

A NOTE ON A DISTANCE BOUND USING EIGENVALUES OF THE NORMALIZED LAPLACIAN MATRIX*

STEVE KIRKLAND[†]

Abstract. Let G be a connected graph, and let X and Y be subsets of its vertex set. A previously published bound is considered that relates the distance between X and Y to the eigenvalues of the normalized Laplacian matrix for G, the volumes of X and Y, and the volumes of their complements. A counterexample is given to the bound, and then a corrected version of the bound is provided.

Key words. Normalized Laplacian matrix, Eigenvalue, Distance.

AMS subject classifications. 05C50, 15A18.

1. Introduction. Suppose that G is a connected graph on n vertices; let A be its adjacency matrix, and let D denote the diagonal matrix of vertex degrees. The normalized Laplacian matrix for G, denoted \mathcal{L} , is given by $\mathcal{L} = I - D^{-\frac{1}{2}}AD^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. It turns out that \mathcal{L} is a positive semidefinite matrix, having 0 as a simple eigenvalue (see [1]). Denote the eigenvalues of \mathcal{L} by $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_{n-1}$. The relationship between the structural properties of G and the eigenvalues of \mathcal{L} has received much attention, and the monograph [1] provides a comprehensive survey of results on that subject.

Given two nonempty subsets X, Y of the vertex set of G, the *distance* between X and Y is defined as $d(X, Y) = \min\{d(x, y) | x \in X, y \in Y\}$, where for vertices x and y, d(x, y) is the length of a shortest path between x and y. The volume of X, denoted vol(X), is defined as the sum of the degrees of the vertices in X, while vol(G) denotes the sum of the degrees of all of the vertices in G. We use \overline{X} to denote the set of vertices not in X.

The following inequality relating d(X, Y) to the eigenvalues of \mathcal{L} , appears in [1].

ASSERTION 1.1. ([1], Theorem 3.1) Suppose that G is not a complete graph. Let X and Y be subsets of the vertex set of G with $X \neq Y, \overline{Y}$. Then we have

(1.1)
$$d(X,Y) \le \left\lceil \frac{\log \sqrt{\frac{vol(\overline{X})vol(\overline{Y})}{vol(X)vol(Y)}}}{\log \frac{\lambda_{n-1}+\lambda_1}{\lambda_{n-1}-\lambda_1}} \right\rceil.$$

Unfortunately, Assertion 1.1 is in error, as the following example shows.

EXAMPLE 1.2. Suppose that $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $H(p,q) = O_p \vee K_q$, where O_p is the graph on p vertices with no edges, and where $G_1 \vee G_2$ denotes the join of the graphs G_1 and G_2 . Evidently H(p,q) has p vertices of degree q and q vertices of degree p + q - 1. Let J denote an all-ones matrix (whose order is to be taken from

 $^{^{\}ast}$ Received by the editors 29 March 2007. Accepted for publication 5 August 2007. Handling Editor: Michael Neumann.

[†]Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Regina, Regina, Saskatchewan, S4S 0A2 Canada (kirkland@math.uregina.ca). Research partially supported by NSERC under grant number OGP0138251.



A Distance Bound

the context). The normalized Laplacian for H(p,q) is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} I & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{q(p+q-1)}}J \\ \hline \frac{-1}{\sqrt{q(p+q-1)}}J & \frac{p+q}{p+q-1}I - \frac{1}{p+q-1}J \end{bmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues are readily seen to be 0,1 (with multiplicity p-1), $\frac{p+q}{p+q-1}$ (with multiplicity q-1) and $1 + \frac{p}{p+q-1}$. Hence, for H(p,q) we have $\frac{\lambda_{n-1}+\lambda_1}{\lambda_{n-1}-\lambda_1} = 3 + \frac{2q-2}{p}$. Suppose that p is even. Let X denote a set of $\frac{p}{2}$ vertices of degree q, and let

