

NONNEGATIVE MATRICES WITH PRESCRIBED ELEMENTARY DIVISORS*

RICARDO L. SOTO[†] AND JAVIER CCAPA[†]

Abstract. The inverse elementary divisor problem for nonnegative matrices asks for necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a nonnegative matrix with prescribed elementary divisors. In this work a Brauer type perturbation result is introduced. This result allows the construction, from a given a list of real or complex numbers $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$, of certain structured nonnegative matrices with spectrum Λ and with any legitimately prescribed elementary divisors.

Key words. Inverse elementary divisor problem, Nonnegative matrices.

AMS subject classifications. 15A18, 15A51.

1. Introduction. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and let

$$J(A) = S^{-1}AS = \begin{bmatrix} J_{n_1(\lambda_1)} & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & J_{n_2(\lambda_2)} & \ddots & \ddots \\ \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 & J_{n_k(\lambda_k)} \end{bmatrix}$$

be the *Jordan canonical form* of A (hereafter *JCF* of A). The $n_i \times n_i$ submatrices

$$J_{n_i}(\lambda_i) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_i & 1 & & \\ & \lambda_i & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & \lambda_i \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k$$

are called the *Jordan blocks* of $J(A)$. Then the *elementary divisors* of A are the polynomials $(\lambda - \lambda_i)^{n_i}$, that is, the characteristic polynomials of $J_{n_i}(\lambda_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$. The *inverse elementary divisor problem (IEDP)* is the problem of determining necessary and sufficient conditions under which the polynomials

*Received by the editors August 31, 2007. Accepted for publication June 2, 2008. Handling Editor: Michael Neumann.

[†]Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Católica del Norte, Antofagasta, Casilla 1280, Chile (rsoto@ucn.cl, jccapa@ucn.cl). Supported by Fondecyt 1050026, Fondecyt 1085125, and Mecesp UCN0202, Chile. Part of this work was done while the authors were visiting at UC3M, Madrid, Spain.

$(\lambda - \lambda_1)^{n_1}, (\lambda - \lambda_2)^{n_2}, \dots, (\lambda - \lambda_k)^{n_k}, n_1 + \dots + n_k = n$, are the *elementary divisors* of an $n \times n$ matrix A . It is clear that for any arbitrarily prescribed Jordan form J and for any nonsingular matrix S , there exists a matrix $A = SJS^{-1}$ with J as its *JCF*. In order that the problem be meaningful, the matrix A is required to have a particular structure. When A is required to be an entrywise nonnegative matrix, the problem is called the *nonnegative inverse elementary divisor problem (NIEDP)* (see [9], [10], [11]).

The *NIEDP* contains the *nonnegative inverse eigenvalue problem (NIEP)*, which asks for necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of an entrywise nonnegative matrix A with prescribed spectrum Λ . Both problems remain unsolved. The *nonnegative inverse eigenvalue problem* has attracted the attention of many authors (see [1], [3], [5], [8], [12]-[16] and references therein). In contrast, only a few works are known about the *NIEDP* ([6], [7], [9], [10]). In [10], Minc studied the problem for doubly quasi-stochastic matrices and gives conditions for the existence of doubly quasi-stochastic and doubly stochastic matrices with prescribed elementary divisors. These results are extended in [9] to matrices with complex eigenvalues. In [6], London studied the question of the existence of a doubly quasi-stochastic matrix having prescribed elementary divisors and diagonal elements.

In Section 2 of this paper, we consider the notation that will be used and a number of basic results related with the *IEDP*. In Section 3, we introduce a Brauer type perturbation result, which allows us to construct, from a given list of real or complex numbers $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$, certain nonnegative matrices with constant row sums and spectrum Λ and with any legitimately prescribed elementary divisors (that is, their product is a polynomial whose zeroes are the numbers λ_i). In particular we completely solve the *NIEDP* for lists of real numbers $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0$ and lists with $\lambda_i < 0, i = 2, \dots, n$. By using results from the *NIEP* we also give sufficient conditions for the general case. The proofs are constructive, in the sense that we can always construct a solution matrix. Two examples are given in Section 4.

2. Preliminaries. $\sigma(A)$ will denote the set of eigenvalues of A . We shall consider that in the *JCF* of a matrix A , equal eigenvalues are consecutive.

A matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ is said to have constant row sums if all its rows sum up to the same constant, say α , i.e.

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} = \alpha, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

The set of all matrices with constant row sums equal to α is denoted by \mathcal{CS}_α . It is clear that any matrix in \mathcal{CS}_α has the eigenvector $\mathbf{e} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T$ corresponding to the eigenvalue α . Denote by \mathbf{e}_k the vector with one in the k -th position and zeros

elsewhere.

Johnson [4] proved that if $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ is the spectrum of an $n \times n$ nonnegative matrix, then Λ is also the spectrum of a nonnegative matrix with constant row sums equal to its Perron root. This fact leads us to consider the *NIEDP* for matrices in \mathcal{CS}_{λ_1} .

A matrix A is called quasi-stochastic if $A \in \mathcal{CS}_1$ and it is called doubly quasi-stochastic if A and A^T are in \mathcal{CS}_1 . A nonnegative quasi-stochastic matrix is called stochastic, and a nonnegative doubly quasi-stochastic matrix is called doubly stochastic. In other words, a nonnegative matrix A is stochastic if and only if $A\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{e}$, and it is doubly stochastic if and only if $AJ_n = J_nA = J_n$, where J_n is the matrix whose entries are all $\frac{1}{n}$.

