{2}+v_{x_{2}}^{2}\right] d D \tag{27}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $\left.v\right|_{S_{2}}=0$, for some $\alpha$ on $S_{2}$ we have

$$
v_{t}=\alpha \nu_{0}, \quad v_{x_{1}}=\alpha \nu_{1}, \quad v_{x_{2}}=\alpha \nu_{2} .
$$

Therefore, since the surface $S_{2}$ is characteristic, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(v_{t}^{2}-x_{2}^{m} v_{x_{1}}^{2}-v_{x_{2}}^{2}\right)\right|_{S_{2}}=\left.\alpha^{2}\left(\nu_{0}^{2}-x_{2}^{m} \nu_{1}^{2}-\nu_{2}^{2}\right)\right|_{S_{2}}=0 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to the fact that $\left.\nu_{0}\right|_{S_{0}}=0,\left.\nu_{0}\right|_{S_{1}}<0$ and owing to equalities (4) and (28), we find that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{S_{2}} e^{\lambda t} v_{t}^{2} \nu_{0} d s-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial D} e^{\lambda t}\left[x_{2}^{m} v_{x_{1}}^{2}+v_{x_{2}}^{2}\right] \nu_{0} d s= \\
=\frac{1}{2} \int_{S_{2}} e^{\lambda t} v_{t}^{2} \nu_{0} d s-\frac{1}{2} \int_{S_{1}} e^{\lambda t}\left[x_{2}^{m} v_{x_{1}}^{2}+v_{x_{2}}^{2}\right] \nu_{0} d s- \\
-\frac{1}{2} \int_{S_{2}} e^{\lambda t}\left[x_{2}^{m} v_{x_{1}}^{2}+v_{x_{2}}^{2}\right] \nu_{0} d s \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{S_{2}} e^{\lambda t} v_{t}^{2} \nu_{0} d s-\frac{1}{2} \int_{S_{2}} e^{\lambda t}\left[x_{2}^{m} v_{x_{1}}^{2}+\right. \\
\left.+v_{x_{2}}^{2}\right] \nu_{0} d s=\frac{1}{2} \int_{S_{2}} e^{\lambda t}\left[v_{t}^{2}-x_{2}^{m} v_{x_{1}}^{2}-v_{x_{2}}^{2}\right] \nu_{0} d s=0 . \tag{29}
\end{gather*}
$$

Taking into consideration (26), (27), and (29), from (25) we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
(L u, v)_{L_{2}(D)}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{S_{2}} e^{\lambda t} v_{t}^{2} \nu_{0} d s+\frac{1}{2} \int_{D} e^{\lambda t} \lambda v_{t}^{2} d D- \\
-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial D} e^{\lambda t}\left[x_{2}^{m} v_{x_{1}}^{2}+v_{x_{2}}^{2}\right] \nu_{0} d s+\frac{1}{2} \int_{D} e^{\lambda t} \lambda\left[x_{2}^{m} v_{x_{1}}^{2}+v_{x_{2}}^{2}\right] d D+ \\
+\int_{D} e^{\lambda t}\left[a_{1} v_{t} v_{x_{1}}+a_{2} v_{t} v_{x_{2}}+a_{3} v_{t}^{2}+\left(a_{1 x_{1}}+a_{2 x_{2}}+a_{3 t}-a_{4}\right) v_{t} v\right] d D \geq \\
\geq \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{D} e^{\lambda t}\left[v_{t}^{2}+x_{2}^{m} v_{x_{1}}^{2}+v_{x_{2}}^{2}\right] d D-\mid \int_{D} e^{\lambda t}\left[a_{1} v_{t} v_{x_{1}}+\right. \\
\left.+a_{2} v_{t} v_{x_{2}}+a_{3} v_{t}^{2}+\left(a_{1 x_{1}}+a_{2 x_{2}}+a_{3 t}-a_{4}\right) v_{t} v\right] d D \mid \tag{30}
\end{gather*}
$$

