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#### Abstract

We consider reduced Witt rings of finite chain length. We show there is a bound, in terms of the chain length and maximal signature, on the dimension of anisotropic, totally indefinite forms. From this we get the ascending chain condition on principal ideals and hence factorization of forms into products of irreducible forms.
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$R$ will denote a (real) reduced Witt ring. A form $q \in R$ is totally indefinite if $\left|\operatorname{sgn}_{\alpha} q\right|<\operatorname{dim} q$ for all orderings $\alpha$ of $R$. It is well-known that such a form need not be isotropic. However, when $R$ has finite chain length, $\operatorname{cl}(R)$, we show there are restrictions on the possible dimensions of anisotropic, totally indefinite forms. To be specific,

$$
\operatorname{dim} q \leq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{cl}(R) \max _{\alpha}\left\{\left|\operatorname{sgn}_{\alpha} q\right|^{2}\right\}
$$

unless $R=\mathbb{Z}$ and $q$ is one-dimensional. The proof depends on Marshall's classification of reduced Witt rings of finite chain length.
This bound allows us to show that $R$, of finite chain length, satisfies the ascending chain condition on principal ideals. One consequence of this result is that chains of basic clopen sets $H\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$, for fixed $n$, stabilize. Another consequence is that non-zero, non-units of $R$ factor into a finite product of irreducible elements (in the sense of Anderson and Valdes-Leon). This had been previously known only for odd dimensional forms in rings with only finitely many orderings.
Conversely, we show, for a wide class of reduced Witt rings $R$, that the ascending chain condition on principal ideals implies $R$ has finite chain length. The proof relies on Marshall's notion of a sheaf product. We close with examples of factorization into irreducible elements. These illustrate how the factorization of even dimensional forms is less well behaved than the factorization of odd dimensional forms studied in [8].

We set some of the notation. $R$ will be an abstract Witt ring, in the sense of Marshall [11], and reduced. The main case of interest is the Witt ring of a Pythagorean field. $X_{R}$, or just $X$ if the ring is understood, denotes the set of orderings (equivalently, signatures) on $R$. We always assume $X$ is non-empty. For a form $q \in R$ and ordering $\alpha \in X$, the signature of $q$ at $\alpha$ will be denoted by either $\operatorname{sgn}_{\alpha} q$ or $\hat{q}(\alpha)$.
We let $G_{R}$, or just $G$ when $R$ is understood, denote the group of onedimensional forms of $R$. When $R$ is the Witt ring of a field, $G=F^{*} / F^{* 2}$. Forms in $R$ are written as $\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle$, with each $a_{i} \in G$. An $n$-fold Pfister form is a product $\left\langle 1, a_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle 1, a_{2}\right\rangle \cdots\left\langle 1, a_{n}\right\rangle$, denoted by $\left\langle\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle\right\rangle$. The set of orderings $X$ has a topology with basic clopen sets

$$
H\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=\left\{\alpha \in X: a_{i}>_{\alpha} 0 \quad \text { for all } i\right\}
$$

where each $a_{i} \in G$. The chain length of $R$, denoted by $\operatorname{cl}(R)$, is the supremum of the set of integers $k$ for which there is a chain

$$
H\left(a_{0}\right) \subsetneq H\left(a_{1}\right) \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq H\left(a_{k}\right)
$$

of length $k$ (each $a_{i} \in G$ ).
A subgroup $F \subset G$ is a fan if it satisfies : any subgroup $P \supset F$ such that $-1 \notin P$ and $P$ has index 2 in $G$ is an ordering. The index of the fan is $[G: F]$. The set of orderings $P$ that contain $F$ is denoted $X / F$. Note that $|X / F|=2^{n-1}$ if $F$ has index $2^{n}$. The stability index of $R$, denoted by $\operatorname{st}(R)$, is the supremum of $\log _{2}|X / F|$ over all fans in $G$.
If $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ are reduced Witt rings then so is the product

$$
R_{1} \sqcap R_{2}=\left\{\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right): r_{1} \in R_{1}, r_{2} \in R_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{dim} r_{1} \equiv \operatorname{dim} r_{2} \quad(\bmod 2)\right\}
$$

$E$ will always denote a group of exponent 2 . If $R$ is a reduced Witt ring then so is the group ring generated by $E$, denoted by $R[E]$. $E_{k}$ will denote the group of exponent 2 and order $2^{k}$. We will always take $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}$ as generators of $E_{k}$ (except when $k=1$ when we use just $t$ ). For an arbitrary $E$ we use $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots$ as generators. When $E$ is uncountable we are assuming the use of infinite ordinals as indices. Lastly, if $S \subset G$ we write $\operatorname{sp}(S)$ for the subgroup generated by $S$.

## 1. ISOTROPY.

Over $\mathbb{R}$ a form $q$ is hyperbolic iff $\operatorname{sgn} q=0$ and isotropic iff $|\operatorname{sgn} q|<\operatorname{dim} q$. The first statement holds for any reduced Witt ring but not the second. Our goal is to find a limit on the difference between $|\operatorname{sgn} q|$ and $\operatorname{dim} q$ for anisotropic forms. We restrict ourselves to reduced Witt rings with a finite chain length. Recall [12, 4.4.2] ([5] in the field case) that such rings are built up from copies of $\mathbb{Z}$ by finite products and arbitrary group ring extensions. The decomposition is unique except that $\mathbb{Z} \sqcap \mathbb{Z}=\mathbb{Z}\left[E_{1}\right]$.
We introduce some notation. Recall that $E_{k}$ is generated by $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}$. We fix a listing $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2^{k}}$ of the elements of $E_{k}$ as follows. The list for $E_{1}$ is
$1, t_{1}$. The list for $E_{k+1}$ is the list of $E_{k}$ followed by $t_{k+1}$ times the list for $E_{k}$. We also fix a listing $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{2^{n}}$ of the orderings on $\mathbb{Z}\left[E_{k}\right]$. For the $k=1$ we take $\alpha_{1}$ to be the ordering with $t_{1}$ positive and $\alpha_{2}$ to be the ordering with $t_{1}$ negative. The list for $\mathbb{Z}\left[E_{k+1}\right]$ consists of the orderings on $\mathbb{Z}\left[E_{k}\right]$ extended by taking $t_{k+1}$ positive, followed by the extensions with $t_{k+1}$ negative. Lastly, we define $P_{k}$ to be the $2^{k} \times 2^{k}$-matrix whose $(i, j)$ entry is the sign of $x_{j}$ at the $\alpha_{i}$ ordering. Thus $P_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1\end{array}\right)$.
Lemma 1.1. For each $k \geq 1$
(1) $P_{k}$ is symmetric.
(2) $P_{k}^{2}=2^{k} I$.
(3) For $q=\sum n_{i} x_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[E_{k}\right]$ let $s_{i}=\hat{q}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$. Set $\bar{n}=\left(n_{1}, \ldots n_{2^{k}}\right)^{T}$, where $T$ denotes the transpose, and $\bar{s}=\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{2^{k}}\right)^{T}$. Then $P_{k} \bar{n}=\bar{s}$.

Proof. We use induction on $k$ to prove (1) and (2). Both are clear for $k=1$. By our construction,

$$
P_{k+1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
P_{k} & P_{k} \\
P_{k} & -P_{k}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Thus $P_{k}$ symmetric implies $P_{k+1}$ is also. And

$$
P_{k+1}^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 P_{k}^{2} & 0 \\
0 & 2 P_{k}^{2}
\end{array}\right)=2^{k+1} I
$$

Statement (3) is simple to check.
The reader may notice that each $P_{k}$ is a Hadamard matrix, indeed the simplest examples of Hadamard matrices, namely Kronecker products of copies of $\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1\end{array}\right)$.
Notation. Let $M(q)=\max \{|\hat{q}(\alpha)|: \alpha \in X\}$.
Proposition 1.2. Let $R=\mathbb{Z}[E]$, where $E$ is an arbitrary group of exponent two. Suppose $q \in R$ is anisotropic. Then $\operatorname{dim} q \leq M(q)^{2}$.
Proof. We may assume $q \in \mathbb{Z}\left[E_{k}\right]$ for some $k$. Write $q=\sum n_{i} x_{i}$ where $n_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the $x_{i}$ form the list of the elements of $E_{k}$ described above. Let $\bar{n}$ and $\bar{s}$ be as in (1.1). Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{k} \bar{n} & =\bar{s} \\
2^{k} \bar{n}=P_{k}^{2} \bar{n} & =P_{k} \bar{s} \\
\sum n_{i}^{2}=\bar{n}^{T} \bar{n} & =\frac{1}{2^{2 k}} \bar{s}^{T} P_{k}^{T} P_{k} \bar{s} \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{k}} \bar{s}^{T} \bar{s}=\frac{1}{2^{k}} \sum s_{i}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now for each $i$ we have $s_{i}^{2} \leq M(q)^{2}$. So $\sum n_{i}^{2} \leq M(q)^{2}$. Further, $\left|n_{i}\right| \leq n_{i}^{2}$ so $\operatorname{dim} q=\sum\left|n_{i}\right| \leq M(q)^{2}$.

Remarks. (1) The bound in (1.2) is sharp infinitely often. Let $\epsilon=\left(\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{k}\right)$ be a choice of signs, that is, each $\epsilon_{i}= \pm 1$. Pick a one-to-one correspondence between the $2^{k}$ many sign choices and the elements of $\operatorname{sp}\left\{t_{k+1}, \ldots, t_{2 k}\right\}$, say $\epsilon \mapsto x_{\epsilon}$. Then consider

$$
q=\sum_{\epsilon} x_{\epsilon}\left\langle\left\langle\epsilon_{1} t_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{k} t_{k}\right\rangle\right\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}\left[E_{2 k}\right]
$$

where the sum is over all possible sign choices. At each ordering of $\mathbb{Z}\left[E_{k}\right]$ exactly one of the Pfister forms has signature $2^{k}$, the others having signature zero. In any extension of this ordering to $\mathbb{Z}\left[E_{2 k}\right]$ we get $\operatorname{sgn} q= \pm 2^{k}$. Thus $q$ is anisotropic, $\operatorname{dim} q=2^{2 k}$ and $M(q)=2^{k}$. Hence $\operatorname{dim} q=M(q)^{2}$.
(2) The bound of (1.2) is not sharp for $M$ 's that are not 2-powers. For instance, suppose $q$ is anisotropic and $M(q)=3$. We may assume (see (2.6)) that $q$ has signature 3 or -1 at each ordering. Let $q_{0}=(q-1)_{a n}$, the anisotropic part. Then $M\left(q_{0}\right)=2$ and so $\operatorname{dim} q_{0} \leq 4$. Thus $\operatorname{dim} q \leq 5<M(q)^{2}$.
The bound of (1.2) can also be improved if $k$ is fixed. For instance, one can show for anisotropic $q \in \mathbb{Z}\left[E_{3}\right]$ that $\operatorname{dim} q \leq \frac{5}{2} M(q)$.

Theorem 1.3. Suppose $R$ is a reduced Witt ring of finite chain length. Let $q \in R$ be anisotropic. Then $\operatorname{dim} q \leq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{cl}(R) M(q)^{2}$, unless $R=\mathbb{Z}$ and $q$ is one-dimensional.

