General Mathematics Vol. 9, No. 3-4 (2001)

On the fine spectra of some averaging operators

Amelia Bucur

Abstract

The aim of this text is the study of the fine spectra for a class of Cesàro generalized operators, Rhaly operators, when those are defined on the spaces l^p , p > 1.

2000 Mathematical Subject Classification: 65F15

The averaging operators A are determined by relations

$$\inf_{x \in X} f(x) \le A(f) \le \sup_{x \in X} f(x) ,$$

 $\forall f \in F = \{f \mid f : X \to \mathbb{R}\}, \text{ where } \emptyset \neq X \subset \mathbb{R}.$

A(f) is the mean of f for the operator A.

For $a = (a_n) \in s$, Rhaly operator $R_a : s \to s$

$$(R_a f)(n) = a_n \sum_{i=0}^n f(i) , \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

for every $f = (f(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in s = \{g = (g(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : g(n) \in \mathbb{C}\}.$

In this case, Rhaly operator R_a determines and is determined by an infinite matrix, lower triangular, noted also with R_a :

$$R_{a} = \begin{vmatrix} a_{0} & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ a_{1} & a_{1} & 0 & \cdots \\ a_{2} & a_{2} & a_{2} & \cdots \\ & & & \ddots \\ a_{n} & a_{n} & a_{n} & a_{n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{vmatrix}$$

The space s may by replaced with the spaces of sequences $l^p(p > 1)$;

$$l^{p} = \left\{ f \in s : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |f(n)|^{p} < \infty \right\}.$$

The dual of an Rhaly operator $R_a : l^p \to l^p$ is the operator $R_a^* : l^q \to l^q$, where q is the conjugated index of p, to which is associated by the infinite matrix:

$$R_a^* = \begin{vmatrix} \overline{a}_0 & \overline{a}_1 & \overline{a}_2 & \dots & \overline{a}_n & \dots \\ 0 & \overline{a}_1 & \overline{a}_2 & \dots & \overline{a}_n & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \overline{a}_2 & \dots & \overline{a}_n & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \end{vmatrix}$$

For $a = \left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in s$ one obtains the discrete Cesàro operator and for $a = \left(\frac{1}{(n+1)^z}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, with $z \in \mathbb{C}$, one obtains the z-Cesáro operator. If $a_n = \frac{p_n}{P_n}$, with $p_0 > 0$, $p_n \ge 0$ and $P_n = \sum_{k=0}^n p_k$, Rhaly operator R_a is an example of operator called weighted mean matrices.

G. Leibowitz [2] studies the algebraic - topological structure for the set of the Rhaly operators, continuity and compactness of these operators, defined on the spaces l^p , p > 1. Also, he investigate the continuity of these operators when they are defined an the spaces of sequence c_0 and l^{∞} . H. C. Rhaly [5] studies the spectrum and point spectrum for $R_a: l^2 \to l^2$.

In a recent book "Weighted mean operator", K. G. Grosse-Erdmann studies the spectra for weighted mean matrices (in 1998).

In this text I present some results concerning the spectra of $R_a: l^p \to l^p \ (p > 1)$, where:

$$\begin{split} \rho(R_a, l^p) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - R_a \text{ is bijective and } (\lambda I - R_a)^{-1} \text{ is continuous} \};\\ \sigma(R_a, l^p) &= \mathbb{C} \diagdown \rho(R_a, l^p);\\ \sigma_p(R_a, l^p) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - R_a \text{ is not injective} \}\\ \sigma_c(R_a, l^p) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - R_a \text{ is injective, is not surjective and}\\ \overline{(\lambda I - R_a)(l^p)} &= l^p \}\\ \sigma_r(R_a, l^p) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - R_a \text{ is injective, and } \overline{(\lambda I - R_a)(l^p)} \neq l^p \}. \end{split}$$

A Rhaly operator $R_a : l^p \to l^p \ (p > 1)$ is correctly defined if the sequence $((n+1)a_n)$ is bounded and R_a is continuous.

Let $S = \overline{\{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}.$

Theorem 1.

a) If $((n+1)a_n)$ is bounded, then $R_a \in B(l^p)$ for any p > 1 and

$$||R_a|| \le \frac{p}{p-1} \sup |(n+1)a_n|$$

- b) If $\lim_{n \to \infty} (n+1)a_n = 0$, then R_a is compact in l^p for any p > 1.
- c) If $\lim_{n\to\infty} |(n+1)a_n| = \infty$, then R_a isn't continuous, $\forall p > 1$.

