

BOUNDS FOR THE SPECTRAL RADIUS OF BLOCK H-MATRICES*

WEI ZHANG[†] AND ZHENG-ZHI HAN[†]

Abstract. Simple upper bounds for the spectral radius of an H-matrix and a block H-matrix are presented. They represent an improvement over the bounds in [T.Z. Huang, R.S. Ran, A simple estimation for the spectral radius of (block) H-matrices, Journal of Computational Applied Mathematics, 177 (2005), pp. 455–459].

Key words. Spectral radius, H-matrices, Block H-matrices.

AMS subject classifications. 65F10, 65F15.

1. Introduction. H-matrices have important applications in many fields, such as numerical analysis, control theory, and mathematical physics. Recently, Huang and Ran [5] have presented a simple upper bound for the spectral radius of (block) H-matrices. In this paper, we give some new upper bounds.

A square complex or real matrix A is called an *H-matrix* if there exists a square positive diagonal matrix X such that AX is strictly diagonally dominant (SDD) [5]. Let $\mathbb{C}^{n,n}$ ($\mathbb{R}^{n,n}$) denote the set of $n \times n$ complex (real) matrices. If $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{C}^{n,n}$, we write $|A| = [|a_{ij}|]$, where $|a_{ij}|$ is the modulus of a_{ij} . We denote by $\rho(A)$ the spectral radius of A , which is just the radius of the smallest disc centered at the origin in the complex plane that includes all the eigenvalues of A (see [4, Def. 1.1.4]).

Throughout the paper, we let $\|\cdot\|$ denote a consistent family of norms on matrices of all sizes, which satisfies the following four axioms:

- (1) $\|A\| \geq 0$, and $\|A\| = 0$ if and only if $A = 0$;
- (2) $\|cA\| = |c| \|A\|$ for all complex scalars c ;
- (3) $\|A + B\| \leq \|A\| + \|B\|$, where A and B are in the same size; and
- (4) $\|AB\| \leq \|A\| \|B\|$ provided that AB is defined.

Axioms (1) and (4) ensure that $\|I\| \geq 1$, where I is the identity matrix. For example, the Frobenius norm $\|\cdot\|_F$, 1-norm $\|\cdot\|_1$, and ∞ -norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ (see e.g., [4, Chap. 5]) are all consistent families of norms.

Let $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{C}^{n,n}$ be partitioned in the following form

$$(1.1) \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & \cdots & A_{1k} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & \cdots & A_{2k} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ A_{k1} & A_{k2} & \cdots & A_{kk} \end{pmatrix}$$

*Received by the editors 24 August 2006. Accepted for publication 12 October 2006. Handling Editor: Roger A. Horn.

[†]Department of Automation, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, 200030, P. R. China (avee@sjtu.edu.cn).

in which $A_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}^{n_i, n_j}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k n_i = n$. If each diagonal block A_{ii} is nonsingular and

$$\|A_{ii}^{-1}\|^{-1} > \sum_{j \neq i} \|A_{ij}\| \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, k,$$

then A is said to be *block strictly diagonally dominant with respect to $\|\cdot\|$* (BSDD) [3]; if there exist positive numbers x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k such that

$$x_i \|A_{ii}^{-1}\|^{-1} > \sum_{j \neq i} x_j \|A_{ij}\| \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, k,$$

then A is said to be *block H-matrix with respect to $\|\cdot\|$* [6].

THEOREM 1.1. ([5]) Let $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{C}^{n,n}$. If A is an H-matrix, then

$$(1.2) \quad \rho(A) < 2 \max_i |a_{ii}|.$$

THEOREM 1.2. ([5]) Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n,n}$ be partitioned as in (1.1). Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a consistent family of norms. If A is a block H-matrix with respect to $\|\cdot\|$, then

$$(1.3) \quad \rho(A) < \max_i \left\{ \|A_{ii}\| + \|A_{ii}^{-1}\|^{-1} \right\}.$$

2. Main results. In this section, we present some new bounds for the spectral radius of an H-matrix and a block H-matrix, respectively. We need the following two lemmas.

LEMMA 2.1. ([4, Thm 8.1.18]) Let $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{C}^{n,n}$. Then $\rho(A) \leq \rho(|A|)$.

LEMMA 2.2. ([1]) Let $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$ be a nonnegative matrix. Then

$$\rho(A) \leq \max_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ a_{ii} + a_{jj} + \left[(a_{ii} - a_{jj})^2 + 4 \sum_{k \neq i} a_{ik} \sum_{k \neq j} a_{jk} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}.$$

The following is one of the main results of this paper.

