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#### Abstract

Two-dimension-like functions are constructed on the class of all Tychonoff spaces. Several of their properties, analogous to those of the classical dimension functions, are established.


1. Introduction. All topological spaces discussed in this paper are assumed to be Tychonoff spaces.

As usual, Ind, ind, and dim denote the classical dimension functions (the large inductive, small inductive, and covering dimensions, respectively).

Let $\mathbb{N}^{\prime}$ be the union of all integers $\geq-1$ and of one element set consisting of a single formal symbol " $+\infty$ " provided with an essential order relation.

The set of all natural numbers is denoted by $\mathbb{N}$.
Throughout the paper for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the symbol $I^{n}$ denotes a standard $n$-cube $I^{n} \equiv[0 ; 1]^{n}, I^{0} \equiv\{0\}$, and $I^{-1} \equiv \varnothing$ where $\varnothing$ stands for the empty set.

The family of all Tychonoff spaces is denoted by $T$.
The class $\mathcal{K}$ of topological spaces is said to be permissible if $\mathcal{K}$ satisfies the following conditions:

1) for any integer $n \geq-1 I^{n} \in \mathcal{K}$;
2) if $X \in \mathcal{K}$ and $A \subseteq X$, then $A \in \mathcal{K}$;
3) if $X_{1}, X_{2} \in \mathcal{K}$, then $X_{1} \times X_{2} \in \mathcal{K}$ where $X_{1} \times X_{2}$ is the usual product of spaces.

The function $d$ defined on a permissible class $\mathcal{K}$ of topological spaces with values in $\mathbb{N}^{\prime}$ is called the generalized dimension-like function (GDF) if a) $d \varnothing=-1$ and b) $d X=d Y$ whenever $X$ is homeomorphic to $Y$.

The GDF $d$ defined on a permissible class $\mathcal{K}$ of topological spaces is said to be of the Tumarkin type if the following conditions $\mathcal{T}_{1}^{\mathcal{K}}-\mathcal{T}_{8}^{\mathcal{K}}$ are satisfied: $\mathcal{T}_{1}^{\mathcal{K}}$ ) for any integer $n \geq-1 d I^{n}=n$;

[^0]$\mathcal{T}_{2}^{\mathcal{K}}$ ) if $X \in \mathcal{K}$ and $A$ is a locally closed subspace of $X$ (i.e., if $A=F \cup G$, where $F$ is closed and $G$ is open in $X$ ), then $d A \leq d X$;
$\mathcal{T}_{3}^{\mathcal{K}}$ ) if $X \in \mathcal{K}$ and $X=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{i}$ where for any $i \in \mathbb{N} A_{i}$ is a closed subset of the space $X$, then $d X \leq \sup _{1 \leq i<+\infty}\left\{d A_{i}\right\}$;
$\left.\mathcal{T}_{4}^{\mathcal{K}}\right)$ for any space $X \in \mathcal{K}$ there exists a Hausdorff compactification $b X$ of the space $X$ such that $d b X \leq d X$;
$\mathcal{T}_{5}^{\mathcal{K}}$ ) for every pair of spaces $X_{1}, X_{2} \in \mathcal{K}$ at least one of which is nonempty we have $d\left(X_{1} \times X_{2}\right) \leq d X_{1}+d X_{2}$;
$\left.\mathcal{T}_{6}^{\mathcal{K}}\right)$ if $X \in \mathcal{K}$ and $X=A \cup B$, then $d X \leq d A+d B+1$;
$\left.\mathcal{T}_{7}^{\mathcal{K}}\right)$ if $X \in \mathcal{K}$ and there exists a nonnegative integer $n$ such that $d X \leq n$, then the space $X$ can be represented as the union of $n+1$ pairwise disjoint subsets $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n+1}$ with $d X_{i} \leq 0$ for any $i=1,2, \ldots, n+1$;
$\left.\mathcal{T}_{8}^{\mathcal{K}}\right)$ for any $X \in \mathcal{K}$ and an arbitrary subspace $A$ of the space $X$ there exists a $G_{\delta}$-set $H$ in $X$ such that $A \subseteq H \subseteq X$ and $d H \leq d A$.

It is well-known fact that on the class of all separable metrizable spaces the classical dimension dim is a GDF of the Tumarkin type. On the other hand, as proved by L. Zambakhidze [1], there exists no GDF of the Tumarkin type on the class $T$. Moreover, there exists no GDF on $T$ even satisfying the conditions $\mathcal{T}_{1}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{2}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{3}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{4}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{5}^{T}$ simultaneously [1]. Also, there is no GDF on $T$ satisfying the conditions $\mathcal{T}_{1}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{2}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{8}^{T}$ simultaneously.

