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#### Abstract

We present a class of nonsingular matrices, the $M C^{\prime}$-matrices, and prove that the class of symmetric $M C$-matrices introduced by Shen, Huang and Jing [On inclusion and exclusion intervals for the real eigenvalues of real matrices. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 31:816-830, 2009] and the class of symmetric $M C^{\prime}$-matrices are both subsets of the class of symmetric matrices with exactly one positive eigenvalue. Some other sufficient conditions for a symmetric matrix to have exactly one positive eigenvalue are derived.
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1. Introduction. The class of symmetric real matrices having exactly one positive eigenvalue will be denoted by $\mathscr{B}$. The class of positive matrices belonging to $\mathscr{B}$ will be denoted by $\mathscr{A}$; see [1]. Clearly, $\mathscr{A} \subseteq \mathscr{B}$. These classes of matrices play important roles in many areas such as mathematical programming, matrix theory, numerical analysis, interpolation of scattered data and statistics; see, e.g., $[1,5,7]$.

It was shown in [1] that a symmetric positive matrix $A \in \mathscr{A}$ if and only if the (unique) doubly stochastic matrix of the form $D^{T} A D$ is conditionally negative definite, where $D$ is a positive diagonal matrix. In [7], Peña presented several properties of a symmetric positive matrix with exactly one positive eigenvalue. In particular, the author first obtained an equivalent condition for $A \in \mathscr{A}$, i.e., a symmetric positive matrix $A \in \mathscr{A}$ if and only if $A$ has the $L D L^{T}$ decomposition:

$$
A=L D L^{T},
$$

where $L$ is a unit lower triangular matrix, and $D=\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{11}, d_{22}, \cdots, d_{n n}\right)$ with $d_{11}>0$ and $d_{i i}<0, i=2, \cdots, n$. Secondly, the class of symmetric positive stochastic

[^0]$C$-matrices was proved to be a subset of $\mathscr{A}$. Finally, the growth factor of Gaussian elimination with a given pivoting strategy applied to $A \in \mathscr{A}$ was analyzed, and a stable test to check whether a matrix belongs to $\mathscr{A}$ was established.

The class of $C$-matrices mentioned above was first defined by Peña in [6]. A matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with positive row sums is said to be a $C$-matrix if all its off-diagonal elements are bounded below by the corresponding row means; see [6]. Recently, Shen, Huang and Jing [8] presented a class of nonsingular matrices- $M C$-matrices, which is a generalization of the class of $C$-matrices.

In this paper, we show that every symmetric $M C$-matrix has exactly one positive eigenvalue. A new class of nonsingular matrices, the $M C^{\prime}$-matrices, is introduced. The class of symmetric $M C^{\prime}$-matrices is proved to be the subset of $\mathscr{B}$. Moreover, some other sufficient conditions for $A \in \mathscr{B}$ are derived.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. After introducing some notation and definitions in Section 2, we shall present some subclasses of $\mathscr{B}$ and some sufficient conditions for a matrix belonging to $\mathscr{B}$ in Section 3.
2. Notation and definitions. Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right), B=\left(b_{i j}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, and let $k$ be a positive integer. Then we denote by $I$ the identity matrix; $A^{T}$ the transpose of $A$; $\rho(A)$ the spectral radius of $A ; \lambda_{1}(A) \leq \lambda_{2}(A) \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{n}(A)$ the eigenvalues of $A$ if $A$ is symmetric; $\nu_{k}$ the row vector $(1,2, \cdots, k)$;

$$
A\left[\nu_{k}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1 k} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
a_{k 1} & a_{k 2} & \cdots & a_{k k}
\end{array}\right)
$$

the $k \times k$ leading principle submatrix of $A$. We write $A \geq B$ (respectively, $A>B$ ) if $a_{i j} \geq b_{i j}$ (respectively, $a_{i j}>b_{i j}$ ) for $i, j=1,2, \cdots, n$.

Definition 2.1. Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Then

1. ([2]) $A$ is said to be a positive (respectively, nonnegative) matrix if $a_{i j}>0$ (respectively, $a_{i j} \geq 0$ ) for all $i, j=1,2, \cdots, n$.
2. ([9]) The nonsingular matrix $A$ is said to be an $M$-matrix if all its off-diagonal entries are nonpositive, and $A^{-1}$ is nonnegative.

