

Value Problems for Differential Forms on C^1 -Domains

R. Selvaggi and I. Sisto

Dedicated to the Memory of Grigorios TSAGAS (1935-2003),
President of Balkan Society of Geometers (1997-2003)

Abstract

Existence and uniqueness value boundary problems for differential forms in C^1 -domains are investigated.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 58A10, 45E05

Key words: differential form, homology group, cohomology group, harmonic form

Introduction

In this paper we resolve boundary value problems for differential forms in a bounded C^1 -domain Ω of \mathbf{R}^n , $3 \leq n$. The obtained results are an extension of some theorems established by C. Miranda in [8] for differential forms in $C^{2,\alpha}$ -domains of \mathbf{R}^n .

The fundamental result is Theorem 2.1:

Let $F_{s-1} \in C^1_{s-1}(\Omega)$ be a closed form with interior nontangential trace $F^-_{s-1} \in L^p_{s-1}(\partial\Omega)$. If

$$\int_{\tau_{s-1}^i} F^-_{s-1} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, R^-_{s-1},$$

where $([\tau_{s-1}^i])_{1 \leq i \leq R^-_{s-1}}$ is a base of C^1 -differentiable singular homology space $\mathcal{H}_{s-1}(\partial\Omega)$ and R^-_{s-1} is the $(s-1)$ -th Betti number of Ω , then there exists a form $U_{s-2} \in C^1_{s-2}(\Omega)$, whose coefficients are in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, such that

$$(*) \quad dU_{s-2} = F_{s-1} \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

This result is obtained using a formula of Bidal-de Rham (see (1.10)). The use of this formula changes the existence problem of a differential form that satisfies (*) in a suitable Neumann problem for harmonic forms already studied in [15].

Using a regularity result for the solutions of the homogeneous Neumann problem for harmonic forms established in [15] (see Theorem 2.1) and the continuity hypothesis for F_{s-1} in $\bar{\Omega}$, we obtain a uniqueness theorem (see Theorem 2.4). With this aim, first we prove an extension theorem (see Theorem 1.2) and then, as a consequence, we

deduce a Stokes' Theorem (see Theorem 1.3) for differential s -forms of $\tilde{C}_s^1(\bar{\Omega})$ (see Preliminaries).

Throughout this work we use the definitions and the results of [13] concerning differential forms and singular homology and cohomology groups of the C^1 -manifold $\partial\Omega$.

1 Preliminaries

In this section we summarize basic concepts, notations, and results that will be used throughout the paper.

We assume that Ω is a bounded and connected C^1 -domain of \mathbf{R}^n , $3 \leq n$. Thus, (see [16]), there exist an increasing sequence $(\Omega_h)_{h \in \mathbf{N}}$ of C^∞ -domains, $\Omega_h \subset \Omega$, such that $\Omega_h \rightarrow \Omega$ in C^1 according to Nečas (see [7] p. 85) and a sequence $(\Lambda_h)_{h \in \mathbf{N}}$ of C^1 -diffeomorphisms $\Lambda_h : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \partial\Omega_h$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad \limsup_h \sup_{Q \in \partial\Omega} |Q - \Lambda_h(Q)| = 0.$$

Furthermore, there is a finite covering $(B_r)_{1 \leq r \leq m}$ of $\partial\Omega$ by open spheres $B_r = B(Q_r, \delta)$ with center $Q_r \in \partial\Omega$ and radius δ , such that, for $r = 1, \dots, m$

$$(1.2) \quad B(Q_r, 2\delta) \cap \partial\Omega = \{(x, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{R} : x_n = \xi_r(x)\} \cap B(Q_r, 2\delta),$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad B(Q_r, 2\delta) \cap \partial\Omega_h = \{(x, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{R} : x_n = \xi_{rh}(x)\} \cap B(Q_r, 2\delta),$$

where $\xi_r \in C_0^1(\mathbf{R}^{n-1})$, $\xi_r(0) = \frac{\partial \xi_r}{\partial x_l}(0) = 0$ ($l = 1, \dots, n-1$), $\xi_{rh} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{n-1})$, and

$$(1.4) \quad \lim_h \|\xi_{rh} - \xi_r\|_{C_0^1(\mathbf{R}^{n-1})} = 0.$$

Let now

$$(1.5) \quad \tilde{x}_r = (x, \xi_r(x)) \in \partial\Omega \cap B(Q_r, 2\delta) \rightarrow x \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$$

and

$$(1.6) \quad \tilde{x}_{hr} = (x, \xi_{hr}(x)) \in \partial\Omega_h \cap B(Q_r, 2\delta) \rightarrow x \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1}.$$