We say that a subcollection $\left\{\mathcal{T}_{i_{1}}^{T}, \ldots, \mathcal{T}_{i_{k}}^{T}\right\}\left(1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k} \leq 8\right.$, $k=1, \ldots, 8$ ) of the collection $\left\{\mathcal{T}_{1}^{T}, \ldots, \mathcal{T}_{8}^{T}\right\}$ is realized if there exists a GDF on $T$ which satisfies all conditions $\left\{\mathcal{T}_{i_{1}}^{T}, \ldots, \mathcal{T}_{i_{k}}^{T}\right\}$ simultaneously.

Clearly, if a subcollection $\left\{\mathcal{T}_{i_{1}}^{T}, \ldots, \mathcal{T}_{i_{k}}^{T}\right\}\left(1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k} \leq 8, k=\right.$ $1, \ldots, 8)$ of the collection $\left\{\mathcal{T}_{1}^{T}, \ldots, \mathcal{T}_{8}^{T}\right\}$ is realized, then any subcollection of $\left\{\mathcal{T}_{i_{1}}^{T}, \ldots, \mathcal{T}_{i_{k}}^{T}\right\}$ is realized, too. Also, if $\left\{\mathcal{T}_{i_{1}}^{T}, \ldots, \mathcal{T}_{i_{k}}^{T}\right\}$ is not realized, then no subcollection of the collection $\left\{\mathcal{I}_{1}^{T}, \ldots, \mathcal{I}_{8}^{T}\right\}$ containing the given one is realized.
L. Zambakhidze has shown [1] that the collections $\left\{\mathcal{T}_{2}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{3}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{4}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{5}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{6}^{T}\right.$, $\left.\mathcal{T}_{7}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{8}^{T}\right\}, \quad\left\{\mathcal{T}_{1}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{2}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{4}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{5}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{7}^{T}\right\}, \quad\left\{\mathcal{T}_{1}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{2}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{3}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{5}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{6}^{T}\right\}, \quad\left\{\mathcal{T}_{1}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{2}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{3}^{T}\right.$, $\left.\mathcal{T}_{6}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{7}^{T}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathcal{T}_{1}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{2}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{5}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{6}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{7}^{T}\right\}$ are realized.

In this paper we prove that collections $\left\{\mathcal{T}_{1}^{T}, \mathcal{I}_{3}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{4}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{5}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{6}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{7}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{8}^{T}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathcal{T}_{1}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{2}^{T}, \mathcal{I}_{3}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{5}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{7}^{T}\right\}$ are realized. To this end we construct two GDFs $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ on $T$ such that $d_{1}$ satisfies the conditions $\mathcal{T}_{1}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{3}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{4}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{5}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{6}^{T}, \mathcal{I}_{7}^{T}$, $\mathcal{T}_{8}^{T}$ and $d_{2}$ satisfies the conditions $\mathcal{T}_{1}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{2}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{3}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{5}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{7}^{T}$. Moreover, the functions $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ are the extensions of the classical dimension function dim from the class of all separable metrizable spaces over the class $T$.
2. GDF $d_{1}$. Let $X \in T$. It is assumed that $d_{1} X=\operatorname{dim} X$ if $X$ has a countable base and $d_{1} X=0$ otherwise.

Observe that $d_{1}$ is a GDF on $T$ and also is the extension of the function dim from the class of all separable metrizable spaces over the class $T$.

Theorem 1. The GDF $d_{1}$ satisfies the conditions $\mathcal{T}_{1}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{3}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{4}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{5}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{6}^{T}$, $\mathcal{T}_{7}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{8}^{T}$, that is to say, the subcollection $\left\{\mathcal{T}_{1}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{3}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{4}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{5}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{6}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{7}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{8}^{T}\right\}$ of the collection $\left\{\mathcal{T}_{1}^{T}, \ldots, \mathcal{T}_{8}^{T}\right\}$ is realized.

Proof. The function $d_{1}$ obviously satisfies the conditions $\mathcal{T}_{1}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{3}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{4}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{5}^{T}$, $\mathcal{T}_{6}^{T}$, and $\mathcal{T}_{7}^{T}$. Therefore it remains for us to prove that $d_{1}$ satisfies the condition $\mathcal{T}_{8}^{T}$.

Let $X \in T$ and $A \subseteq X$. Assume in the first place that $\omega X \leq \aleph_{0}$ (here and below $\omega X$ denotes the weight of the space $X$ and $\aleph_{0}$ stands for a countable cardinal number). Then by Tumarkin's theorem [2, Ch. 6, §3, Theorem 14] there exists a $G_{\delta}$-set $H$ in $X$ such that $A \subseteq H \subseteq X$ and $\operatorname{dim} H \leq \operatorname{dim} A$. Hence, keeping in mind that $\omega A \leq \aleph_{0}$ and $\omega H \leq \aleph_{0}$, we have $d_{1} H \leq d_{1} A$.

