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#### Abstract

We consider a generalization of a result of Kida in classical Iwasawa theory which relates Iwasawa invariants of $p$-extensions of number fields. In this paper, we consider Selmer groups of a general class of Galois representations which includes the case of $p$-ordinary Hilbert modular forms and $p$-supersingular modular forms.


2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11R23
Keywords and Phrases: Iwasawa theory, Kida's formula

## 1. Introduction

Let $f$ be a modular eigenform of weight at least two and let $F$ be a finite abelian extension of $\mathbf{Q}$. Fix an odd prime $p$ at which $f$ is ordinary in the sense that the $p^{\text {th }}$ Fourier coefficient of $f$ is not divisible by $p$. In Iwasawa theory, one associates two objects to $f$ over the cyclotomic $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$-extension $F_{\infty}$ of $F$ : a Selmer group $\operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}, A_{f}\right)$ (where $A_{f}$ denotes the divisible version of the two-dimensional Galois representation attached to $f$ ) and a $p$-adic $L$-function $L_{p}\left(F_{\infty}, f\right)$. In this paper we prove a formula, generalizing work of Kida and Hachimori-Matsuno, relating the Iwasawa invariants of these objects over $F$ with their Iwasawa invariants over $p$-extensions of $F$.
For Selmer groups our results are significantly more general. Let $T$ be a lattice in a nearly ordinary $p$-adic Galois representation $V$; set $A=V / T$. When $\operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}, A\right)$ is a cotorsion Iwasawa module, its Iwasawa $\mu$-invariant $\mu^{\text {alg }}\left(F_{\infty}, A\right)$ is said to vanish if $\operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}, A\right)$ is cofinitely generated and its $\lambda$ invariant $\lambda^{\text {alg }}\left(F_{\infty}, A\right)$ is simply its $p$-adic corank. We prove the following result relating these invariants in a $p$-extension.

Theorem 1. Let $F^{\prime} / F$ be a finite Galois p-extension that is unramified at all places dividing $p$. Assume that $T$ satisfies the technical assumptions (1)-(5) of Section 2. If $\operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}, A\right)$ is $\Lambda$-cotorsion with $\mu^{\text {alg }}\left(F_{\infty}, A\right)=0$, then $\operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime}, A\right)$ is $\Lambda$-cotorsion with $\mu^{\text {alg }}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime}, A\right)=0$. Moreover, in this case

$$
\lambda^{\mathrm{alg}}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime}, A\right)=\left[F_{\infty}^{\prime}: F_{\infty}\right] \cdot \lambda^{\mathrm{alg}}\left(F_{\infty}, A\right)+\sum_{w^{\prime}} m\left(F_{\infty, w^{\prime}}^{\prime} / F_{\infty, w}, V\right)
$$

where the sum extends over places $w^{\prime}$ of $F_{\infty}^{\prime}$ which are ramified in $F_{\infty}^{\prime} / F_{\infty}$. If $V$ is associated to a cuspform $f$ and $F^{\prime}$ is an abelian extension of $\mathbf{Q}$, then the same results hold for the analytic Iwasawa invariants of $f$.

Here $m\left(F_{\infty, w^{\prime}}^{\prime} / F_{\infty, w}, V\right)$ is a certain difference of local multiplicities defined in Section 2.1. In the case of Galois representations associated to Hilbert modular forms, these local factors can be made quite explicit; see Section 4.1 for details. It follows from Theorem 1 and work of Kato that if the $p$-adic main conjecture holds for a modular form $f$ over $\mathbf{Q}$, then it holds for $f$ over all abelian $p$ extensions of $\mathbf{Q}$; see Section 4.2 for details.
These Riemann-Hurwitz type formulas were first discovered by Kida [5] in the context of $\lambda$-invariants of CM fields. More precisely, when $F^{\prime} / F$ is a $p$ extension of CM fields and $\mu^{-}\left(F_{\infty} / F\right)=0$, Kida gave a precise formula for $\lambda^{-}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime} / F^{\prime}\right)$ in terms of $\lambda^{-}\left(F_{\infty} / F\right)$ and local data involving the primes that ramify in $F^{\prime} / F$. (See also [4] for a representation theoretic interpretation of Kida's result.) A similar formula in a somewhat different setting was given for elliptic curves with complex multiplication at ordinary primes by Wingberg [12]; Hachimori-Matsuno [3] established the cyclotomic version in general. The analytic analogue was first established for ideal class groups by Sinnott [10] and for elliptic curves by Matsuno [7].
Our proof is most closely related to the arguments in [10] and [7] where congruences implicitly played a large role in their study of analytic $\lambda$-invariants. In this paper, we make the role of congruences more explicit and apply these methods to study both algebraic and analytic $\lambda$-invariants.
As is usual, we first reduce to the case where $F^{\prime} / F$ is abelian. (Some care is required to show that our local factors are well behaved in towers of fields; this is discussed in Section 2.1.) In this case, the $\lambda$-invariant of $V$ over $F^{\prime}$ can be expressed as the sum of the $\lambda$-invariants of twists of $V$ by characters of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\prime} / F\right)$. The key observation (already visible in both [10] and [7]) is that since $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\prime} / F\right)$ is a $p$-group, all of its characters are trivial modulo a prime over $p$ and, thus, the twisted Galois representations are all congruent to $V$ modulo a prime over $p$. The algebraic case of Theorem 1 then follows from the results of [11] which gives a precise local formula for the difference between $\lambda$-invariants of congruent Galois representations. The analytic case is handled similarly using the results of [1].
The basic principle behind this argument is that a formula relating the Iwasawa invariants of congruent Galois representations should imply of a transition formula for these invariants in $p$-extensions. As an example of this, in Section 4.3,
we use results of [2] to prove a Kida formula for the Iwasawa invariants (in the sense of $[8,6,9]$ ) of weight 2 modular forms at supersingular primes.
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## 2. Algebraic invariants

2.1. Local preliminaries. We begin by studying the local terms that appear in our results. Fix distinct primes $\ell$ and $p$ and let $L$ denote a finite extension of the cyclotomic $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$-extension of $\mathbf{Q}_{\ell}$. Fix a field $K$ of characteristic zero and a finite-dimensional $K$-vector space $V$ endowed with a continuous $K$-linear action of the absolute Galois group $G_{L}$ of $L$. Set

$$
m_{L}(V):=\operatorname{dim}_{K}\left(V_{I_{L}}\right)^{G_{L}}
$$

the multiplicity of the trivial representation in the $I_{L}$-coinvariants of $V$. Note that this multiplicity is invariant under extension of scalars, so that we can enlarge $K$ as necessary.
Let $L^{\prime}$ be a finite Galois $p$-extension of $L$. Note that $L^{\prime}$ must be cyclic and totally ramified since $L$ contains the $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$-extension of $\mathbf{Q}_{\ell}$. Let $G$ denote the Galois group of $L^{\prime} / L$. Assuming that $K$ contains all $\left[L^{\prime}: L\right]^{\text {th }}$ roots of unity, for a character $\chi: G \rightarrow K^{\times}$of $G$, we set $V_{\chi}=V \otimes_{K} K(\chi)$ with $K(\chi)$ a one-dimensional $K$-vector space on which $G$ acts via $\chi$. We define