Proof. The result is clear if $\operatorname{dim} q=1$ so assume $\operatorname{dim} q \geq 2$. We may thus ignore the exceptional case. We will prove the result for $R=S[E]$, any $E$, by induction on the chain length of $S$. Say $\operatorname{cl}(S)=1$ so that $S=\mathbb{Z}$. If $E=1$ then $\operatorname{dim} q=M(q) \leq \frac{1}{2} M(q)^{2}$ as $\operatorname{dim} q \geq 2$. If $E \neq 1$ then we are done by (1.2) as $\operatorname{cl}(\mathbb{Z}[E])=2$.
In the general case we may assume $S=S_{1} \sqcap S_{2}$, with at least one of $S_{1}$ or $S_{2}$ not $\mathbb{Z}$. Then both $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ have smaller chain length than $S$ and so we are assuming the result holds for $S_{i}[E], i=1,2$ and any $E$.
First suppose $E=1$. Write $q=(a, b)$ with $a \in S_{1}$ and $b \in S_{2}$. We may assume that $\operatorname{dim} a \geq \operatorname{dim} b$. Then $\operatorname{dim} q=\operatorname{dim} a$. We have by induction

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim} q=\operatorname{dim} a & \leq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{cl}\left(S_{1}\right) M(a)^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{cl}(R) M(a)^{2}, \quad \text { since } \operatorname{cl}(R)=\operatorname{cl}\left(S_{1}\right)+\operatorname{cl}\left(S_{2}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{cl}(R) M(q)^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

as $\hat{q}(\alpha)=\hat{a}(\alpha)$ or $\hat{b}(\alpha)$ for every $\alpha \in X$ so that $M(a) \leq M(q)$.
Next suppose $E \neq 1$. Since $q$ has only finitely many entries we may assume that $q \in\left(S_{1} \sqcap S_{2}\right)\left[E_{k}\right]$, for some $k$. Write $q=\sum\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right) x_{i}$, where each $a_{i} \in S_{1}$ and $b_{i} \in S_{2}$ and the $x_{i}$ 's are our listing of the elements of $E_{k}$. Set

$$
\varphi=\left(\sum a_{i} x_{i}, 0\right)+r\left(0, \sum b_{i} x_{i}\right) \in\left(S_{1}\left[E_{k}\right] \sqcap S_{2}\left[E_{k}\right]\right)\left[E_{1}\right] .
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{dim} q=\sum_{i} \max \left\{\operatorname{dim} a_{i}, \operatorname{dim} b_{i}\right\} \\
& \operatorname{dim} \varphi=\sum \operatorname{dim} a_{i}+\sum \operatorname{dim} b_{i} \geq \operatorname{dim} q
\end{aligned}
$$

We check the signatures. If $\alpha \in X_{S_{1}}$ and $\alpha^{\epsilon}$ is an extension of $\alpha$ to $R=$ $\left(S_{1} \sqcap S_{2}\right)\left[E_{k}\right]$ then $\hat{q}\left(\alpha^{\epsilon}\right)=\sum \hat{a}_{i}(\alpha) \epsilon_{i}$ (here $\epsilon_{i}= \pm 1$ depending on the sign of $x_{i}$ in the extension). Similarly, if $\beta \in X_{S_{2}}$ and $\beta^{\epsilon}$ is an extension to $R$ then $\hat{q}\left(\beta^{\epsilon}\right)=\sum \hat{b}_{i}(\beta) \epsilon_{i}$.
We may also view $\alpha^{\epsilon}$ as an extension of $\alpha$ to $S_{1}\left[E_{k}\right]$ and hence to $S_{1}\left[E_{k}\right] \sqcap S_{2}\left[E_{k}\right]$. Let $\alpha^{\epsilon+}$ denote the further extension to $\left(S_{1}\left[E_{k}\right] \sqcap S_{2}\left[E_{k}\right]\right)\left[E_{1}\right]$ with $r$ positive. We also have the other extensions $\alpha^{\epsilon-}, \beta^{\epsilon+}$ and $\beta^{\epsilon-}$. Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\varphi}\left(\alpha^{\epsilon+}\right)=\sum \hat{a}_{i}(\alpha) \epsilon_{i} \\
& \hat{\varphi}\left(\alpha^{\epsilon-}\right)=\sum \hat{a}_{i}(\alpha) \epsilon_{i} \\
& \hat{\varphi}\left(\beta^{\epsilon+}\right)=\sum \hat{b}_{i}(\beta) \epsilon_{i} \\
& \hat{\varphi}\left(\beta^{\epsilon-}\right)=-\sum \hat{b}_{i}(\beta) \epsilon_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $M(\varphi)=M(q)$.
Set $\varphi_{1}=\sum a_{i} x_{i} \in S_{1}\left[E_{k}\right]$ and $\varphi_{2}=\sum b_{i} x_{i} \in S_{2}\left[E_{k}\right]$. Then by induction we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim} \varphi_{1} & \leq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{cl}\left(S_{1}\right) M\left(\varphi_{1}\right)^{2} \\
\operatorname{dim} \varphi_{2} & \leq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{cl}\left(S_{2}\right) M\left(\varphi_{2}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The previous computation shows that for any ordering $\gamma$ of $\left(S_{1}\left[E_{k}\right] \sqcap S_{2}\left[E_{k}\right]\right)\left[E_{1}\right]$ that $\hat{\varphi}(\gamma)$ equals $\hat{\varphi}_{1}(\alpha)$ or $\pm \hat{\varphi}_{2}(\beta)$ where $\gamma$ restricts to either $\alpha$ on $S_{1}\left[E_{k}\right]$ or $\beta$ on $S_{2}\left[E_{k}\right]$. Thus $M\left(\varphi_{i}\right) \leq M(\varphi)$ for $i=1,2$. We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim} \varphi=\operatorname{dim} \varphi_{1}+\operatorname{dim} \varphi_{2} & \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\operatorname{cl}\left(S_{1}\right)+\operatorname{cl}\left(S_{2}\right)\right) M(\varphi)^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{cl}(R) M(\varphi)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

using [12, 4.2.1]. Lastly, we have already checked that $\operatorname{dim} q \leq \operatorname{dim} \varphi$ and $M(q)=M(\varphi)$, giving the desired bound.
Remarks. (1) The bound of (1.3) is sometimes achieved. For example, in

$$
R=\left(\mathbb{Z}\left[E_{2}\right] \sqcap \mathbb{Z}\left[E_{2}\right] \sqcap \mathbb{Z}\left[E_{2}\right]\right)\left[E_{2}\right]
$$

where the last $E_{2}$ is generated by $s_{1}, s_{2}$, let $\varphi=\left\langle 1, t_{1}, t_{2},-t_{1} t_{2}\right\rangle$ and set $q=$ $(\varphi, 0,0)+s_{1}(0, \varphi, 0)+s_{2}(0,0, \varphi)$. Then $q$ is anisotropic, $\operatorname{dim} q=12, M(q)=2$ and $\operatorname{cl}(R)=6$. Thus $\operatorname{dim} q=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{cl}(R) M(q)^{2}$.
(2) Bröcker [3] has a result that looks similar to (1.3) but is apparently unrelated. There, in the version of [12, 7.7.3], if $q$ is anisotropic, $\hat{q}(\alpha)= \pm 2^{k}$ for all $\alpha$ and $Y=\left\{\alpha: \hat{q}(\alpha)=2^{k}\right\}$ is the union of basic open sets each of stability index at most $k+1$, then $\operatorname{dim} q \leq 2^{2 k}=M(q)^{2}$.
(3) Bonnard [2] also has a result that looks like (1.3), which in fact uses Bröcker's result in the proof. In our notation, her result is: if $R$ has finite stability index $s$ and $q \in R$ is anisotropic then $\operatorname{dim} q \leq 2^{s-1} M(q)$. Her bound is slightly better than this. Chain length and stability index are independent invariants so again there is no apparent connection between (1.3) and Bonnard's result.
Recall that a form $q$ is weakly isotropic if $m q$ is isotropic for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$.
Corollary 1.4. Let $R$ be a real Witt ring (not necessarily reduced) of $f i-$ nite chain length. Let $q \in R$ be a form of dimension at least 2. If $\operatorname{dim} q>$ $\frac{1}{2} c l(R) M(q)^{2}$ then $q$ is weakly isotropic.
Proof. Let $q_{r}=q+R_{t} \in R_{r e d}$, the reduced Witt ring. Then $q_{r}$ is isotropic by (1.3). Hence $q_{r} \simeq\langle 1,-1\rangle+\varphi_{r}$, for some form $\varphi_{r}=\varphi+R_{t} \in R_{r e d}$. Then $2^{k} q \simeq 2^{k}\langle 1,-1\rangle+2^{k} \varphi$, for some $k$, and so $q$ is weakly isotropic.

## 2. Chains of principal ideals.

We use the standard abbreviation ACC for ascending chain condition.
Proposition 2.1. If $A C C$ holds for the principal ideals of $R$ then $R$ has finite chain length.

Proof. Suppose we have a tower

$$
H\left(a_{1}\right) \supseteq H\left(a_{2}\right) \supseteq \cdots \supseteq H\left(a_{n}\right) \supseteq \cdots
$$

Set $q_{n}=\left\langle 1,1, a_{n}\right\rangle$. Then $\hat{q}_{n}(\alpha)$ is 1 or 3 , with $\hat{q}_{n}(\alpha)=3$ iff $\alpha \in H\left(a_{n}\right)$. In particular, for every $n$ we have $\hat{q}_{n+1}(\alpha)$ divides $\hat{q}_{n}(\alpha)$, for every $\alpha \in X$. Then $q_{n+1}$ divides $q_{n}$ by [7, 1.7]. Thus we have a tower of principal ideals :

$$
\left(q_{1}\right) \subseteq\left(q_{2}\right) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq\left(q_{n}\right) \subseteq \cdots .
$$

The ACC implies there exists a $N$ such that $\left(q_{N}\right)=\left(q_{m}\right)$ for all $m>N$. Then $\hat{q}_{N}(\alpha)$ divides $\hat{q}_{m}(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in X$ and so $H\left(a_{N}\right)=H\left(a_{m}\right)$, for all $m>N$.