For $l, i = 1, \dots, n-1$,

$$(1.7) \quad \lim_h \frac{\partial(\tilde{x}_{rh} \circ \Lambda_h \circ \tilde{x}_r^{-1})_i}{\partial x_l}(x) = \delta_{il}$$

uniformly in $U_r = \tilde{x}_r(\partial\Omega \cap B(Q_r, 2\delta))$, where $(\tilde{x}_{rh} \circ \Lambda_h \circ \tilde{x}_r^{-1})_i$ is the i -th coordinate of the function $\tilde{x}_{rh} \circ \Lambda_h \circ \tilde{x}_r^{-1}$.

Let $U_s = \sum_{i \in N_s^n} a_i dX_i$ be a form defined in Ω (respectively in $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \bar{\Omega}$).¹

If $U_s \in C_s^2(\Omega)$ we set

¹ $N_s^n = \{i = (i_1, \dots, i_s) \in \mathbf{N}^s : 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_s \leq n\}$; if $i = (i_1, \dots, i_s) \in \mathbf{N}_s^n$, $dX_i = dX_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dX_{i_s}$.

$$(1.8) \quad \delta U_s = (dU_s)^* \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta U_s = d\delta U_s,$$

where dU_s is the exterior derivative of U_s and $*$ is the Hodge's operator. U_s is said to be *closed* (*harmonic*, respectively) in Ω iff $dU_s = 0$ ($\Delta U_s = 0$, respectively) in Ω . Furthermore U_s is said to be *derived* in Ω iff there exists a form V_{s-1} such that

$$(1.9) \quad dV_{s-1} = U_s \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega.$$

and V_{s-1} is called the *primitive of U_s* .

Thus we have the following identity of Bidal-de Rham (see (60) in [8])

$$(1.10) \quad d\delta U_s^* + (-1)^n \delta \delta U_s = (-1)^{n(s+1)} \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}_s^n} \Delta a_i \, dX_i,$$

where $\Delta a_i = \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 a_i}{\partial x_l^2}$.

We denote with $\widetilde{C}_s^1(\overline{\Omega})$ the space of the forms $U_s \in C_s^1(\Omega)$ such that each coefficient of U_s and of dU_s is in $C^0(\overline{\Omega})$.

Given $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $1 < p < \infty$, we denote with $D_s^{k,p}(\Omega)$ the space of the forms U_s such that each coefficient of U_s is in $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$.

We say that U_s has *interior* (*exterior*, respectively) *nontangential trace in $L_s^p(\partial\Omega)$* iff, for any $i \in \mathbf{N}_s^n$, a_i has interior nontangential trace a_i^- (exterior nontangential trace a_i^+ , respectively) in $L^p(\partial\Omega)$. The form ²

$$(1.11) \quad U_s^- = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}_s^n} a_i^- dX_i(Q)$$

(respectively the form

$$(1.12) \quad U_s^+ = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}_s^n} a_i^+ dX_i(Q))$$

is called *interior* (*exterior*, respectively) *nontangential trace of U_s* .

If $U_s \in D^{1,p}(\Omega)$, the form

$$(1.13) \quad \text{Tr}(U_s) = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}_s^n} \text{Tr}(a_i) dX_i(Q)$$

is considered, where the mapping $\text{Tr} : W^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^p(\partial\Omega)$ is the continuous extension to $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ of the mapping restriction defined initially on $C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$.

If $U_s \in C_s^0(\Omega)$ and U_s has interior nontangential trace in $L_s^p(\partial\Omega)$, then (see Theorem 2.3 in [13])

$$(1.14) \quad \lim_h \Lambda_h^*(U_{sh}) = U_s^- \quad \text{in} \quad L_s^p(\partial\Omega),$$

where U_{sh} is the restriction of U_s on $\partial\Omega_h$.