$$
m\left(L^{\prime} / L, V\right):=\sum_{\chi \in G^{\vee}} m_{L}(V)-m_{L}\left(V_{\chi}\right)
$$

where $G^{\vee}$ denotes the $K$-dual of $G$.
The next result shows how these invariants behave in towers of fields.
Lemma 2.1. Let $L^{\prime \prime}$ be a finite Galois p-extension of $L$ and let $L^{\prime}$ be a Galois extension of $L$ contained in $L^{\prime \prime}$. Assume that $K$ contains all $\left[L^{\prime \prime}: L\right]^{\text {th }}$ roots of unity. Then

$$
m\left(L^{\prime \prime} / L, V\right)=\left[L^{\prime \prime}: L^{\prime}\right] \cdot m\left(L^{\prime} / L, V\right)+m\left(L^{\prime \prime} / L^{\prime}, V\right)
$$

Proof. Set $G=\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\prime \prime} / L\right)$ and $H=\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\prime \prime} / L^{\prime}\right)$. Consider the Galois group $G_{L} / I_{L^{\prime \prime}}$ over $L$ of the maximal unramified extension of $L^{\prime \prime}$. It sits in an exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow G_{L^{\prime \prime}} / I_{L^{\prime \prime}} \rightarrow G_{L} / I_{L^{\prime \prime}} \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is in fact split since the maximal unramified extensions of both $L$ and $L^{\prime \prime}$ are obtained by adjoining all prime-to- $p$ roots of unity.

Fix a character $\chi \in G^{\vee}$. We compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{L}\left(V_{\chi}\right) & =\operatorname{dim}_{K}\left(\left(V_{\chi}\right)_{I_{L}}\right)^{G_{L}} \\
& =\operatorname{dim}_{K}\left(\left(\left(\left(V_{\chi}\right)_{I_{L^{\prime \prime}}}\right)_{G}\right)^{G_{L^{\prime \prime}}}\right)^{G} \\
& =\operatorname{dim}_{K}\left(\left(\left(\left(V_{\chi}\right)_{I_{L^{\prime \prime}}}\right)^{G_{L^{\prime \prime}}}\right)_{G}\right)^{G} \\
& \text { since }(1) \text { is split } \\
& =\operatorname{dim}_{K}\left(\left(\left(V_{\chi}\right)_{I_{L^{\prime \prime}}}\right)^{G_{L^{\prime \prime}}}\right)^{G}
\end{aligned} \quad \text { since } G \text { is finite cyclic } \quad \text { sime } \chi \text { is trivial on } G_{L^{\prime \prime}} .
$$

The lemma thus follows from the following purely group-theoretical statement applied with $W=\left(V_{L_{L^{\prime \prime}}}\right)^{G_{L^{\prime \prime}}}$ : for a finite dimensional representation $W$ of a finite abelian group $G$ over a field of characteristic zero containing $\mu_{\# G}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\chi \in G^{\vee}}\left(\langle W, 1\rangle_{G}-\langle W, \chi\rangle_{G}\right)= \\
& \quad \# H \cdot \sum_{\chi \in(G / H)^{\vee}}\left(\langle W, 1\rangle_{G}-\langle W, \chi\rangle_{G}\right)+\sum_{\chi \in H^{\vee}}\left(\langle W, 1\rangle_{H}-\langle W, \chi\rangle_{H}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for any subgroup $H$ of $G$; here $\langle W, \chi\rangle_{G}$ (resp. $\langle W, \chi\rangle_{H}$ ) is the multiplicity of the character $\chi$ in $W$ regarded as a representation of $G$ (resp. $H$ ). To prove this, we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\chi \in G^{\vee}} & \left(\langle W, 1\rangle_{G}-\langle W, \chi\rangle_{G}\right) \\
& =\# G \cdot\langle W, 1\rangle_{G}-\left\langle W, \operatorname{Ind}_{1}^{G} 1\right\rangle_{G} \\
& =\# G \cdot\langle W, 1\rangle_{G}-\# H \cdot\left\langle W, \operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G} 1\right\rangle_{G}+\# H \cdot\left\langle W, \operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G} 1\right\rangle_{G}-\left\langle W, \operatorname{Ind}_{1}^{G} 1\right\rangle_{G} \\
& =\# H \cdot \sum_{x \in(G / H)^{\vee}}\left(\langle W, 1\rangle_{G}-\langle W, \chi\rangle_{G}\right)+\sum_{\chi \in H^{\vee}}\left(\left\langle W, \operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G} 1\right\rangle_{G}-\left\langle W, \operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G} \chi\right\rangle_{G}\right) \\
& =\# H \cdot \sum_{\chi \in(G / H)^{\vee}}\left(\langle W, 1\rangle_{G}-\langle W, \chi\rangle_{G}\right)+\sum_{\chi \in H^{\vee}}\left(\langle W, 1\rangle_{H}-\langle W, \chi\rangle_{H}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

by Frobenius reciprocity.
2.2. Global preliminaries. Fix a number field $F$; for simplicity we assume that $F$ is either totally real or totally imaginary. Fix also an odd prime $p$ and a finite extension $K$ of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$; we write $\mathcal{O}$ for the ring of integers of $K$, $\pi$ for a fixed choice of uniformizer of $\mathcal{O}$, and $k=\mathcal{O} / \pi$ for the residue field of $\mathcal{O}$.
Let $T$ be a nearly ordinary Galois representation over $F$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}$; that is, $T$ is a free $\mathcal{O}$-module of some rank $n$ endowed with an $\mathcal{O}$-linear action of the absolute Galois group $G_{F}$, together with a choice for each place $v$ of $F$ dividing $p$ of a complete flag

$$
0=T_{v}^{0} \subset T_{v}^{1} \subset \cdots \subset T_{v}^{n}=T
$$

stable under the action of the decomposition group $G_{v} \subseteq G_{F}$ of $v$. We make the following assumptions on $T$ :
(1) For each place $v$ dividing $p$ we have

$$
\left(T_{v}^{i} / T_{v}^{i-1}\right) \otimes k \not \neq\left(T_{v}^{j} / T_{v}^{j-1}\right) \otimes k
$$

as $k\left[G_{v}\right]$-modules for all $i \neq j$;
(2) If $F$ is totally real, then $\operatorname{rank} T^{c_{v}=1}$ is independent of the archimedean place $v$ (here $c_{v}$ is a complex conjugation at $v$ );
(3) If $F$ is totally imaginary, then $n$ is even.