Let S a nonsingular matrix such that $S^{-1}AS = J(A)$ is the *JCF* of A . If $A \in \mathcal{CS}_{\lambda_1}$, then S can be chosen so that $S\mathbf{e}_1 = \mathbf{e}$ and it is easy to see that the rows of $S^{-1} = (\hat{s}_{ij})$ satisfy:

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \hat{s}_{1j} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \hat{s}_{ij} = 0, \quad i = 2, \dots, n. \quad (2.1)$$

If T is an $n \times n$ matrix of the form

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & * & \ddots & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & * & \cdots & * \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & s_{12} & \cdots & s_{1n} \\ 1 & s_{22} & \cdots & s_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & s_{n2} & \cdots & s_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.2)$$

is nonsingular, then $STS^{-1}\mathbf{e} = \lambda_1\mathbf{e}$. That is, $STS^{-1} \in \mathcal{CS}_{\lambda_1}$.

We shall denote by E_{ij} the $n \times n$ matrix with 1 in the (i, j) position and zeros elsewhere. The following simple perturbation allows us to change the eigenvalue associated to a Jordan block, without changing any of the remaining Jordan blocks: Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with elementary divisors

$$(\lambda - \lambda_1)^{n_1}, (\lambda - \lambda_2)^{n_2}, \dots, (\lambda - \lambda_k)^{n_k}, \quad n_1 + \dots + n_k = n,$$

and let S be a nonsingular matrix such that

$$S^{-1}AS = J(A) = \text{diag}\{J_{n_1}(\lambda_1), \dots, J_{n_p}(\lambda_p), \dots, J_{n_k}(\lambda_k)\}$$

is the *JCF* of A . Let $\xi = \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} n_j$, $1 \leq p \leq k$, with $\xi = 0$ if $p = 1$. Let $K = \{\xi+1, \xi+2, \dots, \xi+n_p\}$ and $C = \sum_{i \in K} E_{i,i}$. Then, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, the matrix $A + \alpha SCS^{-1}$

has elementary divisors

$$(\lambda - \lambda_1)^{n_1}, \dots, (\lambda - \lambda_{p-1})^{n_{p-1}}, (\lambda - \lambda_p - \alpha)^{n_p}, (\lambda - \lambda_{p+1})^{n_{p+1}}, \dots, (\lambda - \lambda_k)^{n_k}.$$

Observe that if $A \in \mathcal{CS}_{\lambda_1}$, $C = \sum_{i \in K} E_{i,i}$ and $p = 1$, then $A + \alpha SCS^{-1}$ belongs to $\mathcal{CS}_{\lambda_1 + \alpha}$; otherwise if $1 < p \leq k$, $A + \alpha SCS^{-1}$ belongs to \mathcal{CS}_{λ_1} . If A is doubly quasi-stochastic and $p \neq 1$, so is $A + \alpha SCS^{-1}$.

The above perturbation can be simultaneously extended to r blocks in $J(A)$, $1 \leq r \leq k$. In particular for the r first blocks we have

$$C = \alpha_1 \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} E_{ii} + \dots + \alpha_r \sum_{i=\xi+1}^{\xi+n_r} E_{ii},$$

where $\xi = \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} n_j$. Then $A + SCS^{-1}$ has elementary divisors

$$(\lambda - \lambda_1 - \alpha_1)^{n_1}, \dots, (\lambda - \lambda_r - \alpha_r)^{n_r}, (\lambda - \lambda_{r+1})^{n_{r+1}}, \dots, (\lambda - \lambda_k)^{n_k}.$$

The following two perturbations allow us, respectively, to split out a Jordan block $J_{n_i}(\lambda_i)$, $n_i \geq 2$, into Jordan blocks of smaller size and to join two or more Jordan blocks corresponding to a same eigenvalue λ_p to obtain one Jordan block of a bigger size.

Let the elementary divisor $(\lambda - \lambda_p)^{n_p}$ (Jordan block $J_{n_p}(\lambda_p)$), $n_p \geq 2$, be given. Then by using the perturbation $J(A) + C$, where

$$C = - \sum_{i \in K} E_{i,i+1}, \quad \text{with} \quad (2.3)$$

$$K = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_r\} \subset \{\xi + 1, \xi + 2, \dots, \xi + n_p - 1\},$$

$$t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_r, r \leq n_p - 1,$$

we obtain the $r + 1$ elementary divisors

$$(\lambda - \lambda_p)^{q_1}, \dots, (\lambda - \lambda_p)^{q_{r+1}}, \quad q_1 + \dots + q_{r+1} = n_p, \quad 1 \leq p \leq k.$$

Now, let the elementary divisors $(\lambda - \lambda_p)^{m_1}, \dots, (\lambda - \lambda_p)^{m_q}$ (Jordan blocks $J_{m_1}(\lambda_p), \dots, J_{m_q}(\lambda_p)$) corresponding to λ_p . Then by using the perturbation $J(A) + C$, where

$$C = \sum_{i \in K} E_{i,i+1}, \quad \text{with} \quad (2.4)$$

$$K = \{\xi + m_1, \xi + m_1 + m_2, \dots, \xi + \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} m_i\},$$

we obtain the elementary divisor of bigger size $(\lambda - \lambda_p)^\gamma$, $\gamma = m_1 + \dots + m_q$. We state these facts in the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be a matrix with elementary divisors*

$$(\lambda - \lambda_1)^{n_1}, (\lambda - \lambda_2)^{n_2}, \dots, (\lambda - \lambda_k)^{n_k}, \quad n_1 + \dots + n_k = n$$

and let S be a nonsingular matrix such that $S^{-1}AS = J(A)$ is the JCF of A . Let $\xi = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_{p-1}$.