We denote with $\mathcal{N}_s^{1,p}(\Omega)$ the space of the forms $U_s \in C_s^1(\Omega)$ such that each coefficient of U_s and dU_s has interior nontangential trace in $L^p(\partial\Omega)$.

The following result holds:

² $dX_i(Q)$ is the restriction to $\partial\Omega$ of dX_i , hence $dX_i(Q) = j^* dX_i$, where $j : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ is the inclusion map.

Theorem 1.1. *If $U_s \in C_s^0(\Omega) \cap D_s^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $\Phi_{n-s-1} \in C_{n-s-1}^0(\partial\Omega)$, then*

$$(1.15) \quad \lim_h \int_{\partial\Omega_h} U_s \wedge \Lambda_h^{-1*}(\Phi_{n-s-1}) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \text{Tr}(U_s) \wedge \Phi_{n-s-1}.$$

Proof. By using a partition of unity $(\varphi_r)_{1 \leq r \leq m}$, corresponding to the covering $(B_r)_{1 \leq r \leq m}$ of $\partial\Omega$ described above, in order to prove (1.15) it will suffice to show that

$$(1.16) \quad \lim_h \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-1}} \tilde{x}_{rh}^{-1*}(\varphi_r U_{sh} \wedge \Lambda_h^{-1*}(\Phi_{n-s-1})) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-1}} \tilde{x}_r^{-1*}(\varphi_r \text{Tr}(U_s) \wedge \Phi_{n-s-1}).$$

for all $r = 1, \dots, m$. For simplicity of notation in the following we omit the index r .

Let

$$U_s = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}_s^{n-1}} a_i dX_i + \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}_{s-1}^{n-1}} a_{in} dX_i \wedge dX_n \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{x}^{-1*}(\varphi \Phi_{n-s-1}) = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}_{n-s-1}^{n-1}} b_i dx_i.$$

Thus $\tilde{x}_h^{-1*}(\varphi U_{sh} \wedge \Lambda_h^{-1*}(\Phi_{n-s-1}))$ is a $(n-1)$ -form on \mathbf{R}^{n-1} . Its coefficients are the sum of a finite number of terms like

$$\varphi(x, \xi_h(x)) a_i(x, \xi_h(x)) b_j(f_h(x)) \left| \frac{\partial f_{hj}}{\partial x_j}(x) \right|$$

or terms like

$$\varphi(x, \xi_h(x)) a_{in}(x, \xi_h(x)) b_j(f_h(x)) \left| \frac{\partial f_{hj}}{\partial x_j}(x) \right| \frac{\partial \xi_h}{\partial x_l}(x),$$

where

$$f_h = \tilde{x} \circ \Lambda_h^{-1} \circ \tilde{x}_h^{-1} = (f_{h1}, \dots, f_{h(n-1)}), \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{\partial f_{hj}}{\partial x_j} \right| = \det \frac{\partial (f_{hj_1}, \dots, f_{hj_{n-s-1}})}{\partial (x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_{n-s-1}})}.$$

Hence, since $\text{spt}(\varphi) \subset B(Q, \delta)$, the integrals in (1.16) are integrals on the compact set

$$K = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1} : |x| \leq \delta\}.$$

We observe that using Theorem 4.5, p. 85 in [10] we have

$$\lim_h a_i(x, \xi_h(x)) = \text{Tr}(a_i)(x, \xi(x)) \quad \text{in } L^p(K),$$

while, using (1.1) and (1.7), we have

$$(1.17) \quad \lim_h \varphi(x, \xi_h(x)) b_j(f_h(x)) \left| \frac{\partial f_{hj}}{\partial x_j}(x) \right| = \varphi(x, \xi(x)) b_j(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_h \frac{\partial \xi_h}{\partial x_j}(x) = \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x_j}(x)$$

uniformly in K . Therefore, since the sequence of functions that appear in the left side of (1.17) is uniformly bounded in K , by the Dominated Convergence Theorem we

obtain the proof . □

Corollary. Assume $U_s \in C_s^1(\Omega) \cap D_s^{1,p}(\Omega)$. If dU_s has interior nontangential trace in $L_{s+1}^p(\partial\Omega)$, then $\text{Tr}(U_s) \in W_s^{1,p}(\partial\Omega)$ and

$$(1.18) \quad d\text{Tr}(U_s) = (dU_s)^- \quad \text{a.e. on } \partial\Omega.$$

