

## ON CONVERGENCE OF INFINITE MATRIX PRODUCTS\*

OLGA HOLTZ†

**Abstract.** A necessary and sufficient condition for the convergence of an infinite right product of matrices of the form

$$A := \begin{bmatrix} I & B \\ 0 & C \end{bmatrix},$$

with (uniformly) contracting submatrices  $C$ , is proven.

**Key words.** Infinite matrix products, RCP sets.

**AMS subject classifications.** 15A60, 15A99

**1. Introduction.** Consider the set of all matrices in  $\mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$  of the form

$$(1) \quad A := \begin{bmatrix} I_s & B \\ 0 & C \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $I_s$  denotes the identity matrix of order  $s < d$ .

Matrices (1) are known to form an LCP set whenever the submatrices  $B$  are uniformly bounded and the submatrices  $C$  are uniformly contracting, that is, satisfy the condition  $\|C\| \leq r$  for some fixed matrix (i.e., submultiplicative) norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{(d-s) \times (d-s)}$  and some constant  $r < 1$ ; see, e.g., [1]. To recall, a set  $\Sigma$  has the LCP (RCP) property if all left (right) infinite products formed from matrices in  $\Sigma$  are convergent.

Matrices of the form (1) with uniformly bounded submatrices  $B$  and uniformly contracting submatrices  $C$  do not necessarily form an RCP set. (They do form such a set if and only if they satisfy a very stringent condition given in Corollary 2.3 below.) However, there exists a simple criterion that can be used to check whether a *particular* right infinite product formed from such matrices converges.

### 2. A convergence test.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of matrices of the form (1) and let*

$$\|C_n\| \leq r < 1 \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

*for some matrix norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . The sequence  $(P_n := A_1 A_2 \cdots A_n)$  converges if and only if so does the sequence  $(B_n(I - C_n)^{-1})$ . In this event,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n = \begin{bmatrix} I & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n(I - C_n)^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

---

\*Received by the editors on 6 September 2000. Accepted for publication on 21 September 2000.  
 Handling Editor: Daniel Hershkowitz.

† Department of Computer Sciences, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706 U.S.A.  
 (holtz@cs.wisc.edu). This work was supported in part by the Clay Mathematics Institute.

*Proof.* To prove the necessity, partition  $P_n$  conformably with  $A_n$ . Then

$$P_n = \begin{bmatrix} I & X_n \\ 0 & C_1 C_2 \cdots C_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where} \quad X_n := \sum_{i=0}^n B_{n-i} (C_{n+1-i} C_{n+2-i} \cdots C_n).$$

If  $(P_n)$  converges, then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (X_n - X_{n-1}) = 0$ . Also,  $\|(I - C_n)^{-1}\| \leq 1/(1 - r)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . But  $X_n = B_n + X_{n-1}C_n$ , and thus

$$B_n(I - C_n)^{-1} - X_{n-1} = (X_n - X_{n-1})(I - C_n)^{-1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n(I - C_n)^{-1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n$ .

To prove the sufficiency, without loss of generality one can assume that  $s = d - s$ . Indeed, simply replace each  $A_n$  by

$$\widetilde{A}_n := \begin{bmatrix} I_{\max\{s, d-s\}} & \widetilde{B}_n \\ 0 & \widetilde{C}_n \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\widetilde{B}_n := \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} B_n & 0_{s \times (2s-d)} \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } s \geq d - s \\ \begin{bmatrix} B_n \\ 0_{(d-2s) \times (d-s)} \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } s < d - s, \end{cases}$$

$$\widetilde{C}_n := \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} C_n & 0_{(d-s) \times (2s-d)} \\ 0_{(2s-d) \times (d-s)} & 0_{2s-d} \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } s \geq d - s \\ C_n & \text{if } s < d - s. \end{cases}$$

Then the matrices  $\widetilde{A}_n$  satisfy all the assumptions of the theorem and the sequence  $(B_n(I - C_n)^{-1})$  (the product  $P_n$ ) converges if and only if so does the sequence  $(\widetilde{B}_n(I - \widetilde{C}_n)^{-1})$  (the product  $\widetilde{P}_n$ ).

Thus, assume that  $s = d - s$ . Note that if the sequence  $(B_n(I - C_n)^{-1})$  converges, then the sequence  $(B_n)$  is bounded, since  $\|I - C_n\| \leq 1 + r$  for all  $n$ . Now, let

$$D_n := X_n - B_n(I - C_n)^{-1}$$

$$Y_n := B_{n+1}(I - C_{n+1})^{-1} - B_n(I - C_n)^{-1}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$(2) \quad D_{n+1} = (D_n - Y_n)C_{n+1},$$

hence

$$\|D_{n+1}\| \leq (\|D_n\| + \|Y_n\|)\|C_{n+1}\| \leq (\|D_n\| + \|Y_n\|)r.$$

Repeated use of this inequality gives

$$\|D_n\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|Y_{n-i}\| r^i.$$

This implies, in particular, that

$$S := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|D_n\| < \infty.$$

Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_n = 0$ , the identity (2) and the upper bound on  $\|C_n\|$  imply that  $S \leq rS$ , therefore  $S = 0$ , that is,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_n = 0$ .  $\square$

The obtained criterion of convergence can be used to make two more observations in the same spirit.

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *Let  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of matrices of the form (1) such that the sequence  $(C_n)$  converges to a matrix  $C$  with spectral radius smaller than 1. Then the sequence  $(P_n := A_1 A_2 \cdots A_n)$  converges if and only if so does the sequence  $(B_n)$ . In this event,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n = \begin{bmatrix} I & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n (I - C)^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* If  $\rho(C) < 1$ , then there exists a matrix norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{(d-s) \times (d-s)}$  such that  $\|C\| < 1$ ; see, e.g., [2, p. 297, Lemma 5.6.10]. Thus,  $\|C_n\| \leq r$  for all  $n \geq N$  for some  $r < 1$  and some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , and the assumption of Theorem 2.1 is then satisfied. The product  $P_n$  converges whenever the product  $A_N A_{N+1} \cdots$  converges, therefore  $(P_n)$  has a limit whenever  $(B_n)$  has one. On the other hand, the sequence  $((I - C_n)^{-1})_{n=N}^\infty$  is bounded, so the necessity argument from the proof of Theorem 2.1 shows that the convergence of  $(B_n)$  is also necessary.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *A set  $\Sigma$  consisting of matrices of the form (1) with uniformly contracting submatrices  $C$  is an RCP set if and only if*

$$(3) \quad B_1(I - C_1)^{-1} = B_2(I - C_2)^{-1} \quad \text{for all } A_1, A_2 \in \Sigma,$$

where

$$A_i = \begin{bmatrix} I & B_i \\ 0 & C_i \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

*Proof.* Given  $A_1, A_2 \in \Sigma$ , apply Theorem 2.1 to the product  $A_1 A_2 A_1 A_2 \cdots$  to see that the condition (3) is necessary and sufficient for the convergence of such a product. But if it is satisfied for all pairs of matrices from  $\Sigma$ , then it is sufficient for the convergence of any right product of matrices from  $\Sigma$ .  $\square$



**Acknowledgements.** I am grateful to Professor Hans Schneider for his critical reading of the manuscript and to the referee for valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Daubechies and J. Lagarias. Sets of matrices all infinite products of which converge. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 161:227–263, 1992.
- [2] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson. *Matrix analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985.