i) If $(\lambda - \lambda_p)^{n_p}$ is a nonlinear elementary divisor, $1 \leq p \leq k$, then $A + SCS^{-1}$, where C is the matrix defined in (2.3), has same spectrum as A but with elementary divisors

$$(\lambda - \lambda_1)^{n_1}, \dots, (\lambda - \lambda_{p-1})^{n_{p-1}}, (\lambda - \lambda_{p+1})^{n_{p+1}}, \dots, (\lambda - \lambda_k)^{n_k}$$

and

$$(\lambda - \lambda_p)^{t_1 - \xi}, (\lambda - \lambda_p)^{t_2 - t_1}, \dots, (\lambda - \lambda_p)^{t_r - t_{r-1}}, (\lambda - \lambda_p)^{\xi + n_p - t_r},$$

ii) If $\lambda_p = \lambda_{p+1} = \dots = \lambda_{p+q-1}$, $p + q - 1 \leq k$, then $A + SCS^{-1}$, where C is the matrix defined in (2.4), has same spectrum as A but with elementary divisors

$$(\lambda - \lambda_1)^{n_1}, \dots, (\lambda - \lambda_{p-1})^{n_{p-1}}, (\lambda - \lambda_{p+q})^{n_{p+q}}, \dots, (\lambda - \lambda_k)^{n_k}$$

and

$$(\lambda - \lambda_p)^{w_1}, (\lambda - \lambda_p)^{w_2}, \dots, (\lambda - \lambda_p)^{w_{q-r}},$$

$$w_1 + \dots + w_{q-r} = n_p + \dots + n_{p+q-1}.$$

Observe that if $A \in \mathcal{CS}_{\lambda_1}$, then $(A + SCS^{-1}) \in \mathcal{CS}_{\lambda_1}$. If A is doubly quasi-stochastic, so is $A + SCS^{-1}$.

REMARK 2.2. Let C be the matrix

$$C = \pm \sum_{i \in K} E_{i, i+1}, \quad K \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$$

and let A be an $n \times n$ complex matrix with JCF $J(A) = S^{-1}AS$. Then for an appropriated set K ,

$$J(A) + C = S^{-1}AS + C$$

$$= S^{-1}(A + SCS^{-1})S$$

is the JCF of $A + SCS^{-1}$. Thus, given a complex matrix A with JCF $J(A) = S^{-1}AS$, we may obtain, trivially, a matrix $B = A + SCS^{-1}$, with $\sigma(B) = \sigma(A)$ and

prescribed elementary divisors. Observe that if $A \in \mathcal{CS}_{\lambda_1}$ and $S = [e \mid * \mid \cdots \mid *]$ then $(A + SCS^{-1}) \in \mathcal{CS}_{\lambda_1}$.

In [9, Theorem 1] Minc proves that given an $n \times n$ diagonalizable positive matrix A , then there exists an $n \times n$ positive matrix B , with $\sigma(B) = \sigma(A)$ and with arbitrarily prescribed elementary divisors, provided that elementary divisors corresponding to nonreal eigenvalues occur in conjugate pairs. As a consequence we have the following result.

THEOREM 2.3. *Let $A \in \mathcal{CS}_{\lambda_1}$ be an $n \times n$ diagonalizable positive matrix with complex spectrum. Then there exists an $n \times n$ positive matrix $B \in \mathcal{CS}_{\lambda_1}$, with $\sigma(B) = \sigma(A)$ and with legitimately prescribed elementary divisors (that is, their product has to be equal to the characteristic polynomial of A).*

Proof. Let $S^{-1}AS = D = \text{diag}\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ be the *JCF* of A , where the eigenvalues are ordered such that $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_p$ are real and $\lambda_{p+1}, \dots, \lambda_n$ are complex nonreal; equal eigenvalues are consecutive and $\overline{\lambda_j} = \lambda_{r+j}$ for $j = p+1, \dots, p+r$, where $r = \frac{n-p}{2}$. $S = [e \mid * \mid \cdots \mid *]$ is a nonsingular matrix whose j -th column is an eigenvector corresponding to λ_j , $j = 1, \dots, n$, and whose columns j and $r+j$ are conjugate, $j = p+1, \dots, p+r$. Let C defined as in (2.4), with $K \subset \{2, \dots, n-1\}$ in such a way that $D+C$ is the prescribed *JCF*. Then, as it was proved in [9, Theorem 1], SCS^{-1} is real. From Remark 2.2 there exists a matrix $B = A + \epsilon SCS^{-1}$, $B \in \mathcal{CS}_{\lambda_1}$, with $\sigma(B) = \sigma(A)$ and with the prescribed elementary divisors. Since A is positive, then $B = A + \epsilon SCS^{-1}$ is positive for sufficiently small positive ϵ . \square

Observe that if in the above Theorem, A has real spectrum, then it does not need to be diagonalizable. In this case, however, we can not split out a Jordan block $J_{n_i}(\lambda_i)$, $n_i \geq 2$, into blocks of smaller size, except if $A + SCS^{-1}$ is positive (that is, if $\epsilon = 1$).

In [10] Minc gives conditions for the existence of doubly quasi-stochastic and doubly stochastic matrices with prescribed elementary divisors. In the next section we give conditions for the existence of nonnegative matrices with constant row sums and prescribed elementary divisors.

