

# FOCAL POWER\*

#### ROBERT E. HARTWIG<sup>†</sup> AND JOHN MAROULAS<sup>‡</sup>

**Abstract.** The properties of a  $2 \times 2$  block matrix M over a field for which  $(M^k)_{11} = (M)_{11}^k$  are examined. This "fire-wall" property will be characterized by the vanishing of the sequence of moments  $F_i = CD^i B$ .

Key words. Matrix powers, Polynomials, (1,1) blocks.

AMS subject classifications. 15A24, 15A09, 15A57.

**1. Background material.** Let  $M = \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{bmatrix}$  be a square matrix over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ , with square diagonal blocks. Suppose further that  $M^k = \begin{bmatrix} A_k & C_k \\ B_k & D_k \end{bmatrix}$ . Then the recurrence relation for the block can be obtained from

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{k+1} & C_{k+1} \\ B_{k+1} & D_{k+1} \end{bmatrix} = M^{k+1} = MM^k = \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_k & C_k \\ B_k & D_k \end{bmatrix} = M^k M,$$

which gives

(1.1)   
(i) 
$$A_{k+1} = AA_k + CB_k = A_kA + C_kB \quad (A_0 = I)$$
  
(ii)  $B_{k+1} = BA_k + DB_k = B_kA + D_kB \quad (B_0 = 0)$   
(iii)  $C_{k+1} = AC_k + CD_k = A_kC + C_kD \quad (C_0 = 0)$   
(iv)  $D_{k+1} = BC_k + DD_k = B_kC + D_kD \quad (D_0 = I).$ 

We call M "focused on the (1,1) position" or (1,1)-focused, if  $(M^k)_{11} = (M)_{11}^k$  for all  $k = 1, 2, \ldots$  Examples of this are block triangular matrices. In this note we shall be characterizing this property. Our first observation is that if we think of a matrix as a relation, then matrix multiplication corresponds to the composition of relations. This is best addressed by using the digraph associated with M that has weights  $m_{ij}$  attached to the arc (edge)  $(v_i, v_j)$  from node  $v_i$  to node  $v_j$ . We may loosely speaking, think of  $m_{ij}$  as the "flow of information" from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$ . The entry  $(M^k)_{ij}$  is represented by the sum of all k-step path products from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$  and as such represents the total flow of information from  $v_i$  to node  $v_j$ . When we partition our matrix M as  $\begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{bmatrix}$  then we partition the nodes accordingly into two classes  $V_1 = \{S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_m\}$  and  $V_2 = \{T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_n\}$  (often called condensed nodes). Moreover the weight of the arcs  $(S_i, S_j), (S_i, T_k), (T_k, T_q), (T_q, S_j)$  are respectively given by  $a_{ij}, c_{ik}, d_{kq}$  and  $b_{qj}$ .

<sup>\*</sup> Received by the editors 18 February 2004. Accepted for publication 11 January 2005. Handling Editor: Ravindra B. Bapat.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695-8205 USA (hartwig@math.ncsu.edu).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Department of Mathematics, National Technical University of Athens, Zografou Campus, Athens, Greece (maroulas@math.ntua.gr).



## Focal Power

We can now think of block matrix multiplication as representing the total flow of information between the condensed nodes  $V_i$  corresponding to the diagonal block entries.

In particular the block entry  $(M^k)_{11}$  represents the flow of information from  $V_1$  into  $V_1$  after a k-fold application of the linear map M. That is, the flow of information from  $S_i$  into  $S_j$ , for any (i, j).

The statement that M is (1,1)-focussed precisely means that **no** information flows from  $V_1$  into  $V_1$  via  $V_2$ , for any repeated application of the relation M.

The basic fact that we shall show is that for two block rows, the (1,1) focal property occurs precisely when all the moments  $F_i = CD^i B$  vanish! That is when

$$(CD^rB)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{q=1}^n c_{ik}(D^r)_{kq} b_{qj} = 0$$
 for all  $i = 1, \dots, m, \ j = 1, \dots, n.$ 

Alternatively we may think of the (1,1)-focal property as corresponding to a "firewall" around the node  $V_1$ . The analogy that comes to mind are the two sides of the brain, for which in certain cases, no information flows from the right side into the left side (all the neurons leading into the left half have been cut).

When we have more than two block rows, we may likewise define  $M = [A_{ij}]$  to be (k, k) focussed or to be focussed on any principal sub block matrix of M. The diagram given below illustrates this idea



FIG. 1.1. Basic Flow for a 2 x 2 block matrix

We shall also need the moments  $E_i = BA^iC$ , i = 1, 2, ..., which represent the flow of information into the second node  $V_2$ . When A is nonsingular we may also define  $E_{-i} = BA^{-i}C$ , i = 1, 2, ... A key role in all of this is played by the cornered matrices

$$\Gamma_k(A, C, D) = A^{k-1}C + A^{k-2}CD + \ldots + CD^{k-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} A^i CD^{k-i-1}.$$

Throughout this note, the minimal and characteristic polynomials of M will be denoted by  $\psi_M(\lambda)$  and  $\Delta_A(\lambda)$  respectively, the Drazin inverse of M is denoted by  $M^D$  (it is always a polynomial in M), and the index of a square matrix M is denoted by in(M). When in(M) = 0 or 1, the Drazin inverse reduces to the group inverse, which will be denoted by  $M^{\#}$ . The range, nullspace and nullity of a matrix M will



be denoted by R(M), N(M) and  $\nu(M)$  respectively. If  $M = [A_{ij}]$  is an  $n \times n$  block matrix we denote the leading  $k \times k$  block matrix,  $[A_{ij}]$ ,  $i, j = 1, \ldots, k$ , by  $M_k$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $M = \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{bmatrix}$  be a square block matrix with A and D square and  $M^k = \begin{bmatrix} A_k & C_k \\ B_k & D_k \end{bmatrix}$ . Then

(a) the following are equivalent:

