Elect. Comm. in Probab. **9** (2004), 178–187

ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS in PROBABILITY

THE CENTER OF MASS OF THE ISE AND THE WIENER INDEX OF TREES

SVANTE JANSON
Departement of Mathematics, Uppsala University, P. O. Box 480, S-751 06 Uppsala, Sweden.
email: svante.janson@math.uu.se

PHILIPPE CHASSAING
Institut Élie Cartan, Université Henri Poincaré-Nancy I, BP 239, 54506 Vandœuvre-lès-Nancy, France.
email: chassain@iecn.u-nancy.fr

Submitted 31 October 2003, *accepted in final form* 17 November 2004

AMS 2000 Subject classification: 60K35 (primary), 60J85 (secondary).
Keywords: ISE, Brownian snake, Brownian excursion, center of mass, Wiener index.

Abstract

We derive the distribution of the center of mass S of the integrated superBrownian excursion (ISE) from the asymptotic distribution of the Wiener index for simple trees. Equivalently, this is the distribution of the integral of a Brownian snake. A recursion formula for the moments and asymptotics for moments and tail probabilities are derived.

1 Introduction

The Wiener index $w(G)$ of a connected graph G with set of vertices $V(G)$ is defined as

$$w(G) = \sum_{(u,v)\in V(G)} d(u,v),$$

in which $d(u,v)$ denotes the distance between u and v in the graph.

The ISE (integrated superBrownian excursion) is a random variable, that we shall denote $\mathcal{J}$, with value in the set of probability measures on $\mathbb{R}^d$. The ISE was introduced by David Aldous [1] as an universal limit object for random distributions of mass in $\mathbb{R}^d$: for instance, Derbez & Slade [11] proved that the ISE is the limit of lattice trees for $d > 8$.

A motivation for this paper comes from a tight relation established between some model of random geometries called *fluid lattices* in Quantum geometry [3], or *random quadrangulations* in combinatorics, and the one-dimensional ISE [6]: let $(Q_n, (b_n, e_n))$ denote the random uniform choice of a quadrangulation Q_n with n faces, and of a marked oriented edge e_n with root

vertex b_n in Q_n, and set

$$\begin{aligned} r_n &= \max_{x\in V(Q_n)} d(x,b_n), \\ \mathcal{W}_n &= \sum_{x\in V(Q_n)} d(x,b_n), \end{aligned}$$

r_n and $\mathcal{W}_n$ being the radius and the total path length of Q_n[1], respectively. As a consequence of [6], $\left(n^{-1/4}r_n, n^{-5/4}\mathcal{W}_n\right)$ converges weakly to $(8/9)^{1/4}\cdot(R-L, S-L)$, in which

$$S = \int x\mathcal{J}(dx)$$

stands for the center of mass of the ISE, while, in the case $d=1$, $[L,R]$ denotes the support of $\mathcal{J}$. In [8], a modified Laplace transform is given, that determines the joint law of (R,L). As a consequence, the first two moments of $R-L$ are derived, and this is pretty much all the information known about the joint law of (R,L,S), to our knowledge. In this paper, we prove that

Theorem 1.1. *For $k\geq 0$,*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[S^{2k}\right] = \frac{(2k)!\sqrt{\pi}}{2^{(9k-4)/2}\Gamma\left((5k-1)/2\right)}\, a_k, \tag{1}$$

in which a_k is defined by $a_1=1$, and, for $k\geq 2$,

$$a_k = 2(5k-4)(5k-6)a_{k-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} a_i a_{k-i}. \tag{2}$$

Since S has a symmetric distribution, the odd moments vanish. Also, we have the following asymptotics for the moments.

Theorem 1.2. *For some constant $\beta = 0.981038\ldots$ we have, as $k\to\infty$,*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[S^{2k}\right] \sim \frac{2\pi^{3/2}\beta}{5}(2k)^{1/2}\left(10e^3\right)^{-2k/4}(2k)^{\frac{3}{4}\cdot 2k}. \tag{3}$$

As a consequence, Carleman's condition holds, and the distribution of S is uniquely determined by its moments.