Now let $\omega X>\aleph_{0}$. If $A=\varnothing$, it can be assumed that $H=\varnothing$. If $A \neq \varnothing$, then assume that $H=X$.
3. GDF $d_{2}$. We begin by defining the function $H$ constructed by Hayashi [3].

Definition 1 ([3]). A subset $X^{\prime}$ of the space $X \in T$ is called quasiclosed in $X$ if there exists a finite family $\left\{F_{1}, \ldots, F_{k}\right\}$ of closed subsets of the space $X$ such that $X^{\prime}=F_{1} \pm F_{2} \pm \cdots \pm F_{k}$, where + and - denote respectively the union and the difference of sets, and whenever $\pm$ is written one should take either + or - .

Clearly, every closed subset as well as every open subset of the space $X$ is quasiclosed in $X$.

The function $H$ is defined on the class $T$ as follows:
Let $X \in T . H(X)=-1$ iff $X=\varnothing ; H(X)=0$ iff $X \neq \varnothing$ and $X=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_{i}$ where for any $i \in \mathbb{N} X_{i}$ is quasiclosed in $X$ and ind $X_{i} \leq 0 ; H(X) \leq n$ $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ iff $X=X_{1} \cup X_{2}$, where $H\left(X_{1}\right) \leq n-1$ and $H\left(X_{2}\right) \leq 0 ; H(X)=n$ $(n=0,1,2, \ldots)$ iff $H(X) \leq n$ and $H(X) \not \leq n-1$.

Finally, $H(X)=\infty$ iff the inequality $H(X) \leq n$ does not hold for any $n=-1,0,1,2, \ldots$.

Now we shall define the function $d_{2}$.
Let $X \in T . \quad d_{2}(X)=-1$ iff $X=\varnothing ; d_{2}(X) \leq n(n=0,1,2, \ldots)$ if $X=\bigcup_{t=1}^{\infty} X_{t}$ where $H\left(X_{t}\right) \leq 0$ for any $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\bigcup_{k=1}^{n+1} X_{t_{k}}=X$ for any $n+1$ pairwise disjoint natural numbers $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n+1} ; d_{2}(X)=n(n=$ $0,1,2, \ldots)$ iff $d_{2}(X) \leq n$ and $d_{2}(X) \not \leq n-1 ; d_{2}(X)=\infty$ if $d_{2}(X) \not \leq n$ for any $n=-1,0,1,2, \ldots$.

Clearly, $d_{2}$ is a GDF on the class $T$.
Lemma 1. We have $d_{2}(X)=\operatorname{dim} X$ for any $X \in T$ with a countable base.

Proof. Let $X \in T$ and $\omega X \leq \aleph_{0}$. Suppose that $d_{2}(X) \leq n$. Then $X=$ ${ }_{t=1}^{\infty} X_{t}$, where $H\left(X_{t}\right) \leq 0$ for any $t \geq 1$ and $\cup_{i=1}^{n+1} X_{t_{i}}=X$ for any pairwise disjoint $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n+1} \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\omega\left(X_{t}\right) \leq \aleph_{0}$ for any $t \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows from [3, Theorem 4.3, Corollary 2] that $\operatorname{dim} X_{t}=$ ind $X_{t}=H\left(X_{t}\right) \leq 0$. Therefore we have $X=\bigcup_{t=1}^{\infty} X_{t}$ where $\operatorname{dim} X_{t} \leq 0$ for any $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and ${ }_{i=1}^{n+1} X_{t_{i}}=X$ for any pairwise disjoint $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n+1} \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence [4, Theorem 1.5.8] $\operatorname{dim} X \leq n$.

Conversely, let $\omega X \leq \aleph_{0}$ and $\operatorname{dim} X \leq n,(n \geq 0)$. Then by Ostrand's theorem [5] $X=\bigcup_{t=1}^{\infty} X_{t}$, where $\operatorname{dim} X_{t} \leq 0$ for any $t \geq 1$ and ${ }_{i=1}^{n+1} X_{t_{i}}=X$ for any $n+1$ pairwise disjoint natural numbers $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n+1}$. Applying again [4, Theorem 4.3, Corollary 2], we obtain $H\left(X_{t}\right)=\operatorname{dim} X_{t} \leq 0$. Hence, by the definition of the function $d_{2}$, we have $d_{2}(X) \leq n$.

Corollary 1. The GDF $d_{2}$ is the extension of the function $\operatorname{dim}$ from the class of all separable metrizable spaces over the class $T$.

