ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS in PROBABILITY

ON THE CHUNG-DIACONIS-GRAHAM RANDOM PRO-CESS

MARTIN HILDEBRAND

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University at Albany, State University of New York, Albany, NY 12222 USA email: martinhi@math.albany.edu

Submitted November 5, 2006, accepted in final form December 11, 2006

AMS 2000 Subject classification: 60B15, 60J10 Keywords: Random processes, discrete Fourier analysis

Abstract

Chung, Diaconis, and Graham considered random processes of the form $X_{n+1} = 2X_n + b_n \pmod{p}$ where $X_0 = 0$, p is odd, and b_n for $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ are i.i.d. random variables on $\{-1, 0, 1\}$. If $\Pr(b_n = -1) = \Pr(b_n = 1) = \beta$ and $\Pr(b_n = 0) = 1 - 2\beta$, they asked which value of β makes X_n get close to uniformly distributed on the integers mod p the slowest. In this paper, we extend the results of Chung, Diaconis, and Graham in the case $p = 2^t - 1$ to show that for $0 < \beta \le 1/2$, there is no such value of β .

1 Introduction

In [1], Chung, Diaconis, and Graham considered random processes of the form $X_{n+1} = 2X_n + b_n \pmod{p}$ where p is an odd integer, $X_0 = 0$, and b_0, b_1, b_2, \ldots are i.i.d. random variables. This process is also described in Diaconis [2], and generalizations involving random processes of the form $X_{n+1} = a_n X_n + b_n \pmod{p}$ where (a_i, b_i) for $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ are i.i.d. were considered by the author in [3] and [4]. A question asked in [1] concerns cases where $\Pr(b_n = 1) = \Pr(b_n = -1) = \beta$ and $\Pr(b_n = 0) = 1 - 2\beta$. If $\beta = 1/4$ or $\beta = 1/2$, then P_n is close to the uniform distribution (in variation distance) on the integers mod p if n is a large enough multiple of $\log p$ where $P_n(s) = \Pr(X_n = s)$. If $\beta = 1/3$, however, for n a small enough multiple of $(\log p) \log(\log p)$, the variation distance $||P_n - U||$ is far from 0 for certain values of p such as $p = 2^t - 1$. Chung, Diaconis, and Graham comment "It would be interesting to know which value of β maximizes the value of N required for $||P_N - U|| \rightarrow 0$."

If $\beta = 0$, then $X_n = 0$ with probability 1 for all n. Thus we shall only consider the case $\beta > 0$. We shall show that unless $\beta = 1/4$ or $\beta = 1/2$, then there exists a value $c_{\beta} > 0$ such that for certain values of p (namely $p = 2^t - 1$), if $n \le c_{\beta}(\log p) \log(\log p)$, then $||P_n - U|| \to 1$ as $t \to \infty$. Furthermore, one can have $c_{\beta} \to \infty$ as $\beta \to 0^+$. Work of the author [3] shows that for each β , there is a value c'_{β} such that if $n \ge c'_{\beta}(\log p) \log(\log p)$, then $||P_n - U|| \to 0$ as $p \to \infty$. Thus one may conclude that there is no value of β which maximizes the value of N required for $||P_N - U|| \to 0$. This paper will consider a broader class of distributions for b_n . In particular, $\Pr(b_n = 1)$ need not equal $\Pr(b_n = -1)$. The main argument here relies on a generalization of an argument in [1].

2 Notation and Main Theorem

Recall that the variation distance of a probability P on a finite group G from the uniform distribution on G is given by

$$\begin{split} \|P - U\| &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \in G} |P(s) - 1/|G|| \\ &= \max_{A \subseteq G} |P(A) - U(A)| \\ &= \sum_{s:P(s) > 1/|G|} |P(s) - 1/|G| \end{split}$$

The following assumptions are used in the main theorem. Suppose $Pr(b_n = 1) = a$, $Pr(b_n = 0) = b$, and $Pr(b_n = -1) = c$. We assume a + b + c = 1 and a, b, and c are all less than 1. Suppose b_0, b_1, b_2, \ldots are i.i.d. and $X_0 = 0$. Suppose $X_{n+1} = 2X_n + b_n \pmod{p}$ and p is odd. Let $P_n(s) = Pr(X_n = s)$. The theorem itself follows:

Theorem 1 Case 1: Suppose either b = 0 and a = c = 1/2 or b = 1/2. If $n > c_1 \log_2 p$ where $c_1 > 1$ is constant, then $||P_n - U|| \to 0$ as $p \to \infty$ where p is an odd integer.