REMARK 2.2. The conditions above are significantly more restrictive than are actually required to apply the results of [11]. As our main interest is in abelian (and thus necessarily Galois) extensions of $\mathbf{Q}$, we have chosen to include the assumptions (2) and (3) to simplify the exposition. The assumption (1) is also stronger than necessary: all that is actually needed is that the centralizer of $T \otimes k$ consists entirely of scalars and that $\mathfrak{g l}_{n} / \mathfrak{b}_{v}$ has trivial adjoint $G_{v^{-}}$ invariants for all places $v$ dividing $p$; here $\mathfrak{g l} l_{n}$ denotes the $p$-adic Lie algebra of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ and $\mathfrak{b}_{v}$ denotes the $p$-adic Lie algebra of the Borel subgroup associated to the complete flag at $v$. In particular, when $T$ has rank 2, we may still allow the case that $T \otimes k$ has the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\chi & * \\
0 & \chi
\end{array}\right)
$$

so long as $*$ is non-trivial. (Equivalently, if $T$ is associated to a modular form $f$, the required assumption is that $f$ is $p$-distinguished.)

Set $A=T \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K / \mathcal{O}$; it is a cofree $\mathcal{O}$-module of corank $n$ with an $\mathcal{O}$-linear action of $G_{F}$. Let $c$ equal the rank of $T_{v}^{c_{v}=1}$ (resp. $n / 2$ ) if $F$ is totally real (resp. totally imaginary) and set

$$
A_{v}^{\mathrm{cr}}:=\operatorname{im}\left(T_{v}^{c} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K \hookrightarrow T \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K \rightarrow A\right)
$$

We define the Selmer group of $A$ over the cyclotomic $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$-extension $F_{\infty}$ of $F$ by

$$
\operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}, A\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(H^{1}\left(F_{\infty}, A\right) \rightarrow\left(\underset{w \nmid p}{\oplus} H^{1}\left(F_{\infty, w}, A\right)\right) \times\left(\underset{w \mid p}{\oplus} H^{1}\left(F_{\infty, w}, A / A_{v}^{\mathrm{cr}}\right)\right)\right)
$$

The Selmer group $\operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}, A\right)$ is naturally a module for the Iwasawa algebra $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}:=\mathcal{O}\left[\left[\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{\infty} / F\right)\right]\right]$. If $\operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}, A\right)$ is $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}$-cotorsion (that is, if the dual of $\operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}, A\right)$ is a torsion $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}$-module), then we write $\mu^{\text {alg }}\left(F_{\infty}, A\right)$ and $\lambda^{\text {alg }}\left(F_{\infty}, A\right)$ for its Iwasawa invariants; in particular, $\mu^{\text {alg }}\left(F_{\infty}, A\right)=0$ if and only if $\operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}, A\right)$ is a cofinitely generated $\mathcal{O}$-module, while $\lambda^{\text {alg }}\left(F_{\infty}, A\right)$ is the $\mathcal{O}$-corank of $\operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}, A\right)$.
Remark 2.3. In the case that $T$ is in fact an ordinary Galois representation (meaning that the action of inertia on each $T_{v}^{i} / T_{v}^{i-1}$ is by an integer power $e_{i}$ (independent of $v$ ) of the cyclotomic character such that $e_{1}>e_{2}>\ldots>e_{n}$ ), then our Selmer group $\operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}, A\right)$ is simply the Selmer group in the sense of Greenberg of a twist of $A$; see [11, Section 1.3] for details.
2.3. Extensions. Let $F^{\prime}$ be a finite Galois extension of $F$ with degree equal to a power of $p$. We write $F_{\infty}^{\prime}$ for the cyclotomic $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$-extension of $F^{\prime}$ and set $G=\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime} / F_{\infty}\right)$. Note that $T$ satisfies hypotheses (1)-(3) over $F^{\prime}$ as well, so that we may define $\operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime}, A\right)$ analogously to $\operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}, A\right)$. (For (1) this follows from the fact that $G_{v}$ acts on $\left(T_{v}^{i} / T_{v}^{i-1}\right) \otimes k$ by a character of prime-to- $p$ order; for (2) and (3) it follows from the fact that $p$ is assumed to be odd.)
Lemma 2.4. The restriction map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}, A\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime}, A\right)^{G} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

has finite kernel and cokernel.
Proof. This is straightforward from the definitions and the fact that $G$ is finite and $A$ is cofinitely generated; see [3, Lemma 3.3] for details.

We can use Lemma 2.4 to relate the $\mu$-invariants of $A$ over $F_{\infty}$ and $F_{\infty}^{\prime}$.
Corollary 2.5. If $\operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}, A\right)$ is $\Lambda$-cotorsion with $\mu^{\text {alg }}\left(F_{\infty}, A\right)=0$, then $\operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime}, A\right)$ is $\Lambda$-cotorsion with $\mu^{\text {alg }}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime}, A\right)=0$.
Proof. This is a straightforward argument using Lemma 2.4 and Nakayama's lemma for compact local rings; see [3, Corollary 3.4] for details.

Fix a finite extension $K^{\prime}$ of $K$ containing all $\left[F^{\prime}: F\right]^{\text {th }}$ roots of unity. Consider a character $\chi: G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\prime \times}$ taking values in the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}$ of $K^{\prime}$; note that $\chi$ is necessarily even since $\left[F^{\prime}: F\right]$ is odd. We set

$$
A_{\chi}=A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}^{\prime}(\chi)
$$

where $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}(\chi)$ is a free $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}$-module of rank one with $G_{F_{\infty}}$-action given by $\chi$. If we give $A_{\chi}$ the induced complete flags at places dividing $p$, then $A_{\chi}$ satisfies hypotheses (1)-(3) and we have

$$
A_{\chi, v}^{\mathrm{cr}}=A_{v}^{\mathrm{cr}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}^{\prime}(\chi) \subseteq A_{\chi}
$$

for each place $v$ dividing $p$. We write $\operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}, A_{\chi}\right)$ for the corresponding Selmer group, regarded as a $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}^{\prime}}$-module; in particular, by $\lambda^{\text {alg }}\left(F_{\infty}, A_{\chi}\right)$ we mean the $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}$-corank of $\operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}, A_{\chi}\right)$, rather than the $\mathcal{O}$-corank. We write $G^{\vee}$ for the set of all characters $\chi: G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\prime \times}$.

Proposition 2.6. Assume that $\operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}, A\right)$ is $\Lambda$-cotorsion with $\mu^{\text {alg }}\left(F_{\infty}, A\right)=$ 0 . If $G$ is an abelian group, then there is a natural map

$$
\underset{\chi \in G^{\vee}}{\oplus} \operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}, A_{\chi}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime}, A\right) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}^{\prime}
$$

with finite kernel and cokernel.
Proof. First note that as $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}\left[\left[G_{F^{\prime}}\right]\right]$-modules we have

$$
A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}^{\prime} \cong A_{\chi}
$$

from which it easily follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime}, A\right) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}^{\prime}(\chi)\right)^{G}=\operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime}, A_{\chi}\right)^{G} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, for any cofinitely generated $\mathcal{O}[G]$-module $S$, the natural map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\chi \in G^{\vee}}{\oplus}\left(S \otimes \mathcal{O}^{\prime}(\chi)\right)^{G} \rightarrow S \otimes \mathcal{O}^{\prime} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

has finite kernel and cokernel. Since we are assuming that $\mu^{\text {alg }}\left(F_{\infty}, A\right)=0$, we may take $S=\operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime}, A\right)$ in (4); combining this with (3) yields a map

$$
\underset{\chi \in G^{\vee}}{\oplus}\left(\operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime}, A_{\chi}\right)\right)^{G} \rightarrow \operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime}, A_{\chi}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}^{\prime}
$$

with finite kernel and cokernel. Now applying Lemma 2.4 for each twist $A_{\chi}$, we obtain our proposition.