Corollary 2. The equalities $d_{2}\left(I^{n}\right)=\operatorname{dim} I^{n}=n$ hold for any integer $n \geq-1$.

Lemma 2. We have $d_{2}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \leq d_{2}(X)$ for each $X \in T$ and an arbitrary subspace $X^{\prime}$ of the space $X$.
Proof. Assume that $X \in T$ and $X^{\prime}$ is an arbitrary subspace of $X$. Let $d_{2}(X) \leq n(n \geq-1)$. It will be shown that $d_{2}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \leq n$ holds too. Indeed, since $d_{2}(X) \leq n$, we have $X=\bigcup_{t=1}^{\infty} X_{t}$ where $H\left(X_{t}\right) \leq 0$ for each $t \geq 1$ and $X=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} X_{t_{i}}$ for any pairwise disjoint numbers $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n+1}$. Introduce the notation $X_{t}^{\prime} \equiv X_{t} \cap X^{\prime}$. Obviously, $X^{\prime}=\bigcup_{t=1}^{\infty} X_{t}^{\prime}$.

Further, since $H\left(X_{t}\right) \leq 0$ for any $t \geq 1$, by the definition of the function $H$ we have $X_{t}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_{t i} \leq 0$, where each $X_{t i}$ is quasiclosed in $X_{t}$ and ind $X_{t i} \leq 0(i=1,2, \ldots)$. Observe that $X_{t}^{\prime}=X_{t} \cap X^{\prime}=\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_{t i}\right) \cap X^{\prime}=$ $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(X_{t i} \cap X^{\prime}\right)$. Since each $X_{t i}$ is quasiclosed in $X_{t}, X_{t i} \cap\left(X_{t} \cap X^{\prime}\right)=X_{t i} \cap X^{\prime}$ will be quasiclosed in $X_{t} \cap X^{\prime}=X_{t}^{\prime}[3$, Theorem 1.4]. Introduce the notation $X_{t i}^{\prime}=X_{t i} \cap X^{\prime}$. Then $X_{t}^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_{t i}^{\prime}$, where each $X_{t i}^{\prime}$ is quasiclosed in $X_{t}^{\prime}$. Moreover, since $X_{t i}^{\prime}=X_{t i} \cap X^{\prime} \subseteq X_{t i}$, we have ind $X_{t i}^{\prime} \leq$ ind $X_{t i} \leq 0$.

Now we shall show that $X_{t}^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} X_{t_{i}}^{\prime}$ for any pairwise disjoint natural numbers $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n+1}$. Indeed, $\stackrel{\cup}{U}_{i=1}^{{ }_{1}^{\prime}} X_{t_{i}}^{\prime}={ }_{i=1}^{n+1}\left(X_{t_{i}} \cap X^{\prime}\right)=\left({ }_{i=1}^{n+1} X_{t_{i}}\right) \cap X^{\prime}=$ $X \cap X^{\prime}=X^{\prime}$. The inequality $d_{2}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \leq n$ is proved and so is the inequality $d_{2}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \leq d_{2}(X)$.

Lemma 3. Let $X \in T$ and $X=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_{i}$ where each $X_{i}$ is quasiclosed in $X$. Also assume there exists a natural number $n$ such that $d_{2}\left(X_{i}\right) \leq n$ for any $i \geq 1$. Then $d_{2}(X) \leq n$.

Proof. It is obvious that if $n=-1$, the assertion is true.
Let us consider the case $n=0$. By definition, $d_{2}(X)=0$ iff $H(X)=0$. Applying Theorem 3.2 from [3], we conclude that the assertion of the lemma is true in this case too.

Now consider the case $n \geq 1$. It can be assumed without loss of generality that $X_{i} \cap X_{j}=\varnothing$ whenever $i \neq j$. (Indeed, otherwise we have to consider a new covering $\left\{X_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of the space $X$, where $X_{1}^{\prime}=X_{1}, X_{k}^{\prime}=X_{k} \backslash \stackrel{\cup}{i=1}_{k-1}^{\cup_{i}^{\prime}}$ for $k>1$. Then [3, Theorems 1.1 and 1.3] each $X_{i}^{\prime}$ is quasiclosed in $X$ and $X_{i}^{\prime} \cap X_{j}^{\prime}=\varnothing$ whenever $i \neq j$. Since $X_{k}^{\prime} \subseteq X_{k}$ for any $k \geq 1$, by Lemma 2 we have $\left.d_{2}\left(X_{k}^{\prime}\right) \leq d_{2}\left(X_{k}\right) \leq n\right)$. By the definition of the function $d_{2}$ and since $d_{2} X_{i} \leq n$, we have $X_{i}=\bigcup_{t=1}^{\infty} X_{i t}$, where $H\left(X_{i t}\right) \leq 0$ for each $t \geq 1$ and $\bigcup_{j=1}^{n+1} X_{i t_{j}}=X_{i}$ for any pairwise disjoint natural numbers $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n+1}$.