1.  $A_k = A^k$  for all k = 1, 2, ...2.  $A_{k+1} = AA_k$  for all k = 0, 1, ...3.  $CB_k = 0$  for all k = 0, 1, ...4.  $F_k = CD^kB = 0$  for all k = 0, 1, ...5.  $C_kB = 0$  for all k = 0, 1, ...6.  $C \operatorname{adj} (\lambda I - D)B = 0.$ 

 ${\it In which \ case,}$ 

(b)  

$$1 \quad B_{L} = \Gamma_{L} (D \ B)$$

1. 
$$B_k = \Gamma_k(D, B, A)$$
  
2.  $C_k = \Gamma_k(A, C, D)$  and

~. 3.

$$(1.2) \qquad D_{k} = D^{k} + \sum_{i=2}^{k} \sum_{r=0}^{i-2} D^{r} (BA^{k-i}C) D^{i-2-r}$$
$$= D^{k} + [I, D, \dots, D^{k-2}] \begin{bmatrix} E_{k-2} & E_{k-3} & E_{0} \\ E_{k-3} & E_{0} & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots \\ E_{0} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I \\ D \\ \vdots \\ D^{k-2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof.

(1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2). Clear since  $A_1 = A$  is the initial condition.

 $(2) \Leftrightarrow (3)$ . Clear from (1.1)-(i).

(3) $\Leftrightarrow$  (4). To do this let us first solve for  $CB_k$  in term of the  $F_k$  and  $A_k$ .

From this expression it is clear that when all the moments  $E_i$  vanish, then no information will flows into  $V_2$ , i.e.,  $D_k = D^k$  for all k = 1, 2, ...

The following lemma is needed before we proceed with the proof of the theorem. Lemma 1.2. If  $B_{k+1} = BA_k + DB_k$ , then

(1.3) 
$$CB_k = F_0 A_{k-1} + F_1 A_{k-2} + \ldots + F_{k-2} A_1 + F_{k-1}$$

and

(1.4) 
$$A_{k+1} = AA_k + F_0A_{k-1} + F_1A_{k-2} + \ldots + F_{k-2}A_1 + F_{k-1},$$

which is the recurrence relation for the (1,1) blocks  $A_k$ .



## Focal Power

Proof of lemma. It is easily seen by induction that

$$CB_k = F_0A_{k-1} + F_1A_{k-2} + \ldots + F_{s-1}A_{k-s} + CD^sB_{k-s}$$
 for  $s = 0, 1, \ldots, k-1$ .

Indeed, for s = 0 this is clear. So assuming this is valid for s, we have  $CB_k = F_0A_{k-1}+F_1A_{k-2}+\ldots+F_{s-1}A_{k-s}+CD^s(BA_{k-s-1}+DB_{k-s-1}) = F_0A_{k-1}+F_1A_{k-2}+\ldots+F_sA_{k-s-1}+CD^{s+1}B_{k-s-1}$ , which establishes the validity for  $s+1,\ldots$ Setting s = k-1 yields (1.3). The rest is clear.  $\Box$ 

In block matrix from this gives

(1.5) 
$$\begin{bmatrix} CB_1\\ CB_2\\ \vdots\\ CB_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0\\ A_1 & I & \\\vdots & \ddots & \\ A_{k-2} & \cdots & A_1 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F_0\\ F_1\\ \vdots\\ F_{k-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

From this it follows at once that  $CB_k = 0$  for all k = 0, 1, 2... iff  $F_i = 0$  for all i = 0, 1, ... By  $B \leftrightarrow C$  symmetry it also follows that  $C_m B = 0$  iff  $F_i = 0$  for all i = 0, 1, ...

(4)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (6). Recall that if D is  $m \times m$  has characteristic polynomial,  $\Delta_D(\lambda) = d_0 + d_1\lambda + \ldots + \lambda^m$ , then  $\operatorname{adj}(\lambda I - D) = D_0 + D_1\lambda + \ldots + D_{m-1}\lambda^{m-1}$  with  $D_{m-1} = I$ . Consequently

$$C \operatorname{adj} (\lambda I - D)B = CD_0B + (CD_1B)\lambda + \ldots + (CB)\lambda^{m-1}.$$

1 -

The matrices  $D_i = f_i(D)$  are the *adjoint* polynomials of D, which are given by

(1.6) 
$$[f_0(x), f_1(x), ..., f_{m-1}(x)] = [1, x, ..., x^{m-1}](H \otimes I),$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} d_1 & d_2 & \cdots & d_m \end{bmatrix}$$

where 
$$H = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_m \\ d_2 & d_3 & \cdots & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ d_m & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
. This means that  

$$[CD_0B, CD_1B, \dots, CD_{m-1}B] = C[D_0, D_1, \dots, D_{m-1}](I_m \otimes B)$$

$$= C[I, D, \dots, D^{m-1}](H \otimes I)(I \otimes B) = C[I, D, \dots, D^{m-1}](I \otimes B)(H \otimes I)$$

 $= [F_0, F_1, \ldots, F_{m-1}](H \otimes I).$ 

From this we see that  $C \operatorname{adj} (\lambda I - D)B = 0$  iff  $CD_iB = 0$  for  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, m$  iff  $F_i = 0$  for all i.

Let us next turn to expressions for the blocks  $B_k$  and  $C_k$ , for which we again use induction.

It is clear that  $B_1 = B = \Gamma_1(D, B, A)$ . So let us assume that  $B_r = \Gamma_r(D, B, A)$ , for  $r \leq k$ . Then  $B_{k+1} = BA_k + DB_k = BA^k + D(D^{k-1}B + D^{k-2}BA + ... + BA^{k-1}) = \Gamma_{k+1}(D, B, A)$ , as desired.