Proof. In the article [4].

Lemma 1. Let R_a be a Rhaly matrix $(a \in s)$, $C = \lambda I - R_a$, such that

 $c_{jj} \neq 0 \ \forall j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then C^{-1} has the entries:

$$c_{jj} = \frac{1}{\lambda - a_j} \quad \forall \ j \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$c_{ij} = 0 \quad \forall \ i, j \in \mathbb{N} , \quad i < j$$

$$c_{ij} = c_{j+r,j} = \lambda^{r-1} a_{j+r} \left[\prod_{k=j}^{j+r} (\lambda - a_k) \right]^{-1} \quad \forall \ r \in \mathbb{N}^* ; \quad i, j \in \mathbb{N} , \quad i = j+r.$$
(1)

Proof. The method of the mathematical induction is used.

To calculate the entries c_{jj} are used determinants of some matrices lower triangular with entries of diagonal $\lambda - a_k, k \neq j$.

Obviously c_{00} has form $\frac{1}{\lambda - a_0}$.

Supposing that for $j \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $c_{i0}, c_{i1}, ..., c_{i,i-1}$ have the specified form, can be prove that entries $c_{i+1,0}, c_{i+1,1}, ..., c_{i+1,i}$ are gived by the specified relations, too.

The condition $c_{i+1,i}(\lambda - a_i) + c_{i+1,i+1}(-a_{i+1}) = 0$ implies

$$c_{i+1,i} = \frac{a_{i+1}}{(\lambda - a_i)(\lambda - a_{i+1})}.$$

The condition

$$c_{i+1,i-1}(\lambda - a_{i-1}) + c_{i+1,i}(-a_i) + c_{i+1,i+1}(-a_{i+1}) = 0 \iff$$
$$\iff c_{i+1,i-1} = \frac{a_i}{\lambda - a_{i-1}} \cdot \frac{a_{i+1}}{\prod_{k=i}^{i+1} (\lambda - a_k)} + \frac{a_{i+1}}{\lambda - a_{i-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda - a_{i+1}}$$

implies

$$c_{i+1,i-1} = \frac{\lambda a_{i+1}}{(\lambda - a_{i-1})(\lambda - a_i)(\lambda - a_{i+1})}.$$

From the equality

$$c_{i+1,i-2}(\lambda - a_{i-2}) + c_{i+1,i-1}(-a_{i-1}) + c_{i+1,i}(-a_i) + c_{i+1,i+1}(-a_{i+1}) = 0$$

result that

$$c_{i+1,i-2} = \frac{\lambda a_{i-1} a_{i+1}}{(\lambda - a_{i-2})(\lambda - a_{i-1})(\lambda - a_i)(\lambda - a_{i+1})} + \frac{a_i a_{i+1}(\lambda - a_{i-1})}{(\lambda - a_{i-2})(\lambda - a_{i-1})(\lambda - a_i)(\lambda - a_{i+1})} + \frac{a_{i+1}(\lambda - a_{i-1})(\lambda - a_i)}{(\lambda - a_{i-2})(\lambda - a_{i-1})(\lambda - a_i)(\lambda - a_{i+1})} = \frac{\lambda^2 a_{i+1}}{(\lambda - a_{i-2})(\lambda - a_{i-1})(\lambda - a_i)(\lambda - a_{i+1})}, \text{ too.}$$

In the same way are found the expressions for $c_{i+1,i-3}$, $c_{i+1,i-4}$, ..., $c_{i+1,1}$, $c_{i+1,0}$.

Theorem 2. Consider R_a as an operator on l^p , p > 1, such that $((n+1)a_n)$ is bounded. Then,

$$\sigma(R_a, l^p) \subseteq \left\{ \lambda : \max_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - a_k} \right| \ge 1 \right\}.$$

Proof. From the hypothesis the sequence $(|a_n|)$ is bounded. So, $\exists m_1$, $m_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, such that: $m_1 \leq |a_n| \leq m_2 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ with $\max_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - a_k} \right| < 1$. m_2 is choose such that $|\lambda| \neq |m_2|$. For i = 0,