Suppose that p is even. Let X denote a set of $\frac{p}{2}$ vertices of degree q, and let Y denote the set of the remaining $\frac{p}{2}$ vertices of degree q. Note that $X \neq \overline{Y}$ and that d(X,Y) = 2. We have $vol(X) = \frac{qp}{2} = vol(Y)$ and $vol(\overline{X}) = q(\frac{3p}{2} + q - 1) = vol(\overline{Y})$. Consequently, $\sqrt{\frac{vol(\overline{X})vol(\overline{Y})}{vol(X)vol(Y)}} = \frac{q(\frac{3p}{2} + q - 1)}{\frac{qp}{2}} = 3 + \frac{2q-2}{p}$. Hence we have $\left\lfloor \frac{\log \sqrt{\frac{vol(\overline{X})vol(\overline{Y})}{vol(X)vol(Y)}}{\log \frac{\lambda_{n-1}+\lambda_1}{n-1-\lambda_1}} \right\rfloor = 1 < 2 = d(X,Y)$, contrary to Assertion 1.1.

Our goal in this paper is to adapt the approach to Assertion 1.1 outlined in [1] so as to produce an amended upper bound on d(X, Y). It will transpire that only a minor modification of (1.1) is needed. Needless to say, the line of thought pursued in [1] is fundamental to the present work.

Henceforth, we take G to be a connected graph on n vertices, and we take X, Y to be nonempty subsets of its vertex set, such that $X \neq Y, \overline{Y}$. Let $\mathcal{L} = I - D^{\frac{-1}{2}}AD^{\frac{-1}{2}}$ be the normalized Laplacian matrix for G, where A is the adjacency matrix and D is the diagonal matrix of vertex degrees; denote the eigenvalues of \mathcal{L} by $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_{n-1}$, and let v_0, \ldots, v_{n-1} denote an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of \mathcal{L} , where for each j, v_j corresponds to λ_j . Let ψ_X denote the vector of order n with a 1 in the position corresponding to vertex i if $i \in X$ and a 0 there otherwise. We define ψ_Y analogously. Let 1 denote an all-ones vector of order n.

2. Amending the bound. We begin by analysing the argument in [1] advanced to support Assertion 1.1. We express $D^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi_X$ and $D^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi_Y$ as linear combinations of eigenvectors, say $D^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi_X = a_0v_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_iv_i$ and $D^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi_Y = b_0v_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b_iv_i$. Since $v_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{vol(G)}}D^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{1}$, it is straightforward to see that $a_0 = \frac{vol(X)}{\sqrt{vol(G)}}$ and $b_0 = \frac{vol(Y)}{\sqrt{vol(G)}}$.

Let $p_t(x) = (1 - \frac{2x}{\lambda_{n-1} + \lambda_1})^t$, and for each $t \in \mathbb{N}$, let $p_t(\mathcal{L})$ denote the matrix $(I - \frac{2}{\lambda_{n-1} + \lambda_1}\mathcal{L})^t$. The argument in [1] proceeds via the following approach: if for some $t \in \mathbb{N}$, the inner product $\langle D^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi_Y, p_t(\mathcal{L})D^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi_X \rangle$ is positive, then we can conclude that $d(X,Y) \leq t$. Note that for each $x \in [\lambda_1, \lambda_{n-1}], |p_t(x)| \leq \left(\frac{\lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_1}{\lambda_{n-1} + \lambda_1}\right)^t$. Observe that

$$< D^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi_Y, p_t(\mathcal{L})D^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi_X >= a_0b_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_t(\lambda_i)a_ib_i$$

(2.1)
$$\geq a_0 b_0 - \left(\frac{\lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_1}{\lambda_{n-1} + \lambda_1}\right)^t \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b_i^2}$$

205



206

S. Kirkland

$$= \frac{vol(X)vol(Y)}{vol(G)} - \left(\frac{\lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_1}{\lambda_{n-1} + \lambda_1}\right)^t \frac{\sqrt{vol(X)vol(\overline{X})vol(Y)vol(\overline{Y})}}{vol(G)}$$

At this point, it is stated in [1] (erroneously) that the inequality in (2.1) must be strict, since if equality were to hold, then there would be some constant c such that $b_i = ca_i$ for all i = 1, ..., n-1, which would then imply that either X = Y or $X = \overline{Y}$, contrary to hypothesis. (It turns that there are circumstances other than X = Y or $X = \overline{Y}$ under which strict inequality in (2.1) fails to hold, as illustrated by Example 1.2.) Under the assumption that (2.1) is strict, it is then enough to take

$$t \geq \frac{\log \sqrt{\frac{vol(\overline{X})vol(\overline{Y})}{vol(X)vol(Y)}}}{\log \frac{\lambda_{n-1} + \lambda_1}{\lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_1}}$$

in order to conclude that $\langle D^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi_Y, p_t(\mathcal{L})D^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi_X \rangle$ is strictly positive. Next, we discuss the case of equality in (2.1).