R.E. Hartwig and J. Maroulas

Likewise  $C_1 = C = \Gamma_1(A, C, D)$  and  $C_{k+1} = A_k C + C_k D = A^k C + (A^{k-1}C + A^{k-2}CD + \ldots + CD^{k-1})D = \Gamma_{k+1}(A, C, D).$ 

Lastly, let us show by induction that (1.2) holds. Let  $X_k$  denote the RHS of equation (1.2). Since  $D_2 = BC + D^2$  we see that (1.2) holds for k = 2. Now  $D_{k+1} = BC_k + DD_k = B(A^{k-1}C + \ldots + CD^{k-1}) + D^{k+1} + \sum_{i=2}^k \sum_{r=0}^{i-2} D^{r+1}(BA^{k-i}C)D^{i-2-r}$ .

Setting j = i + 1, reduces the last sum to

$$\sum_{j=3}^{k+1} \sum_{r=0}^{j-1} D^{r+1} (BA^{k-j+1}C) D^{j-r-3}.$$

Next we set s = r + 1, giving

$$\sum_{j=3}^{k+1} \sum_{s=1}^{j} D^{s}(BA^{k-j+1}C)D^{j-s-2}.$$

The term with j = 2 is empty because j - 2 - s will be negative. Likewise the terms with s = j - 1 or s = j will be absent. We may thus write the sum as

$$\sum_{j=2}^{k+1} \sum_{s=1}^{j-2} D^s (BA^{(k+1)-j}C) D^{j-s-2}$$

The term with s = 0 is precisely  $BA^{k-1}C + \ldots + BCD^{k-1}$ , and so we end up with

$$D^{k+1} + \sum_{j=2}^{k+1} \sum_{s=0}^{j-2} D^s (BA^{(k+1)-j}C) D^{j-s-2},$$

which precisely equals  $X_{k+1}$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\Box$ 

We note that we may also write  $D_k$  in terms of chains as

(1.7) 
$$D_k = D^k + [B, DB, \dots, D^{k-2}B] \begin{bmatrix} A^{k-2} & A^{k-3} & I \\ A^{k-3} & \cdots & I & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ I & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C \\ CD \\ \vdots \\ CD^{k-2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is of interest to observe that the cornered matrices  $\Gamma_k(A,C,D)$  and  $\Gamma_k(D,B,A)$  appear in

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix}^{k} = \begin{bmatrix} A^{k} & \Gamma_{k}(A, C, D) \\ 0 & D^{k} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & D \end{bmatrix}^{k} = \begin{bmatrix} A^{k} & 0 \\ \Gamma_{k}(D, B, A) & D^{k} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Summing these we see that for any polynomial f(x):

$$f(\begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix}) = \begin{bmatrix} f(A) & \Gamma_f(A, C, D) \\ 0 & f(D) \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } f(\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & D \end{bmatrix}) = \begin{bmatrix} f(A) & 0 \\ \Gamma_f(D, B, A) & f(D) \end{bmatrix},$$
  
where  $\Gamma_f(A, C, D) = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i \Gamma_i(A, C, D)$ 



2. Consequences. Let us now derive several of the properties of focused matrices, as related to (i) convergence, (ii) graphs, (iii) invariant subspaces, and (iv) chains.

Throughout this section we assume that  $M = \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{bmatrix}$  is (1,1)-focused.

Our first observation is

**Corollary 2.1.** If M is (1,1) focussed and  $M^k$  converges (to zero) as  $k \to \infty$  then  $A^k$  also converges (to zero).

Next we have

**Corollary 2.2.** If M if (1,1)-focused, then for any polynomial  $f(x) = f_0 + f_1 x + f_2 x + f_3 x$  $\ldots + f_s x^s$ , with adjoint polynomials  $f_i(x)$ ,

(2.1) 
$$f(M) = f(\begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{bmatrix}) = \begin{bmatrix} f(A) & \Gamma_f(A, C, D) \\ \Gamma_f(D, B, A) & D_f \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $D_f =$ 

$$f(D) + [B, DB, \dots, D^{s-2}B] \begin{bmatrix} f_1(A) & f_2(A) & f_{s-1}(A) \\ f_2(A) & \cdots & f_{s-1}(A) & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ f_{s-1}(A) & 0 & & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C \\ CD \\ \vdots \\ CD^{s-2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

In particular if q(M) = 0, then q(A) = 0. From this it follows that **Corollary 2.3.** If M is (1,1)-focused, then  $\psi_A | \psi_M$ .

This tells us that the eigenvalue of A (if any) must be among the e-values of M. In addition

**Corollary 2.4.** If M is (1,1)-focused, then for any scalar  $\beta$ :  $in(A-\beta I) \leq in(M-\beta I)$ . We further know that for any polynomial f(x),

(2.2) 
$$f(M) = g(M) \Rightarrow f(A) = g(A).$$

This simple observation has numerous consequences.

**Corollary 2.5.** For complex matrices, if  $M = \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{bmatrix}$  is (1,1)-focused and normal them A is the formula of the fo mal, then A is also normal.

*Proof.* M is normal exactly when  $M^* = f(M)$  for some polynomial f(x). In this case  $\begin{bmatrix} A^* & B^* \\ C^* & D^* \end{bmatrix} = M^* = f(M) = \begin{bmatrix} f(A) & ? \\ ? & ? \end{bmatrix}$ , and thus  $A^* = f(A)$  and A is normal.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 2.6.** Suppose that M is (1,1)-focused.

(i) If M is invertible, then A is also invertible.

(ii) If  $M^{-1} = f(M)$ , then  $A^{-1} = f(A)$ .

*Proof.* If M is invertible then  $M^{-1}$  is a polynomial in M, say f(M). As such Mf(M) = I, and hence Af(A) = I, with  $f(A) = A^{-1}$ .  $\Box$ 

Let us next extend this to Drazin and group inverses.



62

R.E. Hartwig and J. Maroulas

Corollary 2.7. If 
$$M = \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{bmatrix}$$
 is (1,1) focussed, then  
(2.3)  $M^D = \begin{bmatrix} A^D & ? \\ ? & ? \end{bmatrix}$ .