Proof. It is sufficient to show that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (dU_s)^- \wedge \Phi_{n-s-2} = (-1)^s \int_{\partial\Omega} \text{Tr}(U_s) \wedge d\Phi_{n-s-2}$$

for all $\Phi_{n-s-2} \in \tilde{C}_{n-s-2}^1(\partial\Omega)$ (see n.1 in [13]), that is to say, because of (1.14) and since $(dU_s)_h = d(U_{sh})$,

$$\lim_h \int_{\partial\Omega} \Lambda_h^*(dU_{sh}) \wedge \Phi_{n-s-2} = (-1)^s \int_{\partial\Omega} \text{Tr}(U_s) \wedge d\Phi_{n-s-2}.$$

Hence, it is enough to observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\Omega} \Lambda_h^*(dU_{sh}) \wedge \Phi_{n-s-2} &= \int_{\partial\Omega_h} dU_s \wedge \Lambda_h^{-1*}(\Phi_{n-s-2}) \\ &= (-1)^s \int_{\partial\Omega_h} U_s \wedge \Lambda_h^{-1*}(d\Phi_{n-s-2}), \end{aligned}$$

and to apply Theorem 1.1. □

We obtain also the following Theorems

Theorem 1.2. If $U_s \in \tilde{C}_s^1(\bar{\Omega})$, then there exists an open set Ω' of \mathbf{R}^n such that $\bar{\Omega} \subset \Omega'$ and there exists a form $\bar{U}_s \in \tilde{C}_{0,s}^1(\Omega')$ such that \bar{U}_s and $d\bar{U}_s$ are extensions of U_s and dU_s respectively.

Proof. Let $U_s = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}_s^n} a_i dX_i \in \tilde{C}_s^1(\bar{\Omega})$ and let $dU_s = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}_{s+1}^n} b_j dX_j$. Then we have

$$(\forall i \in \mathbf{N}_s^n) (a_i \in C^0(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^1(\Omega)) \quad \text{and} \quad (\forall j \in \mathbf{N}_{s+1}^n) (b_j \in C^0(\bar{\Omega})).$$

In Ω it results

$$(\forall j \in \mathbf{N}_{s+1}^n) (b_j = \sum_{k=1}^{s+1} (-1)^k \frac{\partial a_{\widehat{j}^k}}{\partial X_{j_k}}),$$

where $\widehat{j}^k = (j_1, \dots, j_{k-1}, j_{k+1}, \dots, j_{s+1})$ when $j = (j_1, \dots, j_{s+1})$.

Arguing in a manner similar to the proof of Theorem 54 XV of [11], we prove the existence of an open set Ω' of \mathbf{R}^n such that $\bar{\Omega} \subset \Omega'$ and, for $i \in \mathbf{N}_s^n$ and $j \in \mathbf{N}_{s+1}^n$, the existence of functions $\bar{a}_i \in C_0^0(\Omega') \cap C^1(\Omega' \setminus \partial\Omega)$ and $\bar{b}_j \in C_0^0(\Omega')$ extensions of a_i and b_j , respectively, such that

$$(1.19) \quad \bar{b}_j = \sum_{k=1}^{s+1} (-1)^k \frac{\partial \bar{a}_{\widehat{j}^k}}{\partial X_{j_k}} \quad \text{in } \Omega' \setminus \partial\Omega.$$

Let, then

$$\bar{U}_s = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}_s^n} \bar{a}_i dX_i \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{V}_{s+1} = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}_{s+1}^n} \bar{b}_j dX_j.$$