As an immediate corollary, we have the following.
Corollary 2.7. If $\operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}, A\right)$ is $\Lambda$-cotorsion with $\mu^{\text {alg }}\left(F_{\infty}, A\right)=0$, then each
 abelian, then

$$
\lambda^{\mathrm{alg}}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime}, A\right)=\sum_{\chi \in G^{\vee}} \lambda^{\mathrm{alg}}\left(F_{\infty}, A_{\chi}\right)
$$

2.4. Algebraic transition formula. We continue with the notation of the previous section. We write $R\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime} / F_{\infty}\right)$ for the set of prime-to-p places of $F_{\infty}^{\prime}$ which are ramified in $F_{\infty}^{\prime} / F_{\infty}$. For a place $w^{\prime} \in R\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime} / F_{\infty}\right)$, we write $w$ for its restriction to $F_{\infty}$.

Theorem 2.8. Let $F^{\prime} / F$ be a finite Galois p-extension with Galois group $G$ which is unramified at all places dividing $p$. Let $T$ be a nearly ordinary Galois representation over $F$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}$ satisfying (1)-(3). Set $A=T \otimes$ $K / \mathcal{O}$ and assume that:
(4) $H^{0}(F, A[\pi])=H^{0}\left(F, \operatorname{Hom}\left(A[\pi], \mu_{p}\right)\right)=0$;
(5) $H^{0}\left(I_{v}, A / A_{v}^{c r}\right)$ is $\mathcal{O}$-divisible for all $v$ dividing $p$.

If $\operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}, A\right)$ is $\Lambda$-cotorsion with $\mu^{\mathrm{alg}}\left(F_{\infty}, A\right)=0$, then $\operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime}, A\right)$ is $\Lambda$ cotorsion with $\mu^{\text {alg }}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime}, A\right)=0$. Moreover, in this case,

$$
\lambda^{\mathrm{alg}}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime}, A\right)=\left[F_{\infty}^{\prime}: F_{\infty}\right] \cdot \lambda^{\mathrm{alg}}\left(F_{\infty}, A\right)+\sum_{w^{\prime} \in R\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime} / F_{\infty}\right)} m\left(F_{\infty, w^{\prime}}^{\prime} / F_{\infty, w}, V\right)
$$

with $V=T \otimes K$ and $m\left(F_{\infty, w^{\prime}}^{\prime} / F_{\infty, w}, V\right)$ as in Section 2.1.
Note that $m\left(F_{\infty, w^{\prime}}^{\prime} / F_{\infty, w}, V\right)$ in fact depends only on $w$ and not on $w^{\prime}$. The hypotheses (4) and (5) are needed to apply the results of [11]; they will not otherwise appear in the proof below. We note that the assumption that $F^{\prime} / F$ is unramified at $p$ is primarily needed to assure that the condition (5) holds for twists of $A$ as well.
Since $p$-groups are solvable and the only simple $p$-group is cyclic, the next lemma shows that it suffices to consider the case of $\mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z}$-extensions.
Lemma 2.9. Let $F^{\prime \prime} / F$ be a Galois p-extension of number fields and let $F^{\prime}$ be an intermediate extension which is Galois over $F$. Let $T$ be as above. If

Theorem 2.8 holds for $T$ with respect to any two of the three field extensions $F^{\prime \prime} / F^{\prime}, F^{\prime} / F$ and $F^{\prime \prime} / F$, then it holds for $T$ with respect to the third extension.

Proof. This is clear from Corollary 2.5 except for the $\lambda$-invariant formula. Substituting the formula for $\lambda\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime}, A\right)$ in terms of $\lambda\left(F_{\infty}, A\right)$ into the formula for $\lambda\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime \prime}, A\right)$ in terms of $\lambda\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime}, A\right)$, one finds that it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{w^{\prime \prime} \in R\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime \prime} / F_{\infty}\right)} m\left(F_{\infty, w^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime} / F_{\infty, w}, V\right)= \\
& {\left[F_{\infty}^{\prime \prime}: F_{\infty}^{\prime}\right] \cdot \sum_{w^{\prime} \in R\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime} / F_{\infty}\right)} m\left(F_{\infty, w^{\prime}}^{\prime} / F_{\infty, w}, V\right) } \\
&+\sum_{w^{\prime \prime} \in R\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime \prime} / F_{\infty}^{\prime}\right)} m\left(F_{\infty, w^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime} / F_{\infty, w^{\prime}}^{\prime}, V\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This formula follows upon summing the formula of Lemma 2.1 over all $w^{\prime \prime} \in$ $R\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime \prime} / F_{\infty}\right)$ and using the two facts:

- $\left[F_{\infty}^{\prime \prime}: F_{\infty}^{\prime}\right] /\left[F_{\infty, w^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}: F_{\infty, w^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right]$ equals the number of places of $F_{\infty}^{\prime \prime}$ lying over $w^{\prime}$ (since the residue field of $F_{\infty, w}$ has no $p$-extensions);
- $m\left(F_{\infty, w^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime} / F_{\infty, w^{\prime}}^{\prime}, V\right)=0$ for any $w^{\prime \prime} \in R\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime \prime} / F_{\infty}\right)-R\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime \prime} / F_{\infty}^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof of Theorem 2.8. By Lemma 2.9 and the preceding remark, we may assume that $F_{\infty}^{\prime} / F_{\infty}$ is a cyclic extension of degree $p$. The fact that $\operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime}, A\right)$ is cotorsion with trivial $\mu$-invariant is simply Corollary 2.5 . Furthermore, by Corollary 2.7, we have

$$
\lambda^{\mathrm{alg}}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime}, A\right)=\sum_{\chi \in G^{\vee}} \lambda^{\mathrm{alg}}\left(F_{\infty}, A_{\chi}\right)
$$