3. Nonnegative IEDP. In this section we completely solve the *NIEDP* for $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ with $\lambda_i \geq 0$, and for $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ with $\lambda_i < 0$, $i = 2, \dots, n$. For $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ with $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_p \geq 0 > \lambda_{p+1} \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$, we give sufficient conditions. Moreover, in these cases, we can always construct a nonnegative matrix with spectrum Λ and with any legitimately prescribed elementary divisors. In this construction, if necessary, we guarantee the nonnegativity by applying the below fundamental result (Lemma 3.1), a Brauer [2] type perturbation result (see [1], [14]). The Brauer result in [2] shows how to modify one single eigenvalue of a matrix, via a

rank-one perturbation, without changing any of the remaining eigenvalues, and it has been employed with success to obtain sufficient conditions for the *nonnegative inverse eigenvalue problem*. We point out that Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 below contain the result in [10, Theorem 2], seen as results for nonnegative matrices.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_n)^T$ be an arbitrary n -dimensional vector and let $A \in \mathcal{CS}_{\lambda_1}$ with JCF*

$$S^{-1}AS = J(A) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & J_{n_2}(\lambda_2) & \ddots & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 & J_{n_k}(\lambda_k) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $\lambda_1 + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \neq \lambda_i$, $i = 2, \dots, n$. Then the matrix $A + \mathbf{e}\mathbf{q}^T$ has Jordan canonical form $J(A) + (\sum_{i=1}^n q_i)E_{11}$. In particular, if $\sum_{i=1}^n q_i = 0$ then A and $A + \mathbf{e}\mathbf{q}^T$ are similar.

Proof. Let $S = [\mathbf{e} \mid \mathbf{S}^{(2)} \mid \dots \mid \mathbf{S}^{(n)}]$. Then

$$B = S^{-1}(A + \mathbf{e}\mathbf{q}^T)S = J(A) + S^{-1}\mathbf{e}\mathbf{q}^T S$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= J(A) + \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n q_i & \mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{S}^{(2)} & \dots & \mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{S}^{(n)} \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & \mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{S}^{(2)} & \dots & \mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{S}^{(n)} \\ 0 & J_{n_2}(\lambda_2) & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & J_{n_k}(\lambda_k) \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \neq \lambda_i$, $i = 2, \dots, n$. First, we show that in the JCF of B , $J(B)$, there exists only one Jordan block corresponding to λ and it is of size 1. Clearly, written entrywise,

$$(B - \lambda I)^m = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * & * & \ddots & * \\ & (\lambda_2 - \lambda)^m & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & * \\ & & & \ddots & * \\ & & & & (\lambda_k - \lambda)^m \end{bmatrix},$$

where $m = 1, 2, \dots$. Since $\lambda \neq \lambda_i$, $rank[(B - \lambda I)^m] = n - 1$ and the number of Jordan blocks of size $k = 1$ and $k \geq 2$, associated with λ , are, respectively, $n - 2(n - 1) + (n - 1) = 1$ and $(n - 1) - 2(n - 1) + (n - 1) = 0$.

Now, we show that if $\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$, are all distinct then in $J(B)$ there exists only one Jordan block corresponding to λ_i , $i = 2, \dots, k$, and it is of size n_i . Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\lambda_i = \lambda_2$. Then we have that $(B - \lambda_2 I)^m$ is an upper triangular matrix with main diagonal

$$(\lambda - \lambda_2)^m, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n_2 \text{ times}}, \dots, \underbrace{(\lambda_k - \lambda_2)^m, \dots, (\lambda_k - \lambda_2)^m}_{n_k \text{ times}}.$$

Then

$$\begin{cases} rank(B - \lambda_2 I)^m = n - m, & 1 \leq m \leq n_2 \\ rank(B - \lambda_2 I)^m = n - n_2, & m \geq n_2. \end{cases}$$

It is well known that if $r_m(\lambda) = rank(A - \lambda I)^m$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$, then the difference $d_m(\lambda) = r_{m-1}(\lambda) - r_m(\lambda)$ is equal to the total number of Jordan blocks of all sizes $k \geq m$ and that $d_m(\lambda) = 0$ for all $m > n$. Hence, the number of Jordan blocks $J_k(\lambda_2)$ associated to λ_2 for $k \geq 1$ is

$$d_1(\lambda_2) = r_0(\lambda_2) - r_1(\lambda_2) = n - (n - 1) = 1$$

and since for $k = n_2$, we have $n - (n_2 - 1) - 2(n - n_2) + (n - n_2) = 1$, then the size of this block is n_2 .

Now we consider the case in which there are $p \geq 2$ blocks corresponding to the same eigenvalue λ_i . We start assuming that $p = 2$ with $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3$, that is, λ_2 has one block of size n_2 and one block of size n_3 with $n_2 \geq n_3$. In this case the sequence of ranks of powers of $B - \lambda_2 I$ is

$$\begin{aligned} rank[(B - \lambda_2 I)^m] &= n - 2m, & m \leq n_3 \\ rank[(B - \lambda_2 I)^m] &= n - (n_2 + n_3), & m \geq n_2 \text{ and} \\ rank[(B - \lambda_2 I)^{n_3+i}] &= n - 2n_3 - i; & 1 \leq i \leq (n_2 - n_3 - 1); \quad n_2 - n_3 \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$d_1(\lambda_2) = r_0(\lambda_2) - r_1(\lambda_2) = n - (n - 2) = 2,$$

then there exists exactly two Jordan blocks corresponding to λ_2 and they are of size n_2 and n_3 . On the other hand, the number of blocks of size n_3 corresponding to λ_2 is

$$p_{n_3} = r_{n_3-1}(\lambda_2) - 2r_{n_3}(\lambda_2) + r_{n_3+1}(\lambda_2),$$

that is,

$$p_{n_3} = \begin{cases} [n - 2(n_3 - 1)] - 2[n - 2n_3] + [n - (2n_3)] = 2 & \text{if } n_3 = n_2 \\ [n - 2(n_3 - 1)] - 2[n - 2n_3] + [n - (2n_3 + 1)] = 1 & \text{if } n_3 < n_2. \end{cases}$$