THEOREM 2.3. Let $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{C}^{n,n}$ be an H-matrix. Then

$$(2.1) \quad \rho(A) < \max_{i \neq j} (|a_{ii}| + |a_{jj}|) \leq 2 \max_i |a_{ii}|.$$

Proof. Let $X = \text{diag}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ be a square positive diagonal matrix such that AX is SDD. Then $X^{-1}AX$ is also SDD, i.e.,

$$|a_{ii}| = |X^{-1}AX|_{ii} > \sum_{j \neq i} |X^{-1}AX|_{ij} = \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{|a_{ij}|x_j}{x_i} \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

The spectral radii of A and $X^{-1}AX$ are equal since the two matrices are similar. Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 ensure that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(A) &= \rho(X^{-1}AX) \leq \rho(|X^{-1}AX|) \\ &\leq \max_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ |a_{ii}| + |a_{jj}| + \left[(|a_{ii}| - |a_{jj}|)^2 + 4 \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{|a_{ik}|x_k}{x_i} \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{|a_{jk}|x_k}{x_j} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \\ &< \max_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ |a_{ii}| + |a_{jj}| + \left[(|a_{ii}| - |a_{jj}|)^2 + 4|a_{ii}||a_{jj}| \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \\ &= \max_{i \neq j} (|a_{ii}| + |a_{jj}|) \leq 2 \max_i |a_{ii}|. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

We now consider block H-matrices. The following lemma was stated in [2] but the proof offered there is not correct.

LEMMA 2.4. ([2]) *Let $A = [A_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$ be a nonnegative block matrix of the form (1.1). Let $B = [||A_{ij}||]$, where $\|\cdot\|$ is a consistent family of norms. Then*

$$(2.2) \quad \rho(A) \leq \rho(B).$$

Proof. First we assume that A is a positive matrix. By Perron's Theorem [4, Thm 8.2.11], $\rho(A)$ is an eigenvalue of A corresponding to a positive eigenvector x , i.e.,

$$Ax = \rho(A)x, \quad x > 0.$$

Partition $x^T = (x_1^T, \dots, x_k^T)$, where each $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, k$. Let $z_i = \|x_i\|$. Define $z := (z_1, \dots, z_k)^T \in \mathbb{R}^k$, so $z > 0$ and for all $1 \leq i \leq k$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^k A_{ij}x_j = \rho(A)x_i,$$

which implies

$$\rho(A)z_i = \rho(A)\|x_i\| = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^k A_{ij}x_j \right\| \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \|A_{ij}\| \|x_j\| = \sum_{j=1}^k \|A_{ij}\| z_j.$$

Since the inequality $\rho(A)z_i \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \|A_{ij}\| z_j$ holds for all $i = 1, \dots, k$, we have

$$\rho(A)z \leq Bz.$$

Since B is nonnegative and $z > 0$, we obtain $\rho(A) \leq \rho(B)$ [4, Cor. 8.1.29].

Next we show the inequality (2.2) holds for all nonnegative matrices A . For any given $\varepsilon > 0$, define $A(\varepsilon) := [a_{ij} + \varepsilon]$ and let $B(\varepsilon) := [||A_{ij}(\varepsilon)||]$. Since every $A_{ij}(\varepsilon)$ is positive, therefore $\rho(A(\varepsilon)) \leq \rho(B(\varepsilon))$. By the continuity of $\rho(\cdot)$, we have

$$\rho(A) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \rho(A(\varepsilon)) \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \rho(B(\varepsilon)) = \rho(B). \quad \square$$

THEOREM 2.5. *Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n,n}$ be partitioned as in (1.1). Suppose A is a block H -matrix with respect to a consistent family of norms $\|\cdot\|$. Then*

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho(A) &< \max_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \|A_{ii}\| + \|A_{jj}\| + \left[(\|A_{ii}\| - \|A_{jj}\|)^2 + 4 \|A_{ii}^{-1}\|^{-1} \|A_{jj}^{-1}\|^{-1} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \\ &\leq \max_{i \neq j} (\|A_{ii}\| + \|A_{jj}\|). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k be positive numbers such that

$$x_i \|A_{ii}^{-1}\|^{-1} > \sum_{j \neq i} x_j \|A_{ij}\| \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