Case 2: Suppose a, b, and c do not satisfy the conditions in Case 1. Then there exists a value c_2 (depending on a, b, and c) such that if $n < c_2(\log p) \log(\log p)$ and $p = 2^t - 1$, then $||P_n - U|| \to 1$ as $t \to \infty$.

3 Proof of Case 1

First let's consider the case where b = 1/2. Then $b_n = e_n + d_n$ where e_n and d_n are independent random variables with $\Pr(e_n = 0) = \Pr(e_n = 1) = 1/2$, $\Pr(d_n = -1) = 2c$, and $\Pr(d_n = 0) = 2a$. (Note that here a + c = 1/2 = b. Thus 2a + 2c = 1.) Observe that

$$X_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} 2^{n-1-j} b_j \pmod{p}$$

=
$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} 2^{n-1-j} e_j + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} 2^{n-1-j} d_j \pmod{p}$$

Let

$$Y_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} 2^{n-1-j} e_j \pmod{p}.$$

If P_n is the probability distribution of X_n (i.e. $P_n(s) = \Pr(X_n = s)$) and Q_n is the probability distribution of Y_n , then the independence of e_n and d_n implies $||P_n - U|| \le ||Q_n - U||$. Observe

that on the integers, $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} 2^{n-1-j} e_j$ is uniformly distributed on the set $\{0, 1, \ldots, 2^n - 1\}$. Each element of the integers mod p appears either $\lfloor 2^n/p \rfloor$ times or $\lceil 2^n/p \rceil$ times. Thus

$$\|Q_n - U\| \le p\left(\frac{\lceil 2^n/p \rceil}{2^n} - \frac{1}{p}\right) \le \frac{p}{2^n}.$$

If $n > c_1 \log_2 p$ where $c_1 > 1$, then $2^n > p^{c_1}$ and $||Q_n - U|| \le 1/p^{c_1-1} \to 0$ as $p \to \infty$. The case where b = 0 and a = c = 1/2 is alluded to in [1] and left as an exercise.

4 Proof of Case 2

The proof of this case follows the proof of Theorem 2 in [1] with some modifications. Define, as in [1], the separating function $f : \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$f(k):=\sum_{j=0}^{t-1}q^{k2^j}$$

where $q := q(p) := e^{2\pi i/p}$. We shall suppose n = rt where r is an integer of the form $r = \delta \log t - d$ for a fixed value δ .

If $0 \le j \le t - 1$, define

$$\Pi_j := \prod_{\alpha=0}^{t-1} \left(aq^{(2^{\alpha}(2^j-1))} + b + cq^{-(2^{\alpha}(2^j-1))} \right).$$

Note that if a = b = c = 1/3, then this expression is the same as Π_j defined in the proof of Theorem 2 in [1].

As in the proof of Theorem 2 in [1], $E_U(f) = 0$ and $E_U(f\overline{f}) = t$. Furthermore

$$E_{P_n}(f) = \sum_k P_n(k)f(k)$$

= $\sum_k \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} P_n(k)q^{k2^j}$
= $\sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \hat{P}_n(2^j)$
= $\sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \prod_{\alpha=0}^{t-1} \left(aq^{2^{\alpha}2^j/p} + b + cq^{-2^{\alpha}2^j/p}\right)^r$
= $t\Pi_1^r$.

Also note

$$E_{P_n}(f\overline{f}) = \sum_k P_n(k)f(k)\overline{f}(k)$$

= $\sum_k \sum_{j,j'} P_n(k)q^{k(2^j - 2^{j'})}$
= $\sum_{j,j'} \hat{P}_n(2^j - 2^{j'})$
= $\sum_{j,j'} \prod_{\alpha=0}^{t-1} \left(aq^{2^{\alpha}(2^j - 2^{j'})} + b + cq^{-2^{\alpha}(2^j - 2^{j'})}\right)^r$
= $t \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \prod_j^r.$

(Note that the expressions for $E_{P_N}(f)$ and $E_{P_N}(f\overline{f})$ in the proof of Theorem 2 of [1] have some minor misprints.)