The number of blocks of size n_2 corresponding to λ_2 is, for $n_2 > n_3$,

$$p_{n_2} = r_{n_2-1}(\lambda_2) - 2r_{n_2}(\lambda_2) + r_{n_2+1}(\lambda_2) = 1.$$

For $p \geq 3$, the same argument shows that there exists exactly p Jordan blocks $J_{n_2}(\lambda_2), \dots, J_{n_{p+1}}(\lambda_2)$, corresponding to λ_2 and they are of size n_2, \dots, n_{p+1} , respectively. \square

Next, we consider the following result due to Perfect [12]. She showed that the matrix

$$A = P \text{diag}\{1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_n\} P^{-1},$$

where $1 > \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_3 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0$ and

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & & & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & & & \cdots & -1 & 0 \\ \vdots & & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 1 & -1 & 0 & & \vdots \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.1)$$

is an $n \times n$ positive stochastic matrix. As a consequence, we establish here the following result.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $\Lambda = \{1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ with $1 > \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0$. There exists a stochastic matrix A with spectrum Λ and arbitrarily prescribed elementary divisors $(\lambda - 1), (\lambda - \lambda_2)^{n_2}, \dots, (\lambda - \lambda_k)^{n_k}$, $n_2 + \dots + n_k = n - 1$.*

Proof. Let $D = \text{diag}\{1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ and let P be the matrix in (3.1). Then PDP^{-1} is a positive stochastic matrix with spectrum Λ and linear elementary divisors $(\lambda - 1), \dots, (\lambda - \lambda_n)$, counting multiplicities. Let

$$K = \{t_1, \dots, t_r\} \subset \{2, \dots, n - 1\},$$

$t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_r$, $r \leq n - 1$, where $(t_i, t_i + 1)$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, define the positions in D in which we desired to set 1. If $C = \sum_{i \in K} E_{i, i+1}$, then $A = PDP^{-1} + \epsilon PCP^{-1}$, where $\epsilon > 0$ is such that $(PDP^{-1})_{ij} + \epsilon(PCP^{-1})_{ij} \geq 0$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, is nonnegative, and since $D + \epsilon C$ and $D + C$ are diagonally similar (with $\text{diag}\{1, \epsilon, \epsilon^2, \dots, \epsilon^{n-1}\}$), then A has

JCF equal to $D + C$. Since $P\mathbf{e}_1 = \mathbf{e}$, the rows of P^{-1} satisfy (2.1) and $PCP^{-1}\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{0}$. Then $A \in \mathcal{CS}_1$. Thus, A is stochastic with the desired elementary divisors. \square

If in Theorem 3.2, we take $\epsilon > 0$ such that $(PDP^{-1})_{ij} + \epsilon(PCP^{-1})_{ij} > 0$, then we obtain a positive stochastic matrix with prescribed elementary divisors.

Now we observe that if C is the matrix

$$C = \sum_{i \in K} E_{i,i+1}, \quad K \subset \{2, 3, \dots, n-1\}$$

and

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathbf{e} & I_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad S^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\mathbf{e} & I_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.2)$$

then by (2.2) $SCS^{-1} \in \mathcal{CS}_0$ and it has the form

$$SCS^{-1} = \sum_{i \in K} (-E_{i1} + E_{i,i+1}) \quad (3.3)$$

In [16] Suleimanova announced and Perfect [12] proved that if $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ satisfies $\lambda_1 > 0 > \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$, then

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n \geq 0 \quad (3.4)$$

is a sufficient condition for the existence of an $n \times n$ nonnegative matrix A with spectrum Λ . The condition (3.4) is also necessary.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ with $\lambda_1 > 0 > \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$. Then there exists a nonnegative matrix $A \in \mathcal{CS}_{\lambda_1}$, with spectrum Λ and prescribed elementary divisors $(\lambda - \lambda_1), (\lambda - \lambda_2)^{n_2}, \dots, (\lambda - \lambda_k)^{n_k}$, if and only if $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n \geq 0$.*

Proof. Let $D = \text{diag}\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ and let $C = \sum_{i \in K} E_{i,i+1}$ with $K \subset \{2, \dots, n-1\}$, in such a way that $D + C$ be the prescribed JCF . Let S the matrix in (3.2). Then SCS^{-1} has the form (3.3) and

$$B = S(D + \lambda_2 C)S^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & & & & & & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & & & & & \\ \lambda_1 - \lambda_j - \lambda_2 & & \lambda_j & \lambda_2 & & & & & \\ \lambda_1 - \lambda_j & & & \lambda_j & \ddots & & & & \\ \lambda_1 - \lambda_{j+1} - \lambda_2 & & & & \lambda_{j+1} & \lambda_2 & & & \\ \vdots & & & & & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ \lambda_1 - \lambda_n & & & & & & & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$$

and $A = B + \mathbf{e}\mathbf{q}^T$. Since $|\operatorname{Re}\lambda_i| \geq |\operatorname{Im}\lambda_i|$, the entries in columns $2, 3, \dots, n$ of A are all nonnegative. In the first column, the entries in positions $(i, 1)$, $i = 2, \dots, p$, are of the form $\lambda_1 - \lambda_i + \sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i$ or they are of the form $\lambda_1 - \lambda_i + \sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i - \epsilon$. For the positions $(i, 1)$, $i = p+1, \dots, n$, the entries are of the form $\lambda_1 - \operatorname{Re}\lambda_{p+j} - \operatorname{Im}\lambda_{p+j} + \sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i$ or of the form $\lambda_1 - \operatorname{Re}\lambda_{p+j} - \operatorname{Im}\lambda_{p+j} + \sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i - \epsilon$. Since $\lambda_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i > 0$, $-\lambda_i > 0$, $i = 2, \dots, p$, and $-\operatorname{Re}\lambda_{p+j} - \operatorname{Im}\lambda_{p+j} \geq 0$, $j = 1, \dots, n-p$, then the entries on the first column of A are all nonnegative for $0 < \epsilon \leq \min\{-\lambda_2, \lambda_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i\}$. Thus, $A \in \mathcal{CS}_{\lambda_1}$ is nonnegative with spectrum Λ and by Lemma 3.1 it has the prescribed elementary divisors. \square