THEOREM 2.1. Suppose that $X \neq Y, \overline{Y}$, and let $c = \sqrt{\frac{vol(Y)vol(\overline{Y})}{vol(X)vol(\overline{X})}}$. Suppose that

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_t(\lambda_i) a_i b_i = -\left(\frac{\lambda_{n-1}-\lambda_1}{\lambda_{n-1}+\lambda_1}\right)^t \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b_i^2}.$ Then there are constants $\alpha, \beta,$ and unit eigenvectors w and u, corresponding to λ_1 and λ_{n-1} , respectively, such that

(2.2)
$$D^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi_X = a_0v_0 + \alpha w + \beta u, \text{ and}$$

(2.3)
$$D^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi_Y = b_0 v_0 - c\alpha w + c\beta u.$$

Further, t is odd. Proof: Since

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_t(\lambda_i) a_i b_i \ge -\left(\frac{\lambda_{n-1}-\lambda_1}{\lambda_{n-1}+\lambda_1}\right)^t \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |a_i| |b_i|$$

(2.4)
$$\geq -\left(\frac{\lambda_{n-1}-\lambda_1}{\lambda_{n-1}+\lambda_1}\right)^t \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b_i^2},$$

our hypothesis implies that equality must hold throughout (2.4). In particular, since equality holds in the second inequality of (2.4), there is a constant $\hat{c} \geq 0$ such that for each $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ either $b_i = \hat{c}a_i$ or $b_i = -\hat{c}a_i$. Since $X \neq Y, \overline{Y}$, it cannot be the case that $b_i = \hat{c}a_i$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$, nor can it be the case that $b_i = -\hat{c}a_i$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$. In particular, we see that \hat{c} must be positive.

Further, since equality holds in the first inequality of (2.4), we must also have $p_t(\lambda_i)a_ib_i = -\left(\frac{\lambda_{n-1}-\lambda_1}{\lambda_{n-1}+\lambda_1}\right)^t |a_i||b_i|$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$. Hence for each i such that $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_1, \lambda_{n-1}$, we have $a_i = b_i = 0$. Since $p_t(\lambda_1) = \left(\frac{\lambda_{n-1}-\lambda_1}{\lambda_{n-1}+\lambda_1}\right)^t$, we find that for each index i such that $\lambda_i = \lambda_1$, we must have $b_i = -\hat{c}a_i$. Also, since $p_t(\lambda_{n-1}) = (-1)^t \left(\frac{\lambda_{n-1}-\lambda_1}{\lambda_{n-1}+\lambda_1}\right)^t$, and since there is at least one index i such that $\lambda_i = \lambda_{n-1}$ and



A Distance Bound

 $b_i = \hat{c}a_i \neq 0$, we find that t must be odd. It now follows that for every i such that $\lambda_i = \lambda_{n-1}$, we have $b_i = \hat{c}a_i$.

Consequently, there is a λ_1 -eigenvector w of norm 1 and a λ_{n-1} -eigenvector u of norm 1 and constants α, β such that $D^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi_X = a_0v_0 + \alpha w + \beta u$ and $D^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi_Y = b_0v_0 - \hat{c}\alpha w + \hat{c}\beta u$. Note that $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$, otherwise it follows that either X = Y or $X = \overline{Y}$. It is straightforward to determine that $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = \frac{vol(X)vol(\overline{X})}{vol(G)}$ and $\hat{c}^2\alpha^2 + \hat{c}^2\beta^2 = \frac{vol(Y)vol(\overline{Y})}{vol(G)}$, which yields $\hat{c} = \sqrt{\frac{vol(Y)vol(\overline{Y})}{vol(\overline{X})}} = c$.