The (complex) variances of f under U and P_n are $\operatorname{Var}_U(f) = t$ and

$$Var_{P_n}(f) = E_{P_n}(|f - E_{P_n}(f)|^2) = E_{P_N}(f\overline{f}) - E_{P_n}(f)E_{P_n}(\overline{f}) = t \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \Pi_j^r - t^2 |\Pi_1|^{2r}.$$

Like [1], we use the following complex form of Chebyshev's inequality for any Q:

$$Q\left(\left\{x: |f(x) - E_Q(f)| \ge \alpha \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}_Q(f)}\right\}\right) \le 1/\alpha^2$$

where $\alpha > 0$. Thus

$$U\left(\left\{x:|f(x)|\geq\alpha t^{1/2}\right\}\right)\leq 1/\alpha^2$$

and

$$P_n\left(\left\{x: |f(x) - t\Pi_1^r| \ge \beta\left(t\sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \Pi_j^r - t^2 |\Pi_1|^{2r}\right)^{1/2}\right\}\right) \le 1/\beta^2.$$

Let A and B denote the complements of these 2 sets; thus $U(A) \ge 1 - 1/\alpha^2$ and $P_n(B) \ge 1 - 1/\beta^2$. If A and B are disjoint, then $||P_n - U|| \ge 1 - 1/\alpha^2 - 1/\beta^2$. Suppose r is an integer with

$$r = \frac{\log t}{2\log(1/|\Pi_1|)} - \lambda$$

where $\lambda \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$ but $\lambda \ll \log t$. Then $t|\Pi_1|^r = t^{1/2}|\Pi_1|^{-\lambda} \gg t^{1/2}$. Observe that the fact a, b, and c do not satisfy the conditions in Case 1 implies $|\Pi_1|$ is bounded away from 0 as $t \to \infty$. Furthermore $|\Pi_1|$ is bounded away from 1 for a given a, b, and c.

In contrast, let's consider what happens to $|\Pi_1|$ if a, b, and c do satisfy the condition in Case 1. If b = 1/2, then the $\alpha = t - 1$ term in the definition of Π_1 converges to 0 as $t \to \infty$ and thus Π_1 also converges to 0 as $t \to \infty$ since each other term has length at most 1. If a = c = 1/2and b = 0, then the $\alpha = t - 2$ term in the definition of Π_1 converges to 0 as $t \to \infty$ and thus Π_1 also converges to 0 as $t \to \infty$.

Claim 1

$$\frac{1}{t}\sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \left(\frac{\Pi_j}{|\Pi_1|^2}\right)^r \to 1$$

as $t \to \infty$.

Note that this claim implies $(\operatorname{Var}_{P_n}(f))^{1/2} = o(E_{P_n}(f))$ and thus Case 2 of Theorem 1 follows. Note that $\Pi_0 = 1$. By Proposition 1 below, $\overline{\Pi}_j = \Pi_{t-j}$. Thus $t \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \Pi_j^r$ is real. Also note that since $\operatorname{Var}_{P_n}(f) \ge 0$, we have

$$\frac{t\sum_{j=0}^{t-1}\Pi_j^r}{t^2|\Pi_1|^{2r}} \ge 1.$$

Thus to prove the claim, it suffices to show

$$\frac{1}{t} \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \left(\frac{|\Pi_j|}{|\Pi_1|^2} \right)^r \to 1.$$

Proposition 1 $\overline{\Pi}_j = \Pi_{t-j}$.

Proof: Note that

$$\overline{\Pi}_{j} = \prod_{\alpha=0}^{t-1} \left(aq^{-(2^{\alpha}(2^{j}-1))} + b + cq^{(2^{\alpha}(2^{j}-1))} \right)$$

and

$$\Pi_{t-j} = \prod_{\beta=0}^{t-1} \left(aq^{(2^{\beta}(2^{t-j}-1))} + b + cq^{-(2^{\beta}(2^{t-j}-1))} \right).$$