For $\chi \in G^{\vee}$, note that $\chi$ is trivial modulo a uniformizer $\pi^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}$ as it takes values in $\mu_{p}$. In particular, the residual representations $A_{\chi}\left[\pi^{\prime}\right]$ and $A[\pi]$ are isomorphic. Under the hypotheses (1)-(5), the result [11, Theorem 1] gives a precise formula for the relation between $\lambda$-invariants of congruent Galois representations. In the present case it takes the form:

$$
\lambda^{\mathrm{alg}}\left(F_{\infty}, A_{\chi}\right)=\lambda^{\mathrm{alg}}\left(F_{\infty}, A\right)+\sum_{w^{\prime} \nmid p}\left(m_{F_{\infty}, w}\left(V \otimes \omega^{-1}\right)-m_{F_{\infty}, w}\left(V_{\chi} \otimes \omega^{-1}\right)\right)
$$

where the sum is over all prime-to- $p$ places $w^{\prime}$ of $F_{\infty}^{\prime}, w$ denotes the place of $F_{\infty}$ lying under $w^{\prime}$ and $\omega$ is the $\bmod p$ cyclotomic character. The only non-zero terms in this sum are those for which $w^{\prime}$ is ramified in $F_{\infty}^{\prime} / F_{\infty}$. For any such $w^{\prime}$, we have $\mu_{p} \subseteq F_{\infty, w}$ by local class field theory so that $\omega$ is in fact trivial at $w$; thus

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda^{\text {alg }}\left(F_{\infty}, A_{\chi}\right)=\lambda^{\text {alg }}\left(F_{\infty}, A\right)+\sum_{w^{\prime} \in R\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime} / F_{\infty}\right)}\left(m_{F_{\infty, w}}(V)-m_{F_{\infty, w}}\left(V_{\chi}\right)\right) . \\
\text { Documenta Mathematica } \cdot \text { Extra Volume Coates (2006) 615-630 }
\end{gathered}
$$

Summing over all $\chi \in G^{\vee}$ then yields

$$
\lambda^{\mathrm{alg}}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime}, A\right)=\left[F_{\infty}^{\prime}: F_{\infty}\right] \cdot \lambda^{\mathrm{alg}}\left(F_{\infty}, A\right)+\sum_{w^{\prime} \in R\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime} / F_{\infty}\right)} m\left(F_{\infty, w^{\prime}}^{\prime} / F_{\infty, w}, V\right)
$$

which completes the proof.

## 3. Analytic invariants

3.1. Definitions. Let $f=\sum a_{n} q^{n}$ be a modular eigenform of weight $k \geq 2$, level $N$ and character $\varepsilon$. Let $K$ denote the finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$ generated by the Fourier coefficients of $f$ (under some fixed embedding $\overline{\mathbf{Q}} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}$ ), let $\mathcal{O}$ denote the ring of integers of $K$ and let $k$ denote the residue field of $\mathcal{O}$. Let $V_{f}$ denote a two-dimensional $K$-vector space with Galois action associated to $f$ in the usual way; thus the characteristic polynomial of a Frobenius element at a prime $\ell \nmid N p$ is

$$
x^{2}-a_{\ell} x+\ell^{k-1} \varepsilon(\ell) .
$$

Fix a Galois stable $\mathcal{O}$-lattice $T_{f}$ in $V_{f}$. We assume that $T_{f} \otimes k$ is an irreducible Galois representation; in this case $T_{f}$ is uniquely determined up to scaling. Set $A_{f}=T_{f} \otimes K / \mathcal{O}$.
Assuming that $f$ is $p$-ordinary (in the sense that $a_{p}$ is relatively prime to $p$ ) and fixing a canonical period for $f$, one can associate to $f$ a $p$-adic $L$ function $L_{p}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty} / \mathbf{Q}, f\right)$ which lies in $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}$. This is well-defined up to a $p$-adic unit (depending upon the choice of a canonical period) and thus has well-defined Iwasawa invariants.
Let $F / \mathbf{Q}$ be a finite abelian extension and let $F_{\infty}$ denote the cyclotomic $\mathbf{Z}_{p^{-}}$ extension of $F$. For a character $\chi$ of $\operatorname{Gal}(F / \mathbf{Q})$, we denote by $f_{\chi}$ the modular eigenform $\sum a_{n} \chi(n) q^{n}$ obtained from $f$ by twisting by $\chi$ (viewed as a Dirichlet character). If $f$ is $p$-ordinary and $F / \mathbf{Q}$ is unramified at $p$, then $f_{\chi}$ is again $p$-ordinary and we define

$$
L_{p}\left(F_{\infty} / F, f\right)=\prod_{\chi \in \operatorname{Gal}(F / \mathbf{Q})^{\vee}} L_{p}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty} / \mathbf{Q}, f_{\chi}\right) .
$$

If $F / \mathbf{Q}$ is ramified at $p$, it is still possible to define $L_{p}\left(F_{\infty} / F, f\right)$; see [7, pg. 5], for example.
If $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are two distinct number fields whose cyclotomic $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$-extensions agree, the corresponding $p$-adic $L$-functions of $f$ over $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ need not agree. However, it is easy to check that the $\lambda$-invariants of these two power series are equal while their $\mu$-invariants differ by a factor of a power of $p$. As we are only interested in the case of vanishing $\mu$-invariants, we will abuse notation somewhat and simply denote the Iwasawa invariants of $L_{p}\left(F_{\infty} / F, f\right)$ by $\mu^{\text {an }}\left(F_{\infty}, f\right)$ and $\lambda^{\text {an }}\left(F_{\infty}, f\right)$.
3.2. Analytic transition formula. Let $F / \mathbf{Q}$ be a finite abelian extension of $\mathbf{Q}$ and let $F^{\prime}$ be a finite $p$-extension of $F$ such that $F^{\prime} / \mathbf{Q}$ is abelian. As always, let $F_{\infty}$ and $F_{\infty}^{\prime}$ denote the cyclotomic $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$-extensions of $F$ and $F^{\prime}$. As
before, we write $R\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime} / F_{\infty}\right)$ for the set of prime-to- $p$ places of $F_{\infty}^{\prime}$ which are ramified in $F_{\infty}^{\prime} / F_{\infty}$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $f$ be a p-ordinary modular form such that $T_{f} \otimes k$ is irreducible and p-distinguished. If $\mu^{\text {an }}\left(F_{\infty}, f\right)=0$, then $\mu^{\text {an }}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime}, f\right)=0$. Moreover, if this is the case, then