Given a matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, we define

$$
s_{i}^{+}(A):=\max \left\{0, \min \left\{a_{i j} \mid j \neq i\right\}\right\}, i=1,2, \cdots, n
$$

The matrix $A$ can be decomposed into

$$
A=C^{+}(A)+E^{+}(A)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
C^{+}(A) & =\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11}-s_{1}^{+}(A) & a_{12}-s_{1}^{+}(A) & \cdots & a_{1 n}-s_{1}^{+}(A) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
a_{n 1}-s_{n}^{+}(A) & a_{n 2}-s_{n}^{+}(A) & \cdots & a_{n n}-s_{n}^{+}(A)
\end{array}\right) \\
E^{+}(A) & =\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
s_{1}^{+}(A) & s_{1}^{+}(A) & \cdots & s_{1}^{+}(A) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
s_{n}^{+}(A) & s_{n}^{+}(A) & \cdots & s_{n}^{+}(A)
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From Proposition 2.3 in [6], $A$ is a $C$-matrix if and only if all its row sums are positive, and $-C^{+}(A)$ is a strictly diagonally dominant $M$-matrix (see [2]).

Definition $2.2([8])$. A matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with positive row sums is called an $M C$-matrix if all its off-diagonal elements are positive, and $-C^{+}(A)$ is an $M$-matrix.

Obviously, any $C$-matrix must be an $M C$-matrix. For a given $M C$-matrix $A \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, from Theorem 2.1 in [8] we have $(-1)^{n-1} \operatorname{det}(A)>0$.
3. $M C$-matrices, $M C^{\prime}$-matrices, and $\mathscr{B}$. This section is devoted to giving some subclasses of $\mathscr{B}$. The following lemmas are needed.

Lemma 3.1. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be an MC-matrix, and let $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a positive diagonal matrix. Then $D A$ is an MC-matrix.

Proof. By $A=C^{+}(A)+E^{+}(A)$, it is easy to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
D A=D C^{+}(A)+D E^{+}(A)=C^{+}(D A)+E^{+}(D A) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $-C^{+}(D A)=-D C^{+}(A)$ is an $M$-matrix. Since all row sums and all offdiagonal entries of $D A$ are still positive, from (3.1) and Definition 2.2, $D A$ is an $M C$-matrix.

The following result is a direct consequence of applying the Geršgorin disc theorem (see, e.g., [3]).

Lemma 3.2. Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with row sums

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{i k}=r>0, i=1,2, \cdots, n
$$

let all its off-diagonal elements be nonnegative, and let $\lambda$ be any positive eigenvalue of $A$. Then $r$ is an eigenvalue of $A$, and $\lambda \leq r$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a symmetric MC-matrix. Then $A \in \mathscr{B}$.
Proof. Setting

$$
r_{i}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{i k}, i=1,2, \cdots, n \text { and } D=\operatorname{diag}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \cdots, r_{n}\right)
$$

from Definition 2.2, $D$ is a positive diagonal matrix. By Lemma 3.1, $D^{-1} A$ is also an $M C$-matrix, and all its row sums are 1 . Since $D^{-1} A$ is similar to $D^{-\frac{1}{2}} A D^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ which is congruence to $A$, by Sylvester's law of inertia (Theorem 4.5.8 in [3]), we need only to prove that $D^{-1} A$ has exactly one positive eigenvalue. In fact, 1 is an eigenvalue of $D^{-1} A$ with algebraic multiplicity 1 . Assume that $\lambda$ different from 1 is a positive eigenvalue of $A$. Then by Lemma 3.2 we have $0<\lambda<1$. Obviously, all row sums of $D^{-1} A-\lambda I$ are $1-\lambda>0$, and, taking into account Lemma 3.1 and [2, Lemma 6.4.1], $-C^{+}\left(D^{-1} A-\lambda I\right)$ is an $M$-matrix. Hence, $D^{-1} A-\lambda I$ is an $M C$-matrix, and then nonsingular. This contradicts that $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $D^{-1} A$. Thus, $D^{-1} A$ has exactly one positive eigenvalue 1 . The proof is completed.

We now define a new class of nonsingular matrices.
Definition 3.4. A matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with at least one positive diagonal element is called an $M C^{\prime}$-matrix if all its off-diagonal elements are positive, and $-C^{+}(A)$ is an $M$-matrix.