Putting

$$
\mu=\max \left(\sup _{D}\left|a_{2}\right|, \sup _{D}\left|a_{3}\right|, \sup _{D}\left|a_{1 x_{1}}+a_{2 x_{2}}+a_{3 t}-a_{4}\right|\right)
$$
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and taking into account (5), we find that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\int_{D} e^{\lambda t}\left[a_{1} v_{t} v_{x_{1}}+a_{2} v_{t} v_{x_{2}}+a_{3} v_{t}^{2}+\left(a_{1 x_{1}}+a_{2 x_{2}}+a_{3 t}-a_{4}\right) v_{t} v\right] d D\right| \leq \\
\leq \int_{D} e^{\lambda t}\left[M \frac{1}{2}\left(x_{2}^{m} v_{x_{1}}^{2}+v_{t}^{2}\right)+\frac{\mu}{2}\left(v_{x_{2}}^{2}+v_{t}^{2}\right)+\mu v_{t}^{2}+\frac{\mu}{2}\left(v^{2}+v_{t}^{2}\right)\right] d D= \\
\quad=\int_{D} e^{\lambda t}\left[\left(\frac{1}{2} M+2 \mu\right) v_{t}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} M x_{2}^{m} v_{x_{1}}^{2}+\frac{\mu}{2} v_{x_{2}}^{2}+\frac{\mu}{2} v^{2}\right] d D \leq \\
\leq\left(\frac{1}{2} M+2 \mu\right) \int_{D} e^{\lambda t}\left[v_{t}^{2}+x_{2}^{m} v_{x_{1}}^{2}+v_{x_{2}}^{2}+v^{2}\right] d D \tag{31}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since the function $\left.e^{\frac{\lambda}{2} t} v\right|_{S_{0}}=0$, by virtue of inequality (12) we have

$$
\int_{D} e^{\lambda t} v^{2} d D \leq c_{0} \int_{D} e^{\lambda t} v_{x_{2}}^{2} d D \leq c_{0} \int_{D} e^{\lambda t}\left[v_{t}^{2}+x_{2}^{m} v_{x_{1}}^{2}+v_{x_{2}}^{2}\right] d D
$$

and, consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{D} e^{\lambda t}\left[v_{t}^{2}+x_{2}^{m} v_{x_{1}}^{2}+v_{x_{2}}^{2}\right] d D \geq \frac{1}{1+c_{0}} \int_{D} e^{\lambda t}\left[v_{t}^{2}+x_{2}^{m} v_{x_{1}}^{2}+v_{x_{2}}^{2}+v^{2}\right] d D \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (31), (32), and (24) from (30) we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
(L u, v)_{L_{2}(D)} \geq \frac{\lambda}{2\left(1+c_{0}\right)} \int_{D} e^{\lambda t}\left[v_{t}^{2}+x_{2}^{m} v_{x_{1}}^{2}+v_{x_{2}}^{2}+v^{2}\right] d D- \\
-\left(\frac{1}{2} M+2 \mu\right) \int_{D} e^{\lambda t}\left[v_{t}^{2}+x_{2}^{m} v_{x_{1}}^{2}+v_{x_{2}}^{2}+v^{2}\right] d D= \\
=\left(\frac{\lambda}{2\left(1+c_{0}\right)}-\frac{1}{2} M-2 \mu\right) \int_{D} e^{\lambda t}\left[v_{t}^{2}+x_{2}^{m} v_{x_{1}}^{2}+v_{x_{2}}^{2}+v^{2}\right] d D \geq \\
\geq \sigma\left[\iint_{D}^{\lambda t} v_{t}^{2} d D\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\int_{D}\left[v_{t}^{2}+x_{2}^{m} v_{x_{1}}^{2}+v_{x_{2}}^{2}+v^{2}\right] d D\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}= \\
=\sigma\left[\int_{D} e^{-\lambda t} u^{2} d D\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\|v\|_{W_{+}^{*}} \geq \sigma \cdot \inf _{D} e^{-\lambda t}\|u\|_{L_{2}(D)}\|v\|_{W_{+}^{*}} \tag{33}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\sigma=\left(\frac{\lambda}{2\left(1+c_{0}\right)}-\frac{1}{2} M-2 \mu\right)>0$ for sufficiently large $\lambda$, while $\inf _{D} e^{-\lambda t}=$ const $>0$ owing to the structure of the domain $D$.