We introduce the notation $X_{(t)} \equiv \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_{i t}$. It is obvious that $\bigcup_{t=1}^{\infty} X_{(t)}=X$. We shall prove that $H\left(X_{(t)}\right) \leq 0$ for any $t \geq 1$.

Since $X_{i} \cap X_{j}=\varnothing$ for $i \neq j$, it is obvious that $X_{i t}=X_{i} \cap X_{(t)}$. Hence due to the quasiclosedness of $X_{i}$ in $X$ this implies [3, Theorem 1.4] that $X_{i t}$ is quasiclosed in $X_{(t)}$. On the other hand, since $H\left(X_{i t}\right) \leq 0$, we have $X_{i t}=\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} X_{i t k}$, where each $X_{i t k}$ is quasiclosed in $X_{i t}$ (and, accordingly, in $X_{i}$ and $X_{(t)}$ as well [3, Theorem 1.5]) and for any $i, t, k \geq 1$ we have ind $X_{i t k} \leq 0$. But it is clear that $X_{(t)}=\bigcup_{i, k=1}^{\infty} X_{i t k}$ for any $t \geq 1$. Hence, by the definition of the function $H, H\left(X_{(t)}\right) \leq 0$ for any $t \geq 1$.

Now let us consider natural numbers $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n+1}$ such that $t_{i} \neq t_{j}$ whenever $i \neq j(i, j=1, \ldots, n+1)$. We have

Hence $d_{2}(X) \leq n$.
Corollary 3. let $X \in T$ and $X=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_{i}$, where each $X_{i}$ is closed in $X$. Also assume that there exists a natural number $n$ such that $d_{2}\left(X_{i}\right) \leq n$ for any $i \geq 1$. Then $d_{2}(X) \leq n$.

Lemma 4. If $X_{1}$ is a quasiclosed subset of $X \in T$ and $Y_{1}$ is a quasiclosed subset of $Y \in T$, then $X_{1} \times Y_{1}$ is a quasiclosed subset of the space $X \times Y \in T$.

Proof. By the assumption

$$
X_{1}=F_{0} \pm F_{1} \pm \cdots \pm F_{k-1} \pm F_{k}
$$

and

$$
Y_{1}=\Phi_{0} \pm \Phi_{1} \pm \cdots \pm \Phi_{s-1} \pm \Phi_{s}
$$

where each $F_{i}(o \leq i \leq k)$ is a closed subset of $X$ and each $\Phi_{j}(0 \leq j \leq s)$ is a closed subset of $Y$. The sign " + " denotes the usual union of sets, the sign "-" the usual difference of sets, and so whenever $\pm$ is written one should take either + or - .

The lemma will be proved by double induction (with respect to $k$ and $s$ ).
If $k=s=0$, then $X_{1}=F_{0}$ and $Y_{1}=\Phi_{0}$, where $F_{0}$ is a closed subset of the space $X$ and $\Phi_{0}$ is a closed subset of the space $Y$. Therefore $X_{1} \times Y_{1}$ will be a closed subset and thus it will also be a quasiclosed subset of $X \times Y$.

Assume that Lemma 4 has already been proved in two cases: 1) $0 \leq k \leq$ $m-1$ and $0 \leq s \leq n$; 2) $0 \leq k \leq m$ and $0 \leq s \leq n-1$, and prove it for $k=m$ and $s=n$. For this note that the following (easily verifiable) point-set equations hold for any sets $A, B, C, D$ :
(a) $(A \cup B) \times(C \cup D)=(A \times C) \cup(A \times D) \cup(B \times C) \cup(B \times D)$;
(b) $(A \cup B) \times(C \backslash D)=\{[(A \times C) \cup(B \times C)] \backslash(A \times D)\} \backslash(B \times D)$;
(c) $(A \backslash B) \times(C \cup D)=\{[(A \times C) \cup(A \times D)] \backslash(B \times C)\} \backslash(B \times D)$;
(d) $(A \backslash B) \times(C \backslash D)=[(A \times C) \backslash(A \times D)] \backslash(B \times C)$.