For an $M C^{\prime}$-matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [8], we can deduce $(-1)^{n-1} \operatorname{det}(A)>0$. For the reader's convenience, we provide the following simple proof: From the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [8], it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}(-A)=\operatorname{det}\left(-C^{+}(A)\right)\left(1-x^{T}\left(-C^{+}(A)\right)^{-1} y\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
x=(1,1, \cdots, 1)^{T}, \quad y=\left(s_{1}^{+}(A), s_{2}^{+}(A), \cdots, s_{n}^{+}(A)\right)^{T}
$$

Let $\left(-C^{+}(A)\right)^{-1} y:=z=\left(z_{i}\right)$. Then $y=-C^{+}(A) z$, and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{i}^{+}(A)\left(z_{1}+z_{2}+\cdots+z_{n}\right)=s_{i}^{+}(A)+\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{i k} z_{k}, i=1,2, \cdots, n \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $z$ is a positive vector and, by the definition of an $M C^{\prime}$-matrix, there must exist $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $a_{j j}>0$, we derive $\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{j k} z_{k}>0$, which by (3.3) implies

$$
x^{T}\left(-C^{+}(A)\right)^{-1} y=z_{1}+z_{2}+\cdots+z_{n}=\frac{s_{j}^{+}(A)+\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{j k} z_{k}}{s_{j}^{+}(A)}>1 .
$$

From (3.2) we can get that $(-1)^{n-1} \operatorname{det}(A)>0$.

REmARK 3.5. We remark that a positive matrix is an $M C^{\prime}$-matrix if and only if it is an $M C$-matrix. But the classes of symmetric $M C^{\prime}$-matrices and symmetric $M C$-matrices do not contain each other. For example, let

$$
A_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-8 & 4 \\
2 & 1
\end{array}\right) \text { and } A_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-2 & 4 \\
2 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then, by simple computations, $A_{1}$ is an $M C^{\prime}$-matrix, but not an $M C$-matrix. $A_{2}$ is an $M C$-matrix, but not an $M C^{\prime}$-matrix.

Lemma 3.6. Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, and let $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a permutation matrix. Then $P^{T} A P$ is an $M C^{\prime}$-matrix if and only if $A$ is an $M C^{\prime}$-matrix.

Proof. The matrix $P^{T} A P$ can be decomposed into

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{T} A P=P^{T} C^{+}(A) P+P^{T} E^{+}(A) P=C^{+}\left(P^{T} A P\right)+E^{+}\left(P^{T} A P\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that

$$
-C^{+}\left(P^{T} A P\right)=-P^{T} C^{+}(A) P
$$

is an $M$-matrix if and only if $-C^{+}(A)$ is an $M$-matrix. By (3.4) and Definition 3.4 the conclusion of the lemma holds.

Lemma 3.7. Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be an $M C^{\prime}$-matrix with $a_{11}>0$. Then any $k \times k$ leading principle submatrix $A\left[\nu_{k}\right]$ of $A$ is an $M C^{\prime}$-matrix.

Proof. The matrices $A$ and $A\left[\nu_{k}\right]$ can be decomposed into

$$
A=C^{+}(A)+E^{+}(A) \text { and } A\left[\nu_{k}\right]=C^{+}\left(A\left[\nu_{k}\right]\right)+E^{+}\left(A\left[\nu_{k}\right]\right)
$$

We have

$$
s_{i}^{+}(A) \leq s_{i}^{+}\left(A\left[\nu_{k}\right]\right), i=1,2, \cdots, k,
$$

which implies

$$
-\left(C^{+}(A)\right)\left[\nu_{k}\right] \leq-C^{+}\left(A\left[\nu_{k}\right]\right)
$$

Since $-C^{+}(A)$ is an $M$-matrix, it is easy to get that $-\left(C^{+}(A)\right)\left[\nu_{k}\right]$ is an $M$-matrix. So, from Exercise 6.5.1 in [2], $-C^{+}\left(A\left[\nu_{k}\right]\right)$ is also an $M$-matrix, which, together with all off-diagonal elements of $A\left[\nu_{k}\right]$ being positive and $a_{11}>0$, implies that $A\left[\nu_{k}\right]$ is an $M C^{\prime}$-matrix.