We need some technical terms for the next result.
Definitions. A fan tower is a strictly decreasing tower of fans $F_{1}>F_{2}>$ $\cdots>F_{n}>\cdots$, each of finite index plus a fixed choice of complements $C_{n}$ where $G=C_{n} \times F_{n}$. We set $F_{\infty}=\cap F_{n}$. A separating set of fan towers is a finite set of fan towers $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{\ell}$, with $s_{i}=\left\{F_{\text {in }}\right\}$ such that
(1) Given any $q \in R$ there exists $m$, possibly depending on $q$, such that all entries of $q$ are in $C_{i m} F_{i \infty}$, for each $i$ between 1 and $\ell$.
(2) Given $K \subset \mathbb{Z}$ and forms $q_{1}, q_{2} \in R$, there exists $N$, depending on $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ but not $K$, such that if for some $n>N$

$$
\hat{q}_{1}^{-1}(K) \cap\left(X / F_{\text {in }}\right)=\hat{q}_{2}^{-1}(K) \cap\left(X / F_{\text {in }}\right)
$$

for all $i$ then $\hat{q}_{1}^{-1}(K)=\hat{q}_{2}^{-1}(K)$.
Example. For a simple example, let $R=\mathbb{Z}[E]$ with $E$ countably infinite. Let $F_{i}=s p\left\{t_{i+1}, t_{i+2}, \ldots\right\}$ and $C_{i}=s p\left\{-1, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{i}\right\}$. Then each $F_{i}$ is a fan of finite index, each $C_{i}$ is a complement and the $F_{i}$ are strictly decreasing. Hence $\left\{F_{i}\right\}$ is a fan tower. Note that here $F_{\infty}=1$. This fan tower is a separating (singleton) set of fan towers. A given form $q$ has entries involving only a finite number of $t_{i}$ 's and so its entries lie in some $C_{m}$; this is the first condition. If we are given two forms $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ then again all of their entries lie in some $C_{N}$. So the signatures of the $q_{i}$ depend only on the signs of $t_{1}, \ldots t_{N}$ in that ordering. Hence if $\hat{q}_{1}$ and $\hat{q}_{2}$ agree on $X / F_{N}$ then they agree at every ordering. This is the second condition.
Roughly, our fan towers will look like this example. When there is a product we will need one tower in each coordinate, hence a separating set.
Lemma 2.2. If $R$ has finite chain length then $R$ has a separating set of fan towers.
Proof. We prove this by induction on the chain length. When $\operatorname{cl}(R)=1$ then $R=\mathbb{Z}$ and the result is clear. We first consider the case $R=S_{1} \sqcap S_{2}$. Write $G_{1}$ and $X_{1}$ for $G_{S_{1}}$ and $X_{S_{1}}$ and similarly for $G_{2}$ and $X_{2}$. Let $\left\{s_{1}^{1}, \ldots, s_{\ell_{1}}^{1}\right\}$ be a separating set of fan towers for $S_{1}$. Here $s_{k}^{1}=\left\{F_{k i}^{1}\right\}$ with complements $C_{k i}^{1}$. Set $F_{k i}=F_{k i}^{1} \times G_{2}$, which is a fan in $G=G_{1} \times G_{2}$ with complement $C_{k i}=C_{k i}^{1} \times 1$. Then for $1 \leq k \leq \ell_{1}, r_{k}=\left\{F_{k i}\right\}$ is a fan tower. Note that $F_{k \infty}=F_{k \infty}^{1} \times G_{2}$.
Similarly, let $\left\{s_{1}^{2}, \ldots, s_{\ell_{2}}^{2}\right\}$ be a separating set of fan towers for $S_{2}$, with $s_{k}^{2}=\left\{F_{k i}^{2}\right\}$ and complements $C_{k i}^{2}$. Set $F_{\ell_{1}+k i}=G_{1} \times F_{k i}^{2}$ and $C_{\ell_{1}+k i}=1 \times C_{k i}^{2}$. Then for $1 \leq k \leq \ell_{2}, r_{\ell_{1}+k}=\left\{F_{\ell_{1}+k i}\right\}$ is a fan tower. We check that $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{\ell_{1}}, r_{\ell_{1}+1}, \ldots, r_{\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}}$ is a separating set of fan towers for $R$.
We check the first condition. We are given a form $q=\left\langle\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right)\right\rangle \in$ $R$. By induction, there exists a $m_{1}$ such that $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in C_{k m_{1}}^{1} F_{k \infty}^{1}$ for all $k$. So

$$
\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right) \in C_{k m_{1}} F_{k \infty}=C_{k m_{1}}^{1} F_{k \infty}^{1} G_{2}
$$

for all $k$ with $1 \leq k \leq \ell_{1}$. Similarly, there exists a $m_{2}$ such that $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n} \in$ $C_{k m_{2}}^{2} F_{k \infty}^{2}$, for all $1 \leq k \leq \ell_{2}$. Hence $\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right) \in C_{k m_{2}} F_{k \infty}$ for all $k$ with $\ell_{1}<k \leq \ell_{1}+\ell_{2}$. So take $m$ to be the maximum of $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$.
We next check the second condition. We are given $K \subset \mathbb{Z}$ and forms $q_{1}=$ $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)$ and $q_{2}=\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)$. Note that $\hat{q}_{1}^{-1}(K)=\hat{u}_{1}^{-1}(K) \cup \hat{v}_{1}^{-1}(K) \subset X_{1} \cup X_{2}$, a disjoint union. By induction there exists a $N_{1}$ satisfying the second condition for $K, u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ and a $N_{2}$ satisfying the second condition for $K, v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$. Let $N$ be the maximum of $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$. Suppose for some $n>N$ we have

$$
\hat{q}_{1}^{-1}(K) \cap\left(X / F_{k n}\right)=\hat{q}_{2}^{-1}(K) \cap\left(X / F_{k n}\right)
$$

for all $1 \leq k \leq \ell_{1}+\ell_{2}$. For $1 \leq k \leq \ell_{1}$ we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{q}_{1}^{-1}(K) \cap\left(X / F_{k n}\right) & =\hat{q}_{1}^{-1}(K) \cap\left(X_{1} / F_{k n}^{1}\right) \\
& =\hat{u}_{1}^{-1}(K) \cap\left(X_{1} / F_{k n}^{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We thus obtain

$$
\hat{u}_{1}^{-1}(K) \cap\left(X_{1} / F_{k n}^{1}\right)=\hat{u}_{2}^{-1}(K) \cap\left(X_{1} / F_{k n}^{1}\right),
$$

for all $1 \leq k \leq \ell_{1}$. By the second condition on $S_{1}$ we have $\hat{u}_{1}^{-1}(K)=\hat{u}_{2}^{-1}(K)$. Similarly, $\hat{v}_{1}^{-1}(K)=\hat{v}_{2}^{-1}(K)$ and so $\hat{q}_{1}^{-1}(K)=\hat{q}_{2}^{-1}(K)$.
Now suppose $R=S[E]$. Set $T_{i}=\operatorname{sp}\left\{t_{i+1}, t_{i+2}, \ldots\right\}$. Let $\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{\ell}\right\}$ be a separating set of fan towers for $S$ where $s_{k}=\left\{F_{k i}^{\prime}\right\}$ and the complements are $C_{k i}^{\prime}$. Then $F_{k i}=F_{k i}^{\prime} T_{i}$ is a fan of finite index in $R$ with complement $C_{k i}=$ $C_{k i}^{\prime} s p\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{i}\right\}$. Then $r_{k}=\left\{F_{k i}\right\}$ is a fan tower. Note that $F_{k \infty}=F_{k \infty}^{\prime}$. We show that $\left\{r_{1}, \ldots, r_{\ell}\right\}$ is a separating set of fan towers for $R$.
For the first condition we are given a form $q \in R=S[E]$. There exists a $p$ such that $q \in S\left[E_{p}\right]$. Write $q=\sum a_{i} x_{i}$ where each $a_{i} \in S$ and the $x_{i}$ 's are some list of the elements of $E_{p}$. By induction, for each $i$ there exists a $m(i)$ such that every entry of $a_{i}$ is in $C_{k m(i)}^{\prime} F_{k \infty}^{\prime}$ for all $k, 1 \leq k \leq \ell$. Let $m$ be the maximum of the $m(i)$ and $p$. Then every entry of every $a_{i}$ lies in $C_{k m}^{\prime} F_{k \infty}^{\prime} \subset C_{k m} F_{k \infty}$ and each $x_{i}$ lies in $s p\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{p}\right\} \subset C_{k m}$. So every entry of $q$ lies in $C_{k m} F_{k \infty}$, for all $k$.
For the second condition we are given $K \subset \mathbb{Z}$ and two forms $q_{1}, q_{2} \in R$. Again there exists a $p$ such that $q_{1}, q_{2} \in S\left[E_{p}\right]$. Write $q_{1}=\sum a_{i} x_{i}$ and $q_{2}=\sum b_{i} x_{i}$ with $a_{i}, b_{i} \in S$ and the $x_{i}$ as before. Let $\epsilon \in\{ \pm 1\}^{p}$ be a choice of sign for $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{p}$. Let $\epsilon\left(x_{i}\right)$ be the resulting sign of $x_{i}$. Set:

$$
q_{1}^{\epsilon}=\sum a_{i} \epsilon\left(x_{i}\right) \quad q_{2}^{\epsilon}=\sum b_{i} \epsilon\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

both forms in $S$. For each $\epsilon$ there exists a $N_{\epsilon}$ so that condition 2 holds for $q_{1}^{\epsilon}$ and $q_{2}^{\epsilon}$. Let $N$ be the maximum of the $N_{\epsilon}$ and $p$.
If $\alpha \in X_{S}$ we let $\alpha^{\epsilon}$ be the extension of $\alpha$ to $S\left[E_{p}\right]$ with $t_{i}>0$ iff $\epsilon\left(t_{i}\right)=1$.
Then we claim that:

$$
\hat{q}_{1}^{-1}(K) \cap X_{S\left[E_{p}\right]}=\bigcup_{\epsilon}\left[\left(\hat{q}_{1}^{\epsilon}\right)^{-1}(K)\right]^{\epsilon} .
$$

Namely if $\alpha^{\epsilon} \in X_{S\left[E_{p}\right]}$ and $\hat{q}_{1}\left(\alpha^{\epsilon}\right) \in K$ then

$$
\hat{q}_{1}\left(\alpha^{\epsilon}\right)=\sum \hat{a}_{i}(\alpha) \epsilon\left(x_{i}\right)=\hat{q}_{1}^{\epsilon}(\alpha)
$$

Hence $\alpha^{\epsilon} \in\left(\hat{q}_{1}^{\epsilon}\right)^{-1}(K)^{\epsilon}$. The reverse inclusion is similar.

Now let $\alpha^{\epsilon e}$ denote any extension of $\alpha^{\epsilon}$ to $R=S[E]$. Then by the claim we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{q}_{1}^{-1}(K)=\bigcup_{e}\left(\bigcup_{\epsilon}\left[\left(\hat{q}_{1}^{\epsilon}\right)^{-1}(K)\right]^{\epsilon}\right)^{e} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

So $\hat{q}_{1}^{-1}(K) \cap\left(X / F_{k n}\right)=\hat{q}_{2}^{-1}(K) \cap\left(X / F_{k n}\right)$ implies that

$$
\left(\hat{q}_{1}^{\epsilon}\right)^{-1}(K) \cap\left(X_{s} / F_{k n}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\hat{q}_{2}^{\epsilon}\right)^{-1}(K) \cap\left(X_{s} / F_{k n}^{\prime}\right),
$$

for all sign choices $\epsilon$. Hence by condition 2 applied to $S$ we obtain $\left(\hat{q}_{1}^{\epsilon}\right)^{-1}(K)=$ $\left(\hat{q}_{2}^{\epsilon}\right)^{-1}(K)$ for all $\epsilon$. Then (2.3) gives $\hat{q}_{1}^{-1}(K)=\hat{q}_{2}^{-1}(K)$.