Let $X = \text{diag}(x_1 I_{n_1}, x_2 I_{n_2}, \dots, x_k I_{n_k})$. Then AX is BSDD. Let

$$B = X^{-1}AX = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & \frac{x_2}{x_1} A_{11} & \cdots & \frac{x_n}{x_1} A_{1k} \\ \frac{x_1}{x_2} A_{21} & A_{22} & \cdots & \frac{x_n}{x_2} A_{2k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{x_1}{x_n} A_{k1} & \frac{x_2}{x_n} A_{k2} & \cdots & A_{kk} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $B = [B_{ij}]$ is also BSDD. Let $C = [\|B_{ij}\|] \in \mathbb{R}^{k,k}$. Then Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.4 ensure that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(A) &= \rho(B) \leq \rho(C) \\ &\leq \max_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \|A_{ii}\| + \|A_{jj}\| + \left[(\|A_{ii}\| - \|A_{jj}\|)^2 + 4 \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{\|A_{ik}\| x_k}{x_i} \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\|A_{jk}\| x_k}{x_j} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \\ &< \max_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \|A_{ii}\| + \|A_{jj}\| + \left[(\|A_{ii}\| - \|A_{jj}\|)^2 + 4 \|A_{ii}^{-1}\|^{-1} \|A_{jj}^{-1}\|^{-1} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have $1 \leq \|I\| = \|A_{ii} A_{ii}^{-1}\| \leq \|A_{ii}\| \|A_{ii}^{-1}\|$, so $\|A_{ii}^{-1}\|^{-1} \leq \|A_{ii}\|$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &\|A_{ii}\| + \|A_{jj}\| + \left[(\|A_{ii}\| - \|A_{jj}\|)^2 + 4 \|A_{ii}^{-1}\|^{-1} \|A_{jj}^{-1}\|^{-1} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \|A_{ii}\| + \|A_{jj}\| + \left[(\|A_{ii}\| - \|A_{jj}\|)^2 + 4 \|A_{ii}\| \|A_{jj}\| \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= 2 (\|A_{ii}\| + \|A_{jj}\|). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 2.6. Without loss of generality, for given $i \neq j$, assume that

$$\|A_{ii}\| + \|A_{ii}^{-1}\|^{-1} \geq \|A_{jj}\| + \|A_{jj}^{-1}\|^{-1}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|A_{ii}\| + \|A_{jj}\| + \left[(\|A_{ii}\| - \|A_{jj}\|)^2 + 4\|A_{ii}^{-1}\|^{-1}\|A_{jj}^{-1}\|^{-1} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \leq \|A_{ii}\| + \|A_{jj}\| + \left[(\|A_{ii}\| - \|A_{jj}\|)^2 + 4\|A_{ii}^{-1}\|^{-1} \left(\|A_{ii}\| + \|A_{ii}^{-1}\|^{-1} - \|A_{jj}\| \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & = \|A_{ii}\| + \|A_{jj}\| + \left[\left(\|A_{ii}\| - \|A_{jj}\| + 2\|A_{ii}^{-1}\|^{-1} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & = \|A_{ii}\| + \|A_{jj}\| + \left| \left(\|A_{ii}\| + \|A_{ii}^{-1}\|^{-1} - \|A_{jj}\| \right) + \|A_{ii}^{-1}\|^{-1} \right| \\
 & = \|A_{ii}\| + \|A_{jj}\| + \left(\|A_{ii}\| - \|A_{jj}\| + 2\|A_{ii}^{-1}\|^{-1} \right) \\
 & = 2 \left(\|A_{ii}\| + \|A_{ii}^{-1}\|^{-1} \right) \leq 2 \max_i \left(\|A_{ii}\| + \|A_{ii}^{-1}\|^{-1} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the first bound in (2.3) is at least as good as the bound (1.2).

Example. Consider the block matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 & \vdots & 1.5 & 0.5 \\ -2 & 6 & \vdots & 1 & -0.5 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 1 & 0 & \vdots & 1 & 0 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 & \vdots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and the norms $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. Then A is a block H-matrix with spectral radius 7.2152. The bound in Theorem 1.2 is $\rho(A) \leq 10.5$. The bound in Theorem 2.5 is $\rho(A) \leq 8.34$.

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to thank the handling editor Roger A. Horn and an anonymous referee for their helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Brauer. Limits for the characteristic roots of a matrix, II. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 14:21–26, 1947.
- [2] M. Q. Chen and X. Z. Li. An estimation of the spectral radius of a product of block matrices. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 379:267–275, 2004.
- [3] D. G. Feingold and R. S. Varga. Block diagonally dominant matrices and generalizations of the Gerschgorin circle theorem. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 12:1241–1249, 1962.
- [4] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson. *Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985.
- [5] T. Z. Huang and R. S. Ran. A simple estimation for the spectral radius of (block) H-matrices. *Journal of Computational Applied Mathematics*, 177:455–459, 2005.
- [6] B. Polman. Incomplete blockwise factorizations of (block) H-matrices. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 90:119–132, 1987.