Lemma 3.8. Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be symmetric, and let

$$
(-1)^{k-1} \operatorname{det}\left(A\left[\nu_{k}\right]\right)>0 \text { for all } k=1,2, \cdots, n
$$

Then $A \in \mathscr{B}$.
Proof. Clearly, $a_{11}>0$. By the interlacing theorem for eigenvalues of Hermitian matrices (see Theorem 4.3.8 in [3]), we have

$$
\lambda_{1}\left(A\left[\nu_{2}\right]\right) \leq a_{11} \leq \lambda_{2}\left(A\left[\nu_{2}\right]\right)
$$

which together with $\operatorname{det}\left(A\left[\nu_{2}\right]\right)<0$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}\left(A\left[\nu_{2}\right]\right)<0 \text { and } \lambda_{2}\left(A\left[\nu_{2}\right]\right)>0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, it can be seen that

$$
\lambda_{1}\left(A\left[\nu_{3}\right]\right) \leq \lambda_{1}\left(A\left[\nu_{2}\right]\right) \leq \lambda_{2}\left(A\left[\nu_{3}\right]\right) \leq \lambda_{2}\left(A\left[\nu_{2}\right]\right) \leq \lambda_{3}\left(A\left[\nu_{3}\right]\right)
$$

which together with $\operatorname{det}\left(A\left[\nu_{3}\right]\right)>0$ and (3.5) lead to

$$
\lambda_{1}\left(A\left[\nu_{3}\right]\right)<0, \lambda_{2}\left(A\left[\nu_{3}\right]\right)<0 \text { and } \lambda_{3}\left(A\left[\nu_{3}\right]\right)>0
$$

Thus, a similar induction argument completes the proof of the lemma.
Remark 3.9. It was shown in [1, Theorem 4.4.6] that a symmetric positive matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ belongs to $\mathscr{A}$ if and only if, for any $k \times k$ principle submatrix $B$ of $A,(-1)^{k-1} \operatorname{det}(B)>0$ for all $k=1,2, \cdots, n$. Thus, Lemma 3.8 provides a weaker condition such that a symmetric matrix has exactly one positive eigenvalue.

The following theorem shows that the class of symmetric $M C^{\prime}$-matrices is a subset of $\mathscr{B}$.

ThEOREM 3.10. Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a symmetric $M C^{\prime}$-matrix. Then $A \in \mathscr{B}$.

Proof. There exists a permutation matrix $P$ such that the first diagonal element of $P^{T} A P$ is positive. From Lemma 3.6, $P^{T} A P$ is also an $M C^{\prime}$-matrix. By Lemma 3.7, any $k \times k$ leading principle submatrix of $P^{T} A P$ is an $M C^{\prime}$-matrix, and hence

$$
(-1)^{k-1} \operatorname{det}\left(\left(P^{T} A P\right)\left[\nu_{k}\right]\right)>0, k=1,2, \cdots, n
$$

Thus, due to Lemma 3.8, we have $P^{T} A P \in \mathscr{B}$, and then $A \in \mathscr{B}$. $\square$
Remark 3.11. Compared with Proposition 4.3 and Corollary 4.4 in [7], Theorems 3.3 and 3.10 establish two wider classes of matrices with exactly one positive eigenvalue.

Remark 3.12. Assume that $A \in \mathscr{A}$. Then Theorem 4.4.6 in [1] implies that any principle submatrix of $A$ also belongs to $\mathscr{A}$. From Lemma 3.7, we can see that any principle submatrix with at least one positive diagonal element of an $M C^{\prime}$-matrix is
also an $M C^{\prime}$-matrix. However, we have not similar conclusions for $M C$-matrices. For example, let

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 1 & 2 \\
3 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & -2
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then $A$ is an $M C$-matrix. But all $1 \times 1$ and $2 \times 2$ principle submatrices of $A$ are not $M C$-matrices.

The following theorems establish some sufficient conditions for a matrix in $\mathscr{B}$.
Theorem 3.13. Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be symmetric, let all row sums of $A$ be positive, and let $A$ be decomposed into

$$
A=D^{+}(A)+F^{+}(A)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{+}(A) & =\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11}-s_{11} & a_{12}-s_{12} & \cdots & a_{1 n}-s_{1 n} \\
\vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\
F_{n 1}-s_{n 1} & a_{n 2}-s_{n 2} & \cdots & a_{n n}-s_{n n}
\end{array}\right) \\
F^{+}(A) & =\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
s_{11} & s_{12} & \cdots & s_{1 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
s_{n 1} & s_{n 2} & \cdots & s_{n n}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $F^{+}(A) \in \mathscr{A}$, and $-D^{+}(A)$ is an $M$-matrix, then $A \in \mathscr{B}$.
Proof. From Exercise 6.2.6 in [2], $-D^{+}(A)$ is positive definite. By Sylvester's law of inertia we need only prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-D^{+}(A)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} A\left(-D^{+}(A)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}=-I+\left(-D^{+}(A)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} F^{+}(A)\left(-D^{+}(A)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \in \mathscr{B} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, by $F^{+}(A) \in \mathscr{A}$ we get that

$$
\left(-D^{+}(A)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} F^{+}(A)\left(-D^{+}(A)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \in \mathscr{B} .
$$