If  $M^{\#}$  exists then

(2.4) 
$$M^{\#} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} A^{\#} & ?\\ ? & ? \end{array}\right].$$

*Proof.* To show (2.3), let  $X = g(M) = M^D$ . Then, using the notation of generalized inverses, we have

The latter show that g(A) is unique solution to the three equations

$$A^{r+1}Y = A^r$$
,  $AY = YA$ ,  $YAY = Y$  (for some r),

and thus must equal the Drazin inverse of A.

Equation (2.4) is a special case of (2.3), when k = 0 or 1.

**Corollary 2.8.** Over  $\mathbb{C}$ , if M is (1,1)-focused and EP (i.e.  $R(M) = R(M^*)$ ), then A is also EP.

Proof. If M is EP, then  $M^{\dagger} = M^{\#} = g(M)$  is the group inverse of M. This means that  $MM^{\#} = Mg(M) = \begin{bmatrix} Ag(A) & ? \\ ? & ? \end{bmatrix}$  and  $M^{\#}M = g(M)M = \begin{bmatrix} g(A)A & ? \\ ? & ? \end{bmatrix}$ . Since the latter two matrices are Hermitian it follows that Ag(A) = g(A)A is also Hermitian, ensuring that  $g(A) = A^{\#} = A^{\dagger}$ .  $\Box$ 

In the closed field case, the spectral projection associated with the zero eigenvalue is a polynomial in M, and satisfies:

Corollary 2.9.

$$Z_M = I - MM^D = I - Mg(M) = \begin{bmatrix} I - Ag(A) & ? \\ ? & ? \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I - AA^D & ? \\ ? & ? \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Z_A & ? \\ ? & ? \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Corollary 2.10.** The spectral components associated with the zero eigenvalue are given by

$$Z_M^j = M^j Z_M = M^j (I - MM^D) = M^j (I - Mg(M)) = \begin{bmatrix} A^j (I - Ag(A)) & ? \\ ? & ? \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A^j (I - AA^D) & ? \\ ? & ? \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Z_A^j & ? \\ ? & ? \end{bmatrix}.$$



**Corollary 2.11.** If M is (1,1)-focused, then  $\Delta_M = \Delta_A \cdot \Delta_D$ 

*Proof.* We shall use the following polynomial form identity, in which D is  $k \times k$  and M is  $n \times n$ .

(2.5) 
$$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta_D I & -C \operatorname{adj} (\lambda I - D) \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda I - A & -C \\ -B & \lambda I - D \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} (\lambda I - A)\Delta_D - C \operatorname{adj} (\lambda I - D)B & 0 \\ -B & \lambda I - D \end{bmatrix}.$$

Taking determinants gives

(2.6) 
$$\Delta_D^{n-k} \Delta_M = \Delta_D \det[\Delta_D(\lambda I - A) - C \operatorname{adj}(\lambda I - D)B]$$

Now because of (1.6),  $CD_iB = 0$  for i = 0, 1, ..., k - 1 iff  $CD^iB =$  for i = 0, 1, ..., k - 1. Hence we see that

if  $F_i = 0$  for all i, then  $C \operatorname{adj} (\lambda I - D)B = 0$ , and (2.6) simplifies to  $\Lambda^{n-k} \Lambda_{ij} = \Lambda^{n-k+1} \Lambda_{ij}$ 

$$\Delta_D^{n-\kappa} \Delta_M = \Delta_D^{n-\kappa+1} \Delta_A$$

in which we may cancel the  $\Delta_D^{n-k}$  to give the desired result.  $\Box$ **Corollary 2.12.** Let M be A-focussed. Then, as  $k \to \infty$ ,  $M^k \to 0$  iff  $A^k \to 0$  and  $D^k \to 0$ .

We remark that when M is A-focussed and  $M^k$  converges, then  $D^n$  need not converge, as seen from the matrix  $M = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 0 & 1 \\ -1/2 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , with  $\mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

**3. Transitivity.** When we have more than two block rows, the term "(1,1)-focussed" becomes ambiguous, since it depends on the particular partitioning one has in mind. To avoid this we shall explicitly refer to the principal sub-block matrix that

is used. For example if 
$$M = \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_3 & C_1 \\ A_2 & A_4 & C_2 \\ \hline B_1 & B_2 & D \end{bmatrix}$$
, we shall say that  $M$ 

is A-focussed, or M is  $A_1$ -focussed, but shall not use "(1,1)- focussed" for either of these. In this vein it is best to think of this as a relation and define

**Definition 3.1.**  $A \preccurlyeq M$  iff A is a principal submatrix of M and M is A-focussed.

It is clear that this relation is reflexive and anti-symmetric. We shall now show that it is transitive as well, making it a partial order. It suffices to consider the case of three block rows. The general case then follows by induction and by permutation similarity.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let 
$$M = \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_3 & C_1 \\ A_2 & A_4 & C_2 \\ \hline B_1 & B_2 & D \end{bmatrix}$$
. Then  $A_1 \preccurlyeq A$  and  $A \preccurlyeq M$ 

imply  $A_1 \preccurlyeq M$ .

*Proof.* From theorem (1.1) we know that

(3.1) (a) 
$$\begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \end{bmatrix} D^k[B_1, B_2] = 0 \text{ for all } k = 0, 1, \dots$$



and

(3.2) (b) 
$$A_3 A_4^{\ell} A_2 = 0$$
, for all  $\ell = 0, 1, 2, ...$ 

Our aim is to show that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_3, C_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_4 & C_2 \\ B_2 & D \end{bmatrix}^r \begin{bmatrix} A_2 \\ B_1 \end{bmatrix} = 0 \text{ for all } r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

We begin by setting  $\begin{bmatrix} A_4 & C_2 \\ B_2 & D \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_k & \gamma_k \\ \beta_k & \delta_k \end{bmatrix}$  for  $k = 1, 2, \ldots$  In terms of this we must establish that

(3.3) 
$$A_3\alpha_k A_2 + A_3\gamma_k B_1 + C_1\beta_k A_2 + C_1\delta_k B_1 = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

We shall use the recurrence of (1.1) and apply the conditions (3.1) and (3.2) to show by induction that each term in this sum vanishes.