$$
\lambda^{\mathrm{an}}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime}, f\right)=\left[F_{\infty}^{\prime}: F_{\infty}\right] \cdot \lambda^{\mathrm{an}}\left(F_{\infty}, f\right)+\sum_{w^{\prime} \in R\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime} / F_{\infty}\right)} m\left(F_{\infty, w^{\prime}}^{\prime} / F_{\infty, w}, V_{f}\right)
$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.9, we may assume $[F: \mathbf{Q}]$ is prime-to- $p$. Indeed, let $F_{0}$ be the maximal subfield of $F$ of prime-to- $p$ degree over $\mathbf{Q}$. By Lemma 2.9, knowledge of the theorem for the two extensions $F^{\prime} / F_{0}$ and $F / F_{0}$ would then imply it for $F^{\prime} / F$ as well. Furthermore, replacing $F$ (resp. $F^{\prime}$ ) by the maximal tamely ramified subextension of $F_{\infty}$ (resp. $F_{\infty}^{\prime}$ ), we may assume that every character of $\operatorname{Gal}(F / \mathbf{Q})$ and $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\prime} / \mathbf{Q}\right)$ is the product of a power of the $\bmod p$ cyclotomic character and a character unramified at $p$.
After making these reductions, we let $M$ denote the (unique) $p$-extension of $\mathbf{Q}$ inside of $F^{\prime}$ such that $M F=F^{\prime}$. Set $G=\operatorname{Gal}(F / \mathbf{Q})$ and $H=\operatorname{Gal}(M / \mathbf{Q})$, so that $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\prime} / \mathbf{Q}\right) \cong G \times H$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{\mathrm{an}}\left(F_{\infty}, f\right)=\sum_{\psi \in \operatorname{Gal}(F / \mathbf{Q})^{\vee}} \mu^{\mathrm{an}}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty}, f_{\psi}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{\mathrm{an}}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime}, f\right)=\sum_{\psi \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\prime} / \mathbf{Q}\right)^{\vee}} \mu^{\mathrm{an}}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty}, f_{\psi}\right)=\sum_{\psi \in G^{\vee}} \sum_{\chi \in H^{\vee}} \mu^{\mathrm{an}}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty}, f_{\psi \chi}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we are assuming that $\mu^{\text {an }}\left(F_{\infty}, f\right)=0$ and since these $\mu$-invariants are nonnegative, from (5) it follows that $\mu^{\text {an }}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty}, f_{\psi}\right)=0$ for each $\psi \in \operatorname{Gal}(F / \mathbf{Q})^{\vee}$. Fix $\psi \in G^{\vee}$. For any $\chi \in H^{\vee}, \psi \chi$ is congruent to $\psi$ modulo any prime over $p$ and thus $f_{\chi}$ and $f_{\psi \chi}$ are congruent modulo any prime over $p$. Then, since $\mu^{\text {an }}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty}, f_{\psi}\right)=0$, by [1, Theorem 3.7.5] it follows that $\mu^{\text {an }}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty}, f_{\psi \chi}\right)=0$ for each $\chi \in H^{\vee}$. (Note that the results of [1] apply to twists of $p$-ordinary forms by powers of the mod $p$ cyclotomic character; this is why the reduction to the tamely ramified case is necessary for this argument.) Therefore, by (6) we have that $\mu^{\text {an }}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime}, f\right)=0$ proving the first part of the theorem.
For $\lambda$-invariants, we again have

$$
\lambda^{\mathrm{an}}\left(F_{\infty}, f\right)=\sum_{\psi \in \operatorname{Gal}(F / \mathbf{Q})^{\vee}} \lambda^{\mathrm{an}}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty}, f_{\psi}\right) .
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{\mathrm{an}}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime}, f\right)=\sum_{\psi \in G^{\vee}} \sum_{\chi \in H^{\vee}} \lambda^{\mathrm{an}}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty}, f_{\psi \chi}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By [1, Theorem 3.7.7] the congruence between $f_{\chi}$ and $f_{\psi \chi}$ implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{\mathrm{an}}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty}, f_{\psi \chi}\right)-\lambda^{\mathrm{an}}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty},\right. & \left.f_{\psi}\right)= \\
& \sum_{v}\left(m_{\mathbf{Q}_{\infty}, v}\left(V_{f_{\psi_{\chi}}} \otimes \omega^{-1}\right)-m_{\mathbf{Q}_{\infty, v}}\left(V_{f_{\psi}} \otimes \omega^{-1}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the sum is over all places $v$ of $\mathbf{Q}_{\infty}$ at which $\chi$ is ramified. (Note that in [1] the sum extends over all prime-to- $p$ places; however, the terms are trivial unless $\chi$ is ramified at $v$. Also note that the $\bmod p$ cyclotomic characters that appear are actually trivial since if $\mathbf{Q}_{\infty, v}$ has a ramified Galois $p$-extensions for $v \nmid p$, then $\mu_{p} \subseteq \mathbf{Q}_{\infty, v}$.)
Combining this with (7) and the definition of $m\left(M_{\infty, v^{\prime}} / \mathbf{Q}_{\infty, v}, V_{f_{\psi}}\right)$, we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{\mathrm{an}}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime}, f\right)= & \sum_{\psi \in G^{\vee}}\left(\left[F_{\infty}^{\prime}: F_{\infty}\right] \cdot \lambda^{\mathrm{an}}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty}, f_{\psi}\right)+\right. \\
& \left.\sum_{v^{\prime} \in R\left(M_{\infty} / \mathbf{Q}_{\infty}\right)} m\left(M_{\infty, v^{\prime}} / \mathbf{Q}_{\infty, v}, V_{f_{\psi}}\right)\right) \\
= & {\left[F_{\infty}^{\prime}: F_{\infty}\right] \cdot \lambda^{\mathrm{an}}\left(F_{\infty}, f\right)+} \\
& \sum_{v^{\prime} \in R\left(M_{\infty} / \mathbf{Q}_{\infty}\right)} \sum_{\psi \in G^{\vee}} m\left(M_{\infty, v^{\prime}} / \mathbf{Q}_{\infty, v}, V_{f_{\psi}}\right) \\
= & {\left[F_{\infty}^{\prime}: F_{\infty}\right] \cdot \lambda^{\mathrm{an}}\left(F_{\infty}, f\right)+\sum_{v^{\prime} \in R\left(M_{\infty} / \mathbf{Q}_{\infty}\right)} g_{v^{\prime}}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime} / M_{\infty}\right) . } \\
& m\left(M_{\infty, v^{\prime}} / \mathbf{Q}_{\infty, v}, \mathbf{Z}\left[\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{\infty, w} / \mathbf{Q}_{\infty, v}\right)\right] \otimes V_{f}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g_{v^{\prime}}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime} / M_{\infty}\right)$ denotes the number of places of $F_{\infty}^{\prime}$ above the place $v^{\prime}$ of $M_{\infty}$. By Frobenius reciprocity,

$$
m\left(M_{\infty, v^{\prime}} / \mathbf{Q}_{\infty, v}, \mathbf{Z}\left[\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{\infty, w} / \mathbf{Q}_{\infty, v}\right)\right] \otimes V_{f}\right)=m\left(F_{\infty, w^{\prime}}^{\prime} / F_{\infty, w}, V_{f}\right)
$$

where $w^{\prime}$ is the unique place of $F_{\infty}^{\prime}$ above $v^{\prime}$ and $w$. It follows that

$$
\lambda\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime}, f\right)=\left[F_{\infty}^{\prime}: F_{\infty}\right] \cdot \lambda^{\mathrm{an}}\left(F_{\infty}, f\right)+\sum_{w^{\prime} \in R\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime} / F_{\infty}\right)} m\left(F_{\infty, w^{\prime}}^{\prime} / F_{\infty, w}, V_{f}\right)
$$

as desired.