Lemma 2.4. Suppose $R$ has a separating set of fan towers $\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{\ell}\right\}$. Let $q \in R$ and $K \subset \mathbb{Z}$. Let $m$ be the index such that every entry of $q$ lies in $C_{k m} F_{k \infty}$, for all $1 \leq k \leq \ell$. Let $n>m$. Then for each $k$ we have:

$$
\left|\hat{q}^{-1}(K) \cap\left(X / F_{k n}\right)\right|=\frac{\left|X / F_{k n}\right|}{\left|X / F_{k m}\right|}\left|\hat{q}^{-1}(K) \cap\left(X / F_{k m}\right)\right|
$$

Proof. Pick a $k$ with $1 \leq k \leq \ell . F_{k n} \subset F_{k m}$ are both fans of finite index so we can write $F_{k m}=\bar{H} \times \bar{F}_{k n}$ with $H$ spanned by $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{p}$, where $2^{p}=$ $\left|X / F_{k n}\right| /\left|X / F_{k m}\right|$. Every $\alpha \in X / F_{k m}$ has $2^{p}$ extensions to $X / F_{k n}$, one for each choice of signs $( \pm 1)$ for the $h_{i}$. Specifically, if $\epsilon$ is a sign choice for the $h_{i}$ and $h \in H$, let $\epsilon(h)$ be the resulting sign of $h$. Since $G=C_{k m} H F_{k n}$, the extension of $\alpha \in X / F_{k m}$ to $X / F_{k n}$ via $\epsilon$ is: $\alpha^{\epsilon}(c h f)=\alpha(c) \epsilon(h)$, where $c \in C_{k m}, h \in H$ and $f \in F_{k n}$. We thus have

$$
X / F_{k n}=\bigcup_{\epsilon}\left(X / F_{k m}\right)^{\epsilon}
$$

Write $q=\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right\rangle$. By assumption, each $a_{i}$ is in $C_{k m} F_{k \infty} \subset C_{k m} F_{k n}$. Hence $\alpha^{\epsilon}\left(a_{i}\right)=\alpha\left(a_{i}\right)$. Thus :

$$
\hat{q}^{-1}(K) \cap\left(X / F_{k n}\right)=\bigcup_{\epsilon}\left(\hat{q}^{-1}(K) \cap\left(X / F_{k m}\right)\right)^{\epsilon} .
$$

So $\left|\hat{q}^{-1}(K) \cap\left(X / F_{k n}\right)=2^{p}\right| \hat{q}^{-1}(K) \cap\left(X / F_{k m}\right) \mid$, and the result follows.
Lemma 2.5. Let $q \in R$ be a form of dimension $n$. Let $F$ be a fan of finite index and let $K \subset \mathbb{Z}$. Then :

$$
\left|\hat{q}^{-1}(K) \cap(X / F)\right|=\frac{k}{2^{n}}|X / F|,
$$

for some integer $k, 0 \leq k \leq 2^{n}$.
Proof. Write $q=\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle$. Then $\hat{q}^{-1}(K)$ is a disjoint union of $H\left(\epsilon_{1} a_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{n} a_{n}\right)$ for various choices of $\epsilon=\left(\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{n}\right) \in\{ \pm 1\}^{n}$. Set $\rho_{\epsilon}=\left\langle\left\langle\epsilon_{1} a_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{n} a_{n}\right\rangle\right\rangle$. Then by the easy half of the representation theorem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\alpha \in X / F} \hat{\rho}_{\epsilon}(\alpha) & \equiv 0(\bmod |X / F|) \\
2^{n}\left|H\left(\epsilon_{1} a_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{n} a_{n}\right) \cap(X / F)\right| & =k_{\epsilon}|X / F|
\end{aligned}
$$

for some non-negative integer $k_{\epsilon}$. Then :

$$
\left|\hat{q}^{-1}(K) \cap(X / F)\right|=\sum_{\epsilon} \frac{k_{\epsilon}}{2^{n}}|X / F|=\frac{k}{2^{n}}|X / F|
$$

for some non-negative integer $k$.
The following is essentially from [9]. For a form $q=\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle$ the discriminant is dis $q=(-1)^{n(n-1) / 2} a_{1} \cdots a_{n}$. This is sometimes called the signed discriminant.

Lemma 2.6. Let $q$ be an odd dimensional form.
(1) dis $q>{ }_{\alpha} 0$ iff $\hat{q}(\alpha) \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$.
(2) $\operatorname{sgn}_{\alpha} \operatorname{dis}(q) q \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ for all $\alpha \in X$.
(3) If $0 \neq a=b c$ and $\hat{a}(\alpha)= \pm \hat{b}(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in X$ with $\hat{a}(\alpha) \neq 0$ then there exists $d \in G$ such that $\langle d\rangle a=b$.

Proof. (1) Suppose $n=\operatorname{dim} q$. Let $s=\hat{q}(\alpha)$. If $r$ is the number of $\alpha$-negative entries in $q$ then

$$
\operatorname{sgn}_{\alpha} \operatorname{dis} q=(-1)^{n(n-1) / 2}(-1)^{r}=(-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}+\frac{n-s}{2}}=(-1)^{\left(n^{2}-s\right) / 2}
$$

This is positive iff $n^{2}-s \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$. As $n$ is odd we get that the discriminant is positive iff $\hat{q}(\alpha)=s \equiv n^{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$.
(2) is easy to check. For (3), let $A=\{\alpha \in X: \hat{a}(\alpha) \neq 0\}$. Then $\hat{c}(\alpha)= \pm 1$ for all $\alpha \in A$. In particular $c$ is odd dimensional and $\hat{a}(\alpha)=0$ iff $\hat{b}(\alpha)=0$. Let $d=\operatorname{dis} c$. Then $\langle d\rangle c$ has signature 1 for all $\alpha \in A$ by (2). Hence $\langle d\rangle b c$ and $b$ have the same signature at each $\alpha \in B$, and also at each $\alpha \notin A$ (as both have signature 0 there). Thus $\langle d\rangle a=\langle d\rangle b c=b$.
Theorem 2.7. Let $R$ be a reduced Witt ring. Then ACC holds for principal ideals iff the chain length of $R$ is finite.
Proof. (2.1) gives $(\longrightarrow)$. For the converse, let $(q) \subset\left(q_{1}\right) \subset\left(q_{2}\right) \subset \cdots$ be an ascending chain of principal ideals in $R$. Note that as each $q_{i}$ divides $q$ we have $M\left(q_{i}\right) \leq M(q)$. Let $M=M(q)$. Then (1.3) gives $\operatorname{dim} q_{i} \leq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{cl}(R) M^{2}$ for all $i$ (note $q$ is not one-dimensional else all $\left(q_{i}\right)=R$ ).

We begin with some simple reductions. If all $q_{i}$ are 0 then the result is clear. If some $q_{i}$ is not zero then all the later $q_{i}$ 's are not zero. We may start our tower there, that is, we may assume $q \neq 0$. For a non-zero form $\varphi$ define $\operatorname{deg} \varphi$ to be the largest $d$ such that $2^{d}$ divides $\hat{\varphi}(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in X$. Since $q_{i+1}$ divides $q_{i}$ we have $\operatorname{deg} q_{i+1} \leq \operatorname{deg} q_{i}$. Let $d_{0}$ be the minimum of the degrees of the $q_{i}$. We may start our tower at a $q_{j}$ of minimal degree, that is, we may assume that $\operatorname{deg} q=\operatorname{deg} q_{i}$ for all $i$. Now we may write $q=q_{i} \varphi_{i}$ for some form $\varphi_{i}$. We check that $\varphi_{i}$ is odd dimensional. If instead $\varphi_{i}$ is even dimensional then 2 divides $\hat{\varphi}_{i}(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha$ and so $2^{d+1}$ divides $\hat{q}(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha$, contradicting our reduction to a tower of uniform degree. Hence $\varphi_{i}$ is odd dimensional. In particular, $\hat{q}(\alpha)=0$ iff $\hat{q}_{i}(\alpha)=0$.
Let $D$ be the set of integers $d>1$ that divide some non-zero $\hat{q}(\alpha), \alpha \in X$. Write $D=\left\{d_{1}, \ldots, d_{z}\right\}$ with $d_{1}<d_{2}<\cdots<d_{z}$. Set $A\left(i, d_{j}\right)=\hat{q}_{i}^{-1}\left( \pm d_{j}\right)$. Let $d_{k}$ be the largest element of $D$ (if any) for which $\left\{A\left(i, d_{k}\right): i \geq 1\right\}$ is not finite. Our goal is to show that there is in fact no such $d_{k}$. Our assumption on $d_{k}$ means that for each $j>k$ we have a $t_{j}$ such that $A\left(t, d_{j}\right)=A\left(t_{j}, d_{j}\right)$ for all $t \geq t_{j}$. Let $T$ be the maximum of the $t_{j}, j>k$. Then by starting our tower of ideals with $q_{T}$, we may assume $A\left(i, d_{j}\right)=A\left(1, d_{j}\right)$ for all $j>k$ and all $i \geq 1$.
We first check that $A\left(i+1, d_{k}\right) \subset A\left(i, d_{k}\right)$ for any $i$. Namely, $q_{i}=q_{i+1} \varphi$ for some form $\varphi$. So if $\alpha \in A\left(i+1, d_{k}\right)$ then $\pm d_{k}$ divides $\hat{q}(\alpha)$. Also $\left|\hat{q}_{i}(\alpha)\right|$ is not of the $d_{j}$ with $j>k$ else $\alpha \in A\left(i, d_{j}\right)=A\left(i+1, d_{j}\right)$, which is impossible as $\alpha \in A\left(i+1, d_{k}\right)$. Thus $\left|\hat{q}_{i}(\alpha)\right| \leq d_{k}$ and is divisible by $d_{k}$. Hence $\hat{q}_{i}(\alpha)= \pm d_{k}$ and $\alpha \in A\left(i, d_{k}\right)$ as desired.
Let $s=\left\{F_{m}\right\}$ be one fan tower in a separating set of fan towers for $R$ (which exists by (2.2)). The first condition for a separating set, plus a simple induction argument, shows that for each $i$ there exists a least $m(i)$ with every entry of $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{i}$ in $C_{m(i)} F_{\infty}$. Note that $m(i+1) \geq m(i)$. Let $p(i)$ be the number of distinct values of

$$
\frac{\left|A\left(j, d_{k}\right) \cap\left(X / F_{m(i)}\right)\right|}{\left|X / F_{m(i)}\right|} \equiv \gamma(i, j),
$$

over $j$ with $1 \leq j \leq i$. Now, by (2.4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma(i+1, j) & =\frac{\left|A\left(j, d_{k}\right) \cap\left(X / F_{m(i+1)}\right)\right|}{\left|X / F_{m(i+1)}\right|} \\
& =\frac{\left|X / F_{m(i+1)}\right|}{\left|X / F_{m(i)}\right|} \frac{\left|A\left(j, d_{k}\right) \cap\left(X / F_{m(i)}\right)\right|}{\left|X / F_{m(i+1)}\right|} \\
& =\gamma(i, j) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $p(i+1) \geq p(i)$, with only $\gamma(i+1, i+1)$ possibly being a new value.
Since every $\operatorname{dim} q_{i} \leq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{cl}(R) M^{2},(2.5)$ implies each $p(i) \leq 2^{\operatorname{cl}(R) M^{2} / 2}+1$. Hence there is a $t_{0}$ such that $p(t)=p\left(t_{0}\right)$ for all $t \geq t_{0}$. Let $p=p\left(t_{0}\right)$ and $m=m\left(t_{0}\right)$. Say $\gamma\left(t_{0}, j_{1}\right), \ldots, \gamma\left(t_{0}, j_{p}\right)$ are the distinct $\gamma$-values over $1 \leq j \leq t_{0}$. Let $t>t_{0}$
and set $n=m(t)$. Then $\gamma(t, t)=\gamma\left(t_{0}, j_{s}\right)$ for some $j_{s}$. That is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left|A\left(t, d_{k}\right) \cap\left(X / F_{n}\right)\right|}{\left|X / F_{n}\right|} & =\frac{\left|A\left(j_{s}, d_{k}\right) \cap\left(X / F_{m}\right)\right|}{\left|X / F_{m}\right|} \\
& =\frac{\left|A\left(j_{s}, d_{k}\right) \cap\left(X / F_{n}\right)\right|}{\left|X / F_{n}\right|}
\end{aligned}
$$