Four cases are possible:
$(++)\left\{\begin{array}{l}X_{1}=F_{0} \pm F_{1} \pm \cdots \pm F_{m-1}+F_{m} \\ Y_{1}=\Phi_{0} \pm \Phi_{1} \pm \cdots \pm \Phi_{n-1}+\Phi_{n}\end{array}\right.$,
$(+-)\left\{\begin{array}{l}X_{1}=F_{0} \pm F_{1} \pm \cdots \pm F_{m-1}+F_{m} \\ Y_{1}=\Phi_{0} \pm \Phi_{1} \pm \cdots \pm \Phi_{n-1}-\Phi_{n}\end{array}\right.$,
$(-+)\left\{\begin{array}{l}X_{1}=F_{0} \pm F_{1} \pm \cdots \pm F_{m-1}-F_{m} \\ Y_{1}=\Phi_{0} \pm \Phi_{1} \pm \cdots \pm \Phi_{n-1}+\Phi_{n}\end{array}\right.$,
$(--)\left\{\begin{array}{l}X_{1}=F_{0} \pm F_{1} \pm \cdots \pm F_{m-1}-F_{m} \\ Y_{1}=\Phi_{0} \pm \Phi_{1} \pm \cdots \pm \Phi_{n-1}-\Phi_{n}\end{array}\right.$.
Let us consider each of these cases separately.
Introduce the notation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{0} \pm F_{1} \pm \cdots \pm F_{m-1} \equiv \widetilde{F}_{m-1} \\
& \Phi_{0} \pm \Phi_{1} \pm \cdots \pm \Phi_{n-1} \equiv \widetilde{\Phi}_{n-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Case (++). Due to (a) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{1} \times Y_{1}=\left(\widetilde{F}_{m-1} \cup F_{m}\right) \times\left(\widetilde{\Phi}_{n-1} \cup \Phi_{n}\right)=\left(\widetilde{F}_{m-1} \times \widetilde{\Phi}_{n-1}\right) \cup \\
&\left.\cup\left(\widetilde{F}_{m-1} \times \widetilde{\Phi}_{n}\right) \cup\left(F_{m} \times \widetilde{\Phi}_{n-1}\right) \cup\left(F_{m}\right) \times \Phi_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By the assumption of induction $\widetilde{F}_{m-1} \times \widetilde{\Phi}_{n-1}, \widetilde{F}_{m-1} \times \Phi_{n}$ and $F_{m} \times \widetilde{\Phi}_{n-1}$ are quasiclosed subsets of $X \times Y$. Since the sets $F_{m}$ and $\Phi_{n}$ are closed in $X$ and $Y$, respectively, $F_{m} \times \Phi_{n}$ is closed (and thus is also quasiclosed) in $X \times Y$. This means that the union of these sets will be quasiclosed in $X \times Y$ as well [3, Theorem 1.1].

Case (+-). Applying (b), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
X_{1} \times Y_{1}=\left\{\left[\left(\widetilde{F}_{m-1} \times \Phi_{n}\right) \cup\left(F_{m} \times \widetilde{\Phi}_{n-1}\right)\right] \backslash\right. \\
\left.\backslash\left(\widetilde{F}_{m-1} \times \widetilde{\Phi}_{n-1}\right)\right\} \backslash\left(F_{m} \times \Phi_{n}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

By the assumption the sets $\widetilde{F}_{m-1} \times \Phi_{n}, F_{m} \times \widetilde{\Phi}_{n-1}$ and $\widetilde{F}_{m-1} \times \widetilde{\Phi}_{n-1}$ are quasiclosed in $X \times Y$. The set $F_{m} \times \Phi_{n}$ is obviously closed in $X \times Y$. Hence $X_{1} \times Y_{1}$ is quasiclosed in $X \times Y$ [3, Theorems 1.1 and 1.3].

Case ( -+ ). By (c) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{1} \times Y_{1} & =\left\{\left[\left(\widetilde{F}_{m-1} \times \widetilde{\Phi}_{n-1}\right) \cup\left(\widetilde{F}_{m-1} \times \Phi_{n}\right)\right] \backslash\right. \\
& \left.\backslash\left(F_{m} \times \widetilde{\Phi}_{n-1}\right)\right\} \backslash\left(F_{m} \times \Phi_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By the assumption of induction and Theorem 1.3 from [3] one can prove that $X_{1} \times Y_{1}$ is quasiclosed in $X \times Y$.

Case (--). From (d) it follows that

$$
X_{1} \times Y_{1}=\left[\left(\widetilde{F}_{m-1} \times \widetilde{\Phi}_{n-1}\right) \backslash\left(\widetilde{F}_{m-1} \times \Phi_{n}\right)\right] \backslash\left(F_{m} \times \widetilde{\Phi}_{n-1}\right)
$$

By the assumption the sets $\widetilde{F}_{m-1} \times \widetilde{\Phi}_{n-1}, \widetilde{F}_{m-1} \times \Phi_{n}$ and $F_{m} \times \widetilde{\Phi}_{n-1}$ are quasiclosed in $X \times Y$. Hence by Theorem 1.3 from [3] $X_{1} \times Y_{1}$ is quasiclosed in $X \times Y$ as well.

