

ALMOST SURE LIMIT THEOREM FOR THE MAXIMA OF STRONGLY DEPENDENT GAUSSIAN SEQUENCES

FUMING LIN¹

Department of Mathematics, Sichuan University of Science and Engineering, Huixin Road, Zigong 643000, Sichuan, China.

email: zigonglfm2006@yahoo.com.cn

Submitted December 2, 2008, accepted in final form April 27, 2009

AMS 2000 Subject classification: 60F05; 62E20; 62F12; 62M10

Keywords: Almost sure central limit theorem, Strongly dependent sequence, Logarithmic average

Abstract

In this paper, we prove an almost sure limit theorem for the maxima of strongly dependent Gaussian sequences under some mild conditions. The result is an expansion of the weakly dependent result of E. Csáki and K. Gonchigdanzan.

1 Introduction and main result

In past decades, the almost sure central limit theorem (ASCLT) has been studied for independent and dependent random variables more and more profoundly. Cheng et al.[CPQ98], Fahrner and Stadtmüller[FS98] and Berkes and Csáki[BC01] considered the ASCLT for the maximum of i.i.d. random variables. An influential work is Csáki and Gonchigdanzan[CG02], which proved an almost sure limit theorem for the maximum of stationary weakly dependent sequence.

Theorem A. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a standardized stationary Gaussian sequence with $r_n = \text{Cov}(X_1, X_{n+1})$ satisfying $r_n \log n (\log \log n)^{1+\varepsilon} = O(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $M_k = \max_{i \leq k} X_i$. If $a_n = (2 \log n)^{1/2}$, $b_n = (2 \log n)^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2}(2 \log n)^{-1/2}(\log \log n + \log(4\pi))$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} I(a_k(M_k - b_k) \leq x) = \exp(-e^{-x}) \text{ a.s.}, \quad (1)$$

where I is indicator function.

Shouquan Chen and Zhengyan Lin[CL06] extended the results in [CG02] to the non-stationary case.

Leadbetter et al [LLR83] showed the following theorem.

Theorem B. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a standardized stationary Gaussian sequence with $r_n = \text{Cov}(X_1, X_{n+1})$ and $M_n = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i$. Let $a_n = (2 \log n)^{1/2}$ and $b_n = (2 \log n)^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2}(2 \log n)^{-1/2}(\log \log n +$

¹RESEARCH SUPPORTED BY THE SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH FUND OF SICHUAN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE & ENGINEERING UNDER GRANT 2007RZ014.

$\log(4\pi)$). If $r_n \log n \rightarrow r > 0$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(a_n(M_n - b_n) \leq x\right) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-e^{-x-r+\sqrt{2}rz}\right) \phi(z) dz, \tag{2}$$

where and in the sequel ϕ is standard normal density.

In the paper, we consider the ASCLT version of (2). The theorem below is useful in our proof.

Theorem C. [Leadbetter et al., 1983, Theorem 4.2.1, Normal Comparison Lemma] Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are standard normal variables with covariance matrix $\Lambda^1 = (\Lambda_{ij}^1)$, and Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n similarly with covariance $\Lambda^0 = (\Lambda_{ij}^0)$, and $\rho_{ij} := \max(|\Lambda_{ij}^1|, |\Lambda_{ij}^0|)$, assuming that $\max_{i \neq j} \rho_{ij} =: \delta < 1$. Further, let u_1, \dots, u_n be real numbers. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & |P(X_j \leq u_j, j = 1, \dots, n) - P(Y_j \leq u_j, j = 1, \dots, n)| \\ & \leq K_1 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |\Lambda_{ij}^1 - \Lambda_{ij}^0| \exp\left(-\frac{u_i^2 + u_j^2}{2(1 + \rho_{ij})}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

with some positive constant K_1 depending only on δ .

Throughout this paper, ξ_1, ξ_2, \dots is stationary dependent Gaussian sequence and $M_n = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \xi_i$, $M_{k,n} = \max_{k+1 \leq i \leq n} \xi_i$. Let $r_n = Cov(\xi_1, \xi_{n+1})$. If

$$r_n \log n \rightarrow r \geq 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{4}$$

ξ_1, ξ_2, \dots was called as dependent: weakly dependent for $r = 0$ and strongly dependent for $r > 0$. Let

$$\rho_n = \frac{r}{\log n}, r \text{ defined in (4)}. \tag{5}$$

In the paper, a very natural and mild assumption is

$$|r_n - \rho_n| \log n (\log \log n)^{1+\epsilon} = O(1). \tag{6}$$

We mainly consider the ASCLT of the maximum of stationary Gaussian sequence satisfying (4), under the mild condition (6), which is crucial to consider other versions of the ASCLT such as that of the maximum of non-stationary strongly dependent sequence and the function of the maximum. In the sequel, $a = O(b)$ is denoted by $a \ll b$, C is a constant which may change from line to line. The main result is as follows.