using (2.4) again. Further, $A\left(t, d_{k}\right) \subset A\left(t_{0}, d_{k}\right) \subset A\left(j_{s}, d_{k}\right)$ so that we have

$$
\left|A\left(t, d_{k}\right) \cap\left(X / F_{n}\right)\right|=\left|A\left(t_{0}, d_{k}\right) \cap\left(X / F_{n}\right)\right|,
$$

and this holds for all $t \geq t_{0}$.
We can repeat this argument for each fan tower in the separating set. Let $\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{\ell}\right\}$ be the separating set and let $s_{i}=\left\{F_{i n}\right\}$. Hence there exist an $N$ and a $T$ such that $\left|A\left(t, d_{k}\right) \cap\left(X / F_{\text {in }}\right)\right|=\left|A\left(T, d_{k}\right) \cap\left(X / F_{\text {in }}\right)\right|$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ and all $t \geq T$. By the second property of a separating set we have $A\left(t, d_{k}\right)=$ $A\left(T, d_{k}\right)$ for all $t \geq T$. This contradicts our choice of $d_{k}$.
Hence we have a $T$ such that $A\left(t, d_{j}\right)=A\left(T, d_{j}\right)$ for all $t \geq T$ and all $d_{j} \in D$. Thus $\hat{q}_{t}(\alpha)= \pm \hat{q}_{T}(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha$ in the union of the $A\left(T, d_{j}\right)$, that is, for all $\alpha$ with $\hat{q}(\alpha) \neq 0$. By our early reduction, $\hat{q}(\alpha) \neq 0$ iff $\hat{q}_{T}(\alpha) \neq 0$. Thus $\hat{q}_{t}(\alpha)= \pm \hat{q}_{T}(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha$ with $\hat{q}_{T}(\alpha) \neq 0$ and also $q_{t}$ divides $q_{T}$. By (2.6) we obtain $\left(q_{t}\right)=\left(q_{T}\right)$, for all $t \geq T$.

Corollary 2.8. Let $R$ be a real (but necessarily reduced) Witt ring. If $R$ has finite chain length then $A C C$ holds for principal ideals generated by odd dimensional forms.

Proof. Every ideal containing an odd dimensional form contains the torsion ideal $R_{t}$ by $[7,1.5]$. Hence passing to the reduced Witt ring maintains a tower of principal ideals generated by odd dimensional forms. This reduced tower stabilizes by (2.7). Hence the original tower stabilizes.

Corollary 2.9. Let $(G, X)$ be a space of orderings. Let $\mathcal{S}$ denote the collection of subsets of $G$ of order $n$. If $X$ has finite chain length then any tower

$$
H\left(S_{1}\right) \subset H\left(S_{2}\right) \subset \cdots H\left(S_{k}\right) \subset \cdots
$$

with each $S \in \mathcal{S}$, stabilizes.
Proof. Suppose $S_{i}=\left\{a_{i 1}, \ldots, a_{i n}\right\}$. Set $q_{i}=\left\langle\left\langle a_{i 1}, \ldots, a_{i n}\right\rangle\right\rangle+1$. Then $\hat{q}_{i}(X)=\left\{1,2^{n}+1\right\}$ and $\hat{q}_{i}^{-1}\left(2^{n}+1\right)=H\left(S_{i}\right)$. Thus $\hat{q}_{i+1}(\alpha)$ divides $\hat{q}_{i}(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in X$. So $q_{i+1}$ divides $q_{i}$ by [7, 1.7]. We thus have a tower of principal ideals $\left(q_{1}\right) \subset\left(q_{2}\right) \subset \cdots$. This stabilizes by (2.7) and so the tower of $H\left(S_{i}\right)$ 's also stabilizes.

## 3. Factorization.

Anderson and Valdes-Leon [1] have several notions of an associate in a commutative ring $R$. We need three of these. Two elements $a$ and $b$ are associates if their principal ideals are equal, $(a)=(b)$. They are strong associates if $a=b u$, for some unit $u \in R$. Lastly, $a$ and $b$ are very strong associates if $(a)=(b)$ and either $a=b=0$ or $a \neq 0$ and $a=b r$ implies $r$ is a unit.
An non-unit $a$ is irreducible if $a=b c$ implies either $b$ or $c$ is an associate of $a$. Similarly, $a$ is strongly irreducible (very strongly irreducible) if $a=b c$ implies either $b$ or $c$ is a strong associate (respectively, very strong associate) of $a$. Lastly, $R$ is atomic if every non-zero non-unit of $R$ can be written as a finite product of irreducible elements. Define strongly atomic and very strongly atomic similarly.

Proposition 3.1. Let $R$ be a reduced Witt ring and let $a, b \in R$. Then $a, b$ are associates iff $a, b$ are strong associates. In particular, $R$ is atomic iff $R$ is strongly atomic.

Proof. Strong associates are always associates so we check the converse. Suppose $(a)=(b)$. Write $a=b x$ and $b=a y$. Then $a=a x y$ and $a(1-x y)=0$. Let $Z=\{\alpha \in X: \hat{a}(\alpha)=0\}$. Then for all $\alpha \notin Z$ we have $\hat{x}(\alpha)= \pm 1$. From $a=b x$ and (2.6) we get $\langle d\rangle a=b$ for some $d \in G$. Clearly $\langle d\rangle$ is a unit.
Strong associates need not be very strong associates in a reduced Witt ring. If $\pm 1 \neq g \in G$ then $\langle 1, g\rangle$ is not even a very strong associate of itself. Namely, $\langle 1, g\rangle=\langle 1, g\rangle\langle 1,1,-g\rangle$ and $\langle 1, g\rangle \neq 0$ and $\langle 1,1,-g\rangle$ is not a unit. So, except for $R=\mathbb{Z}, R$ will not be very strongly atomic.

Corollary 3.2. Let $R$ be a real Witt ring (not necessarily reduced) and suppose $R$ has finite chain length.
(1) Every odd dimensional form can be written as a finite product of irreducible forms.
(2) If $R$ is reduced then $R$ is atomic.

Proof. These are standard consequences of (2.8) and (2.7), see [1, 3.2].
We are unable to prove the converse to (3.2)(2) for all reduced Witt rings $R$. However, we can prove the converse for a wide class of rings. For this we need Marshall's notion of a sheaf product [11]. Start with a non-empty Boolean space $I$, a collection of reduced Witt rings $R_{C}$, one for each clopen $C \subset I$ and a collection of ring homomorphisms res ${ }_{C . D}: R_{C} \rightarrow R_{D}$, defined whenever $D \subset C$ are clopen in $I$. We assume the usual sheaf properties, namely,
(1) $R_{\emptyset}=\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ and $R_{C} \neq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ if $C \neq \emptyset$.
(2) $\operatorname{res}_{C, C}$ is the identity map on $C$.
(3) If $E \subset D \subset C$ then $\operatorname{res}_{C, E}=\operatorname{res}_{D, E} \operatorname{res}_{C, D}$.
(4) If $C=\cup_{j} C_{j}$ and if $r_{j} \in R_{j}$ are given such that

$$
\operatorname{res}_{C_{j}, C_{j} \cap C_{k}}\left(r_{j}\right)=\operatorname{res}_{C_{k}, C_{j} \cap C_{k}}\left(r_{k}\right),
$$

for all $j, k$, then there exists a unique $r \in R_{C}$ such that $\operatorname{res}_{C, C_{j}}(r)=r_{j}$, for all $j$.
For fixed $i \in I$ we form the stalk

$$
R_{i}=\varliminf_{i \in C} R_{C}
$$

Each $R_{i}$ is a reduced Witt ring. We call the reduced Witt ring $R_{I}$ the sheaf product of the $R_{i}$ 's and write $R_{I}=\prod_{i \in I} R_{i}$. When $I$ is finite and discrete this is the usual product of Witt rings.
We next define a sequence of classes of reduced Witt rings (which is slightly different from the sequence of Marshall [11, p. 219]). Let $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ denote the class of finitely generated reduced Witt rings. Inductively define $\mathcal{C}_{n}$ to be sheaf products of $R_{i}\left[E^{i}\right]$, where $E^{i}$ is a group of exponent two (not necessarily finite) and $R_{i} \in \mathcal{C}_{m}$ for some $m<n$. Lastly, let $\mathcal{C}_{\omega}$ be the union of all $\mathcal{C}_{n}$. This is a large class. Already $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ contains all SAP reduced Witt rings and $\mathcal{C}_{\omega}$ contains all reduced Witt rings where $X$ has only a finite number of accumulation points [11, 8.17].
We will prove that $R \in \mathcal{C}_{\omega}$ atomic implies $R$ has finite chain length. We begin with a lemma.

Lemma 3.3. Let $S=R[E]$ and let $T \subset G_{S}$ be a fan of finite index. Set $T_{0}=T \cap G_{R}$.
(1) $T_{0}$ is a fan in $G_{R}$.
(2) Suppose $X_{R} / T_{0}=\{P, Q\}$. Then $X_{S} / T$ consists of extensions of $P, Q$ to $S$. If $x \in G_{S} \backslash G_{R}$ then either none, exactly half or all of the extensions of $P$ that lie in $X_{S} / T$ make $x$ positive.

Proof. (1) Write $T=T_{0} H$ for some subgroup $H$ of $G_{S}$ with $H \cap G_{R}=1$. Extend $H$ to subgroup $L$ of $G_{S}$ such that $G_{S}=G_{R} \times L$. Suppose $P \subset G_{R}$ is a subgroup of index 2, containing $T_{0}$ but not -1 . Then $P L$ is a subgroup of index at most 2 containing $T$. If $-1 \in P L$ then for some $p \in P$ and $y \in L$ we have $-p=y \in P \cap L=1$. But then $-1=p \in P$, a contradiction. Thus $P L$ is an ordering in $G_{S}$. It is easy to check that $P$ is then an ordering in $G_{R}$. This shows $T_{0}$ is a fan.
(2) The first statement is clear. Suppose $P_{1}, \ldots P_{m}, Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}$ are the extensions of $P, Q$ that lie in $X_{S} / T$. Pick $a \in G_{R}$ with $\hat{a}(P)=1$ and $\hat{a}(Q)=-1$. Let $k$ be the number of $P_{i}$ for which $x$ is positive. From the easy half of the Representation Theorem [11, 7.13]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\alpha \in X_{S} / T} \operatorname{sgn}_{\alpha}\langle\langle a, x\rangle\rangle & \equiv 0(\bmod 2 m) \\
4 k & \equiv 0(\bmod 2 m) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $m$ divides $2 k$ and clearly $k \leq m$. Hence $k=0, \frac{1}{2} m$ or $m$.