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |c_{ij}| = \frac{1}{|\lambda - a_i|} + |\lambda|^{i-1} |a_i| \left[\prod_{k=0}^{i} |\lambda - a_k|\right]^{-1} + |\lambda|^{i-2} |a_i| \left[\prod_{k=1}^{i} |\lambda - a_k|\right]^{-1} + \dots + \\ &+ |\lambda|^0 |a_i| \left[\prod_{k=i-1}^{i} |\lambda - a_k|\right]^{-1} \le \left|\frac{1}{|\lambda| - |m_2|}\right| + \frac{|m_2|}{|\lambda|^2} \left|\frac{|\lambda|^{i+1}}{\prod_{k=0}^{i} |\lambda - a_k|} + \frac{|\lambda|^i}{\prod_{k=1}^{i} |\lambda - a_k|} + \dots + \\ &+ \frac{|\lambda|^2}{\prod_{k=i-1}^{i} |\lambda - a_k|} \right| \le \left|\frac{1}{|\lambda| - |m_2|}\right| + \frac{|m_2|}{|\lambda|^2} \cdot \frac{|\lambda|^2}{|\lambda - a_{i-1}||\lambda - a_i|} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \max_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left|\frac{\lambda}{|\lambda - a_k|}\right|}. \end{split}$$

So $C \in B(l^p)$, $\forall p > 1$. Result that for λ with the property that $\left|\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - a_k}\right| < 1, \ \lambda \in \rho(R_a, l^p).$

Theorem 3. Let R_a be a Rhaly matrix with $a = (a_n)$ a sequence of real numbers such that $((n + 1)a_n)$ is bounded. Then, for any p > 1:

$$\sigma(R_a, l^p) \supseteq \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \left| \lambda - \frac{q}{2} \sup(n+1) |a_n| \right| \le \frac{q}{2} \sup(n+1) |a_n| \right\} \cup S.$$

Proof. The sequence $(|a_n|)$ being a real sequence can be defined $\limsup |a_n|$ and $\liminf |a_n|$. Is noted with $\delta = \limsup |a_n|$ and $\delta = \liminf |a_n|$. Then, obviously that $|\lambda - \delta| \le |\lambda|$.

$$\begin{split} |c_{ij}| &= |\lambda|^{r-1} |a_{j+r}| \frac{1}{\prod_{k=j}^{j+r} |\lambda - a_k|} = \frac{|a_{j+r}|}{|\lambda|^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\prod_{k=j}^{j+r} \left|1 - \frac{a_k}{j}\right|}; \quad r \in \mathbb{N}^*, \quad i = j+r. \\ & \left|1 - \frac{a_k}{\lambda}\right| \le 1 \Leftrightarrow |1 + a_k(\alpha + i\beta)| \le 1 \Leftrightarrow (1 + a_k\alpha)^2 + a_k^2\beta^2 \le 1, \quad \text{where} \\ -\frac{1}{\lambda} = \alpha + i\beta. \\ & \text{But,} \\ & \left|1 - \frac{\delta}{\lambda}\right| \le 1 \Leftrightarrow (1 + \delta\alpha)^2 + \delta^2\beta^2 \le 1 \Rightarrow \\ & \Rightarrow \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \ , \quad \forall n \ge N : \left(1 + \alpha \sup_{i \ge n} a_i\right)^2 + \beta^2 \left(\sup_{i \ge n} a_i\right)^2 \le 1. \end{split}$$

 $\underbrace{i \ge \hat{n}}_{i \ge \hat{n}} / \underbrace{i \ge \hat{n}}_{i \ge \hat{n}} / \underbrace{i \ge \hat{n}}_{k \ge \hat$

$$\sum_{j=N}^{i} |c_{ij}| \ge \sum_{k=0}^{r} \frac{|a_{j+k}|}{|\lambda|} \ge |m_1||\lambda|^{-2}(r+1),$$

where $|m_1|$ is a non - null lower limit of sequence $(|a_n|)$. So $C \notin B(l^p)$, $\forall p > 1$.

If, $\lambda = a_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, det $(\lambda I - R_a) = 0$. Results that in this cases λ is from $\sigma(R_a, l^p)$.

Theorem 4. For any Rhaly matrix with $a \in s$, $a_n \neq 0 \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $((n+1)|a_n|)$ is bounded, $0 \in fr \ \sigma(R_a, l^p), p > 1$.

Proof. From the theorem of the consistence of the spectre, $\sigma(R_a, l^p) \neq \emptyset$. Supposing that $0 \notin fr(R_a, l^p)$, results that $0 \in int \sigma(R_a, l^p)$ and $\sigma(R_a, l^p) \in \mathcal{V}(0)$.