## 4. Additional Results

4.1. Hilbert modular forms. We illustrate our results in the case of the two-dimensional representation $V_{f}$ associated to a Hilbert modular eigenform $f$ over a totally real field $F$. Although in principle our analytic results should remain true in this context, we focus on the less conjectural algebraic picture. Fix a $G_{F}$-stable lattice $T_{f} \subseteq V_{f}$ and let $A_{f}=T_{f} \otimes K / \mathcal{O}$.
Let $F^{\prime}$ be a finite Galois $p$-extension of $F$ unramified at all places dividing $p$; for simplicity we assume also that $F^{\prime}$ is linearly disjoint from $F_{\infty}$. Let $v$ be a
place of $F$ not dividing $p$ and fix a place $v^{\prime}$ of $F^{\prime}$ lying over $v$. For a character $\varphi$ of $G_{v}$, we define

$$
h(\varphi)= \begin{cases}-1 & \varphi \text { ramified, }\left.\varphi\right|_{G_{v^{\prime}}} \text { unramified, and } \varphi \equiv 1 \bmod \pi \\ 0 & \varphi \not \equiv 1 \bmod \pi \text { or }\left.\varphi\right|_{G_{v^{\prime}}} \operatorname{ramified} \\ e_{v}\left(F^{\prime} / F\right)-1 & \varphi \text { unramified and } \varphi \equiv 1 \bmod \pi\end{cases}
$$

where $e_{v}\left(F^{\prime} / F\right)$ denotes the ramification index of $v$ in $F^{\prime} / F$ and $G_{v^{\prime}}$ is the decomposition group at $v^{\prime}$. Set

$$
h_{v}(f)= \begin{cases}h\left(\varphi_{1}\right)+h\left(\varphi_{2}\right) & f \text { principal series with characters } \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2} \text { at } v \\ h(\varphi) & f \text { special with character } \varphi \text { at } v \\ 0 & f \text { supercuspidal or extraordinary at } v\end{cases}
$$

For example, if $f$ is unramified principal series at $v$ with Frobenius characteristic polynomial

$$
x^{2}-a_{v} x+c_{v},
$$

then

$$
h_{v}(f)= \begin{cases}2\left(e_{v}\left(F^{\prime} / F\right)-1\right) & a_{v} \equiv 2, c_{v} \equiv 1 \bmod \pi \\ e_{v}\left(F^{\prime} / F\right)-1 & a_{v} \equiv c_{v}+1 \not \equiv 2 \bmod \pi \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 4.1. Assume that $f$ is ordinary (in the sense that for each place $v$ dividing $p$ the Galois representation $V_{f}$ has a unique one-dimensional quotient unramified at $v$ ) and that

$$
H^{0}\left(F, A_{f}[\pi]\right)=H^{0}\left(F, \operatorname{Hom}\left(A_{f}[\pi], \mu_{p}\right)\right)=0
$$

If $\operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}, A_{f}\right)$ is $\Lambda$-cotorsion with $\mu^{\text {alg }}\left(F_{\infty}, A_{f}\right)=0$, then also $\operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime}, A_{f}\right)$ is $\Lambda$-cotorsion with $\mu^{\text {alg }}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime}, A_{f}\right)=0$ and

$$
\lambda^{\mathrm{alg}}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime}, A\right)=\left[F_{\infty}^{\prime}: F_{\infty}\right] \cdot \lambda^{\mathrm{alg}}\left(F_{\infty}, A\right)+\sum_{v} g_{v}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime} / F\right) \cdot h_{v}(f) ;
$$

here the sum is over the prime-to-p places of $F$ ramified in $F_{\infty}^{\prime}$ and $g_{v}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime} / F\right)$ denotes the number of places of $F_{\infty}^{\prime}$ lying over such a $v$.

Proof. Fix a place $v$ of $F$ not dividing $p$ and let $w$ denote a place of $F_{\infty}$ lying over $v$. Since there are exactly $g_{v}\left(F_{\infty} / F\right)$ such places, by Theorem 2.8 it suffices to prove that
(8) $\quad h_{v}(f)=m\left(F_{\infty, w^{\prime}}^{\prime} / F_{\infty, w}, V_{f}\right):=$

$$
\sum_{\chi \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{\infty, w^{\prime}}^{\prime} / F_{\infty, w}\right)^{\vee}}\left(m_{F_{\infty, w}}\left(V_{f}\right)-m_{F_{\infty, w}}\left(V_{f, \chi}\right)\right)
$$

This is a straightforward case analysis. We will discuss the case that $V_{f}$ is special associated to a character $\varphi$ at $v$; the other cases are similar. In the
special case, we have

$$
\left.V_{f, \chi}\right|_{I_{F \infty, w}}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
K^{\prime}(\chi \varphi) & \left.\chi \varphi\right|_{G_{F_{\infty}, w}} & \text { unramified } \\
0 & \left.\chi \varphi\right|_{G_{F_{\infty}, w}} & \text { ramified }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since an unramified character has trivial restriction to $G_{F_{\infty, w}}$ if and only if it has trivial reduction modulo $\pi$, it follows that

$$
m_{F_{\infty, w}}\left(V_{f, \chi}\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \varphi \equiv 1 \bmod \pi \text { and }\left.\chi \varphi\right|_{G_{F \infty, w}} \text { unramified } \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

In particular, the sum in (8) is zero if $\varphi \not \equiv 1 \bmod \pi$ or if $\varphi$ is ramified when restricted to $G_{F_{\infty, w^{\prime}}^{\prime}}$ (as then $\chi \varphi$ is ramified for all $\chi \in G_{v}^{\vee}$ ). If $\varphi \equiv 1 \bmod \pi$ and $\varphi$ itself is unramified, then $m_{F_{\infty}, w}\left(V_{f}\right)=1$ while $m_{F_{\infty}, w}\left(V_{f, \chi}\right)=0$ for $\chi \neq 1$, so that the sum in (8) is $\left[F_{\infty, w^{\prime}}^{\prime}: F_{\infty, w}\right]-1=e_{v}\left(F^{\prime} / F\right)-1$, as desired. Finally, if $\varphi \equiv 1 \bmod \pi$ and $\varphi$ is ramified but becomes unramified when restricted to $G_{v^{\prime}}$, then $m_{F_{\infty, w}}\left(V_{f}\right)=0$, while $m_{F_{\infty, w}}\left(V_{f, \chi}\right)=1$ for a unique $\chi$, so that the sum is -1 .