(a)  $C_i\beta_k = C_i(B_2\alpha_{k-1} + D\beta_{k-1}) = C_iD\beta_{k-1} = \dots = C_iD^r\beta_{k-r} = \dots = C_iD^{k-1}\beta_1 =$  $C_i D^{k-1} B_2 = 0.$ (b)  $C_i \delta_k B_j = C_i (B_2 \delta_{k-1} + D \delta_{k-1}) B_j = C_i D \delta_{k-1} B_j = \dots = C_i D^r \delta_{k-r} B_j = \dots = C_i D^{k-1} \delta_1 B_j = C_i D^{k-1} D B_j = 0$  for i, j = 1, 2. (c)  $\gamma_k B_j = (\alpha_{k-1} C_2 + \gamma_{k-1} D) B_j = \gamma_{k-1} D B_j = \dots = \gamma_{k-r} D^r B_j = \dots = \gamma_1 D^{k-1} B_j = C_2 D^{k-1} B_j = 0$ . (d)  $A_3\alpha_k A_2 = A_3(A_4\alpha_{k-1} + C_2\beta_{k-1})A_2 = A_3A_4\alpha_{k-1}A_2$ 

 $= A_3 A_4 (A_4 \alpha_{k-2} + C_2 \beta_{k-1}) A_2$ , in which the latter term vanishes by part (a). Hence, by (3.2), we have

$$A_3 \alpha_k A_2 = A_3 A_4^2 \alpha_{k-2} A_2 = \dots = A_3 A_4^r \alpha_{k-r} A_2$$
  
= \dots = A\_3 A\_4^{k-1} \alpha\_1 A\_2 = A\_3 A\_4^{k-1} A\_4 A\_2 = 0. \Box

This result is not surprising in term of information flow. If no information can flow from M into A and no information can flow from A into  $A_1$  then **no** information can flow from M into  $A_1$ . If  $M_k$  is the leading principal submatrix in M, then **Corollary 3.3.**  $M_1 \preccurlyeq M$  iff  $M_1 \preccurlyeq M_{k_1} \preccurlyeq M_{k_2} \preccurlyeq \ldots \preccurlyeq M$  for some increasing sequence  $(1, k_1, k_2, ..., n)$ .

**Corollary 3.4.** If  $A_{kk} \preccurlyeq M_k$  and  $M_k \preccurlyeq M$  then  $A_{kk} \preccurlyeq M$ .

Using permutations, this may be extended to any nested sequence of principal block matrices containing  $A_{kk}$ .

4. Special cases. Let us now turn to some special cases.

4. Special cases. Let us now turn to some options cannot prove that C = 0. Proposition 4.1.  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B^* \\ B & D \end{bmatrix}$  is A-focussed iff B = 0. Proof. The condition  $CB = B^*B = 0$ , forces B = 0.  $\Box$ Proposition 4.2. If  $\begin{bmatrix} A & c \\ b^T & d \end{bmatrix}$ , where **b** and **c** are columns, then M is (1,1)-focused iff either c = 0, or b = 0. In which case M is block triangular

*Proof.* Suppose that M is (1,1)-focused. Then with k = 2 we see that  $A_2 =$  $A^2 + \mathbf{c}\mathbf{b}^T$  and thus  $\mathbf{c}\mathbf{b}^T = 0$ . This means that either  $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{0}$ , or  $b = \mathbf{0}$ . In which case M is block triangular. No other conditions are necessary. The converse is clear.  $\Box$ 



As an application of this consider the companion matrix

$$\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{f}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & -f_0 \\ 1 & 0 & & -f_1 \\ 0 & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & & \\ 0 & & 1 & -f_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} N & \mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{b}^T & d \end{bmatrix},$$

associated with the monic polynomial  $f(t) = f_0 + f_1 t + \ldots + t^n$ , with  $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{e}_{n-1}$  and  $d = -f_{n-1}$ . If L is N-focussed, then  $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{0}$ , and L reduces to  $L = \begin{bmatrix} N & 0 \\ \mathbf{e}_{n-1}^T & d \end{bmatrix}$ . It follows at once by induction that 1

(a) for 
$$k \le n - 1$$
,  $L^k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \\ 0 & & \\ 1 & & \\ 0 & 1 & d & \cdots & d^k \end{bmatrix}$ 

and

(b) for 
$$r \ge 0$$
,  $L^{n+r} = \mathbf{e}_n[d^{r+1}, \dots, d^{n+r}]$ .

Given the vector  $\mathbf{a}^T = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}]$ , the coefficients  $b_k = \mathbf{a}^T L^k \mathbf{e}_1$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  can be computed as  $b_k = a_k$  for  $k = 0, \dots, n-1$  and  $b_{n+r} = a_{n-1}d^{r+1}$  for  $r = 0, 1, \dots$  That is, the tail forms a geometric progression. This construction finds use in the search for minimal realizations of order n [6].

As our next example we consider the linear system

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = D\mathbf{x}(t) + B\mathbf{u}(t)$$
 and  $\mathbf{y}(t) = C\mathbf{x}(t)$ .