If $j \leq \beta \leq t - 1$, then note

$$2^{\beta}(2^{t-j}-1) = 2^{\beta-j}(2^t-2^j)$$

= $2^{\beta-j}(1-2^j) \pmod{p}$
= $-2^{\beta-j}(2^j-1).$

Thus the terms in Π_{t-j} with $j \leq \beta \leq t-1$ are equal to the terms in $\overline{\Pi}_j$ with $0 \leq \alpha \leq t-j-1$. If $0 \leq \beta \leq j-1$, then note

$$2^{\beta}(2^{t-j}-1) = 2^{t+\beta}(2^{t-j}-1) \pmod{p}$$

= $2^{t+\beta-j}(2^t-2^j)$
= $2^{t+\beta-j}(1-2^j) \pmod{p}$
= $-2^{t+\beta-j}(2^j-1).$

Thus the terms in Π_{t-j} with $0 \le \beta \le j-1$ are equal to the terms in $\overline{\Pi}_j$ with $t-j \le \alpha \le t-1$. \Box

Now let's prove the claim. Let $G(x) = |ae^{2\pi ix} + b + ce^{-2\pi ix}|$. Thus

$$|\Pi_j| = \prod_{\alpha=0}^{t-1} G(2^{\alpha}(2^j - 1)/p).$$

Note that if $0 \le x < y \le 1/4$, then G(x) > G(y). On the interval [1/4, 1/2], where G increases and where G decreases depends on a, b, and c.

We shall prove a couple of facts analogous to facts in [1].

Fact 1: There exists a value t_0 (possibly depending on a, b, and c) such that if $t > t_0$, then $|\Pi_j| \le |\Pi_1|$ for all $j \ge 1$.

Since G(x) = G(1 - x), in proving this fact we may assume without loss of generality that $2 \le j \le t/2$. Note that

$$|\Pi_j| = \prod_{i=0}^{t-j-1} G\left(\frac{2^{i+j}-2^i}{p}\right) \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} G\left(\frac{2^{i+t-j}-2^i}{p}\right).$$

We associate factors x from $|\Pi_j|$ with corresponding factors $\pi(x)$ of $|\Pi_1|$ in a manner similar to that in [1]. For $0 \le i \le t - j - 2$, associate $G((2^{i+j} - 2^i)/p)$ with $G(2^{i+j-1}/p)$. Note that for $0 \le i \le t - j - 2$, we have $G((2^{i+j} - 2^i)/p) \le G(2^{i+j-1}/p)$. For $0 \le i \le j - 3$, associate $G((2^{i+t-j} - 2^i)/p)$ in $|\Pi_j|$ with $G(2^i/p)$ in $|\Pi_1|$. Note that for $0 \le i \le j - 3$, we have $G((2^{i+t-j} - 2^i)/p) \le G(2^i/p)$.

The remaining terms in $|\Pi_j|$ are

$$G\left(\frac{2^{t-1}-2^{t-j-1}}{p}\right)G\left(\frac{2^{t-1}-2^{j-1}}{p}\right)G\left(\frac{2^{t-2}-2^{j-2}}{p}\right)$$

and the remaining terms in $|\Pi_1|$ are

$$G\left(\frac{2^{t-1}}{p}\right)G\left(\frac{2^{t-2}}{p}\right)G\left(\frac{2^{j-2}}{p}\right).$$

It can be shown that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{G\left(\frac{2^{t-1} - 2^{t-j-1}}{p}\right) G\left(\frac{2^{t-1} - 2^{j-1}}{p}\right) G\left(\frac{2^{t-2} - 2^{j-2}}{p}\right)}{G\left(\frac{2^{t-1}}{p}\right) G\left(\frac{2^{t-2}}{p}\right) G\left(\frac{2^{j-2}}{p}\right)} = \frac{G(1/2)}{G(0)} < 1.$$

Indeed, for some t_0 , if $t > t_0$ and $2 \le j \le t/2$,

$$G\left(\frac{2^{t-1}-2^{t-j-1}}{p}\right)G\left(\frac{2^{t-1}-2^{j-1}}{p}\right)G\left(\frac{2^{t-2}-2^{j-2}}{p}\right) \\ \leq G\left(\frac{2^{t-1}}{p}\right)G\left(\frac{2^{t-2}}{p}\right)G\left(\frac{2^{j-2}}{p}\right).$$