Our proof that $R \in \mathcal{C}_{\omega}$ atomic implies finite chain length is not the usual induction argument since we are unable to show $R[E]$ atomic implies $R$ atomic. Instead we explicitly construct a form which does not factor into a finite product of irreducibles. Unfortunately, the construction requires considerable notation. We introduce this notation by first looking at a special case. Let $*$ denote a group ring extension. A ring in $\mathcal{C}_{n}$ looks like

$$
\begin{aligned}
R & =\prod_{\alpha \in A_{1}} W(\alpha)^{*} \\
& =\prod_{\alpha \in A_{1}}\left(\prod_{\beta \in A_{2}(\alpha)} W(\alpha, \beta)^{*}\right)^{*} \\
& =\prod_{\alpha \in A_{1}}\left(\prod_{\beta \in A_{2}(\alpha)}\left(\prod_{\gamma \in A_{3}(\alpha, \beta)} W(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)^{*}\right)^{*}\right)^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

where each $A_{1}, A_{2}(\alpha)$ and $A_{3}(\alpha, \beta)$ is a Boolean space and each $W(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is in $\mathcal{C}_{m}$, for some $m \leq n-3$.
Suppose we want to single out the product over $A_{3}\left(\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}\right)$, for some particular $\alpha_{0}$ and $\beta_{0}$. We set :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{1}=\prod_{\substack{\gamma \in A_{3}\left(\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}\right)}} W\left(\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}, \gamma\right)^{*} \\
& R_{2}=\prod_{\substack{\beta \in A_{2}\left(\alpha_{0}\right) \\
\beta \neq \beta_{0}}}\left(\prod_{\gamma \in A_{3}\left(\alpha_{0}, \beta\right)} W\left(\alpha_{0}, \beta, \gamma\right)^{*}\right)^{*} \\
& R_{3}=\prod_{\substack{\alpha \in A_{1} \\
\alpha \neq \alpha_{0}}}\left(\prod_{\beta \in A_{2}(\alpha)}\left(\prod_{\gamma \in A_{3}(\alpha, \beta)} W(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)^{*}\right)^{*}\right)^{*} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $R=\left(\left(R_{1}^{*} \sqcap R_{2}^{*}\right)^{*} \sqcap R_{3}^{*}\right)^{*}$.
We will want to single out the first infinite sheaf product. We have:

$$
R=\left(\left(\ldots\left(\left(R_{1}^{*} \sqcap R_{2}^{*}\right)^{*} \sqcap R_{3}^{*}\right)^{*} \sqcap \ldots\right)^{*} \sqcap R_{s}^{*}\right)^{*},
$$

with $R_{1}$ an infinite sheaf product, say

$$
R_{1}=\prod_{\delta \in A} W(\delta)^{*}
$$

and each $W(\delta)$ in some $\mathcal{C}_{m}, m \leq n-s$. We will need explicit extension groups. We use the notation

$$
R=\left(\ldots\left(\left(R_{1}\left[E^{1}\right] \sqcap R_{2}\left[F^{1}\right]\right)\left[E^{2}\right] \sqcap R_{3}\left[F^{2}\right]\right)\left[E^{3}\right] \sqcap \ldots \sqcap R_{s}\left[F^{s-1}\right]\right)\left[E^{s}\right] .
$$

We further take $\left\{t_{j}^{i}\right\}$ as generators of $E^{i}$.

Lastly, we need notation to express the orderings on $R$. Let $X_{i}$ denote $X_{R_{i}}$. Let $X_{1}\left(\epsilon_{1}\right)$ denote the extensions of $X_{1}$ to $R_{1}\left[E^{1}\right]$. Here $\epsilon_{1}$ is an arbitrary choice of signs. The extension is determined by the values $\epsilon_{1}\left(t_{j}^{1}\right) \in\{ \pm 1\}$. To save on indices we will write $\epsilon_{1}(j)$ for $\epsilon_{1}\left(t_{j}^{1}\right)$. Next, $X_{2}\left(\eta_{1}\right)$ denotes the extensions from $R_{2}$ to $R_{2}\left[F^{1}\right] . X_{1}\left(\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}\right)$ denotes the extensions from $R_{1}$ to $\left(R_{1}\left[E^{1}\right] \sqcap R_{1}\left[F^{1}\right]\right)\left[E^{2}\right]$, with $\epsilon_{2}$ a sign choice for $E^{2}$. Continue with this pattern. We obtain for $X_{R}$

$$
\bigcup_{\epsilon, \eta}\left[X_{1}\left(\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{s}\right) \cup X_{2}\left(\eta_{1}, \epsilon_{2}, \ldots, \epsilon_{s}\right) \cup X_{3}\left(\eta_{2}, \epsilon_{3}, \ldots, \epsilon_{s}\right) \cup \ldots \cup X_{s}\left(\eta_{s-1}, \epsilon_{s}\right)\right]
$$

THEOREM 3.4. Suppose $R \in \mathcal{C}_{\omega}$. The following are equivalent:
(1) $R$ has finite chain length.
(2) $R$ has ACC on principal ideals.
(3) $R$ is atomic.

Proof. We need only show $R$ atomic implies $R$ has finite chain length, by (2.7) and (3.2). Suppose $R \in \mathcal{C}_{n}$ and let $s$ be the first level (if any) with an infinite sheaf product. We follow the above notation. Fix some $\delta_{0} \in A$ and define $a \in G_{R_{1}}$ with -1 in the $\delta_{0}$ coordinate and 1 in the other coordinates. Set

$$
b=((\ldots(a,-1),-1), \ldots),-1) \in G_{R}
$$

and set $q=\left\langle b, t_{1}^{1}, b t_{1}^{1}\right\rangle$.
Let $X_{\delta}$ be the orderings on $W(\delta)^{*}$ so that $X_{1}=\cup X_{\delta}$. Set $C=\hat{q}^{-1}(3)$. Then:

$$
\left.C=\bigcup_{\substack{\epsilon, \eta \\ \epsilon_{1}(1)=1}}\left[\left(\bigcup_{\delta \neq \delta_{0}} X_{\delta}\right)\left(\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{s}\right) \cup X_{2}\left(\eta_{1}, \epsilon_{2}, \ldots, \epsilon_{s}\right) \cup \ldots \cup X_{s}\left(\eta_{s-1}, \epsilon_{s}\right)\right)\right]
$$

We are assuming $R$ is atomic, so let $q=\varphi_{1} \cdots \varphi_{r}$ with each $\varphi_{i}$ irreducible. We may assume $\hat{\varphi}_{i}(X)=\{3,-1\}$ by (2.6). Set $D_{i}=\hat{\varphi}_{i}^{-1}(3)$. Note $D_{i} \subset C$. We will show that in fact one of the $\varphi_{i}$ factors and hence that no sheaf product in $R$ is infinite.
Our first goal is to show that each $D_{i}$ consists of all extensions, with $t_{1}^{1}$ positive, of some subset of $X_{1}$. Pick $P \in X_{\delta_{0}}$ and $Q \in X_{\delta}$ with $\delta \neq \delta_{0}$. Fix some $k$ and $j$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{k} & =s p\left\{t_{1}^{k}, \ldots, t_{j-1}^{k}, t_{j+1}^{k}, \ldots\right\} \\
e^{1} & =s p\left\{t_{2}^{1}, t_{3}^{1}, \ldots\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $T$ be the fan

$$
\left(\ldots\left(\left((P \cap Q)\left[e^{1}\right] \sqcap G_{R_{2}}\left[F^{1}\right]\right)\left[E^{2}\right] \ldots\right)\left[e^{k}\right] \sqcap \ldots \sqcap G_{R_{s}}\left[F^{s-1}\right]\right)\left[E^{s}\right]
$$

Then $X / T$ has 8 orderings, namely the extensions of $P$ and $Q$ with all $t_{\ell}^{i}$ positive except possibly $t_{1}^{1}$ and $t_{k}^{j}$. Write these orderings as $P( \pm 1, \pm 1)$ and $Q( \pm 1, \pm 1)$, where the first coordinate gives the sign of $t_{1}^{1}$ and the second gives the sign of $t_{j}^{k}$.
$C \cap(X / T)=\{Q(1, \pm 1)\}$ so that $|C \cap(X / T)|=2$. To ease notation slightly, write $D$ for one of the $D_{i}$. Let $w=|D \cap(X / T)|$. Then by the easy part of the Representation Theorem we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\gamma \in X / T} \hat{\varphi}(\gamma) & \equiv 0(\bmod |X / T|) \\
3 w-(8-w) & \equiv 0(\bmod 8) \\
w & \equiv 0(\bmod 2)
\end{aligned}
$$

As $D \cap(X / T) \subset C \cap(X / T)$ we have $D \cap(X / T)$ is either empty or all of $C \cap(X / T)$.
Suppose for some $k$ and $j$ we are in the second case, $D \cap(X / T)=C \cap(X / T)$. Choose another pair $g, h$. Pick the fan $T^{\prime}$ generated over $P \cap Q$ by $E^{i}$ for $i \neq 1, k, g$, the same $e^{1}$ as before and

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{k^{\prime}} & =s p\left\{t_{1}^{k}, \ldots, t_{j-1}^{k},-t_{j}^{k}, t_{j+1}^{k} \ldots\right\} \\
e^{g^{\prime}} & =\operatorname{sp}\left\{t_{1}^{g}, \ldots, t_{h-1}^{g}, t_{h+1}^{g}, \ldots\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $X / T^{\prime}$ has 8 orderings, namely the extensions of $P$ and $Q$ with all $t_{\ell}^{i}$ positive except $t_{j}^{k}$ negative and $t_{1}^{1}, t_{h}^{g}$ arbitrary. Write these as $P( \pm 1,-1, \pm 1)$ and $Q( \pm 1,-1, \pm 1)$ with the first coordinate the sign of $t_{1}^{1}$, the second coordinate indicating that $t_{j}^{k}$ is negative and the third coordinate the sign of $t_{h}^{g}$.
Again $C \cap\left(X / T^{\prime}\right)$ consists of two orderings, $Q(1,-1, \pm 1)$. And as before we get that $D \cap\left(X / T^{\prime}\right)$ is either empty or all of $C \cap\left(X / T^{\prime}\right)$. But $Q(1,-1,1)$ is the same ordering that was denoted by $Q(1,-1)$ before (that is, with $t_{1}^{1}$ positive, $t_{j}^{k}$ negative and all other $t^{\prime}$ 's positive). Hence we have $D \cap\left(X / T^{\prime}\right)=C \cap\left(X / T^{\prime}\right)$. We continue to assume $D \cap(X / T)=C \cap(X / T)$. If we repeat this argument ( first with a fan having $t_{j}^{k}$ and $t_{h}^{g}$ negative) we get that any extension $Q$ with $t_{1}^{1}$ positive and only a finite number of $t_{\ell}^{i}$ negative is in $D$. Now $D=\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(3)$ and the entries of $\varphi$ involve only a finite number of $t_{\ell}^{i}$. Hence we have that any extension of $Q$ with $t_{1}^{1}$ positive is in $D$.
The assumption that $D \cap(X / T) \neq \emptyset$ means we are assuming some extension of $Q$ with $t_{1}^{1}$ positive is in $D$. From this we conclude that all such extensions are in $D$.
Let $X_{1}^{*}$ denote the orderings on $R_{1}\left[E^{1}\right]$, namely the extensions $\epsilon_{1}$ of $X_{1}$. Write $D \mid X_{1}^{*}$ for the orderings in $D$ restricted to $R_{1}\left[E^{1}\right]$. We have shown that $D \mid X_{1}^{*}$ consists of all extensions, with $t_{1}^{1}$ positive, of some subset (call it $D \mid X_{1}$ ) of $X_{1}$. Each factor $\varphi_{i}$ of $q$ has its set $D_{i}$. We have $C=\cup D_{i}$ and

$$
\cup\left(D_{i} \mid X_{1}\right)=C \mid X_{1}=\bigcup_{\substack{\delta \in A \\ \delta \neq \delta_{0}}} X_{\delta}
$$