Theorem. Let $\{\xi_n\}$ be a sequence of stationary standard Gaussian random variables with covariances $r_{ij} = r_{|j-i|}$ satisfying (4). $M_k = \max_{i \leq k} \xi_i$. The definitions of a_n, b_n is the same as in Theorem A. Assume $r_{ij} = r_{|j-i|}$ satisfies (6). Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} I\left(a_k(M_k - b_k) \leq x\right) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp\left(-e^{-x-r+\sqrt{2}rz}\right) \phi(z) dz \text{ a.s.} \tag{7}$$

Remark 1. When $r = 0$, clearly, Theorem induces Theorem A. When $r > 0$, ξ_1, ξ_2, \dots is strongly dependent. We mainly focus on the proof of Theorem 1 for this case.

Remark 2. In the above definition of ρ_n , when $n = 1$, the definition is incompatible. In the paper, we mainly consider the case of $n \rightarrow \infty$. So here, n may be assumed in a neighborhood of $+\infty$ and the incompatibility doesn't result in the invalidation of our argument.

2 Auxiliary lemmas

In this section, we present and prove some lemmas which are useful in our proof of the main result.

Lemma 2.1. Assume $|r_n - \rho_n| \log n (\log \log n)^{1+\varepsilon} = O(1)$. Let the constants u_n be such that $n(1 - \Phi(u_n))$ is bounded where Φ is standard normal distribution function. Then

$$\sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} k \sum_{j=1}^n |r_j - \rho_n| \exp\left(-\frac{u_k^2 + u_n^2}{2(1 + |\omega_j|)}\right) \ll (\log \log n)^{-(1+\varepsilon)}, \quad (8)$$

and

$$\sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} k \sum_{j=1}^n |r_j - \rho_n| \exp\left(-\frac{u_n^2}{1 + |\omega_j|}\right) \ll (\log \log n)^{-(1+\varepsilon)}, \quad (9)$$

where $\omega_j = \max\{|r_j|, \rho_n\}$.

Proof. The proof of (8). According to Leadbetter et al.[LLR83], we have $\sigma_1(k) := \sup_{k < m \leq n} |r_m| < 1$ when $r_n \rightarrow 0$. By assumption, we have $\sigma_2(k) := \sup_{k < m \leq n} |\rho_m| < 1$. Therefore, we have $\sigma(k) := \sup_{k < m \leq n} |\omega_m| < 1$. By assumption again, $n(1 - \Phi(u_n)) \leq K$ for some constant $K > 0$. Define $\{v_n\}$ by $v_n = u_n$, if $n \leq K$ and $n(1 - \Phi(v_n)) = K$, if $n > K$. Then clearly $u_n \geq v_n$ and hence

$$k \sum_{j=1}^n |r_j - \rho_n| \exp\left(-\frac{u_k^2 + u_n^2}{2(1 + |\omega_j|)}\right) \leq k \sum_{j=1}^n |r_j - \rho_n| \exp\left(-\frac{v_k^2 + v_n^2}{2(1 + |\omega_j|)}\right). \quad (10)$$

Then it is sufficient to prove (8) for the sequence $\{v_n\}$. Using a usual fact

$$1 - \Phi(x) \sim \frac{\phi(x)}{x}, x \rightarrow \infty, \quad (11)$$

we can write that

$$\exp\left(-\frac{v_n^2}{2}\right) \sim \frac{K\sqrt{2\pi}v_n}{n}, v_n \sim (2\log n)^{1/2} \quad (12)$$