We have that $\bar{U}_s \in C_{0,s}^0(\Omega')$ and $\bar{V}_{s+1} \in C_{0,s+1}^0(\Omega')$. In order to prove that \bar{U}_s is regular in Ω' , using the Lemma 16.d p. 105 in [17], it suffices to show the existence of a sequence $(\bar{U}_s^p)_{p \in \mathbf{N}}$ in $C_s^\infty(\Omega')$ such that

$$(1.20) \quad \bar{U}_s^p \rightarrow \bar{U}_s \quad \text{and} \quad d\bar{U}_s^p \rightarrow \bar{V}_{s+1}$$

uniformly in every compact subset of Ω' . Clearly we may suppose \bar{U}_s and \bar{V}_{s+1} defined in \mathbf{R}^n . Let now $(\rho_p)_{p \in \mathbf{N}}$ be a sequence of mollifiers and let

$$\bar{U}_s^p = \rho_p * \bar{U}_s = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}_s^n} \rho_p * \bar{a}_i dX_i$$

and

$$\bar{V}_{s+1}^p = \rho_p * \bar{V}_{s+1} = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}_{s+1}^n} \rho_p * \bar{b}_j dX_j,$$

where $*$ is the usual convolution product between functions.

First we obtain that $\bar{U}_s^p \in C_{0,s}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $\bar{V}_{s+1}^p \in C_{0,s+1}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$, and from Proposition IV.21, in [1], it follows that

$$\bar{U}_s^p \rightarrow \bar{U}_s \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{V}_{s+1}^p \rightarrow \bar{V}_{s+1}$$

uniformly on compact sets of \mathbf{R}^n . We finish by proving the second formula in (1.20). For this it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{s+1} (-1)^k \frac{\partial}{\partial X_{j_k}} (\rho_p * \bar{a}_{j_k}) = \rho_p * \bar{b}_j$$

or, for Proposition IV.2 in [1], that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{s+1} (-1)^k \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X_{j_k}} \rho_p \right) * \bar{a}_{j_k} = \rho_p * \bar{b}_j$$

for all $p \in \mathbf{N}$ and $j \in \mathbf{N}_{s+1}^n$. We observe that, as a consequence of (1.19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{s+1} (-1)^k \frac{\partial}{\partial Y_{j_k}} (\rho_p(X-Y) \cdot \bar{a}_{j_k}(Y)) &= \sum_{k=1}^{s+1} (-1)^{k+1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X_{j_k}} \rho_p \right) (X-Y) \cdot \bar{a}_{j_k}(Y) \\ &\quad + \rho_p(X-Y) \cdot \bar{b}_j(Y) \end{aligned}$$

for $X \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and $Y \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \partial\Omega$. Thus $\sum_{k=1}^{s+1} (-1)^k \frac{\partial}{\partial Y_{j_k}} (\rho_p(X-Y) \cdot \bar{a}_{j_k}(Y))$ is in $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \sum_{k=1}^{s+1} (-1)^k \frac{\partial}{\partial Y_{j_k}} (\rho_p(X-Y) \cdot \bar{a}_{j_k}(Y)) dY &= \int_{\Omega} \sum_{k=1}^{s+1} (-1)^k \frac{\partial}{\partial Y_{j_k}} (\rho_p(X-Y) \cdot \bar{a}_{j_k}(Y)) dY \\ &+ \int_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \Omega} \sum_{k=1}^{s+1} (-1)^k \frac{\partial}{\partial Y_{j_k}} (\rho_p(X-Y) \cdot \bar{a}_{j_k}(Y)) dY = I_1 + I_2, \end{aligned}$$

to obtain (1.20) we need to prove

$$(1.21) \quad I_1 + I_2 = 0.$$

Now, since (see Preliminares)

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \lim_h \sum_{k=1}^{s+1} (-1)^k \int_{\Omega_h} \frac{\partial}{\partial Y_{j_k}} (\rho_p(X - Y) \cdot \bar{a}_{j_k}(Y)) dY \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{s+1} (-1)^k \lim_h \int_{\partial\Omega_h} \rho_p(X - Y) \cdot \bar{a}_{j_k}(Y) dY \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{s+1} (-1)^k \lim_h \int_{\partial\Omega} \rho_p(X - \Lambda_h(Y)) \cdot \bar{a}_{j_k}(\Lambda_h(Y)) \omega_h(Y) dY, \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega_h : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ is the function of [17]: Theorem 1.12, by Dominated Convergence Theorem it follows that

$$(1.22) \quad I_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{s+1} (-1)^k \int_{\partial\Omega} \rho_p(X - Y) \cdot \bar{a}_{j_k}(Y) dY.$$