In Section 2, we recall the description of $\mathcal{J}$ in terms of the *Brownian snake*, following [14, Ch. IV.6], and we derive a distributional identity for S in term of some statistic

$$\eta = 4\int_{0\leq s<t\leq 1} \min_{s\leq u\leq t} e(u)\, ds\, dt. \tag{4}$$

of the normalized Brownian excursion $(e(t))_{0\leq t\leq 1}$. In [12], the joint moments of (η,ξ), in which

$$\xi = 2\int_0^1 e(t)\, dt, \tag{5}$$

are computed with the help of explicit formulas for the joint moments of the Wiener index and the total path length of random binary trees. In Section 3, we use the results of [12] to derive Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. As a byproduct we also obtain that

[1] incidentally, $n\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{W}_n \,|\, Q_n\right]/2$ is the Wiener index of Q_n.

Theorem 1.3. *We have, as $k \to \infty$,*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\eta^k\right] \sim \frac{2\pi^{3/2}\beta}{5} k^{1/2} (5e)^{-k/2} k^{k/2}, \tag{6}$$

Finally, Section 4 deals with tail estimates for S.

2 The ISE and the Brownian snake

For the ease of non specialists, we recall briefly the description of $\mathcal{J}$ in terms of the Brownian snake, from [14, Ch. IV.6] (see also [5, 10, 16], and the survey [17]). The ISE can be seen as the limit of a suitably renormalized spatial branching process (cf. [6, 15]), or equivalently, as an embedding of the continuum random tree (CRT) in $\mathbb{R}^d$.
As for the Brownian snake, it can be seen as a description of a "continuous" population T, through its genealogical tree and the positions of its members. Given the *lifetime process* $\zeta = (\zeta(s))_{s\in T}$ of the Brownian snake, a stochastic process with values in $[0, +\infty)$, the Brownian snake with lifetime ζ is a family

$$W = (W_s(t))_{0\le s\le 1,\ 0\le t\le \zeta(s)}$$

of stochastic processes $W_s(\cdot)$ with respective lifetimes $\zeta(s)$. The lifetime ζ specifically describes the genealogical tree of the population T, and W describes the spatial motions of the members of T. A member of the population is encoded by the time s it is visited by the contour traversal of the genealogical tree, $\zeta(s)$ being the height of member $s \in T$ in the genealogical tree ($\zeta(t)$ can be seen as the "generation" t belongs to, or the time when t is living). Let

$$C(s,t) = \min_{s\le u\le t} \zeta(u), \qquad s \wedge t = \operatorname*{argmin}_{s\le u\le t} \zeta(u).$$

Due to the properties of the contour traversal of a tree, any element of $s \wedge t$ is a label for the more recent ancestor common to s and t, and the distance between s and t in the genealogical tree is

$$d(s,t) = \zeta(s) + \zeta(t) - 2C(s,t).$$

If it is not a leaf of the tree, a member of the population is visited several times ($k+1$ times if it has k sons), so it has several labels: s and t are two labels of the same member of the population if $d(s,t) = 0$, or equivalently if $s \wedge t \supset \{s,t\}$. Finally, s is an ancestor of t iff $s \in s \wedge t$. In this interpretation, $W_s(u)$ is the position of the ancestor of s living at time u, and

$$\widehat{W}_s = W_s\left(\zeta(s)\right), \qquad s \in T,$$

is the position of s. Before time $m = C(s_1, s_2)$, s_1 and s_2 share the same ancestor, entailing that

$$(W_{s_1}(t))_{0\le t\le m} = (W_{s_2}(t))_{0\le t\le m}. \tag{7}$$

Obviously there is some redundancy in this description: it turns out that the full Brownian snake can be recovered from the pair $\left(\widehat{W}_s, \zeta(s)\right)_{0\le s\le 1}$ (see [15] for a complete discussion of this).
In the general setting [14, Ch. IV], the spatial motion of a member of the population is any Markov process with cadlag paths. In the special case of the ISE, this spatial motion is a d-dimensional Brownian motion:

a) for all $0 \leq s \leq 1$, $t \to W_s(t)$ is a standard linear Brownian motion started at 0, defined for $0 \leq t \leq \zeta(s)$;

b) conditionally, given ζ, the application $s \to W_s(.)$ is a path-valued Markov process with transition function defined as follows: for $s_1 < s_2$, conditionally given $W_{s_1}(.)$, $(W_{s_2}(m+t))_{0 \leq t \leq \zeta(s_2)-m}$ is a standard Brownian motion starting from $W_{s_1}(m)$, independent of $W_{s_1}(.)$.