Fact 2: There exists a value t_1 (possibly depending on a, b, and c) such that if $t > t_1$, then the following holds. There is a constant c_0 such that for $t^{1/3} \le j \le t/2$, we have

$$\frac{|\Pi_j|}{|\Pi_1|^2} \le 1 + \frac{c_0}{2^j}$$

To prove this fact, we associate, for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, j - 1$, the terms

$$G\left(\frac{2^{t-i-1}-2^{j-i-1}}{p}\right)G\left(\frac{2^{t-i-1}-2^{t-j-i-1}}{p}\right)$$

in $|\Pi_j|$ with the terms

$$\left(G\left(\frac{2^{t-i-1}}{p}\right)\right)^2$$

in $|\Pi_1|^2$. Suppose $A = \max |G'(x)|$. Note that $A < \infty$. Then

$$\left|G\left(\frac{2^{t-i-1}-2^{j-i-1}}{p}\right)\right| \le \left|G\left(\frac{2^{t-i-1}}{p}\right)\right| + A\frac{2^{j-i-1}}{p}.$$

Thus

$$\frac{\left|G\left(\frac{2^{t-i-1}-2^{j-i-1}}{p}\right)\right|}{\left|G\left(\frac{2^{t-i-1}}{p}\right)\right|} \le 1 + A\frac{2^{j-i-1}}{p\left|G\left(\frac{2^{t-i-1}}{p}\right)\right|}.$$

Likewise

$$\frac{\left|G\left(\frac{2^{t-i-1}-2^{t-j-i-1}}{p}\right)\right|}{\left|G\left(\frac{2^{t-i-1}}{p}\right)\right|} \le 1 + A\frac{2^{t-j-i-1}}{p\left|G\left(\frac{2^{t-i-1}}{p}\right)\right|}.$$

Since we do not have the conditions for Case 1, there is a positive value B and value t_2 such that if $t > t_2$, then $|G(2^{t-i-1}/p)| > B$ for all i with $0 \le i \le j-1$. By an exercise, one can verify

$$\prod_{i=0}^{j-1} \frac{\left| G\left(\frac{2^{t-i-1}-2^{j-i-1}}{p}\right) G\left(\frac{2^{t-i-1}-2^{t-j-i-1}}{p}\right) \right|}{\left| G\left(\frac{2^{t-i-1}}{p}\right) \right|^2} \le 1 + \frac{c_3}{2^j}$$

for some value c_3 not depending on j.

Note that the remaining terms in $|\Pi_j|$ all have length less than 1. The remaining terms in $|\Pi_1|^2$ are

$$\prod_{i=j}^{t-1} \left| G\left(\frac{2^{t-i-1}}{p}\right) \right|^2.$$

Since G'(0) = 0, there are positive constants c_4 and c_5 such that

$$\left| G\left(\frac{2^{t-i-1}}{p}\right) \right| \ge 1 - c_4 \left(\frac{2^{t-i-1}}{p}\right)^2 \ge \exp\left(-c_5 \frac{2^{t-i-1}}{p}\right)$$

for $i \ge j \ge t^{1/3}$. Observe

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=j}^{t-1} \exp\left(-c_5 \frac{2^{t-i-1}}{p}\right) &= \exp\left(-c_5 \sum_{i=j}^{t-1} 2^{t-i-1}/p\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-c_5 \sum_{k=0}^{t-j-1} 2^k/p\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-c_5 \frac{2^{t-j}-1}{2^t-1}\right) \\ &> \exp\left(-c_5 \frac{2^{t-j}}{2^t}\right) \\ &= \exp(-c_5/2^j) > 1 - c_5/2^j. \end{aligned}$$

There exists a constant c_0 such that

$$\frac{1+c_3/2^j}{(1-c_5/2^j)^2} \le 1+c_0/2^j$$

for $j \ge 1$. Thus, as in [1],

$$\sum_{t^{1/3} \le j \le t/2} \left| \left(\frac{|\Pi_j|}{|\Pi_1|^2} \right)^r - 1 \right| \le \frac{c_6 tr}{2^{t^{1/3}}} < \frac{c_7}{2^{t^{1/4}}}$$

for values c_6 and c_7 . Since $|\Pi_j| = |\Pi_{t-j}|$,

$$\frac{1}{t} \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \left(\frac{|\Pi_j|}{|\Pi_1|^2} \right)^r \leq \frac{1}{t} \frac{1}{|\Pi_1|^{2r}} + \frac{2}{t} \left(\sum_{1 \le j < t^{1/3}} \left(\frac{|\Pi_j|}{|\Pi_1|^2} \right)^r + \sum_{t^{1/3} \le j \le t/2} \left(\frac{|\Pi_j|}{|\Pi_1|^2} \right)^r \right)$$
$$= 1 + o(1)$$

as $t \to \infty$. Thus Fact 2, the claim, and Theorem 1 are proved. The next proposition considers what happens as we vary the values a, b, and c.