Define α to be $0 < \alpha < (1 - \sigma(0))/(1 + \sigma(0))$. Write

$$\begin{aligned} & k \sum_{j=1}^n |r_j - \rho_n| \exp\left(-\frac{v_k^2 + v_n^2}{2(1 + |\omega_j|)}\right) \\ &= k \sum_{1 \leq j \leq [n^\alpha]} |r_j - \rho_n| \exp\left(-\frac{v_k^2 + v_n^2}{2(1 + |\omega_j|)}\right) + k \sum_{[n^\alpha] < j \leq n} |r_j - \rho_n| \exp\left(-\frac{v_k^2 + v_n^2}{2(1 + |\omega_j|)}\right) \\ &= T_1 + T_2. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Using (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &\leq kn^\alpha \exp\left(-\frac{v_k^2 + v_n^2}{2(1 + \sigma(0))}\right) = kn^\alpha \left(\exp\left(-\frac{v_k^2 + v_n^2}{2}\right)\right)^{1/(1+\sigma(0))} \\ &\ll kn^\alpha \left(\frac{v_k v_n}{kn}\right)^{1/(1+\sigma(0))} \ll k^{1-1/(1+\sigma(0))} n^{\alpha-1/(1+\sigma(0))} (\log k \log n)^{1/2(1+\sigma(0))} \\ &\ll n^{1+\alpha-2/(1+\sigma(0))} (\log n)^{1/(1+\sigma(0))}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Since $1 + \alpha - 2/(1 + \sigma(0)) < 0$, we know $T_1 \ll n^{-\delta}$, for some $\delta > 0$, uniformly for $1 \leq k \leq n$. For the estimation of the bound of T_2 , we can let $p = \lceil n^\alpha \rceil$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} T_2 &\leq k \exp\left(-\frac{v_k^2 + v_n^2}{2(1 + \sigma(p))}\right) \sum_{p+1 \leq j \leq n} |r_j - \rho_n| \\ &= \frac{nk}{\log n} \exp\left(-\frac{v_k^2 + v_n^2}{2(1 + \sigma(p))}\right) \frac{\log n}{n} \sum_{p+1 \leq j \leq n} |r_j - \rho_n|. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

As $r_n \log n \rightarrow r$, there must be a constant C such that $r_n \log n \leq C$, for $n \geq 1$. Using (12), similarly to the proof of Lemma 6.4.1 in Leadbetter et al.[LLR83], it can be shown

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{nk}{\log n} \exp\left(-\frac{v_k^2 + v_n^2}{2(1 + \sigma(p))}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{nk}{\log n} \exp\left(-\frac{v_k^2 + v_n^2}{2(1 + C/\log n^\alpha)}\right) \\ &= \frac{nk}{\log n} \left(\exp\left(-\frac{v_k^2}{2}\right)\right)^{1/(1+C/\log n^\alpha)} \left(\exp\left(-\frac{v_n^2}{2}\right)\right)^{1/(1+C/\log n^\alpha)} \\ &\ll C \left(\frac{n^2}{\log n} \left(\frac{v_n}{n}\right)^{2/(1+C/\log n^\alpha)}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{k^2}{\log k} \left(\frac{v_k}{k}\right)^{2/(1+C/\log n^\alpha)}\right)^{1/2} \\ &\ll C n^{(C/\log n^\alpha)/(1+C/\log n^\alpha)} = O(1), \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

and

$$\frac{\log n}{n} \sum_{p+1 \leq j \leq n} |r_j - \rho_n| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha n} \sum_{p+1 \leq j \leq n} |r_j \log j - r| + r \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p+1 \leq j \leq n} \left|1 - \frac{\log n}{\log j}\right|. \tag{17}$$

Consider the first term on the right-hand side, using (6), we have

$$\frac{1}{\alpha n} \sum_{p+1 \leq j \leq n} |r_j \log j - r| \ll \frac{1}{\alpha n} \sum_{p+1 \leq j \leq n} (\log \log j)^{-(1+\varepsilon)} \ll (\log \log n)^{-(1+\varepsilon)}. \tag{18}$$

According to Leadbetter et al.[LLR83](page 135), we can write

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{p+1 \leq j \leq n} \left|1 - \frac{\log n}{\log j}\right| = O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha \log n} \int_0^1 |\log x| dx\right) \ll (\log \log n)^{-(1+\varepsilon)}. \tag{19}$$

Combining (15), (16), (17), (18) and (19), we have $T_2 \ll (\log \log n)^{-(1+\varepsilon)}$. Clearly (8) follows, (9) does similarly. The proof is completed.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\tilde{\xi}_1, \tilde{\xi}_2, \dots, \tilde{\xi}_n$ be standard stationary Gaussian variables with constant covariance $\rho_n = r/\log n$ and $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n$ satisfy the conditions of the Theorem. Denote $\tilde{M}_n = \max_{i \leq n} \tilde{\xi}_i$ and $M_n = \max_{i \leq n} \xi_i$. Assume $n(1 - \Phi(u_n))$ is bounded and (6) is satisfied. Then

$$|E(I(M_n \leq u_n) - I(\tilde{M}_n \leq u_n))| \ll (\log \log n)^{-(1+\varepsilon)} \tag{20}$$

Proof. Using Theorem C and Lemma 2.1, the proof can be gained simply.