The lifetime ζ is usually a reflected linear Brownian motion [14], defined on $T = [0, +\infty)$. However, in the case of the ISE,

$$\zeta = 2e,$$

in which e denotes the normalized Brownian excursion, or 3-dimensional Bessel bridge, defined on $T = [0, 1]$. With this choice of ζ, the genealogical tree is the CRT (see [1]), and the Brownian snake can be seen as an embedding of the CRT in $\mathbb{R}^d$. We can now give the definition of the ISE in terms of the Brownian snake with lifetime $2e$ [14, Ch. IV.6]:

Definition 2.1. The ISE $\mathcal{J}$ is the occupation measure of $\widehat{W}$.

Recall that the occupation measure $\mathcal{J}$ of a process $\widehat{W}$ is defined by the relation:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\mathcal{J}(dx) = \int_0^1 f\left(\widehat{W}_s\right) ds, \tag{8}$$

holding for any measurable test function f.

Remark 2.2. It might seem more natural to consider the Brownian snake with lifetime e instead of $2e$, but we follow the normalization in Aldous [1]. If we used the Brownian snake with lifetime e instead, W, $\mathcal{J}$ and S would be scaled by $1/\sqrt{2}$.

Based on the short account on the Brownian snake given in this Section, we obtain:

Theorem 2.3. *Let N be a standard Gaussian random variable, independent of η. Then*

$$S \overset{law}{=} \sqrt{\eta}\, N.$$

Proof. Specializing (8) to $f(x) = x$, we obtain a representation of S:

$$S = \int_0^1 \widehat{W}_s \, ds,$$

which is the starting point of our proof. We have also, directly from the definition of the Brownian snake,

Proposition 2.4. *Conditionally, given e, $\left(\widehat{W}_s\right)_{0 \leq s \leq 1}$ is a Gaussian process whose covariance is $C(s,t) = 2\min_{s \leq u \leq t} e(u)$, $s \leq t$.*

Proof. With the notation $\zeta = 2e$ and $m = C(s_1, s_2) = 2\min_{s_1 \leq u \leq s_2} e(u)$, we have, conditionally, given e, for $s_1 \leq s_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Cov}\left(\widehat{W}_{s_1}, \widehat{W}_{s_2}\right) &= \mathrm{Cov}\left(W_{s_1}(\zeta(s_1)), W_{s_2}(\zeta(s_2)) - W_{s_2}(m) + W_{s_2}(m)\right) \\
&= \mathrm{Cov}\left(W_{s_1}(\zeta(s_1)), W_{s_2}(m)\right) \\
&= \mathrm{Cov}\left(W_{s_1}(\zeta(s_1)), W_{s_1}(m)\right) \\
&= m,
\end{aligned}$$

in which b) yields the second equality, (7) yields the third one, and a) yields the fourth equality. □

As a consequence of Proposition 2.4, conditionally given e, S is centered Gaussian with variance

$$\int_{[0,1]^2} C(s,t)\; ds\, dt = \eta.$$

This last statement is equivalent to Theorem 2.3. □

Remark 2.5. The d-dimensional analog of Theorem 2.3 is also true, by the same proof.

3 The moments

Proof of Theorem 1.1. From Theorem 2.3, we derive at once that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[S^{2k}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\eta^k\right] \mathbb{E}\left[N^{2k}\right].$$

As a special case of [12, Theorem 3.3] (where a_k is denoted ω^*_{0k}),

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\eta^k\right] = \frac{k!\sqrt{\pi}}{2^{(7k-4)/2}\Gamma\left((5k-1)/2\right)}\; a_k, \tag{9}$$

and the result follows since $\mathbb{E}\left[N^{2k}\right] = (2k)!/(2^k k!)$. □

In particular, see again [12, Theorem 3.3],

$$\mathbb{E}\left[S^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\eta\right] = \sqrt{\pi/8},$$
$$\mathbb{E}\left[S^4\right] = 3\mathbb{E}\left[\eta^2\right] = 7/5,$$

as computed by Aldous [1] by a different method. (Aldous' method extends to higher moments too, but the calculations quickly become complicated.) The asymptotics for the moments are obtained as follows.

Proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. We just prove that

$$a_k \sim \beta\, 50^{k-1}\, (k-1)!^2, \tag{10}$$

Set

$$b_k = \frac{a_k}{50^{k-1}\,(k-1)!^2}.$$

We have $b_1 = a_1 = 1$ and, from (2), $b_2 = b_3 = 49/50 = 0.98$. For $k \geq 4$, (2) translates to

$$\begin{aligned} b_k &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{25(k-1)^2}\right) b_{k-1} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} a_i a_{k-i}}{50^{k-1}\,(k-1)!^2} \\ &= b_{k-1} + \frac{\sum_{i=2}^{k-2} a_i a_{k-i}}{50^{k-1}\,(k-1)!^2}, \end{aligned}$$

Thus b_k increases for $k \geq 3$. We will show that $b_k < 1$ for all $k > 1$; thus $\beta = \lim_{k\to\infty} b_k$ exists and (10) follows. Stirling's formula and (1), (9) then yield (6) and (3).

More precisely, we show by induction that for $k \geq 3$,

$$b_k \leq 1 - \frac{1}{25(k-1)}.$$

This holds for $k = 3$ and $k = 4$. For $k \geq 5$ we have by the induction assumption $b_j \leq 1$ for $1 \leq j < k$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} s_k &= \frac{\sum_{i=2}^{k-2} a_i a_{k-i}}{50^{k-1}\,(k-1)!^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{50} \sum_{i=2}^{k-2} \frac{(i-1)!^2\,(k-i-1)!^2}{(k-1)!^2} b_i b_{k-i} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{50\,(k-1)^2\,(k-2)^2} \quad \left(2 + (k-5)\frac{4}{(k-3)^2}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{25\,(k-1)\,(k-2)^2\,(k-3)}. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

The induction follows. Moreover, it follows easily from (11) that $b_k < \beta < b_k + \frac{1}{75}(k-2)^{-3}$. To obtain the numerical value of β, we write, somewhat more sharply, where $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$,

$$s_k = \frac{1}{25\,(k-1)^2\,(k-2)^2} b_2 b_{k-2} + \frac{4}{25\,(k-1)^2\,(k-2)^2\,(k-3)^2} b_3 b_{k-3} + \theta(k-7)\frac{36}{25\,(k-1)^2\,(k-2)^2\,(k-3)^2(k-4)^2}$$

and sum over $k > n$ for $n = 10$, say, using $b_{n-1} < b_{k-2} < \beta$ and $b_{n-2} < b_{k-3} < \beta$ for $k > n$. It follows (with `Maple`) by this and exact computation of $b_1, \dots, b_{10}$ that $0.981038 < \beta < 0.9810385$; we omit the details. □

Remark 3.1. For comparison, we give the corresponding result for ξ defined in (5). There is a simple relation, discovered by Spencer [18] and Aldous [2], between its moments and Wright's constants in the enumeration of connected graphs with n vertices and $n + k$ edges [19], and the well-known asymptotics of the latter lead, see [12, Theorem 3.3 and (3.8)], to

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\xi^k\right] \sim 3\sqrt{2}k(3e)^{-k/2}k^{k/2}. \tag{12}$$

4 Moment generating functions and tail estimates

The moment asymptotics yield asymptotics for the moment generating function $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{tS}\right]$ and the tail probabilities $\mathbb{P}(S > t)$ as $t \to \infty$. For completeness and comparison, we also include corresponding results for η. We begin with a standard estimate.