If now we apply the generalized Schwartz inequality

$$
(L u, v)_{L_{2}(D)} \leq\|L u\|_{W_{-}^{*}}\|v\|_{W_{-}^{*}}
$$

to the left-hand side of (33), then after reduction by $\|v\|_{W_{+}^{*}}$ we obtain inequality (23), where $c=\sigma \inf _{D} e^{-\lambda t}=$ const $>0$.

Lemma 5. Let condition (5) be fulfilled. Then for every $v \in W_{+}^{*}$ the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
c\|v\|_{L_{2}(D)} \leq\left\|L^{*} v\right\|_{W_{-}} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid for some $c=$ const $>0$ not depending on $v \in W_{+}^{*}$.
Proof. As in Lemma 4, by Remark 2 it suffices to prove the validity of inequality (34) for $v \in E^{*}$. Let $v \in E^{*}$ and introduce into consideration the function

$$
u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right)=\int_{\varphi_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}^{t} e^{\lambda \tau} v\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \tau\right) d \tau, \quad \lambda=\text { const }>0
$$

where $t=\varphi_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is the equation of the characteristic surface $S_{1}$. It is easily seen that the function $u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right)$ belongs to the class $E$, and we have the equalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right)=e^{\lambda t} v\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right), \quad v\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right)=e^{-\lambda t} u_{t}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of (10), (15), and (35) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(L^{*} v, u\right)_{L_{2}(D)}=\int_{\partial D}\left[u \frac{\partial v}{\partial N}-\left(a_{1} \nu_{1}+a_{2} \nu_{2}+a_{3} \nu_{0}\right) u v\right] d s+ \\
+\int_{D}\left[-v_{t} u_{t}+x_{2}^{m} v_{x_{1}} u_{x_{1}}+v_{x_{2}} u_{x_{2}}+a_{1} v u_{x_{1}}+a_{2} v u_{x_{2}}+a_{3} v u_{t}+a_{4} u v\right] d D= \\
=-\int_{D} e^{\lambda t} v_{t} v d D+\int_{D} e^{-\lambda t}\left[x_{2}^{m} u_{x_{1} t} u_{x_{1}}+u_{x_{2} t} u_{x_{2}}\right] d D+ \\
+\int_{D} e^{-\lambda t}\left[a_{1} u_{x_{1}}+a_{2} u_{x_{2}}+a_{3} u_{t}+a_{4} u\right] u_{t} d D \tag{36}
\end{gather*}
$$

Similarly to (26)-(29) we can prove the equalities

$$
-\int_{D} e^{\lambda t} v_{t} v d D=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial D} e^{\lambda t} v^{2} \nu_{0} d s+\frac{1}{2} \int_{D} e^{\lambda t} \lambda v^{2} d D=
$$
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$$
\begin{gather*}
=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{S_{1}} e^{-\lambda t} u_{t}^{2} \nu_{0} d s+\frac{1}{2} \int_{D} e^{-\lambda t} \lambda u_{t}^{2} d D  \tag{37}\\
\int_{D} e^{-\lambda t}\left[x_{2}^{m} u_{x_{1} t} u_{x_{1}}+u_{x_{2} t} u_{x_{2}}\right] d D=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial D} e^{-\lambda t}\left[x_{2}^{m} u_{x_{1}}^{2}+u_{x_{2}}^{2}\right] \nu_{0} d s+ \\
+\frac{1}{2} \int_{D} e^{-\lambda t} \lambda\left[x_{2}^{m} u_{x_{1}}^{2}+u_{x_{2}}^{2}\right] d D  \tag{38}\\
\left.\left(u_{t}^{2}-x_{2}^{m} u_{x_{1}}^{2}-u_{x_{2}}^{2}\right)\right|_{S_{1}}=0,  \tag{39}\\
=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{S_{1}} e^{-\lambda t} u_{t}^{2} \nu_{0} d s+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial D} e^{-\lambda t}\left[x_{2}^{m} u_{x_{1}}^{2}+u_{x_{2}}^{2}\right] \nu_{0} d s= \\
=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{S_{1}} e^{-\lambda t} u_{t}^{2} \nu_{0} d s+\frac{1}{2} \int_{S_{1}} e^{-\lambda t}\left[x_{2}^{m} u_{x_{1}}^{2}+u_{x_{2}}^{2}\right] \nu_{0} d s+ \\
\quad+\frac{1}{2} \int_{S_{2}} e^{-\lambda t}\left[x_{2}^{m} u_{x_{1}}^{2}+u_{x_{2}}^{2}\right] \nu_{0} d s \geq \\
\geq-\frac{1}{2} \int_{S_{1}} e^{-\lambda t}\left[u_{t}^{2}-x_{2}^{m} u_{x_{1}}^{2}-u_{x_{2}}^{2}\right] \nu_{0} d s=0 . \tag{40}
\end{gather*}
$$