Lemma 2.3. Let η_1, η_2, \dots be a sequence of bounded random variables. If

$$\text{Var} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \eta_k \right) \ll \log^2 n (\log \log n)^{-(1+\varepsilon)} \text{ for some } \varepsilon > 0, \quad (21)$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} (\eta_k - E\eta_k) = 0 \text{ a.s.} \quad (22)$$

Proof. The proof can be found in Csáki and Gonchigdanzan [CG02].

3 Proof of main result

The proof of Theorem. When $a_n = (2 \log n)^{1/2}$, $b_n = (2 \log n)^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2}(2 \log n)^{-1/2}(\log \log n + \log(4\pi))$, we have $u_n = x/a_n + b_n$ satisfying $n(1 - \Phi(u_n)) < C$. Under the assumptions, we firstly show

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} (I(M_k \leq u_k) - P(M_k \leq u_k)) = 0 \text{ a.s.} \quad (23)$$

Using Lemma 2.3, it is sufficient to prove

$$\text{Var} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} I(M_k \leq u_k) \right) \ll \log^2 n (\log \log n)^{-(1+\varepsilon)} \text{ for some } \varepsilon > 0. \quad (24)$$

Let $\zeta, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots$ be independent standard normal variables. Obviously $(1 - \rho_k)^{1/2} \zeta_1 + \rho_k^{1/2} \zeta$, $(1 - \rho_k)^{1/2} \zeta_2 + \rho_k^{1/2} \zeta, \dots$ have constant covariance $\rho_k = r/\log k$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} M_k(\rho_k) &= \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} ((1 - \rho_k)^{1/2} \zeta_i + \rho_k^{1/2} \zeta) = (1 - \rho_k)^{1/2} \max(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_k) + \rho_k^{1/2} \zeta \\ &=: (1 - \rho_k)^{1/2} M_k(0) + \rho_k^{1/2} \zeta. \end{aligned}$$

Using the well-known c_2 - inequality, the left-hand side of (24) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Var} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} I(M_k \leq u_k) - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} I(M_k(\rho_k) \leq u_k) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} I(M_k(\rho_k) \leq u_k) \right) \\ & \leq 2 \left(\text{Var} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} I(M_k(\rho_k) \leq u_k) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \text{Var} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} I(M_k \leq u_k) - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} I(M_k(\rho_k) \leq u_k) \right) \right) \\ & =: L_1 + L_2. \end{aligned}$$

We will show $L_i \ll \log^2 n (\log \log n)^{-(1+\varepsilon)}$, $i=1,2$. For $i = 1$, Write L_1 as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & E \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} (I(M_k(\rho_k) \leq u_k) - P(M_k(\rho_k) \leq u_k)) \right)^2 \\
 &= E \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} (I(M_k(0) \leq (1 - \rho_k)^{-1/2}(u_k - \rho_k^{1/2}\zeta)) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - P(M_k(0) \leq (1 - \rho_k)^{-1/2}(u_k - \rho_k^{1/2}\zeta)) \right)^2 \\
 &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} E \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} (I(M_k(0) \leq (1 - \rho_k)^{-1/2}(u_k - \rho_k^{1/2}z)) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - P(M_k(0) \leq (1 - \rho_k)^{-1/2}(u_k - \rho_k^{1/2}z)) \right)^2 d\Phi(z) \\
 &=: \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} E \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \eta_k \right)^2 d\Phi(z). \tag{25}
 \end{aligned}$$

Write

$$\begin{aligned}
 E \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \eta_k \right)^2 &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^2} E|\eta_k|^2 + 2 \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} \frac{|E(\eta_k \eta_l)|}{kl} \\
 &=: H_1 + H_2. \tag{26}
 \end{aligned}$$