Lemma 4.1. (i) *If $\gamma > 0$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$, then, as $x \to \infty$,*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^b k^{-\gamma k} x^k \sim \left(\frac{2\pi}{\gamma}\right)^{1/2} \left(e^{-\gamma} x\right)^{(b+1/2)/\gamma} e^{\gamma (e^{-\gamma} x)^{1/\gamma}}$$

(ii) *If $-\infty < \gamma < 1$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$, then, as $x \to \infty$,*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^b k^{\gamma k} x^k}{k!} \sim (1-\gamma)^{-1/2} \bigl(e^{\gamma} x\bigr)^{b/(1-\gamma)} e^{(1-\gamma)(e^{\gamma}x)^{1/(1-\gamma)}}$$

The sums over even k only are asymptotic to half the full sums.

Sketch of proof. (i). This is standard, but since we have not found a precise reference, we sketch the argument. Write $k^b k^{-\gamma k} x^k = e^{f(k)} = k^b e^{g(k)}$ where $g(y) = -\gamma y \ln y + y \ln x$ and $f(y) = g(y) + b \ln y$. The function g is concave with a maximum at $y_0 = y_0(x) = e^{-1} x^{1/\gamma}$. A Taylor expansion yields

$$\begin{aligned} y_0^{-1/2} e^{-f(y_0)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^b k^{-\gamma k} x^k &= y_0^{-1/2} \int_0^{\infty} e^{f(\lceil y \rceil) - f(y_0)} dy \\ &= \int_{-y_0^{1/2}}^{\infty} e^{f(\lceil y_0 + s y_0^{1/2} \rceil) - f(y_0)} ds \\ &\to \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma s^2/2} ds = \Bigl(\frac{2\pi}{\gamma}\Bigr)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

in which $\lceil y \rceil$ denotes the smallest integer larger than, or equal to, y.
(ii). Follows by (i) and Stirling's formula. □

Combining Theorem 1.2 and Lemma 4.1, we find the following asymptotics for $\mathbb{E}\,[e^{t\eta}] = \sum_k \mathbb{E}\,[\eta^k]\, t^k/k!$ and $\mathbb{E}\,[e^{tS}] = \sum_{k \text{ even}} \mathbb{E}\,[S^k]\, t^k/k!$.

Theorem 4.2. *As $t \to \infty$,*

$$\mathbb{E}\,[e^{t\eta}] \sim \frac{(2\pi)^{3/2}\beta}{5^{3/2}} t e^{t^2/10}, \tag{13}$$

$$\mathbb{E}\,[e^{tS}] \sim \frac{2^{1/2}\pi^{3/2}\beta}{5^{3/2}} t^2 e^{t^4/40}. \tag{14}$$

Proof. For η we take $b = 1/2$, $\gamma = 1/2$, $x = (5e)^{-1/2} t$ in Lemma 4.1(ii); for S we take $b = 1/2$, $\gamma = 3/4$, $x = (10e^3)^{-1/4} t$. □

The standard argument with Markov's inequality yields upper bounds for the tail probabilities from Theorem 1.2 or 4.2.

Theorem 4.3. *For some constants K_1 and K_2 and all $x \ge 1$, say,*

$$\mathbb{P}\,(\eta > x) \le K_1 x \exp\bigl(-\tfrac{5}{2}x^2\bigr), \tag{15}$$

$$\mathbb{P}\,(S > x) \le K_2 x^{2/3} \exp\bigl(-\tfrac{3}{4}10^{1/3}x^{4/3}\bigr). \tag{16}$$

Proof. For any even k and $x > 0$, $\mathbb{P}\,(|S| > x) \le x^{-k}\mathbb{E}\,[S^k]$. We use (3) and optimize the resulting exponent by choosing $k = 10^{1/3}x^{4/3}$, rounded to an even integer. This yields (16); we omit the details. (15) is obtained similarly from (6), using $k = \lfloor 5x^2 \rfloor$. □

Remark 4.4. The proof of Theorem 4.3 shows that any $K_1 > 2\pi^{3/2}\beta/5^{1/2} \approx 4.9$ and $K_2 > 10^{1/6}\beta\pi^{3/2}/5 \approx 1.6$ will do for large x. Alternatively, we could use Theorem 4.2 and $\mathbb{P}\,(S > x) < e^{-tx}\mathbb{E}\,[e^{tS}]$ for $t > 0$ and so on; this would yield another proof of Theorem 4.3 with somewhat inferior values of K_1 and K_2.