$A$ is infinite so some $D_{i} \mid X_{1}$ meets at least two $X_{\delta}$ 's. For simplicity, call this $D_{i}$ simply $D$ and the corresponding form $\varphi$. Suppose $D \mid X_{1}$ meets $X_{\delta_{1}}$ and $X_{\delta_{2}}$, $\delta_{1} \neq \delta_{2}$. Set

$$
D_{0}=\bigcup_{\epsilon(1)=1}\left[\left(D \mid X_{1}\right) \cap X_{\delta_{1}}\right]\left(\epsilon_{1}\right) \subset X_{1}^{*}
$$

In words, $D_{0}$ consists of the extensions for $X_{\delta_{1}}$ that lie in $D \mid X_{1}^{*}$. We will use $D_{0}$ to construct a factor of $\varphi$.
Let $f: X_{1}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by $f(P)=3$ if $P \in D_{0}$ and $f(P)=-1$ if $P \notin D_{0}$. We want to use the Representation Theorem $[11,7.13]$ to show $f$ is represented by a form in $R_{1}\left[E^{1}\right]$. Let $T \subset G_{R_{1}} E^{1}$ be a fan of finite index. Then $T_{1}=T \cap G_{R_{1}}$ is a fan in $G_{R_{1}}$ by (3.3)
Case 1: $\left(X_{1} / T_{1}\right) \subset X_{\delta}$ for some $\delta \in A$.
Here $X_{1}^{*} / T=\left(X_{\delta} / T_{1}\right)(\epsilon)$, over some set of extensions $\epsilon$ to $E^{1}$. If $\delta \neq \delta_{1}$ then $f(P)=-1$ for all $P \in\left(X_{1}^{*} / T\right)$ since $D_{0}$ only has extensions from $X_{\delta_{1}}$. Thus

$$
\sum_{P \in X_{1}^{*} / T} f(P)=-\left|X_{1}^{*} / T\right| \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod \left|X_{1}^{*} / T\right|\right)
$$

If $\delta=\delta_{1}$ then $P \in D_{0}$ iff $P \in D \mid X_{1}^{*}$ iff some (equivalently, every) extension, with $t_{1}^{1}$ positive, of $P$ to $X_{R}$ lies in $D$ iff $\hat{\varphi}(P)=3$. So $f(P)=\hat{\varphi}(P)$ for all $P \in X_{1}^{*} / T$. We obtain

$$
\sum_{P \in X_{1}^{*} / T} f(P)=\sum_{P \in X_{1}^{*} / T} \hat{\varphi}(P) \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod \left|X_{1}^{*} / T\right|\right) .
$$

Case 2: $\left(X_{1} / T_{1}\right) \not \subset X_{\delta}$ for some $\delta \in A$.
Here we must have $\left|X_{1} / T_{1}\right|=2$ by [11, 8.12] Write $X_{1} / T_{1}=\left\{P_{\alpha}, P_{\beta}\right\}$ where $\alpha, \beta$ are distinct elements of $A$ and $P_{\alpha} \in X_{\alpha}$ and $P_{\beta} \in X_{\beta}$. Then $X_{1}^{*} / T$ consists of some set of extensions, to $E^{1}$, applied to $P_{\alpha}$ and $P_{\beta}$.
Again, if neither $\alpha$ nor $\beta$ are $\delta_{1}$ then all $f(P)=-1$ and we are done. So say $\alpha=\delta_{1}$ (and so $\beta \neq \delta_{1}$ ). If $P_{\alpha} \notin D \mid X_{1}$ then no extension is in $D_{0}$ and all $f(P)=-1$ again. So suppose $P_{\alpha} \in\left(D \mid X_{1}\right) \cap X_{\delta_{1}}$. Since $P_{\beta} \notin X_{\delta_{1}}$ no extension of $P_{\beta}$ in $X_{1}^{*} / T$ is in $D_{0}$. This is half of $X_{1}^{*} / T$. The other half consists of extensions of $P_{\alpha}$ and by (3.3) either none, exactly half or all of these extensions make $t_{1}^{1}$ positive, and hence lie in $D_{0}$. Thus $\left|D_{0} \cap\left(X_{1}^{*}\right)\right|=d\left|X_{1}^{*} / T\right|$, where $d$ is either (i) 0 , or (ii) $\frac{1}{4}$ or (iii) $\frac{1}{2}$. In case (i) we have

$$
\sum_{P \in X_{1}^{*}} f(P)=-\left|X_{1}^{*} / T\right| \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod \left|X_{1}^{*} / T\right|\right)
$$

In case (ii) we have

$$
\sum_{P \in X_{1}^{*}} f(P)=\frac{1}{4}\left|X_{1}^{*} / T\right| \cdot 3+\frac{3}{4}\left|X_{1}^{*} / T\right| \cdot(-1) \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod \left|X_{1}^{*} / T\right|\right)
$$

In case (iii) we have

$$
\sum_{P \in X_{1}^{*}} f(P)=\frac{1}{2}\left|X_{1}^{*} / T\right| \cdot 3+\frac{1}{2}\left|X_{1}^{*} / T\right| \cdot(-1) \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod \left|X_{1}^{*} / T\right|\right)
$$

Thus in all cases we have $\sum f(P) \equiv 0\left(\bmod \left|X_{1}^{*} / T\right|\right)$. By the non-trivial half of the Representation Theorem we have $f=\hat{\psi}$ for some form $\psi \in R_{1}\left[E^{1}\right]$. By construction $\hat{\psi}\left(X_{1}^{*}\right)=\{3,-1\}$ and $\hat{\psi}^{-1}(3)=D_{0}<D$. Hence by $[7,1.7] \psi$ is a proper divisor of $\varphi$. Hence $\varphi$ is not irreducible, a contradiction.
We thus have if $R \in \mathcal{C}_{n}$ is atomic then all sheaf products are finite. Hence $\operatorname{cl}(R)<\infty$, using [12, 4.2.1].
Corollary 3.5. Let $R \in \mathcal{C}_{\omega}$. If $R[E]$ is atomic then so is $R$.
Proof. $R[E]$ atomic implies $R[E]$ has finite chain length by (3.4). Then, as $\operatorname{cl}(R[E])=\operatorname{cl}(R), R$ has finite chain length and so is atomic by (3.2).
It is unknown if the reduced Witt rings of finite stability index lie in $\mathcal{C}_{\omega}$ so the following may improve (3.4), although (3.4) includes many atomic Witt rings with $X$ infinite.
Proposition 3.6. Suppose $R$ has finite stability index. The following are equivalent:
(1) $R$ has finite chain length.
(2) $R$ has ACC on principal ideals.
(3) $R$ is atomic.
(4) $X$ is finite.

Proof. (1) and (4) are equivalent by [10] (first shown, in the field case in [4]). As in the proof of (3.4) we need only show (3) implies (1). Suppose the stability index of $R$ is $n$. We can find a prime $p$ congruent to $1 \bmod 2^{n}$ by Dirichlet's Theorem. $R$ is atomic so $p=\varphi_{1} \cdots \varphi_{t}$ for some irreducible elements $\varphi_{i}$. Note that for each $i$ we have $\left|\hat{\varphi}_{i}(X)\right|=\{p, 1\}$. Let $A_{i}=\hat{\varphi}_{i}^{-1}( \pm p)$. The $A_{i}$ 's form a clopen cover of $X$.
We wish to show $R$ has finite chain length. So suppose we have a tower

$$
H\left(a_{1}\right)>H\left(a_{2}\right)>H\left(a_{3}\right)>\cdots
$$

First suppose there is an $s, 1 \leq s \leq t$ and a $k$ such that $A_{s} \cap H\left(a_{k}\right)$ is a non-empty, proper subset of $A_{s}$. Define $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$
f(\alpha)= \begin{cases}p, & \text { if } \alpha \in A_{s} \cap H\left(a_{k}\right) \\ 1, & \text { if } \alpha \notin A_{s} \cap H\left(a_{k}\right) .\end{cases}
$$

Let $T$ be a fan, $|X / T|=2^{m}$, where $m \leq n$ by definition of the stability index. Set $w=\left|A_{s} \cap H\left(a_{k}\right) \cap(X / T)\right|$. Then

$$
\sum_{\alpha \in X / T} f(\alpha)=w p+\left(2^{m}-w\right)=w(p-1) \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod 2^{m}\right)
$$

since $p-1$ is a multiple of $2^{n}$. By the Representation Theorem, $f=\hat{\psi}$ for some form $\psi$. Then $\hat{\psi}(\alpha)$ divides $\hat{\varphi}_{s}(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha$ and for $\alpha \in A_{s} \backslash H\left(a_{k}\right)$, $\hat{\psi}(\alpha) \neq \pm \hat{\varphi}_{s}(\alpha)$. So, using [7, 1.7], we have $\psi$ is proper divisor of $\varphi_{s}$, which is impossible.
Thus there does not exists a pair $s, k$ such that $H\left(a_{k}\right) \cap A_{s}$ is a non-empty, proper subset of $A_{s}$. That is, for all $i, j$ we have $H\left(a_{i}\right) \cap A_{j} \neq \emptyset$ implies $A_{j} \subset H\left(a_{i}\right)$. The $A_{j}$ 's cover $X$ so each $H\left(a_{i}\right)$ is a union of $A_{j}$ 's. Let $n(i)$ be the number of $A_{j}$ 's required to cover $H\left(a_{i}\right)$. Then $1 \leq n(i+1)<n(i) \leq t$ for all $i$. Thus the tower is finite and we are done.

## 4. Irreducible elements.

We look at some examples to illustrate factorization in reduced Witt rings.
Proposition 4.1. If $1 \neq a \in G$ then $\langle 1,-a\rangle$ is irreducible in $R$.
Proof. Suppose $\langle 1,-a\rangle=q \varphi$ in $R$. We may assume $q$ is even dimensional and $\varphi$ is odd dimensional. If $a<_{\alpha} 0$ then $2=\hat{q}(\alpha) \hat{\varphi}(\alpha)$. Thus $\hat{q}(\alpha)= \pm 2=$ $\pm \operatorname{sgn}_{\alpha}\langle 1,-a\rangle$, for all $\alpha$ with $\operatorname{sgn}_{\alpha}\langle 1,-a\rangle \neq 0$. By (2.6) there exists a $d \in G$ such that $\langle d\rangle\langle 1,-a\rangle=q$ and so $q$ is an associate of $\langle 1,-a\rangle$.
Example. If $R \neq \mathbb{Z}$ then factorization into irreducible elements is not unique. Namely, if $a \neq \pm 1$ then $\langle 1,-a\rangle\langle 1,-a\rangle=\langle 1,1\rangle\langle 1,-a\rangle$ gives two different factorizations of the Pfister form. This is quite different from the case of factoring odd dimensional forms. When $X$ is finite there is unique factorization of odd dimensional forms if the ideal class group of $R$ is trivial or, equivalently, the stability index is at most 2 , by $[6,2.7]$ and $[7,1.17]$.
We next find the irreducible elements in $\mathbb{Z}\left[E_{1}\right]$. Note that any form $q$ in this ring is associate to some $n+m t$ with $n \geq|m|$.