$H_1 \ll \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^2} < \infty$. For H_2 , note

$$\begin{aligned}
 |E(\eta_k \eta_l)| &\leq |\text{Cov}(I(M_k(0) \leq (1 - \rho_k)^{-1/2}(u_k - \rho_k^{1/2}z)), \\
 &\quad I(M_l(0) \leq (1 - \rho_l)^{-1/2}(u_l - \rho_l^{1/2}z)) - I(M_{k,l}(0) \leq (1 - \rho_l)^{-1/2}(u_l - \rho_l^{1/2}z))| \\
 &\ll E|I(M_l(0) \leq (1 - \rho_l)^{-1/2}(u_l - \rho_l^{1/2}z)) - I(M_{k,l}(0) \leq (1 - \rho_l)^{-1/2}(u_l - \rho_l^{1/2}z))| \\
 &= P(M_{k,l}(0) \leq (1 - \rho_l)^{-1/2}(u_l - \rho_l^{1/2}z)) - P(M_l(0) \leq (1 - \rho_l)^{-1/2}(u_l - \rho_l^{1/2}z)) \\
 &= \Phi^{1-k}((1 - \rho_l)^{-1/2}(u_l - \rho_l^{1/2}z)) - \Phi^1((1 - \rho_l)^{-1/2}(u_l - \rho_l^{1/2}z)) \\
 &\leq \frac{k}{l}.
 \end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$H_2 \ll \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} \frac{1}{kl} \left(\frac{k}{l} \right) \ll \log n \ll (\log n)^2 (\log \log n)^{-(1+\varepsilon)}. \tag{27}$$

Combining (25), (26) and (27), we can get $L_1 \ll \log^2 n (\log \log n)^{-(1+\varepsilon)}$. For $i = 2$, write L_2 as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Var} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} (I(M_k(\rho_k) \leq u_k) - I(M_k \leq u_k)) \right) \\
 & \leq E \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} (I(M_k(\rho_k) \leq u_k) - I(M_k \leq u_k)) \right)^2 \\
 & = E \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^2} (I(M_k(\rho_k) \leq u_k) - I(M_k \leq u_k))^2 \right) \\
 & \quad + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{|E((I(M_i(\rho_i) \leq u_i) - I(M_i \leq u_i))(I(M_j(\rho_j) \leq u_j) - I(M_j \leq u_j)))|}{ij} \\
 & =: J_1 + J_2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Obviously $J_1 < \infty$. To estimate J_2 , using Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |E((I(M_i(\rho_i) \leq u_i) - I(M_i \leq u_i))(I(M_j(\rho_j) \leq u_j) - I(M_j \leq u_j)))| \\
 & \leq |E(I(M_j(\rho_j) \leq u_j) - I(M_j \leq u_j))| \ll (\log \log j)^{-(1+\varepsilon)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_2 & \ll \sum_{j=3}^n \frac{1}{j(\log \log j)^{1+\varepsilon}} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{1}{i} \ll \sum_{j=3}^n \frac{\log j}{j(\log \log j)^{1+\varepsilon}} \\
 & \ll \log n \sum_{j=3}^n \frac{1}{j(\log \log j)^{1+\varepsilon}} \ll (\log n)^2 (\log \log n)^{-(1+\varepsilon)}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Combining (28) and (29) induces $L_2 \ll \log^2 n (\log \log n)^{-(1+\varepsilon)}$.

Secondly, according to Leadbetter et al. [LLR83] (page 136), we have $P\{a_n(M_n - b_n) \leq x\} \rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-e^{-x-r+\sqrt{2rz}}) \phi(z) dz$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Clearly this induces

$$\frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} P(M_k \leq u_k) \rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-e^{-x-r+\sqrt{2rz}}) \phi(z) dz \text{ a.s.},$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The conclusion follows.

Acknowledgements The author would like to thank the referee for his careful reading of the manuscript and many constructive comments which improving the paper greatly and the editor for his careful working.

References

- [BC01] I. Berkes and E. Csáki. A universal result in almost sure central limit theory. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 94, 105-134, 2001. MR1835848
- [CG02] E. Csáki and K. Gonchigdanzan. Almost sure limit theorem for the maximum of stationary Gaussian sequences, *Statist. Probab. Lett.* 58, 195-203, 2002. MR1914918

-
- [CL06] S. Chen and Z. Lin. Almost sure max-limits for nonstationary Gaussian sequence, *Statist. Probab. Lett.* 76, 1175-1184, 2006. MR2269290
- [CPQ98] S. Cheng, L. Peng and Y. Qi. Almost sure convergence in extreme value theory, *Math. Nachr.* 190, 43-50, 1998. MR1611675
- [FS98] I. Fahrner and U. Stadtmüller. On almost sure max-limit theorems, *Statist. Probab. Lett.* 37, 229-236, 1998. MR1614934
- [LLR83] M. R. Leadbetter, G. Lindgren and H. Rootzén. *Extremes and Related Properties of random sequences and processes*, Springer, New York, 1983. MR0691492