In the same manner we prove that

$$(1.23) \quad I_2 = - \sum_{k=1}^{s+1} (-1)^k \int_{\partial\Omega} \rho_p(X - Y) \cdot \bar{a}_{j_k}(Y) dY$$

taking a sequence $(\Omega'_h)_{h \in \mathbf{N}}$ of C^∞ -domains of \mathbf{R}^n with $\Omega \subset \Omega'_h$ and a sequence of diffeomorphisms $\Lambda'_h : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \partial\Omega'_h$ such that $\Omega \rightarrow \Omega'_h$ in C^1 according to Nečas (see [10] and [16]) and $\lim_h \sup_{Q \in \partial\Omega} |Q - \Lambda'_h(Q)| = 0$. From this and (1.22) we have (1.21). Hence the thesis. □

Theorem (Stokes) 1.3. *If $U_s \in \tilde{C}_s^1(\bar{\Omega})$, then*

$$(1.24) \quad \int_{\partial\Gamma_{s+1}} U_s = \int_{\Gamma_{s+1}} dU_s$$

for all chain $\Gamma_{s+1} \subset \bar{\Omega}$.

Proof. Let Ω' be an open set of \mathbf{R}^n such that $\bar{\Omega} \subset \Omega'$ and let $\bar{U}_s, d\bar{U}_s$ be regular forms in Ω' such that the coefficients of \bar{U}_s and of $d\bar{U}_s$ are respectively the extensions of the corresponding coefficients of U_s and dU_s (see Theorem 1.2). Then, by the definition of regular forms, (1.24) is obtained. □

2 Existence and Uniqueness Theorems

Let $1 < s < n$. Following [8] we introduce the form

$$(2.1) \quad \omega_{n-s}(X, Y) = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}_{n-s}^n} \frac{1}{|X - Y|^{n-2}} dX_i dY_i$$

in two variables $(X, Y) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$ (see [4], Section. 7). By (2.1), if $X, Y \in \mathbf{R}^n, X \neq Y$ we obtain

$$(2.2) \quad d_X \delta_X \omega_{n-s}(X, Y) = (-1)^{n-s} d_Y \delta_X \omega_{n-s-1}(X, Y)$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \delta_X \omega_{s-1}(X, Y) = (-1)^{n(s-1)-1} \delta_Y \omega_{n-s}(X, Y).$$

Let $([\tau_{s-1}^j], [\gamma_{s-1}^l])_{1 \leq j \leq R_{s-1}^-}, ([t_{s-1}^j])_{1 \leq j \leq R_{s-1}^-}$ and let $([c_{s-1}^l])_{1 \leq l \leq R_{s-1}^+}$ be bases of

C^1 -differentiable singular homology spaces $\mathcal{H}_{s-1}(\partial\Omega)$, $\mathcal{H}_{s-1}(\Omega)$, and $\mathcal{H}_{s-1}(\overline{\mathbf{R}^n} \setminus \overline{\Omega})$ respectively, verifying the following conditions (see n. 5 in [13])

$$\tau_{s-1}^j \sim 0 \quad \text{in } \overline{\mathbf{R}^n} \setminus \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_{s-1}^l \sim 0 \quad \text{in } \overline{\Omega}$$

and

$$t_{s-1}^j \sim \tau_{s-1}^j \quad \text{in } \overline{\Omega} \quad \text{and} \quad c_{s-1}^l \sim \gamma_{s-1}^l \quad \text{in } \overline{\mathbf{R}^n} \setminus \Omega,$$

for $l = 1, \dots, R_{s-1}^+$ and $j = 1, \dots, R_{s-1}^-$ (see n. 5 in [13]).