The bounds obtained in Theorem 4.3 are sharp up to factors $1 + o(1)$ in the exponent, as is usually the case for estimates derived by this method. For convenience we state a general theorem, reformulating results by Davies [7] and Kasahara [13].

Theorem 4.5. *Let X be a random variable, let $p > 0$ and let a and b be positive real numbers related by $a = 1/(peb^p)$ or, equivalently, $b = (pea)^{-1/p}$.*

(i) *If $X \ge 0$ a.s., then*

$$-\ln \mathbb{P}(X > x) \sim ax^p \qquad \text{as } x \to \infty \tag{17}$$

is equivalent to

$$\bigl(\mathbb{E}\, X^r\bigr)^{1/r} \sim br^{1/p} \qquad \text{as } r \to \infty. \tag{18}$$

Here r runs through all positive reals; equivalently, we can restrict r in (18) *to integers or even integers.*

(ii) *If X is a symmetric random variable, then* (17) *and* (18) *are equivalent, where r in* (18) *runs through even integers.*

(iii) *If $p > 1$, then, for any X,* (17) *is equivalent to*

$$\ln\bigl(\mathbb{E}\, e^{tX}\bigr) \sim ct^q \qquad \text{as } t \to \infty, \tag{19}$$

where $1/p + 1/q = 1$ and $c = q^{-1}(pa)^{-(q-1)} = q^{-1}e^{q-1}b^q$. (This can also be written in the more symmetric form $(pa)^q(qc)^p = 1$.) Hence, if $X \ge 0$ a.s., or if X is symmetric and r restricted to even integers, (19) *is also equivalent to* (18).

Proof. For $X \ge 0$, (i) and (iii) are immediate special cases of more general results by Kasahara [13, Theorem 4 and Theorem 2, Corollary 1], see also [4, Theorem 4.12.7]; the difficult implications (18) $\implies$ (17) and (19) $\implies$ (17) were earlier proved by Davies [7] (for $p > 1$, which implies the general case of (i) by considering a power of X). Moreover, (19) $\implies$ (17) follows also from the Gärtner–Ellis theorem [9, Theorem 2.3.6] applied to $n^{-1/p}X$. Note that, assuming $X \ge 0$, $(\mathbb{E}\, X^r)^{1/r}$ is increasing in $r > 0$, which implies that (18) for (even) integers is equivalent to (18) for all real r.
(ii) follows from (i) applied to $|X|$, and (iii) for general X follows by considering $\max(X, 0)$. □

We thus obtain from Theorem 1.2 or 4.2 the following estimates, less precise than Theorem 4.3 but including both upper and lower bounds.

Theorem 4.6. *As $x \to \infty$,*

$$\ln\bigl(\mathbb{P}\,(\eta > x)\bigr) \sim -\frac{5}{2}x^2, \tag{20}$$

$$\ln\bigl(\mathbb{P}\,(S > x)\bigr) \sim -\frac{3}{4}10^{1/3}\, x^{4/3}. \tag{21}$$

Proof. For η we use Theorem 4.5 with $p = q = 2$, $b = (5e)^{-1/2}$, $a = 5/2$ and $c = 1/10$. For S we have $p = 4/3$, $q = 4$, $b = (10e^3)^{-1/4}$, $a = 3 \cdot 10^{1/3}/4$ and $c = 1/40$. □

Remark 4.7. (20) can also be proved using the representation (4) and large deviation theory for Brownian excursions, cf. [9, §5.2] and [10]. The details may perhaps appear elsewhere.