 $\Rightarrow \exists \varepsilon > 0, \, [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \subseteq \sigma(R_a, l^p) \Rightarrow \varepsilon \in \sigma(R_a, l^p), \, \varepsilon > 0.$

Considering the operator $C = \varepsilon I - R_a$, C^{-1} has the entries:

$$c_{jj} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon - a_j} \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N} \qquad c_{ij} = 0 \qquad \forall i, j \in \mathbb{N} , \quad i < j$$

$$c_{ij} = c_{i+r,j} = \lambda^{r-1} a_{j+r} \left[\prod_{k=r}^{j+r} (\varepsilon - a_k) \right]^{-1} \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{N}^* ; \quad i, j \in \mathbb{N} , \quad i = j+r.$$

It can be choose $\varepsilon_1 \leq \varepsilon$ such that $\max_{h \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_1 - a_k} \right| < 1$. Then $\varepsilon \in \rho(R_a, l^p)$ (from theorem 2) and for $\varepsilon \to 0$ it is obtained that $0 \geq 1$, what is false. $\Rightarrow 0 \in fr \ \sigma(R_a, l^p)$.

Theorem 5. Let R_a be a Rhaly operator such that the sequence $((n+1)a_n)$ is bounded, with positive numbers. If $\lambda \in \sigma(R_a, l^p)$ and $\lambda \notin S$, then $\lambda \in \sigma_c(R_a, l^p)$.

Proof. We must proof that:

- a) $\lambda I R_a$ is injective
- b) $\overline{(\lambda I R_a)(l^p)} = l^p \iff \lambda I R_a^*$ is injective)
- c) $(\lambda I R_a)^{-1}$ isn't continuous ($\Leftrightarrow \lambda I R_a^*$ isn't surjective)
- a) $\lambda \notin S$ implies that $\lambda I R_a$ is injective operator
- b) It is proved that the equation $(\lambda I R_a^*)f = 0$ hasn't solution $f \in l^q$, f non-null

From $(\lambda - a_n)f(n) - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k f(k) = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ it is obtained the recur-

rent relation:

(2)
$$f(n+1) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - a_n} f(n).$$

Results the equalities

$$f(n+1) = \frac{\lambda^{n+1}}{(\lambda - a_n)(\lambda - a_{n-1})...(\lambda - a_0)} f(0) , \qquad n \ge 1.$$

Supposing that $f(0) \neq 0$ $(f(0) = 0 \Rightarrow f = 0)$, it can be written

$$\left|\frac{f(n+1)}{f(n)}\right|^q = \frac{|\lambda|^q}{|\lambda - a_n|^q} \ge 1.$$

So $\sum |f(n)|^q$ is divergente, that mean that equation $(\lambda I - R_a^*)f = 0$ hasn't solution $f \in l^q$, $f \neq 0$

c) It will be proof that the operator $\lambda I - R_a^*$ isn't surjective, that mean the equation $(\lambda I - R_a^*)f = g$ hasn't solution $f \in l^q$ for any g.

Let $f \in l^q$. We consider the equation $(\lambda I - R_a^*)f = g$, $f \in s$. It can be choose f(0) = f(1) = 0 (this option is good for calculation). We obtained:

$$\lambda f(n) = g(n) - g(0) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k f(k) \Rightarrow$$

(3)
$$f(n) = \lambda^{-1} \left(g(n) - g(0) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_k f(k) \right) \quad \forall n \ge 2.$$

The equation 3 can be written as a system with the form f = Bg, where

B has the entries:

$$b_{20} = -\lambda^{-1} \qquad b_{21} = 0 \qquad b_{22} = \lambda^{-1}$$

$$b_{n,n-1} = -a_{n-1}\lambda^{-2} \qquad n \ge 3$$

$$b_{n,n-k} = -\lambda^{-1}a_{n-1} \left[1 + \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (-1)^r \left(\sum_{n-k < i_1 < \dots < i_r < n} a_{i_1}a_{i_2} \dots a_{i_r} \right) \lambda^{-(r+1)} \right],$$

$$n \ge k+2 \qquad b_{n,p} = -\lambda^{-1} \left[1 + \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} (-1)^r \left(\sum_{2 \le i_1 < \dots < i_r \le n-1} a_{i_1}a_{i_2} \dots a_{i_r} \right) \lambda^{-r} \right],$$

$$n \ge 2.$$

$$(4)$$

It remains to proove that $\sup_{n} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} |b_{nm}|^{q}$ isn't finite. For m = 0,

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |b_{nm}|^q = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |\lambda^q| \left| 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{n-2} (-1)^r \left(\sum_{2 \le i_1 < \dots < i_r \le n-1} a_{i1} a_{i2} \dots a_{ir} \right) \lambda^{-r} \right|^q.$$