Di erentiating this repeatedly, gives [5]

$$(4.1)\begin{bmatrix} y(t) \\ y'(t) \\ \vdots \\ y^{(n-1)}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C \\ CD \\ \vdots \\ CD^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & 0 \\ F_0 & 0 & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ F_{n-2} & \cdots & F_0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u(t) \\ u'(t) \\ \vdots \\ u^{(n-1)}(t) \end{bmatrix},$$

where the moments  $F_k = CD^k B$  are now called the **Markov parameters** of the system. These are uniquely determined by the transfer matrix  $H = C(\lambda I - D)^{-1}B = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} F_k \lambda^{-k}$ . When M is (1,1)-focused, we know that all the Markov parameters van-

ish, and consequently  $\mathcal{O}B = \begin{bmatrix} C \\ CD \\ \vdots \\ CD^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} B = 0$ . If in addition, the pair (C, D) is

observable, then  $\mathcal{O}$  has a left inverse and  $\overline{B}$  must vanish. In this case M will be upper



triangular.

Lastly, we next turn our attention to the **dilation** of a (1,1)-focused matrix.

**Proposition 4.3.** If  $M = \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{bmatrix}$  is (1,1) focused, then so is the  $(N+1) \times (N+1)$  block dilation

$$(4.2) \qquad \hat{M}_{N-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A & C & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \\ 0 & 0 & I \\ B & D & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ B & \Omega \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$Proof. It suffices to note that  $\Omega^k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I & & \\ D & & \ddots & \\ D & & I \\ & \ddots & & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ for } k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$ 
so that  $\Omega^N = I \otimes D$  and  $\Omega^{N+1} = (I_N \otimes D)\Omega.$  We next compute  $[C, 0, .., 0]\Omega^k \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ \end{bmatrix} =$$$

so that  $\Omega^N = I \otimes D$  and  $\Omega^{N+1} = (I_N \otimes D)\Omega$ . We next compute  $[C, 0, ..., 0]\Omega^k \begin{bmatrix} \vdots \\ B \end{bmatrix} = C(\Omega^k)_{1N}B$ . Since the (1, N) block in  $\Omega^k$  is either zero or a power of D, we see that

 $C(\Omega^{k})_{1N}B$ . Since the (1, N) block in  $\Omega^{k}$  is either zero or a power of D, we see that  $C\Omega^{k}\mathcal{B} = 0$ , for all k = 0, 1, ..., which on account of theorem (1.1) suffices.  $\Box$ 

5. Schur complements. When A is invertible, we may diagonalize the matrix  $M = \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{bmatrix} \text{ as follows:} \\ \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ -BA^{-1} & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & -A^{-1}C \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D - BA^{-1}C \end{bmatrix}.$ 

Because of this form, the matrix  $Z = D - BA^{-1}C$  is called the Schur Complement (SC) of A in M, and is denoted by M/A. Likewise, when D is nonsingular, we have the factorization

the factorization  $\begin{bmatrix} I & -CD^{-1} \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ -D^{-1}B & I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A - CD^{-1}B & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix},$ giving the Schur complement  $\zeta = A - CD^{-1}B = M/D.$ 

When neither A nor D are invertible, we have the following fundamental form  $PMQ = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ -BX & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & -XC \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & (I-AX)C \\ B(I-XA) & Z \end{bmatrix} = N$ and  $P'MQ' = \begin{bmatrix} I & -CY \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ -YB & I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \zeta & C(I-YD) \\ (I-DY)B & D \end{bmatrix} = N',$ where

$$Z = D - B(2X - XAX)C$$
 and  $\zeta = A - C(2Y - YDY)B$ 

are the "generalized" Schur complements. In order to mimic the nonsingular case, it stands to reason that we want X and Y, to be some kind of generalized inverse.

Indeed, if  $X = \hat{A}$  is a 2-inverse of A (i.e., XAX = X), then Z = D - B(2X - XAX)Creduces to  $Z = D - B\hat{A}C$ , which we shall denote by M/A. In particular this holds when  $X = A^+$  is a reflexive (1-2) inverse of A (i.e. AXA = A, XAX = X). On the other hand if  $X = A^-$  is an inner inverse of A (i.e. AXA = A), then Z reduces to  $Z = D - B(2A^- - A^-AA^-)C$ . Similarly if  $Y = \hat{D}$  is a 2-inverse of D, then we see that  $\zeta = A - C(2Y - YDY) = A - C\hat{D}B = M/D$ . Needless to say, M/D and M/Adepend on the choice of 2-inverse in general.

The matrices N and N' have properties that are similar to those of M. Indeed, using 2-inverses we may state

(5.1) 
$$N'/D = M/D \quad and \quad M/A = N/A.$$

We next turn to the case where A is partitioned further, say

$$(5.2) M = \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_3 & C_1 \\ A_2 & A_4 & C_2 \\ \hline B_1 & B_2 & D \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_3 & C_1 \\ A_2 & A_4 & C_2 \\ B_1 & B_2 & D \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & ? \\ \hline ? & Y \end{bmatrix}.$$

We shall first present a generalization of the Haynsworth Quotient formula [1, Eq. 3.22].

**Theorem 5.1.** For each choice of 2-inverse  $\hat{A}_1$  and  $(A_4 - A_2 \hat{A}_1 A_3)^{\wedge}$ , there exists a 2-inverse  $\hat{A}$ , depending only on these choices, such that

(5.3) 
$$(M/A_1)/(A/A_1) = M/A,$$

where  $M/A = D - B\hat{A}C$ .