REMARK 2.2. Suppose that $X \cap Y = \emptyset$, and that (2.2) and (2.3) hold. Since $\langle D^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi_X, D^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi_Y \rangle = 0$, we have $a_0b_0 - c(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) = 0$. Substituting our expressions for a_0 and b_0 yields $\alpha^2 - \beta^2 = \frac{vol(X)vol(Y)}{vol(G)} \sqrt{\frac{vol(X)vol(\overline{X})}{vol(Y)vol(\overline{Y})}}$. As noted in the proof of Theorem 2.1, $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = \frac{vol(X)vol(\overline{X})}{vol(G)}$, and so we find that $\alpha^2 = \frac{vol(X)vol(\overline{X})}{2vol(G)} \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{vol(X)vol(\overline{Y})}{vol(\overline{X})vol(\overline{Y})}}\right)$ and $\beta^2 = \frac{vol(X)vol(\overline{X})}{2vol(G)} \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{vol(X)vol(\overline{Y})}{vol(\overline{X})vol(\overline{Y})}}\right)$. In particular, $\alpha^2 > \beta^2$.

Since X and Y are disjoint, it follows that d(X,Y) is the minimum $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\langle D^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi_Y, \mathcal{L}^k D^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi_X \rangle \neq 0$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\langle D^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi_Y, \mathcal{L}^k D^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi_X \rangle = -c\alpha^2\lambda_1^k + c\beta^2\lambda_{n-1}^k$. If $d(X,Y) \neq 1$, then we have $-c\alpha^2\lambda_1 + c\beta^2\lambda_{n-1} = 0$, so that $\lambda_1 = \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^2}\lambda_{n-1}$. Hence $-c\alpha^2\lambda_1^2 + c\beta^2\lambda_{n-1}^2 = c\lambda_{n-1}^2\frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^2}(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) > 0$. Thus, if $d(X,Y) \neq 1$ then necessarily d(X,Y) = 2, or equivalently, $d(X,Y) \leq 2$.

We are now able to provide an upper bound on d(X, Y) that serves as a corrected version of Assertion 1.1. From the bound below, we see that in fact (1.1) can only fail when $\sqrt{\frac{vol(\overline{X})vol(\overline{Y})}{vol(X)vol(Y)}} \leq \frac{\lambda_{n-1}+\lambda_1}{\lambda_{n-1}-\lambda_1}$. THEOREM 2.3. Suppose that G is not a complete graph. Let X and Y be subsets

THEOREM 2.3. Suppose that G is not a complete graph. Let X and Y be subsets of the vertex set of G with $X \neq Y, \overline{Y}$. Then $d(X,Y) \leq \max\{\left\lceil \frac{\log \sqrt{\frac{vol(\overline{X})vol(\overline{Y})}{vol(X)vol(Y)}}}{\log \frac{\lambda_{n-1}+\lambda_1}{\lambda_{n-1}-\lambda_1}} \right\rceil, 2\}$. Proof: Let $t = \left\lceil \frac{\log \sqrt{\frac{vol(\overline{X})vol(\overline{Y})}{vol(X)vol(Y)}}}{\log \frac{\lambda_{n-1}+\lambda_1}{\lambda_{n-1}-\lambda_1}} \right\rceil$. If $t > \frac{\log \sqrt{\frac{vol(\overline{X})vol(\overline{Y})}{vol(X)vol(Y)}}}{\log \frac{\lambda_{n-1}+\lambda_1}{\lambda_{n-1}-\lambda_1}}$, then it follows from (2.1) that $< D^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi_Y, p_t(\mathcal{L})D^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi_X >> 0$, and hence that $d(X,Y) \leq t$.

Henceforth we assume that the integer t is equal to $\frac{\log \sqrt{\frac{vol(\overline{X})vol(\overline{Y})}{vol(X)vol(Y)}}}{\log \frac{\lambda_{n-1}+\lambda_1}{\lambda_{n-1}-\lambda_1}}$. If strict inequality holds in (2.1), then again we conclude that $d(X,Y) \leq t$. On the other hand, if equality holds in (2.1), then from Theorem 2.1 and Remark 2.2, we have $d(X,Y) \leq 2$. The conclusion now follows.

REFERENCES

 F. Chung. Spectral Graph Theory. CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics 92, AMS, Providence, 1997.

207