Let now $1 < p < \infty$. The following results hold:

Theorem 2.1. *Let $F_{s-1} \in C_{s-1}^1(\Omega)$ be a closed form with interior nontangential trace in $L_{s-1}^p(\partial\Omega)$ such that*

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{\tau_{s-1}^i} F_{s-1}^- = 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, \mathbf{R}_{s-1}^-.$$

Then there exists $U_{s-2} \in C_{s-2}^1(\Omega) \cap D_{s-2}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ verifying

- i) $dU_{s-2} = F_{s-1}$ in Ω ,
- ii) $\text{Tr}(U_{s-2}) \in W_{s-2}^{1,p}(\partial\Omega)$ and $d\text{Tr}(U_{s-2}) = F_{s-1}^-$ a.e. on $\partial\Omega$,
- iii) $dU_{s-2}^* = 0$ in Ω .

Proof. Let B_{n-s} be a generic harmonic form in Ω , such that δB_{n-s} has interior nontangential trace in $L_{s-1}^p(\partial\Omega)$. Since F_{s-1}^* and dB_{n-s} are forms of $C_{n-s+1}^1(\Omega)$ with interior nontangential trace in $L_{n-s+1}^p(\partial\Omega)$, from Theorem 2.7 in [13] it follows that they are in $L_{n-s+1}^p(\Omega)$. Then we can put

$$(2.5) \quad G_{s-1}(X) = \frac{(-1)^{ns-1}}{k_n} \int_{\Omega} \omega_{s-1}(X, Y) \wedge (F_{s-1}^* + (-1)^{(n-1)(s-1)} dB_{n-s})(Y).$$

According to Theorem 77.VI in [9] this form is in $C_{s-1}^2(\Omega) \cap D_{s-1}^{2,p}(\Omega)$. Let then

$$(2.6) \quad U_{s-2} = \delta G_{s-1}^* \quad \text{and} \quad V_{n-s} = (-1)^n \delta G_{s-1}.$$

From (1.10), using Theorem 77.VI in [9], we obtain that

$$(2.7) \quad dU_{s-2} + \delta V_{n-s} = F_{s-1} + \delta B_{n-s} \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega.$$

Then in order to prove the thesis it is sufficient to show that there exists B_{n-s} such that

$$(2.8) \quad \delta V_{n-s} = \delta B_{n-s} \text{ a.e. in } \Omega.$$

Now (2.3) and (2.6) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} V_{n-s}(X) &= \frac{1}{k_n} \int_{\Omega} \delta_Y \omega_{n-s}(X, Y) \wedge (F_{s-1}^* + (-1)^{(n-1)(s-1)} dB_{n-s})(Y) \\ &= \frac{1}{k_n} \int_{\Omega} d_Y \omega_{n-s}(X, Y) \wedge (F_{s-1} + \delta B_{n-s})(Y) \\ &= \frac{1}{k_n} \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_h} d_Y \omega_{n-s}(X, Y) \wedge (F_{s-1} + \delta B_{n-s})(Y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, since F_{s-1} and δB_{n-s} are closed forms in Ω , from Theorem 11, p.121 in [10] we have

$$V_{n-s}(X) = \frac{1}{k_n} \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial\Omega_h} \omega_{n-s}(X, Y) \wedge (F_{s-1} + \delta B_{n-s})(Y).$$

Moreover, because F_{s-1} and δB_{n-s} have interior nontangential trace in $L^p_{s-1}(\partial\Omega)$, by (1.14) it follows that

$$(2.9) \quad V_{n-s}(X) = H_{n-s}(X) + \frac{1}{k_n} \int_{\partial\Omega} \omega_{n-s}(X, Y) \wedge \Phi_{s-1}(Y),$$

where

$$(2.10) \quad H_{n-s}(X) = \frac{1}{k_n} \int_{\partial\Omega} \omega_{n-s}(X, Y) \wedge F_{s-1}^-(Y)$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad \Phi_{s-1} = (\delta B_{n-s})^-.$$

If we require that the form V_{n-s} satisfies (2.8), it results that

$$(2.12) \quad \delta B_{n-s}(X) = \delta H_{n-s}(X) + \frac{1}{k_n} \int_{\partial\Omega} \delta_X \omega_{n-s}(X, Y) \wedge \Phi_{s-1}(Y).$$