COROLLARY 3.5. Let $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ with

$$\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_p \geq 0 > \lambda_{p+1} \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n, \quad 2 \leq p < n.$$

If

$$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 - \sum_{j=p+1}^n \lambda_j, \tag{3.5}$$

when the nonnegative numbers $\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p$ are all distinct or if

$$\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 - \sum_{j=p+1}^n \lambda_j, \tag{3.6}$$

when some of the numbers $\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p$ has algebraic multiplicity $m > 1$, then there exists a nonnegative matrix $A \in \mathcal{CS}_{\lambda_1}$, with spectrum Λ and prescribed elementary divisors $(\lambda - \lambda_1), (\lambda - \lambda_2)^{n_2}, \dots, (\lambda - \lambda_k)^{n_k}$, $n_2 + \dots + n_k = n - 1$.

Proof. Let $D = \operatorname{diag}\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ and let S be the matrix in (3.2). Then by (2.2) $SDS^{-1} \in \mathcal{CS}_{\lambda_1}$. Let $C = \sum_{i \in K} E_{i, i+1}$, with $K \subset \{2, \dots, n-1\}$ in such a way that $B = SDS^{-1} + \epsilon SCS^{-1}$, $\epsilon > 0$, has JCF $D + C$ ($D + \epsilon C$ is similar to $D + C$). Let

$$\mathbf{q}^T = \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^n \lambda_j, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{p-1 \text{ times}}, -\lambda_{p+1}, \dots, -\lambda_n \right).$$

Then the entries of $A = B + \mathbf{e}\mathbf{q}^T$ in columns $2, 3, \dots, n$ are all nonnegative. The entries in positions $(i, 1)$, $2 \leq i \leq n$ on the first column of A are of the form

$$\lambda_1 - \lambda_i + \sum_{j=p+1}^n \lambda_j, \quad i \in \{2, \dots, n\}, \tag{3.7}$$

or they are of the form

$$\lambda_1 - \lambda_i - \epsilon + \sum_{j=p+1}^n \lambda_j, \quad i \in \{2, \dots, n\}. \tag{3.8}$$

Since

$$\lambda_1 - \lambda_i + \sum_{j=p+1}^n \lambda_j \geq \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + \sum_{j=p+1}^n \lambda_j \geq 0, \quad i = 2, \dots, n, \quad (3.9)$$

the second inequality being true from (3.5) and (3.6), then the entries of the form (3.7) are all nonnegative (whether the nonnegative numbers $\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p$ are distinct or not). However, for the entries of the form (3.8) we have two cases:

i) If $\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p$ are all distinct, then the entries of the form (3.8) appear only in certain positions $(i, 1)$, for $i = p + 1, \dots, n$. Since

$$\lambda_1 - \lambda_i + \sum_{j=p+1}^n \lambda_j \geq \lambda_1 - \lambda_{p+1} + \sum_{j=p+1}^n \lambda_j > \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + \sum_{j=p+1}^n \lambda_j,$$

$i = p + 1, \dots, n$, then from condition (3.5), we can choose

$$0 < \epsilon < \lambda_1 - \lambda_{p+1} + \sum_{j=p+1}^n \lambda_j \text{ such that } \lambda_1 - \lambda_i + \sum_{j=p+1}^n \lambda_j - \epsilon \geq 0.$$

ii) If some of the nonnegative eigenvalues $\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p$ are equal, then the entries of the form (3.8) can also appear in positions $(i, 1)$, for $i = 2, \dots, p$. In this case from (3.9)

$$\lambda_1 - \lambda_i + \sum_{j=p+1}^n \lambda_j - \epsilon \geq \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + \sum_{j=p+1}^n \lambda_j - \epsilon \geq 0$$

for

$$0 < \epsilon \leq \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + \sum_{j=p+1}^n \lambda_j,$$

which is condition (3.6). Thus, $A \in \mathcal{CS}_{\lambda_1}$ is nonnegative with spectrum Λ and the prescribed elementary divisors. \square

Observe that if $\lambda_1 \geq -\sum_{j=p+1}^n \lambda_j$, then we may split out the set $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ into $\Lambda_1 = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_{p+1}, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ and $\Lambda_2 = \{\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p\}$, and to use Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 to obtain a nonnegative matrix $A = A_1 \oplus A_2$ with spectrum $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2$ and prescribed elementary divisors $(\lambda - \lambda_1), (\lambda - \lambda_2)^{n_2}, \dots, (\lambda - \lambda_k)^{n_k}$, $n_2 + \dots + n_k = n - 1$, where A_1 and A_2 are nonnegative with spectrum Λ_1 and Λ_2 and $J(A) = J(A_1) \oplus J(A_2)$.

The following result gives a sufficient condition for the existence of a positive matrix with arbitrarily prescribed real elementary divisors $(\lambda - \lambda_1), (\lambda - \lambda_2)^{n_2}, \dots, (\lambda - \lambda_k)^{n_k}$, $n_2 + \dots + n_k = n - 1$. The proof is constructive, in the sense that we can always construct a solution matrix, and it combines results related to the *nonnegative inverse*

eigenvalue problem ([3], [15]) with results in Section 2. The result in [15] is recent and gives a symmetric version of a perturbation result, due to Rado and presented by Perfect in ([13], 1955). This symmetric version is used in [15] to obtain a new, more general, sufficient condition for the existence of a symmetric nonnegative matrix with prescribed spectrum. The result in [3] establishes that if $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ is the spectrum of a symmetric nonnegative matrix, with λ_1 being the Perron root, and $\epsilon > 0$, then $\Lambda_\epsilon = \{\lambda_1 + \epsilon, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ is the spectrum of a symmetric positive matrix.