Proposition 4.2. Let $q=n+m t \in \mathbb{Z}\left[E_{1}\right]$ with $n \geq|m|$. Then $q$ is irreducible iff $(n, m)$ or $(n,-m)$ equals one of the following:
(1) $(1,1)$
(2) $\left(2^{k}+1,2^{k}-1\right)$, for some $k \geq 0$
(3) $\left(\frac{1}{2}(p+1), \frac{1}{2}(p-1)\right)$, for some odd prime $p$.

Proof. Let $q$ be irreducible. First suppose $q$ is even dimensional. If both $n$ and $m$ are even then 2 is a factor of $q$. So we have $n$ and $m$ odd. If $n= \pm m$ then $n$ is a factor of $q$ and we must have $n=1$. Thus $(n, m)=(1, \pm 1)$. We may thus suppose $n+m$ and $n-m$ are non-zero. Write $n+m=2^{g} h$ and $n-m=2^{k} \ell$ with $h$ and $\ell$ odd and $g, k \geq 1$. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{1} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(2^{g}+2^{k}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(2^{g}-2^{k}\right) t \\
\varphi_{2} & =\frac{1}{2}(h+\ell)+\frac{1}{2}(h-\ell) t
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $q=\varphi_{1} \varphi_{2}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ is odd dimensional and so not an associate of $q$. Thus $\varphi_{1}$ is an associate of $q$. If $\alpha$ is the ordering with $t$ positive then $n+m=\hat{q}(\alpha)=$
$\pm \hat{\varphi}_{1}(\alpha)= \pm 2^{g}$. Since $n \geq-m$ we obtain $n+m=2^{g}$ and $h=1$. Similarly, taking signatures at the ordering $\beta$ with $t$ negative gives $\ell=1$. If both $g$ and $k$ are at least 2 then $n$ and $m$ are even which is not possible. Suppose $n+m=2^{g}$ and $n-m=2$. Then we get case (2). The reverse, $n+m=2$ and $n-m=2^{k}$ gives case (2) for the pair $(n,-m)$.
Now suppose $q$ is odd dimensional. If $n+m$ is composite, say $n+m=a b$ with $a, b>1$, then set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{1} & =\frac{1}{2}(a+1)+\frac{1}{2}(a-1) t \\
\varphi_{2} & =\frac{1}{2}(b+n-m)+\frac{1}{2}(b-n+m) t
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $q=\varphi_{1} \varphi_{2}$. Neither $\varphi_{1}$ nor $\varphi_{2}$ is an associate of $q$ as $\hat{q}(\alpha)=a b$ while $\hat{\varphi}_{1}(\alpha)=a$ and $\hat{\varphi}_{2}(\alpha)=b$. Hence $n+m$ is not composite. Similarly, $n-m$ is not composite. If both $n+m$ and $n-m$ are prime then set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{1} & =\frac{1}{2}(n+m+1)+\frac{1}{2}(n+m-1) t \\
\varphi_{2} & =\frac{1}{2}(n-m+1)+\frac{1}{2}(1-n+m) t
\end{aligned}
$$

We have $q=\varphi_{1} \varphi_{2}$. Neither $\varphi_{1}$ nor $\varphi_{2}$ is an associate of $q$ as $\hat{q}(\alpha)=n+m$ while $\hat{\varphi}_{2}(\alpha)=1$ and $\hat{q}(\beta)=n-m$ while $\hat{\varphi}_{1}(\beta)=1$. Thus we must have $n+m=p$, $p$ an odd prime, and $n-m=1$ (or the reverse). This gives case (3).
It is straightforward to check the forms in cases (1) - (3) are irreducible.
Example. Already for $\mathbb{Z}\left[E_{1}\right]$, and in fact for any $R \neq \mathbb{Z}$, the number of irreducible factors in factorization of a given element can be arbitrarily large. For instance, $\langle 1,1, t\rangle$ is irreducible (take $p=3$ in (4.2)(3)) and $\langle 1,-t\rangle\langle 1,1, t\rangle=$ $\langle 1,-t\rangle$. Hence

$$
\langle\langle 1,-t\rangle\rangle=\langle 1,1\rangle\langle 1,1, t\rangle^{n}\langle 1,-t\rangle
$$

is a factorization into irreducible elements for any $n$. Again the situation is quite different if we consider only factorizations of odd dimensional forms. When $X$ is finite, the number of irreducible factors in a factorization is uniquely determined iff the stability index is at most 3 and $R$ has no factor of the type $\left(\mathbb{Z}^{s}\right)\left[E_{2}\right]$, with $s \geq 3$, see [7].
Notice that the even prime of $\mathbb{Z}$ remains irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}\left[E_{1}\right]$ while the odd primes of $\mathbb{Z}$ all factor in $\mathbb{Z}\left[E_{1}\right]$. This holds more generally.
Proposition 4.3. Let $q \in R$ be irreducible.
(1) If $q$ is even dimensional then $q$ remains irreducible in $R\left[E_{1}\right]$.
(2) If $q$ is odd dimensional then $q$ remains irreducible in $R\left[E_{1}\right]$ iff $q$ is not associate to $1+2 q_{0}$, for some $q_{0} \in R$.

Proof. First say $q=1+2 q_{0}$, for some $q_{0} \in R$. Since $q$ is not a unit, there exists an $\alpha \in X_{R}$ with $\hat{q}(\alpha) \neq \pm 1$. Let $\alpha^{+}$and $\alpha^{-}$denote the extensions of $\alpha$ to $R\left[E_{1}\right]$ with, respectively, $t$ positive and $t$ negative. Now

$$
q=\left(1+q_{0}\langle 1, t\rangle\right)\left(1+q_{0}\langle 1,-t\rangle\right) .
$$

Neither factor is an associate of $q$ as the first has signature 1 at $\alpha^{-}$and the second has signature 1 at $\alpha^{+}$. Thus $q$ is not irreducible in $R\left[E_{1}\right]$.
Now suppose we have an irreducible $q$ that factors in $R\left[E_{1}\right]$. We want to show $q$ is odd dimensional and associate to some $1+2 q_{0}$. Write $q=(a+b\langle 1, t\rangle)(c+$ $d\langle 1,-t\rangle$ ), with $a, b, c, d \in R$ and neither factor an associate of $q$. The coefficient of $t$, namely $b c-a d$, must be zero and so $q=a c+a d+b c$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
q & =a c+2 b c=c(a+2 b)  \tag{4.4}\\
& =a c+2 a d=a(c+2 d) \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

As $q$ is irreducible in $R$, (4.4) shows that either $c$ or $a+2 b$ is an associate of $q$. We may assume $c$ is the associate of $q$. Namely, if $a+2 b$ is the associate then rewrite $q$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
q & =((c+2 d)+(-d)\langle 1, t\rangle)((a+2 b)+(-b)\langle 1,-t\rangle) \\
& \equiv\left(a^{\prime}+b^{\prime}\langle 1, t\rangle\right)\left(c^{\prime}+d^{\prime}\langle 1,-t\rangle\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $c^{\prime}=a+2 b$ is associate to $q$.
Write $u q=c$ for some unit $c \in R$. Equation (4.5) shows that either $a$ or $c+2 d$ is an associate of $q$. Assume by way of contradiction that $v q=c+2 d$ for some unit $v \in R$. Note $(v-u) q=2 d$; set $\chi=v-u$. Let $Z=\left\{\alpha \in X_{R}: \hat{q}(\alpha) \neq 0\right\}$. From (4.4), $q=q u(a+2 b)$ so that $\hat{u}=\hat{a}+2 \hat{b}$ on $Z$. Similarly, from (4.5) $q=q v a$ so that $\hat{v}=\hat{a}$ on $Z$. Thus, on $Z, \hat{\chi}=\hat{v}-\hat{u}=-2 \hat{b}$. Now $u$ and $v$ are units and so have signatures $\pm 1$ at all orderings. Thus $\hat{\chi}\left(X_{R}\right) \subset\{2,0,-2\}$. If $b$ is even dimensional then we must have $\hat{b}=0$ on $Z$. Then $\hat{\chi}=0$ on $Z$ and $0=q \chi=2 d$. But then $d=0$ and the second factor of $q, c+d\langle 1,-t\rangle=c=u q$ is an associate of $q$, a contradiction. Hence $b$ is odd dimensional. In particular, $\hat{b}$ is never zero. So $\hat{v}-\hat{u}$ is not zero on $Z$. We must have $\hat{v}=-\hat{u}$ (as $\hat{u}$ and $\hat{v}$ are always $\pm 1$ ). So $\hat{\chi}=2 \hat{v}$ on $Z$. Then $2 v q=q \chi=2 d$ and $v q=d$. But then the second factor of $q$ is $c+d\langle 1,-t\rangle=u q+v q\langle 1,-t\rangle=q(u+v-v t)=-v t q$, an associate of $q$. This is impossible.
Hence we must have that $q$ is an associate of $a$ as well as $c$. Write $u q=c$ and $v q=a$ for units $u, v \in R$. Equation (4.4) gives $q=u q(a+2 b)$. If $q$ is even dimensional then $a+2 b$ is odd dimensional and so $a$ is odd dimensional. But $a$ is an associate of the even dimensional $q$ so $a$ must be even dimensional, a contradiction.
We have then that $q$ is odd dimensional. Then $q=u q(a+2 b)$ implies $u(a+2 b)=$ 1. So $u v q=u a=1-2 u b$, as desired.

It can be shown that $a+b t \in R\left[E_{1}\right]$ is irreducible if $a+b$ is irreducible in $R$ and $a-b$ is a unit. Thus in the factorization of (4.3) $1+2 q_{0}=\left(1+q_{0}+\right.$ $\left.q_{0} t\right)\left(1+q_{0}-q_{0} t\right)$, both factors are irreducible. However, not every irreducible $a+b t \in R\left[E_{1}\right]$ satisfies $a+b$ irreducible and $a-b$ a unit. For instance, one may easily check that $q=\langle 1\rangle+\left\langle\left\langle t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}\right\rangle\right\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}\left[E_{3}\right]$ is irreducible. As a form
in $R\left[E_{1}\right]$, where $R=\mathbb{Z}\left[E_{2}\right]$, we have $q=a+b t_{3}$ with $a=\langle 1\rangle+\left\langle\left\langle t_{1}, t_{2}\right\rangle\right\rangle$ and $b=\left\langle\left\langle t_{1}, t_{2}\right\rangle\right\rangle$. Then $a-b$ is a unit but $a+b=1+2\left\langle\left\langle t_{1}, t_{2}\right\rangle\right\rangle=\left(1-\left\langle\left\langle t_{1}, t_{2}\right\rangle\right\rangle\right)^{2}$. In fact, we have been unable to determine the irreducible elements of $R\left[E_{1}\right]$ in terms of the irreducibles of $R$. For products, we can determine only the irreducible odd dimensional forms.

Proposition 4.6. If $R=R_{1} \sqcap R_{2}$ and $(a, b) \in R$ is odd dimensional then $(a, b)$ is irreducible iff $a$ is irreducible in $R$ and $b$ is $a$ unit or the reverse, $a$ is $a$ unit and $b$ is irreducible.

Proof. We have $(a, b)=(a, 1)(1, b)$. So $(a, b)$ irreducible implies either $a$ or $b$ is a unit. Say $b$ is a unit. If $a=x y$ then $(a, b)=(x, b)(y, 1)$, so $a$ must be irreducible in $R$.
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