To obtain inequality (40) we have used the fact that $\left.\nu_{0}\right|_{S_{2}}>0$.
Owing to (37)-(40), from (36) we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(L^{*} v, u\right)_{L_{2}(D)} \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{D} e^{-\lambda t} \lambda\left[u_{t}^{2}+x_{2}^{m} u_{x_{1}}^{2}+u_{x_{2}}^{2}\right] d D+ \\
+\int_{D} e^{-\lambda t}\left[a_{1} u_{x_{1}}+a_{2} u_{x_{2}}+a_{3} u_{t}+a_{4} u\right] u_{t} d D \geq \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{D} e^{-\lambda t}\left[u_{t}^{2}+x_{2}^{m} u_{x_{1}}^{2}+\right. \\
\left.+u_{x_{2}}^{2}\right] d D-\left|\int_{D} e^{-\lambda t}\left[a_{1} u_{x_{1}}+a_{2} u_{x_{2}}+a_{3} u_{t}+a_{4} u\right] u_{t} d D\right|
\end{gathered}
$$

whence, as in obtaining inequality (33) from (30), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(L^{*} v, u\right)_{L_{2}(D)} \geq & {\left[\frac{\lambda}{2\left(1+c_{0}\right)}-\left(\frac{1}{2} M+\max _{i=2,3,4} \sup _{D}\left|a_{i}\right|\right)\right] \times } \\
& \times \inf _{D} e^{-\lambda t}\|v\|_{L_{2}(D)}\|u\|_{W_{+}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Inequality (34) follows directly from the above inequality for sufficiently large $\lambda$.

Definition 1. If $F \in L_{2}(D)$, then the function $u$ will be called a strong generalized solution of problem (1), (2) of the class $W_{+}$if $u \in W_{+}$, and
there exists a sequence of functions $u_{n} \in E$ such that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ and $L u_{n} \rightarrow F$ respectively in the spaces $W_{+}$and $W_{-}^{*}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, i.e.,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{W_{+}}=0 . \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|L u_{n}-F\right\|_{W_{-}^{*}}=0
$$

Definition 2. If $F \in W_{-}^{*}$, then the function $u$ will be called a strong generalized solution of problem (1), (2) of the class $L_{2}$ if $u \in L_{2}(D)$, and there exists a sequence of functions $u_{n} \in E$ such that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ and $L u_{n} \rightarrow F$ respectively in the spaces $L_{2}(D)$ and $W_{-}^{*}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, i.e.,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{L_{2}(D)}=0 . \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|L u_{n}-F\right\|_{W_{-}^{*}}=0
$$

According to the results of [11], the theorems below are consequences of Lemmas 2-5.

Theorem 1. Let condition (5) be fulfilled. Then for every $F \in W_{-}^{*}$ there exists a unique strong generalized solution $u$ of problem (1), (2) of the class $L_{2}$ for which the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L_{2}(D)} \leq c\|F\|_{W_{-}^{*}}, \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a positive constant $c$ not depending on $F$, is valid.
Theorem 2. Let condition (5) be fulfilled. Then for every $F \in L_{2}(D)$ there exists a unique strong generalized solution $u$ of problem (1), (2) of the class $W_{+}$for which estimate (41) is valid.