THEOREM 3.6. *Let $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ be a list of real numbers and let $\Lambda_\delta = \{\lambda_1 - \delta, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ with $\lambda_1 - \delta \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ and $\delta > 0$. Suppose there exists:*

i) A partition of Λ_δ into r nonempty sublists

$$\Lambda_k = \{\lambda_{k1}, \lambda_{k2}, \dots, \lambda_{kp_k}\}, \lambda_{11} = \lambda_1 - \delta, \lambda_{k1} \geq 0; \lambda_{k1} \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{kp_k},$$

such that $\Gamma_k = \{\omega_k, \lambda_{k2}, \dots, \lambda_{kp_k}\}$, where $0 \leq \omega_k \leq \lambda_1 - \delta$, $k = 1, \dots, r$, is the spectrum of a $p_k \times p_k$ symmetric nonnegative matrix, and

ii) An $r \times r$ symmetric nonnegative matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_{11}, \lambda_{21}, \dots, \lambda_{r1}$ and diagonal entries $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_r$.

Then there exists an $n \times n$ positive matrix A with spectrum Λ and with any legitimately prescribed elementary divisors $(\lambda - \lambda_1), (\lambda - \lambda_2)^{n_2}, \dots, (\lambda - \lambda_k)^{n_k}, n_2 + \dots + n_k = n - 1$.

Proof. The list Λ_δ satisfies conditions of Theorem 3.1 in [15]. Then there exists an $n \times n$ symmetric nonnegative matrix B_δ with spectrum Λ_δ (B_δ can be computed from Theorem 3.1 in [15]). From the result in [3, Theorem 3.2] there exists an $n \times n$ symmetric positive matrix B with spectrum Λ (B can be computed from Theorem 3.2 in [3]). Since B is symmetric, it is diagonalizable and then, from the result in [9, Theorem 1] there exists an $n \times n$ positive matrix A with spectrum Λ and with the prescribed elementary divisors $(\lambda - \lambda_1), (\lambda - \lambda_2)^{n_2}, \dots, (\lambda - \lambda_k)^{n_k}, n_2 + \dots + n_k = n - 1$. \square

4. Examples.

EXAMPLE 4.1. Let $\Lambda = \{9, -2, -2, -1 + i, -1 + i, -1 - i, -1 - i\}$ be given. We shall construct a nonnegative matrix with spectrum Λ and elementary divisors $(\lambda - 9), (\lambda + 2)^2, (\lambda + 1 - i)^2, (\lambda + 1 + i)^2$. For S defined in (3.2), $C = E_{23} + E_{56}$ and $\epsilon = 1$,

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 B' &= S \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} S^{-1} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 11 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 11 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 11 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 9 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 11 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 9 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$B = B' + SCS^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 11 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 11 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 8 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 11 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 9 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$A = B + \mathbf{e}(-8, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

has the prescribed elementary divisors. We may also obtain nonnegative matrices with any other legitimately prescribed elementary divisors.

EXAMPLE 4.2. Let $(\lambda - 7.5)$, $(\lambda - 5)$, $(\lambda - 1)^2$, $(\lambda + 4)^2$, $(\lambda + 6)$ be given. Then, let $\Lambda = \{7.5, 5, 1, 1, -4, -4, -6\}$ and $\Gamma = \{7, 5, 1, 1, -4, -4, -6\}$. In ([15], Theorem 3.1, Example 5.2) it was shown that Γ is the spectrum of the symmetric nonnegative

matrix

$$B' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 6 & \frac{\sqrt{10}}{10} & \frac{\sqrt{10}}{10} & \frac{\sqrt{10}}{10} & \frac{\sqrt{10}}{10} & \frac{\sqrt{10}}{10} \\ 6 & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{10}}{10} & \frac{\sqrt{10}}{10} & \frac{\sqrt{10}}{10} & \frac{\sqrt{10}}{10} & \frac{\sqrt{10}}{10} \\ \frac{\sqrt{10}}{10} & \frac{\sqrt{10}}{10} & 0 & \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2} & \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2} & \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2} & \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{10}}{10} & \frac{\sqrt{10}}{10} & \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2} & 0 & \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2} & \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2} & \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{10}}{10} & \frac{\sqrt{10}}{10} & \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2} & \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2} & 0 & \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2} & \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{10}}{10} & \frac{\sqrt{10}}{10} & \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2} & \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2} & \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2} & 0 & \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{10}}{10} & \frac{\sqrt{10}}{10} & \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2} & \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2} & \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2} & \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since B' is irreducible, then for any $\delta > 0$, $B = B' + \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{t}\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^T$, where \mathbf{v} is the Perron eigenvector of B' , is a symmetric positive matrix with spectrum $\Lambda_\delta = \{7 + \delta, 5, 1, 1, -4, -4, -6\}$ and with any legitimately prescribed elementary divisors. In particular, for $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$ we have