*Proof.* First we compute

$$M/A_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{4} & C_{2} \\ B_{2} & D \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} A_{2} \\ B_{1} \end{bmatrix} \hat{A}_{1}[A_{3}, C_{1}] = \begin{bmatrix} A_{4} - A_{2}\hat{A}_{1}A_{3} & C_{2} - A_{2}\hat{A}_{1}C_{1} \\ B_{2} - B_{1}\hat{A}_{1}A_{3} & D - B_{1}\hat{A}_{1}C_{1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Next observe that  $Z = A/A_1 = A_4 - A_2 \hat{A}_1 A_3$  and hence that

 $(M/A_1)/(A/A_1) = (D - B_1\hat{A}_1C_1) - (B_2 - B_1\hat{A}_1A_3)\hat{Z}(C_2 - A_2\hat{A}_1C_1),$ which reduces to

(5.4) 
$$(M/A_1)/(A/A_1) = D - B_1(\hat{A}_1 + \hat{A}_1 A_3 \hat{Z} A_2 \hat{A}_1)C_1 - B_2 \hat{Z} C_2 + B_1 \hat{A}_1 A_3 \hat{Z} C_2 + B_2 \hat{Z} A_2 \hat{A}_1 C_1.$$

Next consider the matrix

(5.5) 
$$X = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{A}_1 + \hat{A}_1 A_3 \hat{Z} A_2 \hat{A}_1 & -\hat{A}_1 A_3 \hat{Z} \\ -\hat{Z} A_2 \hat{A}_1 & \hat{Z}. \end{bmatrix}$$

It is easily checked that XAX = X. Using this 2-inverse in  $M/A = D - [B_1, B_1]\hat{A} \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \end{bmatrix}$  we again arrive at (5.4), completing the proof.  $\Box$ 



It should be remarked that when we select reflexive inverses  $A_1^+$  and  $(A_4 - A_2A_1^+A_3)^+$ , then the matrix X in (5.5) also becomes a 1-2 inverse.

Let us next turn to the question of focal power and the quotient formulae. We shall use M//A to denote the special Schur complement  $M//N = D - BA^dC$ , where  $A^d$  denotes the Drazin inverse of A. It will be shown that the quotient formula holds for this type of Schur complements, when we add focal power. **Theorem 5.2.** Let M be as in (5.2). If  $D \preccurlyeq Y$  and  $Y \preccurlyeq M$  then

(5.6) 
$$M//A = (M//A_1)//(A//A_1)$$
 and  $M//Y = (M//D)//(Y//D)$ 

*Proof.* If  $Y \preccurlyeq M$  then  $\begin{bmatrix} A_2 \\ B_1 \end{bmatrix} A_1^k [A_3, C_1] = 0$  for all k = 1, 2..., which implies

that  $\begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ B_1 \end{bmatrix} A_1^d [A_3, C_1] = 0$  and thus  $A_2 A_1^d A_3 = 0$  as well. As such we obtain  $M/A_1 = Y - \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ B_1 \end{bmatrix} A_1^d [A_3, C_1] = Y$  and  $A/A_1 = A_4 - A_2 A_1^d A_3 = A_4$ .

Next we note that if  $D \preccurlyeq Y$  then  $B_2A_4^kC_2 = 0$ , for all k = 0, 1, ... and thus  $B_2A_4^dC_2 = 0$ . This means that  $Y//A = D - B_2A_4^dC_2 = D$ . We may conclude that  $(M//A_1)//(A/A_1) = Y//A_4 = D$ .

Lastly, by transitivity,  $D \preccurlyeq M$ , which tells us that  $BA^kC = 0$  for all k = 0, 1, ...and hence  $BA^dC =$  as well. Substituting this into  $M//A = D - BA^dC$ , we arrive at M//A = D, completing the proof of the first identity. The remaining identity follows by symmetry.  $\Box$ 

Let us now return to the case where A is invertible, and  $Z = D - BA^{-1}C = D - E$ . We shall examine a different completion.

**Proposition 5.3.** Let  $M = \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{bmatrix}$  and suppose A is invertible. Further let  $Z = D - BA^{-1}C$  be a Schur complement of M and set  $N'' = \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ B & Z \end{bmatrix}$ . Then the following are equivalent.

(i) M is (1,1)-focused (ii)  $CD^kZ^rB = 0$  for all k, r = 0, 1, ...(iii)  $CZ^rB = 0$  for all r = 0, 1, ...(iv) N" is (1,1)-focused.

In which case, (a)  $Z^r B = D^r B = D_r B$ (b)  $CZ^r = CD^r = CD_r$ (c)  $D^r = Z^r + \Gamma_r(Z, E, Z)$ (d)  $B_r = \Gamma_r(Z, B, A)$  and  $C_r = \Gamma_r(A, C, Z)$ (e)

$$(5.7)D_{k} = Z^{k} + [B, ZB, \dots, Z^{k-1}B] \begin{bmatrix} A^{k-2} & A^{k-3} & I & A^{-1} \\ A^{k-3} & \cdots & I & A^{-1} & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ I & \ddots & & & \\ A^{-1} & 0 & & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C \\ CZ \\ \vdots \\ CZ^{k-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Focal Power

$$(5.8) = Z^{k} + [I, Z, \dots, Z^{k-1}] \begin{bmatrix} E_{k-2} & E_{k-3} & E_{0} & E_{-1} \\ E_{k-3} & \cdots & E_{-1} & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ E_{0} & \ddots & & & \\ E_{-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I \\ Z \\ \vdots \\ Z^{k-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$ (ii). It holds for r = 0. So assume it also holds for r = r and all k. Then  $CD^kZ^{r+1}B = CD^kZ.Z^rB = CD^k(D - BA^{-1}C)Z^rB = CD^{k+1}.Z^rB = 0$ . (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Clear.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). When r = 0 we see that  $F_0 = CB = 0$ . We now claim that  $D^k ZB = Z^{k+1}B$ . This is clear for k = 0. So assuming this holds for k = k, we have  $D^{k+1}ZB = D(D^k ZB) = DZ^k B = (Z + BA^{-1}C)Z^k B = Z^{k+1}B$  since  $CZ^k B = 0$ . We then arrive at  $CD^{k+1}B = CD^k .DB = CD^k (Z + BA^{-1}C)B = CD^k ZB = CZ^{k+1}B$ .

(i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iv). This is clear from theorem (1.1)-(4).

(a) Both equalities are clearly true for r = 1, so suppose they hold for r = r. Then  $D^{r+1}B = DD^rB = (Z + E)Z^rB = Z^{r+1}B + EZ^rB = Z^{r+1}B$ , since  $EZ^rB = 0$  by part (iii). Also  $D_{r+1}B = (BC_r + DD_r)B = B(C_rB) + D(D_rB) = 0 + D(Z^rB) = D.D^rB = D^{r+1}B = Z^{r+1}B$ , where we used (a) twice.