For $m = 1$, $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |b_{n1}|^q = 0.$
For $m = 2$,

$$\sum_{n=m+2}^{\infty} |b_{nm}|^q + |b_{mm}|^q + |b_{m+1,m}|^q = |\lambda^{-1}|^q + |a_m|^q |\lambda^{-2}|^q + \sum_{n=m+2}^{\infty} |\lambda^{-1}|^q |a_m|^q \left| 1 + \frac{|a_m|^q}{|a_m|^q} \right| + |b_{mm}|^q + |b_{m+1,m}|^q = |\lambda^{-1}|^q + |a_m|^q |\lambda^{-2}|^q + \sum_{n=m+2}^{\infty} |\lambda^{-1}|^q |a_m|^q |$$

$$+ \sum_{r=1}^{n-m-1} (-1)^r \left(\sum_{m < i_1 < \dots < i_n < n} a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \dots a_{i_r} \right) \lambda^{-(r+1)} \Big|^q |\lambda^{-1}|^q |m_1|^q \ge |\lambda^{-1}|^q + |m_1|^q |\lambda^{-2}|^q + |\lambda^{-1}|^q |m_1|^q + \sum_{n=m+2}^{\infty} \left| 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{n-m-1} (-1)^r \left(\sum_{m < i_1 < \dots < i_r < n} |m_1|^r \right) \lambda^{-(r+1)} \right|^q \ge |\lambda^{-1}|^2 + |m_1|^q |\lambda^{-2}|^q + |\lambda^{-1}|^q |m_1|^q \sum_{n=m+2}^{\infty} \left| \left(\sum_{r=1}^{n-m-1} (n-m-1) |m_1|^r \right) \lambda^{-(r+1)} - 1 \right|^q ,$$

where $0 < |m_1| \le |a_n|$, for all n.

From criterion of the ratio,

$$\sum_{n=m+2}^{\infty} \left| \left(\sum_{r=1}^{n-m-1} (n-m-1) |m_1|^r \right) \lambda^{-(r+1)} - 1 \right|^q$$

is divergente, so $\sup_{n} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} |b_{nm}|^{q}$ isn't finite. $\lambda I - R_{a}^{*}$ isn't surjective implies $\lambda \in \sigma_{c}(R_{a}, l^{p})$.

Theorem 6. Let R_a be a Rhaly operator with $a_i \neq a_j$ for $i \neq j$ and the sequence $((n+1)a_n)$ is bounded. If $\lambda = a_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ then $\lambda \in \sigma_r(R_a, l^p)$, and $\lambda = a_0 \in \sigma_p(R_a, l^p)$.

Proof. We must proof that:

a) $\lambda I - R_a$ is injective

b)
$$\overline{(\lambda I - R_a)(l^p)} \neq l^p$$
.

Let $j \ge 1$ arbitrary fixed.

a) It is prove that $(\lambda I - R_a)f = 0, f \in l^p \Rightarrow f = 0$

$$(\lambda I - R_a) = o \Rightarrow \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_n f(k) = (\lambda - a_n) f(k) , \qquad n \ge j.$$

If $\lambda = aj$ we obtain:

$$a_j - a_n = a_n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(k) , \quad n \ge j.$$

So,
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |f(n)|^p < \infty \Leftrightarrow |a_j|^p \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{a_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{a_n} \right|^p < \infty.$$

Let m < -1 be a lower limit for the sequence (a_n) . Then

$$|a_j|^p \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{a_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{a_n} \right|^p \le 2|a_j|^p \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{a_n} \right|^p < 2|a_j|^p \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{m} \right|^p < \infty.$$

If $\exists a_n = 0, n \ge j, a_j - a_n = a_n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(k) \Rightarrow a_j = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda = 0$, but from theorem 4, $0 \in \sigma_p(R_a, l^p), p > 1$. So we can calculate in hypothesis that $a_n \ne 0, \forall n \ge j$. \Rightarrow the operator $\lambda I - R_a$ isn't injective.

b) Obviously $a_j I - R_a^*$ isn't injective, so $\overline{(\lambda I - R_a)(l^p)} = \overline{(a_j I - R_a)(l^p)} \neq l^p, \forall j \in \mathbb{N}$, what remains for prooving because $\lambda \in \sigma_r(R_a, l^p)$.