Since $\left(-D^{+}(A)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} F^{+}(A)\left(-D^{+}(A)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is similar to $-\left(D^{+}(A)\right)^{-1} F^{+}(A)$, it follows that $-\left(D^{+}(A)\right)^{-1} F^{+}(A)$ has exactly one positive eigenvalue

$$
\lambda_{+}:=\rho\left(-\left(D^{+}(A)\right)^{-1} F^{+}(A)\right)
$$

Assume that $x=\left(x_{i}\right)$ is a positive eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda_{+}$. Then we have

$$
-\left(D^{+}(A)\right)^{-1} F^{+}(A) x=\lambda_{+} x
$$

and then

$$
F^{+}(A) x=-\lambda_{+} D^{+}(A) x
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} s_{i j} x_{j}=\lambda_{+}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} s_{i j} x_{j}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j}\right), i=1,2, \cdots, n
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{+}=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} s_{i j} x_{j}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} s_{i j} x_{j}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j}}, i=1,2, \cdots, n \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting

$$
x_{m}=\min _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left\{x_{i}\right\},
$$

we get

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{m j} x_{j}=a_{m m} x_{m}+\sum_{j \neq m} a_{m j} x_{j} \geq x_{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{m j}>0
$$

which, by (3.7), implies

$$
\lambda_{+}=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} s_{m j} x_{j}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} s_{m j} x_{j}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{m j} x_{j}}>1
$$

Since $\lambda_{+}$is also the only positive eigenvalue of $\left(-D^{+}(A)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} F^{+}(A)\left(-D^{+}(A)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, we can get that (3.6) holds. The proof is completed.

The following theorem shows that the condition on $F^{+}(A)$ can be weakened if the condition that $-D^{+}(A)$ is an $M$-matrix is strengthened.

Theorem 3.14. Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be symmetric, let all row sums of $A$ be positive, and let $A$ be decomposed into

$$
A=D^{+}(A)+F^{+}(A)
$$

If $F^{+}(A)$ belonging to $\mathscr{B}$ is nonnegative, and $-D^{+}(A)$ is a strictly diagonally dominant $M$-matrix, then $A \in \mathscr{B}$.

Proof. Let $\lambda_{+}$be defined as in the proof of Theorem 3.13. Then, by the proof of Theorem 3.13, we need only prove $\lambda_{+}>1$. In fact, we have, from Theorem 2 in [4],

$$
\lambda_{+} \geq \min _{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} s_{i j}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} s_{i j}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j}}>1
$$

The proof is completed.
By an analogous argument as in the proof of Theorems 3.13, we derive immediately the following result.

Theorem 3.15. Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be symmetric, let $A$ have at least one positive diagonal element, and let $A$ be decomposed into

$$
A=D^{+}(A)+F^{+}(A)
$$

If $F^{+}(A) \in \mathscr{A}$, and $-D^{+}(A)$ is an M-matrix, then $A \in \mathscr{B}$.
REMARK 3.16. We remark that, similar to the relation between $M C$-matrices and $M C^{\prime}$-matrices, the classes of matrices described by Theorem 3.13 and Theorem 3.15 do not contain each other.

From Theorems 3.3, 3.10, 3.13-3.15, we can obtain the following simple sufficient conditions.

Corollary 3.17. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be symmetric, and let $A$ be decomposed into

$$
A=D^{+}(A)+F^{+}(A)
$$

If one of the following conditions holds:

1. all row sums of $A$ are positive, $F^{+}(A)$ is a symmetric positive $M C$-matrix, and $-D^{+}(A)$ is an $M$-matrix;
2. all row sums of $A$ are positive, $F^{+}(A)$ is a symmetric nonnegative $M C$ matrix, and $-D^{+}(A)$ is a strictly diagonally dominant $M$-matrix;
3. $A$ has at least one positive diagonal element, $F^{+}(A)$ is a symmetric positive MC-matrix, and $-D^{+}(A)$ is an $M$-matrix,
then $A \in \mathscr{B}$.
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