

SIGN PATTERNS THAT ALLOW EVENTUAL POSITIVITY*

ABRAHAM BERMAN, MINERVA CATRAL, LUZ M. DEALBA, ABED ELHASHASH, FRANK J. HALL, LESLIE HOGBEN, IN-JAE KIM, D. D. OLESKY, PABLO TARAZAGA, MICHAEL J. TSATSOMEROS, P. VAN DEN DRIESSCHE

Abstract. Several necessary or sufficient conditions for a sign pattern to allow eventual positivity are established. It is also shown that certain families of sign patterns do not allow eventual positivity. These results are applied to show that for $n \ge 2$, the minimum number of positive entries in an $n \times n$ sign pattern that allows eventual positivity is n+1, and to classify all 2×2 and 3×3 sign patterns as to whether or not the pattern allows eventual positivity. A 3×3 matrix is presented to demonstrate that the positive part of an eventually positive matrix need not be primitive, answering negatively a question of Johnson and Tarazaga.

Key words. Eventually positive matrix, Potentially eventually positive sign pattern, Perron-Frobenius, Directed graph.

AMS subject classifications. (2010) 15B48, 15B35, 05C50, 15A18.

^{*}Received by the editors May 15, 2009. Accepted for publication February 17, 2010. Handling Editor: Judith J. McDonald. This research began at the American Institute of Mathematics workshop, "Nonnegative Matrix Theory: Generalizations and Applications," and the authors thank AIM and NSF for their support. Author information: A. Berman, Faculty of Mathematics, Technion, Haifa 32000, Israel (berman@techunix-.technion.ac.il); M. Catral, Department of Mathematics, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA (mrcatral@iastate.edu); L.M. DeAlba, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Drake University, Des Moines, IA 50311, USA (luz.dealba@drake.edu), partially funded by a Drake University Research Support Grant; A. Elhashash, Department of Mathematics, Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA (abed@drexel.edu); F.J. Hall, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA 30303, USA (matfjh@langate.gsu.edu); L. Hogben, Department of Mathematics, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA (lhogben@iastate.edu) and American Institute of Mathematics, 360 Portage Ave, Palo Alto, CA 94306 (hogben@aimath.org); I.-J. Kim, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Minnesota State University, Mankato, MN 56001, USA (injae.kim@mnsu.edu); D. D. Olesky, Department of Computer Science, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC V8W 3P6, Canada (dolesky@cs.uvic.ca); P. Tarazaga, Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University Corpus Christi, TX 78412, USA (pablo.tarazaga@tamucc.edu); M.J. Tsatsomeros, Department of Mathematics, Washington State University, Pullman, WA 99164, USA (tsat@wsu.edu,), P. van den Driessche, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC V8W 3R4, Canada (pvdd@math.uvic.ca).