Proof. The existence of a solution of problem (1), (2) in Theorem 2 follows, for example, from the arguments as follows. By virtue of inequality (34), the functional $(F, v)_{L_{2}(D)}$ can be regarded as a linear continuous functional of $L^{*} v$, where $v \in E^{*}, F \in L_{2}(D)$. Indeed, using this inequality, we have

$$
\left|(F, v)_{L_{2}(D)}\right| \leq\|F\|_{L_{2}(D)}\|v\|_{L_{2}(D)} \leq c^{*}\left\|L^{*} v\right\|_{W_{-}}, \quad c^{*}=\text { const }>0
$$

By the Khan-Banach theorem, this functional can be linearly and continuously extended into the whole space $W_{-}$. Following the theorem on a general type of a linear continuous functional over $W_{-}$, there exists a function $u \in W_{+}$such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u, L^{*}\right)_{L_{2}(D)}=(F, v)_{L_{2}(D)}, \quad v \in E^{*} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality (42) means that $u$ is a weak generalized solution of the problem (1), (2). Let us now show that this solution is also a strong generalized solution of problem (1), (2) of the class $W_{+}$.

Since the space $E$ is dense in $W_{+}$, there exists a sequence $u_{n} \in E$ of functions such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{W_{+}}=0 \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using equalities (21) and (42), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{n}-u, L^{*} v\right)_{L_{2}(D)}=\left(L u_{n}-F, v\right)_{L_{2}(D)} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, according to the generalized Schwartz inequality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(u_{n}-u, L^{*} v\right)_{L_{2}(D)}\right| \leq\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{W_{+}}\left\|L^{*} v\right\|_{W_{-}} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (43)-(45) that in the space $W_{-}^{*}$ the sequence $L u_{n}$ of functions converges weakly to the function $F$. But since this sequence, because of (16) and (43), converges in the norm of the space $W_{-}^{*}$, we obtain

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|L u_{n}-F\right\|_{W_{-}^{*}}=0
$$

Consequently, the function $u$ is a strong generalized solution of problem (1), (2) of the class $W_{+}$.

This fact can be proved in a different way. Indeed, using equalities (21) and (42) and inequality (17), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|L u_{n}-F\right\|_{W_{-}^{*}} & =\sup _{v \in W_{+}^{*}}\|v\|_{W_{+}^{*}}^{-1}\left(L u_{n}-F, v\right)_{L_{2}(D)}= \\
& =\sup _{v \in E^{*}}\|v\|_{W_{+}^{*}}^{-1}\left[\left(L u_{n}, v\right)_{L_{2}(D)}-(F, v)_{L_{2}(D)}\right]= \\
& =\sup _{v \in E^{*}}\|v\|_{W_{+}^{*}}^{-1}\left[\left(u_{n}, L^{*} v\right)_{L_{2}(D)}-\left(u, L^{*} v\right)_{L_{2}(D)}\right]= \\
& =\sup _{v \in E^{*}}\|v\|_{W_{+}^{*}}^{-1}\left(u_{n}-u, L^{*} v\right)_{L_{2}(D)} \leq \\
& \leq \sup _{v \in E^{*}}\|v\|_{W_{+}^{*}}^{-1}\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{W_{+}}\left\|L^{*} v\right\|_{W_{-}} \leq \\
& \leq \sup _{v \in E^{*}}\|v\|_{W_{+}^{*}}^{-1}\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{W_{+}} c_{2}\|v\|_{W_{+}^{*}}=c_{2}\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{W_{+}}
\end{aligned}
$$

whence $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|L u_{n}-F\right\|_{W_{-}^{*}}=0$.
The uniqueness of a strong generalized solution of problem (1), (2) of the class $W_{+}$in Theorem 2 as well as estimate (41) follow from inequality (23).

As for Theorem 1, it can be proved as follows. Since the space $L_{2}(D)$ is dense in the space $W_{-}^{*}$, for every element $F \in W_{-}^{*}$ there exists a sequence $F_{n} \in L_{2}(D)$ of functions such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|F_{n}-F\right\|_{W_{-}^{*}}=0$. According to Theorem 2, for every function $F_{n} \in L_{2}(D)$ there exists a unique strong generalized solution $u_{n}$ of problem (1), (2) of the class $W_{+}$. Furthermore, using inequality (23) and passing to the limit, we obtain the existence and the uniqueness of a strong generalized solution of problem (1), (2) of the class $L_{2}$ as well as estimate (41).
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