Remark 4.8. (21) can be compared to the tail estimates in [1] for the density function of $\widehat{W}_U$, the value of the Brownian snake at a random point U, which in particular gives

$$\ln\bigl(\mathbb{P}\left(\widehat{W}_U > x\right)\bigr) \sim \ -3 \cdot 2^{-5/3}\, x^{4/3}.$$

Remark 4.9. For ξ we can by (12) use Theorem 4.5 with $p = q = 2$, $b = (3e)^{-1/2}$, $a = 3/2$ and $c = 1/6$. In [12], the variable of main interest is neither ξ nor η but $\zeta = \xi - \eta$. By Minkowski's inequality $\mathbb{E}\left[\xi^k\right]^{1/k} \le \mathbb{E}\left[\zeta^k\right]^{1/k} + \mathbb{E}\left[\eta^k\right]^{1/k}$ and (6), (12) follows

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3e}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{5e}} \le \liminf_{k\to\infty} \frac{\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\zeta^k\right]\right)^{1/k}}{k^{1/2}} \le \limsup_{k\to\infty} \frac{\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\zeta^k\right]\right)^{1/k}}{k^{1/2}} \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{3e}}.$$

This leads to asymptotic upper and lower bounds for $\ln(\mathbb{P}(\zeta > x))$ too by [7] or [13]. We can show that $\lim_{k\to\infty} k^{-1/2}\bigl(\mathbb{E}\left[\zeta^k\right]\bigr)^{1/k}$ and $\lim_{x\to\infty} x^{-2}\ln(\mathbb{P}(\zeta > x))$ exist, but do not know their value.

Acknowledgement. We thank Jim Fill and anonymous referees for helpful comments.

References

[1] D. Aldous. Tree-based models for random distribution of mass. *Journal of Statistical Physics* **73** (1993), 625-641.

[2] D. Aldous. Brownian excursions, critical random graphs and the multiplicative coalescent. *Ann. Probab.* **25** (1997), no. 2, 812–854.

[3] J. Ambjørn, B. Durhuus and T. Jónsson. *Quantum gravity, a statistical field theory approach.* Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, 1997.

[4] N. H. Bingham, C. M. Goldie and J. L. Teugels. *Regular variation.* First edition. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 27. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.

[5] C. Borgs, J. Chayes, R. van der Hofstad and G. Slade. Mean-field lattice trees. On combinatorics and statistical mechanics. *Ann. Comb.* **3** (1999), no. 2–4, 205–221.

[6] P. Chassaing and G. Schaeffer. Random Planar Lattices and Integrated SuperBrownian Excursion. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, **128** (2004), no. 2, 161–212.

[7] L. Davies. Tail probabilities for positive random variables with entire characteristic functions of very regular growth. *Z. Angew. Math. Mech.* **56** (1976), no. 3, T334–T336.

[8] J. F. Delmas. Computation of moments for the length of the one dimensional ISE support. *Electron. J. Probab.* **8** (2003), 15 pp. .

[9] A. Dembo and O. Zeitouni. *Large deviations techniques and applications.* Jones and Bartlett Publishers, Boston, MA, 1993.

[10] A. Dembo and O. Zeitouni. Large deviations for random distribution of mass. *Random discrete structures (Minneapolis, MN, 1993)*, 45–53, IMA Vol. Math. Appl., 76, Springer, New York, 1996.

[11] E. Derbez and G. Slade. The scaling limit of lattice trees in high dimensions. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **193** (1998), 69–104.

[12] S. Janson. The Wiener index of simply generated random trees. *Random Struct. Alg.* **22** (2003), no. 4, 337–358.

[13] Y. Kasahara. Tauberian theorems of exponential type. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **18** (1978), no. 2, 209–219.

[14] J.-F. Le Gall. *Spatial branching processes, random snakes and partial differential equations.* Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1999.

[15] J.-F. Marckert and A. Mokkadem. States spaces of the snake and of its tour – Convergence of the discrete snake. *J. Theoret. Probab.*, **16** (2003), no. 4, 1015–1046.

[16] L. Serlet. A large deviation principle for the Brownian snake. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **67** (1997), no. 1, 101–115.

[17] G. Slade. Scaling limits and Super-Brownian motion. *Notices of the AMS* **49** (2002), no. 9, 1056–1067.

[18] J. Spencer. Enumerating graphs and Brownian motion. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **50** (1997), 291–294.

[19] E.M. Wright. The number of connected sparsely edged graphs. *J. Graph Th.* **1** (1977), 317–330.