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{8} & \frac{49}{8} & \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} & \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} & \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} & \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} & \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} \\ \frac{49}{8} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} & \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} & \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} & \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} & \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} \\ \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} & \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} & \frac{1}{20} & \frac{31+10\sqrt{5}}{20} & \frac{31-10\sqrt{5}}{20} & \frac{31-10\sqrt{5}}{20} & \frac{31+10\sqrt{5}}{20} \\ \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} & \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} & \frac{31+10\sqrt{5}}{20} & \frac{1}{20} & \frac{31+10\sqrt{5}}{20} & \frac{31-10\sqrt{5}}{20} & \frac{31-10\sqrt{5}}{20} \\ \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} & \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} & \frac{31-10\sqrt{5}}{20} & \frac{31+10\sqrt{5}}{20} & \frac{1}{20} & \frac{31+10\sqrt{5}}{20} & \frac{31-10\sqrt{5}}{20} \\ \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} & \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} & \frac{31-10\sqrt{5}}{20} & \frac{31-10\sqrt{5}}{20} & \frac{31+10\sqrt{5}}{20} & \frac{1}{20} & \frac{31+10\sqrt{5}}{20} \\ \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} & \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} & \frac{31+10\sqrt{5}}{20} & \frac{31-10\sqrt{5}}{20} & \frac{31-10\sqrt{5}}{20} & \frac{31+10\sqrt{5}}{20} & \frac{1}{20} \end{pmatrix}$$

and $S^{-1}BS = \text{diag}\{7.5, 5, 1, 1, -4, -4, -6\}$, where the columns of S are eigenvectors of B , is the *JCF* of B . If we take $C = E_{3,4} + E_{5,6}$, then the positive matrix $A = B + \frac{1}{20}SCS^{-1} =$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{8} & \frac{49}{8} & \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} & \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} & \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} & \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} & \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} \\ \frac{49}{8} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} & \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} & \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} & \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} & \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} \\ \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} & \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} & \frac{9+\sqrt{5}}{200} & \frac{309+101\sqrt{5}}{200} & \frac{157-49\sqrt{5}}{100} & \frac{309-101\sqrt{5}}{200} & \frac{309+97\sqrt{5}}{200} \\ \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} & \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} & \frac{311+99\sqrt{5}}{200} & \frac{11+\sqrt{5}}{200} & \frac{311+101\sqrt{5}}{200} & \frac{311-101\sqrt{5}}{200} & \frac{153-50\sqrt{5}}{100} \\ \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} & \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} & \frac{77-25\sqrt{5}}{50} & \frac{313+99\sqrt{5}}{200} & \frac{4-\sqrt{5}}{25} & \frac{154+51\sqrt{5}}{100} & \frac{313-99\sqrt{5}}{200} \\ \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} & \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} & \frac{315-99\sqrt{5}}{200} & \frac{305-103\sqrt{5}}{200} & \frac{31+10\sqrt{5}}{20} & \frac{1}{20} & \frac{155+51\sqrt{5}}{100} \\ \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} & \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{10} & \frac{307+99\sqrt{5}}{200} & \frac{156-49\sqrt{5}}{100} & \frac{307-101\sqrt{5}}{200} & \frac{78+25\sqrt{5}}{50} & \frac{3}{50} \end{pmatrix}$$

has the given elementary divisors $(\lambda - 7.5), (\lambda - 5), (\lambda - 1)^2, (\lambda + 4)^2, (\lambda + 6)$. We may also obtain nonnegative matrices with the following elementary divisors:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\lambda - 7.5), (\lambda - 5), (\lambda - 1), (\lambda - 1), (\lambda + 4), (\lambda + 4), (\lambda + 6) \\ &(\lambda - 7.5), (\lambda - 5), (\lambda - 1)^2, (\lambda + 4), (\lambda + 4), (\lambda + 6) \\ &(\lambda - 7.5), (\lambda - 5), (\lambda - 1), (\lambda - 1), (\lambda + 4)^2, (\lambda + 6). \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgment. We thank the referee for valuable comments that greatly improved the presentation of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Borobia, J. Moro, and R. Soto. Negativity compensation in the nonnegative inverse eigenvalue problem. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 393:73-89, 2004.
- [2] A. Brauer. Limits for the characteristic roots of a matrix IV: Applications to stochastic matrices. *Duke Math. J.*, 19:75-91, 1952.
- [3] M. Fiedler. Eigenvalues of nonnegative symmetric matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 9:119-142, 1974.
- [4] C. R. Johnson. Row stochastic matrices similar to doubly stochastic matrices. *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 10:113-130, 1981.
- [5] T. J. Laffey and H. Šmigoc. Nonnegative realization of spectra having negative real parts. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 416:148-159, 2006.
- [6] D. London. On diagonals of matrices doubly stochastically similar to a given matrix. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 244:305-340, 1996.
- [7] F. Lubeck. On the computation of elementary divisors of integer matrices. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 33:57-65, 2002.
- [8] C. Marijuán, M. Pisonero, and R. L. Soto. A map of sufficient conditions for the real nonnegative inverse eigenvalue problem. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 426:690-705, 2007.
- [9] H. Minc. Inverse elementary divisor problem for nonnegative matrices. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 83:665-669, 1981.
- [10] H. Minc. Inverse elementary divisor problem for doubly stochastic matrices. *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 11:121-131, 1982.
- [11] H. Minc. *Nonnegative Matrices*. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1988.
- [12] H. Perfect. Methods of constructing certain stochastic matrices. *Duke Math. J.*, 20:395-404, 1953.
- [13] H. Perfect. Methods of constructing certain stochastic matrices II. *Duke Math. J.*, 22:305-311, 1955.
- [14] R. L. Soto. Existence and construction of nonnegative matrices with prescribed spectrum. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 369:169-184, 2003.
- [15] R. L. Soto, O. Rojo, J. Moro, and A. Borobia. Symmetric nonnegative realization of spectra. *Electron. J. Linear Algebra*, 16:1-18, 2007.
- [16] H. Suleimanova. Stochastic matrices with real characteristic numbers. *Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 66:343-345, 1949.