Proposition 1. For any pair of spaces $X, Y \in T$, if ind $X \leq 0$ and ind $Y \leq 0$, then ind $X \times Y \leq 0$.

The proof is trivial.

Lemma 5. Let $X, Y \in T$ and let either $X \neq \varnothing$ or $Y \neq \varnothing$. Then $d_{2}(X \times Y) \leq d_{2}(X)+d_{2}(Y)$.

Proof. If either $d_{2}(X)=0$ and $d_{2}(Y)=-1$ or $d_{2}(X)=-1$ and $d_{2}(Y)=0$, then the inequality $d_{2}(X \times Y) \leq d_{2}(X)+d_{2}(Y)$ is obvious.

Assume that $d_{2}(X)=n \geq 0$ and $d_{2}(Y)=m \geq 0$. Then $X=\bigcup_{t=1}^{\infty} X_{t}$, $Y=\bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} Y_{l}$, where $H\left(X_{t}\right) \leq 0$ and $H\left(Y_{l}\right) \leq 0$ for any $t, l \geq 1$, and, moreover, the equalities

$$
X=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} X_{t_{i}}, \quad Y=\bigcup_{j=1}^{m+1} Y_{l_{j}}
$$

hold for any sequences $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n+1}$ and $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{m+1}$ of natural numbers with pairwise disjoint numbers.

Introduce the notation $Z_{p} \equiv X_{p} \times Y_{p}$ for any $p \geq 1$. Since $H\left(X_{p}\right) \leq 0$ and $H\left(Y_{p}\right) \leq 0$ for each $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $X_{p}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_{p i}$ and $Y_{p}=\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} Y_{p j}$, where each $X_{p i}$ is quasiclosed in $X_{p}$ and each $Y_{p j}$ is quasiclosed in $Y_{p}$, and for any $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have ind $X_{p i} \leq 0$, ind $Y_{p j} \leq 0$.

Lemma 4 implies that $X_{p i} \times Y_{p j}$ is quasiclosed in $X_{p} \times Y_{p}=Z_{p}$ and by Proposition 1 we have $\operatorname{ind}\left(X_{p i} \times Y_{p j}\right) \leq 0$. Moreover, it is obvious that $Z_{p}=X_{p} \times Y_{p}=\bigcup_{i, j=1}^{\infty}\left(X_{p i} \times Y_{p j}\right)$.

Let us now prove that if we are given $n+m+1$ natural numbers $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n+m+1}$ such that $p_{i} \neq p_{j}$ for any $i \neq j(1 \leq i, j \leq n+m+1)$, then ${ }^{n+m+1} Z_{p_{i}}=X \times Y$. (This, in particular, implies that $\bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} Z_{p}=X \times Y$.)

The inclusion $X \times Y \supseteq Z_{p_{1}} \cup \cdots \cup Z_{p_{n+m+1}}$ is obvious. Let us prove the inverse inclusion. Assume that $(x, y) \in X \times Y$. It remains for us to show that if $(x, y)$ does not belong to some $m+n$ members of the system $\left\{Z_{p_{1}}, \ldots, Z_{p_{m+n+1}}\right\}$, then $(x, y)$ necessarily belongs to the remaining member of this system.

Consider the case where $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ and $(x, y) \notin Z_{p_{1}} \cup \cdots \cup Z_{p_{m+n}}$. It will be shown that $(x, y) \in Z_{p_{m+n+1}}$. (All other cases are considered analogously.) Let $x$ not belong to exactly $k(0 \leq k \leq m+n)$ members of the system $\left\{X_{p_{i}}\right\}_{i=1}^{m+n}$ and belong to the remaining $m+n-k$ members of this system. Then, since each subsystem of the system $\left\{X_{t}\right\}_{t=1}^{\infty}$ consisting of $n+1$ elements covers the space $X$, we have $k \leq n$.

By the assumption $(x, y) \notin Z_{p_{1}} \cup \cdots \cup Z_{p_{m+n}}$. Now if $x \in X_{p_{i}}$, we shall necessarily have $y \notin Y_{p_{i}}(1 \leq i \leq m+n)$. Hence $y$ does not belong to at least $m+n-k$ members of the system $\left\{Y_{p_{i}}\right\}_{i=1}^{m+n}$. Since each subsystem of the system $\left\{Y_{l}\right\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ consisting of $m+1$ elements covers the space $Y$, we have $m+n-k \leq m$. Therefore $n \leq k$.