(b) This follows by symmetry.

(c) First note that  $E^2 = 0$  and  $EZ^r E = 0$  and thus  $\Gamma_r(Z, E, Z)E = 0 = E\Gamma_r(Z, E, Z)$ . Next we observe that the results clearly holds for r = 1. Assuming its validity for r = r, we arrive at  $D^{r+1} = D^r D = [Z^r + \Gamma_{r-1}(Z, E, Z)](Z + E) = Z^{r+1} + (Z^{r-1}EZ + +EZ^r) + Z^r E + 0 = Z^{r+1} + \Gamma_r(Z, E, Z).$ 

(d) From part (a),  $C_k = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} A^{k-i-1}CD^i = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} A^{k-i-1}CZ^i = \Gamma_k(A, C, Z)$  and  $B_k = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} D^{k-i-1}BA^i = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} Z^{k-i-1}BA^i = \Gamma_k(Z, B, A).$ (e) Note that (c) can be written as

$$D^{k} = Z^{k} + [I, Z, ..., Z^{k-1}] \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & E_{-1} \\ 0 & \cdots & E_{-1} & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \\ E_{-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I \\ Z \\ \vdots \\ Z^{k-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

which we substitute in

$$D_{k} = D^{k} + [I, Z, ..., Z^{k-1}] \begin{bmatrix} E_{k-2} & E_{k-3} & E_{0} & 0\\ E_{k-3} & E_{0} & 0 & 0\\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ E_{0} & 0 & & & 0\\ 0 & & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I\\ Z\\ \vdots\\ Z^{k-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

to give the desired expression (5.7)  $\Box$ .



**6. Remarks.** (i) The condition  $F_k = 0$  means that the controllability space  $W = R[B, DB, D^2B, ...]$  is a *D*-invariant subspace of N(C), of dimension  $d \leq \nu(C)$ . Let Q be a  $m \times d$  basis matrix for W. Since W is *D*-invariant we know that DQ = QR for some  $d \times d$  matrix R, and because  $R(B) \subseteq W$ , we also know that B = QT for some  $d \times n$  matrix T (here A is  $n \times n$ ). This means that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & Q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & DQ \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & Q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ T & R \end{bmatrix}.$$

The matrix  $Y = \begin{bmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & Q \end{bmatrix}$  has full column rank, and thus is left invertible. It is not clear if any further subspace properties can be obtained.

(ii) If A is nonsingular, we may form the Schur complement of N, i.e.  $Z_1 = Z - BA^{-1}C = D - 2BA^{-1}C$ . It is now clear that if M is (1,1)- focused then so is  $\begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ B & Z_1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Needless to say we may repeat this for  $Z_k = D - kBA^{-1}C$ . (iii) The (1,1) entries  $A_k = A^k + \mathcal{E}_k$  in  $M^k$  satisfy the recurrence (1.4). This however,

(iii) The (1,1) entries  $A_k = A^k + \mathcal{E}_k$  in  $M^k$  satisfy the recurrence (1.4). This however, does not show the "dominant" term  $A^k$  nor the "error" term  $\mathcal{E}_k$ . The latter can be expressed as [2]

(6.1) 
$$\mathcal{E}_{k} = [C, AC, ..., A^{k-2}C] \begin{bmatrix} Y_{k-2} & Y_{k-3} & \cdots & Y_{0} \\ Y_{k-3} & \cdots & Y_{0} & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ Y_{0} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B \\ BA \\ \vdots \\ BA^{k-2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad k = 1, 2,$$

where  $Y_{k+1} = DY_k + E_0Y_{k-1} + \ldots + E_{k-1}Y_0$ , with  $Y_0 = I$ . It then follows by induction that  $\mathcal{E}_k = 0$  iff  $CD^kB = 0$  iff  $CY_kB = 0$  for all  $k = 0, 1, \ldots$ (iv) M is diagonally focussed if  $(M^k)_{ii} = (M_{ii})^k$  for i = 1, 2 and all  $k = 0, 1, \ldots$  This happens exactly when  $E_k$  and  $F_k$  vanish for all k. Such matrices act like block diagonal matrices without being of this form.

Let us close by posing some open problems.

7. Open questions. When M is (1,1)-focused, there are several concepts that present themselves, such as minimal polynomials, graphs, convergence and pencils. For example it would be of interest to know when  $\psi_D | \psi_M$ ? or how one could relate focal power to Roth's theorem:  $AX - XD = C \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix}$ . Likewise it would be of interest to see how the (condensed) graph of M is affected by this property, or what invariance the pencil condition  $C \operatorname{adj} (\lambda I - D)B = 0$ , corresponds to? On a different note we could only require that  $A_k = A^k$  for  $k = 0, \ldots, \ell$ , with  $\ell$  fixed. What would be the the smallest value of  $\ell$ , for which we obtain (1,1) focal power? Could the (1,1)-focal property shed light on the question of when  $\psi_A | \psi_M$ , for a general block matrix  $M = \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{bmatrix}$ ? Are there any other dilation that preserve the focal property?



#### Focal Power

71

## REFERENCES

- [1] R.E. Hartwig, Block Generalized Inverses. Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 61:197–251, 1976.
- [2] R.E. Hartwig. Theory and applications of matrix perturbations with respect to Hankel matrices and power formulae. Int. J. Syst. Sci., 10:437–460, 1979.
- [3] R.E. Hartwig and P.Semrl. Constrained Convergence. Rocky Mountain J. of Math., 29:177–195, 1999.
- [4] R.A. Horn and C. R. Johnson. Topics in Matrix Analysis. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [5] T. Kailath. Linear Systems. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1980. p. 353.
- [6] J. Maroulas. Contractive partial Realization. Int. J. Control, 49:681–689, 1989.