Proposition 2 If $a = c = \beta$ and $b = 1 - 2\beta$ and $m_{\beta} = \liminf_{t \to \infty} |\Pi_1|$, then $\lim_{\beta \to 0^+} m_{\beta} = 1$.

Proof: Suppose $\beta < 1/4$. Then

$$\Pi_1 = \prod_{\alpha=0}^{t-1} \left((1-2\beta) + 2\beta \cos(2\pi 2^{\alpha}/p) \right).$$

Let $h(\alpha) = (1 - 2\beta) + 2\beta \cos(2\pi 2^{\alpha}/p)$. Note that

$$\lim_{\substack{\beta \to 0^+}} h(t-1) = 1$$
$$\lim_{\substack{\beta \to 0^+}} h(t-2) = 1$$
$$\lim_{\substack{\beta \to 0^+}} h(t-3) = 1$$

Furthermore, for some constant $\gamma > 0$, one can show

$$h(\alpha) > \exp(-\beta\gamma (2^{\alpha}/p)^2)$$

if $2^{\alpha}/p \leq 1/8$ and $0 < \beta < 1/10$. So

$$\prod_{\alpha=0}^{t-4} h(\alpha) > \prod_{\alpha=0}^{t-4} \exp(-\beta\gamma (2^{\alpha}/p)^2)$$
$$= \exp\left(-\beta\gamma \sum_{\alpha=0}^{t-4} (2^{\alpha}/p)^2\right)$$
$$> \exp(-\beta\gamma 2^{2(t-4)} (4/3)/p^2) \to 1$$

as $\beta \to 0^+$. Recalling that

$$= \frac{\log t}{2\log(1/|\Pi_1|)} - \lambda,$$

1

we see that $1/(2\log(1/|\Pi_1|))$ can be made arbitrarily large by choosing β small enough. Thus there exist values $c_{\beta} \to \infty$ as $\beta \to 0^+$ such that if $n \leq c_{\beta}(\log p)\log(\log p)$, then $||P_n - U|| \to 1$ as $t \to \infty$.

5 Problems for further study

One possible problem is to see if in some sense, there is a value of β on [1/4, 1/2] which maximizes the value of N required for $||P_N - U|| \rightarrow 0$; to consider such a question, one might restrict p to values such that $p = 2^t - 1$.

Another possible question considers the behavior of these random processes for almost all odd p. For $\beta = 1/3$, Chung, Diaconis, and Graham [1] showed that a multiple of $\log p$ steps suffice for almost all odd p. While their arguments should be adaptable with the change of appropriate constants to a broad range of choices of a, b, and c in Case 2, a more challenging question is to determine for which a, b, and c in Case 2 (if any), $(1 + o(1)) \log_2 p$ steps suffice for almost all odd p.

6 Acknowledgments

The author thanks Ron Graham for mentioning the problem at a January, 2005, conference on the Mathematics of Persi Diaconis. The author also thanks Robin Pemantle for a conversation on the topic and the participants in the Probability and Related Fields Seminar at the University at Albany for listening to some ideas on the problem.

References

- Chung, F., Diaconis, P., and Graham, R. A random walk problem arising in random number generation. Ann. Probab. 15 (1987), 1148-1165. MR0893921
- [2] Diaconis, P. Group Representations in Probability and Statistics. Institute of Mathematical Statistics, 1988. MR0964069

- [3] Hildebrand, M. Random processes of the form $X_{n+1} = a_n X_n + b_n \pmod{p}$. Ann. Probab. **21** (1993), 710-720. MR1217562
- [4] Hildebrand, M. Random processes of the form $X_{n+1} = a_n X_n + b_n \pmod{p}$ where b_n takes on a single value. pp. 153-174, *Random Discrete Structures*, ed. Aldous and Pemantle. Springer-Verlag, 1996. MR1395613