Supposing that $\lambda = a_0$, the operator $(\lambda I - R_a)(e_0) = 0$, $e_0 = (1, 0, 0, ...)$, is this situation the operator $\lambda I - R_a$ isn't injective, too $\Rightarrow \lambda \in \sigma_p(R_a, l^p)$.

Theorem 7. Let R_a be a Rhaly operator with the property that the sequence of its main diagonal elements doesn't have distinct elements, doesn't have an infinity of equal terms, and the sequence $((n+1)a_n)$ is bounded. If $\lambda = a_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\lambda \in \sigma_r(R_a l^p)$.

Proof. The restriction for λ implies the fact that aren't put in discution the entries null of diagonal.

Let $a_j \neq 0$ a element that appeares more then two times on diagonal of R_a and $k, r \in \mathbb{N}$ the biggest, and the smallest integer for which $a_j = a_k = a_r$. Then, the equation $(\lambda I - R_a)f = 0$ has a solution $f \in s, f \neq 0$. Remains to study if the property is true in l^p .

Let $I = \{n \ge r | a_{n+1} = 0\}$. card $\{n \ge r | a_{n+1}\}$ is finite, because in the principal diagonal of matrix R_a isn't an infinite number of entries equal between them.

Then,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |f(n)|^p = \sum_{n \ge j, n \notin I} |f(n)|^p + \sum_{n \ge j, n \in I} |f(n)|^p,$$

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{a_j}{a_{n+1}} - 1 \right|^p \neq 0,$$

such that $f \notin l^p$.

So, the operator $\lambda I - R_a$ is injective.

b) Obviously $\lambda I - R_a^*$ isn't injective.

c) The operator $a_jI - R_a^*$ isn't surjective. For $n \ge j, f = Bg$, where B are the entries

$$b_{nk} = 0 k > n n \ge j+1$$

$$b_{nn} = \frac{1}{a_j} n \ge j+1$$

$$b_{n,n-1} = -a_{n-1}\lambda^{-2} n \ge j+2$$

$$b_{n,n-k} = -a_j^{-1}a_{n-k} \left[1 + \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (-1)^r \left(\sum_{n-k < i_1 < \dots < i_r < n} a_{i_1}a_{i_2} \dots a_{i_r}\right)\lambda^{-(r+1)}\right], \ n \ge j+3$$

$$b_{n,0} = -a_j^{-1} \left[1 + \sum_{r=1}^{n-2} (-1)^r \left(\sum_{2 \le i_1 < \dots < i_r \le n-1} a_{i_1}a_{i_2} \dots a_{i_r}\right)a_j^{-r}\right], \ n \ge j+1.$$

(5)

If j = 0 and $|m_1| \le |a_2| \le |m_2| \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sum_{n=j+1}^{\infty} |b_{n_j}| \ge \sum_{n=j+1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{a_j} \right| \left| 1 + \sum_{\substack{r=1\\r \text{ impar}}}^{n-2} (-1)^r \left(\sum_{2 \le i_1 < \dots < i_r \le n-1} a_{i1} a_{i2} \dots a_{ir} \right) a_j^{-r} \right| \ge \\ \ge \sum_{n=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|m_2|} \left| 1 + \sum_{\substack{r=1\\r \text{ impar}}}^{n-2} (-1)^r \sum_{2 \le i_1 < \dots < i_r \le n-1} \frac{|m_1|^r}{|m_2|^r} \right| \ge \\ \ge \frac{1}{|m_2|} \left| 1 + \sum_{\substack{r=1\\r \text{ impar}}}^{j-1} (-1)^r \frac{|m_1|^r}{|m_2|^r} (j-1) \right|$$

 $\rightarrow \infty (j \rightarrow \infty)$. So, the operator $a_j I - R_a^*$ isn't surjective.

References

- Boyd, D. W., The spectrum of the Cesàro operator, Acta Soc. Math., 29(1980).
- [2] Leibowitz, G., *Rhaly matrices*, J. math. Analysis Appl., 128, 272-386(1987).
- [3] Rhaly, H. C. Jr., *Posinormal operators*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, vol. 46, no. 4, 425-428(1999).
- [4] Rhaly, H. C. Jr., *Hyponormal terraced matrices*, For East J. Math. Soc. 5, (1997).
- [5] Rhaly, H. C. Jr., *Terraced matrices*, Bull. London Math. Soc., 21, 399-406(1989).

"Lucian Blaga" University, Sibiu
Department of Mathematics
Str. Dr. I. Raţiu, no. 5-7
2400 Sibiu, Romania
E-mail: amelia.bucur@mail.ulbsibiu.ro