From the inequalities $k \leq n$ and $n \leq k$ we obtain the equality $n=k$. Therefore $x$ does not belong to exactly $n$ elements of the system $\left\{X_{p_{i}}\right\}_{i=1}^{m+n}$. Assume that they are sets $X_{p_{i_{1}}}, \ldots, X_{p_{i_{n}}}$ and consider the system $\left\{X_{p_{i_{1}}}, \ldots, X_{p_{i_{n}}}, X_{p_{m+n+1}}\right\}$. Since the latter system consists of $n+1$ ele-
ments, we have $\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} X_{p_{i_{j}}}\right) \cup X_{p_{m+n+1}}=X$ and, consequently, since $x \notin$ $\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} X_{p_{i_{j}}}$, we have $x \in X_{p_{m+n+1}}$.

Analogously, $y$ does not belong to exactly $m+n-k=m+n-n=m$ elements of the system $\left\{Y_{p_{i}}\right\}_{i=1}^{m+n}$. Assume that they are sets $Y_{p_{j_{1}}}, \ldots, Y_{p_{j_{m}}}$. (It is obvious that $\left\{p_{i_{1}}, \ldots, p_{i_{n}}\right\} \cup\left\{p_{j_{1}}, \ldots, p_{j_{m}}\right\}=\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m+n}\right\}$ and $\left\{p_{i_{1}}, \ldots, p_{i_{n}}\right\} \cap\left\{p_{j_{1}}, \ldots, p_{j_{m}}\right\}=\varnothing$.) Consider the system $\left\{Y_{p_{j_{1}}}, \ldots, Y_{p_{j_{m}}}\right.$, $\left.Y_{p_{m+n+1}}\right\}$. Since this system consists of $m+1$ members, we have $\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} Y_{p_{j_{i}}}\right) \cup$ $Y_{p_{m+n+1}}=Y$. But $y \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} Y_{p_{j_{i}}}$ and thus $y \in Y_{p_{m+n+1}}$. Therefore $(x, y) \in$ $X_{p_{m+n+1}} \times Y_{p_{m+n+1}} \subseteq Z_{p_{1}} \cup \cdots \cup Z_{p_{m+n+1}}$.

Lemma 6. Let $X \in T$ and $d_{2}(X) \leq n$ (where $0 \leq n<+\infty$ ). Then there exist $n+1$ subspaces $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n+1}$ of the space $X$ such that $X={ }_{i=1}^{n+1} X_{i}$ and $d_{2}\left(X_{i}\right) \leq 0$ holds for any $i=1, \ldots, n+1$.

Proof. $d_{2}(X) \leq n$ implies $X=\bigcup_{t=1}^{\infty} X_{t}$ where for each $t \geq 1 H\left(X_{t}\right) \leq 0$ (which in turn implies $d_{2}\left(X_{t}\right) \leq 0$ ) and for any pairwise disjoint natural numbers $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n+1}$ we have $X={ }_{i=1}^{n+1} X_{t_{i}}$, in particular, $X={ }_{k=1}^{n+1} X_{k}$ where $d_{2}\left(X_{k}\right) \leq 0$ for any $k=1, \ldots, n+1$.

Applying Lemmas 2, 5, 6 and Corollaries 2, 3, we arrive at
Theorem 2. The GDF $d_{2}$ satisfies the conditions $\mathcal{T}_{1}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{2}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{3}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{5}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{7}^{T}$ simultaneously. In other words, the subsystem $\left\{\mathcal{T}_{1}^{T}, \mathcal{I}_{2}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{3}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{5}^{T}, \mathcal{T}_{7}^{T}\right\}$ of the system $\left\{\mathcal{T}_{1}^{T}, \ldots, \mathcal{T}_{8}^{T}\right\}$ is realized.

## References

1. L. G. Zambakhidze and I. G. Tsereteli, On the realizability of dimen-sion-like functions in the class of Tychonoff spaces. (Russian) Soobshch. Akad. Nauk Gruz. SSR 126(1987), No. 2, 265-268.
2. P. S. Alexandrov and B. A. Pasynkov, Introduction to the dimension theory. Introduction to the theory of topological spaces and the general dimension theory. (Russian) Nauka, Moscow, 1973.
3. Y. Hayashi, On the dimension of topological spaces. Math. Japon. 3(1954), No. 2, 71-843.
4. R. Engelking, General topolgy. PWN-Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, 1977.
5. P. A. Ostrand, Covering dimension in general spaces. Gen. Topol. and Appl. 1(1971), No. 3, 209-221.
(Received 21.09.1993)
Author's address:
Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics
I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University

2, University St., Tbilisi 380043
Republic of Georgia


[^0]:    1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 54F45.
    Key words and phrases. Dimension, dimension-like function.