Suppose finally that $f$ is in fact the Hilbert modular form associated to an elliptic curve $E$ over $F$. The only principal series which occur are unramified and we have $c_{v} \equiv 1(\bmod \pi)$ (since the determinant of $V_{f}$ is cyclotomic and $F_{\infty}$ has a $p$-extension (namely, $F_{\infty}^{\prime}$ ) ramified at $v$ ), so that

$$
h_{v}(f) \neq 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad a_{v} \equiv 2 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad E\left(F_{v}\right) \text { has a point of order } p
$$

in which case $h_{v}(f)=2\left(e_{v}\left(F^{\prime} / F\right)-1\right)$. The only characters which may occur in a special constituent are trivial or unramified quadratic, and we have $h_{v}(f)=$ $e_{v}\left(F^{\prime} / F\right)-1$ or 0 respectively. Thus Theorem 4.1 recovers [3, Theorem 3.1] in this case.
4.2. The main conjecture. Let $f$ be a $p$-ordinary elliptic modular eigenform of weight at least two and arbitrary level with associated Galois representation $V_{f}$. Let $F$ be a finite abelian extension of $\mathbf{Q}$ with cyclotomic $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$-extension $F_{\infty}$. Recall that the $p$-adic Iwasawa main conjecture for $f$ over $F$ asserts that the Selmer group $\operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}, A_{f}\right)$ is $\Lambda$-cotorsion and that the characteristic ideal of its dual is generated by the $p$-adic $L$-function $L_{p}\left(F_{\infty}, f\right)$. In fact, when the residual representation of $V_{f}$ is absolutely irreducible, it is known by work of Kato that $\operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}, A_{f}\right)$ is indeed $\Lambda$-cotorsion and that $L_{p}\left(F_{\infty}, f\right)$ is an element of the characteristic ideal of $\operatorname{Sel}\left(F_{\infty}, A_{f}\right)$. In particular, this reduces the verification of the main conjecture for $f$ over $F$ to the equality of the algebraic and analytic Iwasawa invariants of $f$ over $F$. The identical transition formulae in Theorems 2.8 and 3.1 thus yield the following immediate application to the main conjecture.

Theorem 4.2. Let $F^{\prime} / F$ be a finite $p$-extension with $F^{\prime}$ abelian over $\mathbf{Q}$. If the residual representation of $V_{f}$ is absolutely irreducible and p-distinguished, then the main conjecture holds for $f$ over $F$ with $\mu\left(F_{\infty}, f\right)=0$ if and only if it holds for $f$ over $F^{\prime}$ with $\mu\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime}, f\right)=0$.

For an example of Theorem 4.2, consider the eigenform

$$
\Delta=q \prod_{n \geq 1}\left(1-q^{n}\right)^{24}
$$

of weight 12 and level 1 . We take $p=11$. It is well known that $\Delta$ is congruent modulo 11 to the newform associated to the elliptic curve $X_{0}(11)$. The 11-adic main conjecture is known for $X_{0}(11)$ over $\mathbf{Q}$; it has trivial $\mu$-invariant and $\lambda$ invariant equal to 1 (see, for instance, [1, Example 5.3.1]. We should be clear here that the non-triviality of $\lambda$ in this case corresponds to a trivial zero of the $p$-adic $L$-function; we are using the Greenberg Selmer group which does account for the trivial zero.) It follows from [1] that the 11-adic main conjecture also holds for $\Delta$ over $\mathbf{Q}$, again with trivial $\mu$-invariant and $\lambda$-invariant equal to 1 . Theorem 4.2 thus allows us to conclude that the main conjecture holds for $\Delta$ over any abelian 11-extension of $\mathbf{Q}$.
For a specific example, consider $F=\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{23}\right)^{+}$; it is a cyclic 11-extension of Q. We can easily use Theorem 4.1 to compute its $\lambda$-invariant: using that $\tau(23)=18643272$ one finds that $h_{23}(\Delta)=0$, so that $\lambda\left(\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{23}\right)^{+}, \Delta\right)=11$.
For a more interesting example, take $F$ to be the unique subfield of $\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{1123}\right)$ which is cyclic of order 11 over $\mathbf{Q}$. In this case we have

$$
\tau(1123) \equiv 2 \quad(\bmod 11)
$$

so that we have $h_{1123}(\Delta)=20$. Thus, in this case, Theorem 4.1 shows that $\lambda(F, \Delta)=31$.
4.3. The supersingular case. As mentioned in the introduction, the underlying principle of this paper is that the existence of a formula relating the $\lambda$-invariants of congruent Galois representations should imply a Kida-type formula for these invariants. We illustrate this now in the case of modular forms of weight two that are supersingular at $p$.
Let $f$ be an eigenform of weight 2 and level $N$ with Fourier coefficients in $K$ some finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$. Assume further than $p \nmid N$ and that $a_{p}(f)$ is not a $p$-adic unit. In [8], Perrin-Riou associates to $f$ a pair of algebraic and analytic $\mu$-invariants over $\mathbf{Q}_{\infty}$ which we denote by $\mu_{ \pm}^{\star}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty}, f\right)$. (Here $\star$ denotes either "alg" or "an" for algebraic and analytic respectively.) Moreover, when $\mu_{+}^{\star}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty}, f\right)=\mu_{-}^{\star}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty}, f\right)$ or when $a_{p}(f)=0$, she also defines corresponding $\lambda$-invariants $\lambda_{ \pm}^{\star}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty}, f\right)$. When $a_{p}(f)=0$ these invariants coincide with the Iwasawa invariants of [6] and [9]. We also note that in [8] only the case of elliptic curves is treated, but the methods used there generalize to weight two modular forms.
We extend the definition of these invariants to the cyclotomic $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$-extension of an unramified abelian extension $F$ of $\mathbf{Q}$. We define

$$
\mu_{ \pm}^{\star}\left(F_{\infty}, f\right)=\sum_{\psi \in \operatorname{Gal}(F / \mathbf{Q})^{\vee}} \mu_{ \pm}^{\star}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty}, f_{\psi}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda_{ \pm}^{\star}\left(F_{\infty}, f\right)=\sum_{\psi \in \operatorname{Gal}(F / \mathbf{Q})^{\vee}} \lambda_{ \pm}^{\star}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty}, f_{\psi}\right)
$$

for $\star \in\{\mathrm{alg}, \mathrm{an}\}$.
The following transition formula follows from the congruence results of [2].

Theorem 4.3. Let $f$ be as above and consider a p-extension of number fields $F^{\prime} / F$ with $F^{\prime} / \mathbf{Q}$ unramified at $p$. If $\mu_{ \pm}^{\star}\left(F_{\infty}, f\right)=0$, then $\mu_{ \pm}^{\star}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime}, f\right)=0$. Moreover, if this is the case, then

$$
\lambda_{ \pm}^{\star}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime}, f\right)=\left[F_{\infty}^{\prime}: F_{\infty}\right] \cdot \lambda_{ \pm}^{\star}\left(F_{\infty}, f\right)+\sum_{w^{\prime} \in R\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime} / F_{\infty}\right)} m\left(F_{\infty, w^{\prime}}^{\prime} / F_{\infty, w}, V_{f}\right)
$$

In particular, if the main conjecture is true for $f$ over $F$ (with $\mu_{ \pm}^{\star}\left(F_{\infty}, f\right)=0$ ), then the main conjecture is true for $f$ over $F^{\prime}\left(\right.$ with $\left.\mu_{ \pm}^{\star}\left(F_{\infty}^{\prime}, f\right)=0\right)$.

Proof. The proof of this theorem proceeds along the lines of the proof of Theorem 3.1 replacing the appeals to the results of $[1,11]$ to the results of [2]. The main result of [2] is a formula relating the $\lambda_{ \pm}^{\star}$-invariants of congruent supersingular weight two modular forms. This formula has the same shape as the formulas that appear in [1] and [11] which allows for the proof to proceed nearly verbatim.
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