From this, if we take into account Theorem 1 in [14], it follows that a.e. on $\partial\Omega$

$$(2.13) \quad (\delta H_{n-s})^- = \left(\frac{1}{2}I - \frac{1}{k_n}T_{s-1}\right)(\Phi_{s-1}),$$

where I is the identity operator on $L^p_{s-1}(\partial\Omega)$ and T_{s-1} is a compact operator on the same space. Consider now the the homogeneous transposed equation of (2.13)

$$(2.14) \quad \tilde{T}(\psi_{n-s}) = \frac{k_n}{2}\Psi_{n-s} + T_{n-s}(\Psi_{n-s}) = 0.$$

In order to show that (2.13) has solution in $L^p_{s-1}(\partial\Omega)$, we prove that for all $i = 1, \dots, R_{s-1}^-$

$$(2.15) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} \Psi^i_{n-s} \wedge (\delta H_{n-s})^- = 0,$$

where $(\Psi^i_{n-s})_{1 \leq i \leq R_{n-s}^+}$ is a base of $\text{Ker}(\tilde{T}_{n-s})$ verifying the conditions (see Theorem 7 in [14]):

$$(2.16) \quad \int_{\bar{\gamma}_{n-s}^l} \Psi_{n-s}^i = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\bar{\gamma}_{n-s}^j} \Psi_{n-s}^i = \delta_{ij}$$

for $l = 1, \dots, R_{n-s}^-$ and for $i, j = 1, \dots, R_{n-s}^+$. Here $(\bar{\tau}_{n-s}^l, \bar{\gamma}_{n-s}^j)_{\substack{1 \leq l \leq R_{n-s}^- \\ 1 \leq j \leq R_{n-s}^+}}$ is the dual fundamental system of the fundamental system $(\tau_{s-1}^j, \gamma_{s-1}^l)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq R_{s-1}^- \\ 1 \leq l \leq R_{s-1}^+}}$. We now observe that using Theorem 1 in [14] we obtain

$$(2.17) \quad (\delta H_{n-s})^- = \frac{1}{2} F_{s-1}^- + \frac{1}{k_n} T_{s-1}(F_{s-1}^-).$$

Hence from (2.14) we have

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\Omega} \Psi_{n-s}^i \wedge (\delta H_{n-s})^- &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} \Psi_{n-s}^i \wedge F_{s-1}^- + \frac{1}{k_n} \int_{\partial\Omega} \Psi_{n-s}^i \wedge T_{s-1}(F_{s-1}^-) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} \Psi_{n-s}^i \wedge F_{s-1}^- - \frac{1}{k_n} \int_{\partial\Omega} T_{n-s}(\Psi_{n-s}^i) \wedge (F_{s-1}^-) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \Psi_{n-s}^i \wedge F_{s-1}^-. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, since Ψ_{n-s}^i and F_{s-1}^- are closed forms in $W_{n-s}^{1,r}(\partial\Omega)$ (for all $r > 1$) and in $W_{s-1}^{1,p}(\partial\Omega)$ respectively, by applying Theorem 1.7 in [14], using (2.4) and (2.16), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\Omega} \Psi_{n-s}^i \wedge F_{s-1}^- &= (-1)^{(s-1)(n-s)} \sum_{j=1}^{R_{s-1}^-} \int_{\tau_{s-1}^j} F_{s-1}^- \int_{\bar{\gamma}_{n-s}^j} \Psi_{n-s}^i \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=1}^{R_{s-1}^+} \int_{\gamma_{s-1}^l} F_{s-1}^- \int_{\bar{\tau}_{n-s}^l} \Psi_{n-s}^i = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, using (2.18) we obtain that (2.15) is verified.

Let now Φ_{s-1} be a solution of (2.13) in $L_{s-1}^p(\partial\Omega)$. Thus, from (2.17) and Theorem 2 in [14], since $F_{s-1}^- \in W_{s-1}^{1,p}(\partial\Omega)$ is a closed form, it results that also δH_{n-s} is a closed form in $W_{s-1}^{1,p}(\partial\Omega)$. Hence, arguing in a manner similar to the proof of the Theorem 1.4 in [15], we have that Φ_{s-1} is a closed form in $W_{s-1}^{1,p}(\partial\Omega)$. Let finally

$$(2.19) \quad B_{n-s}(X) = H_{n-s} + \frac{1}{k_n} \int_{\partial\Omega} \omega_{n-s}(X, Y) \wedge \Phi_{s-1}(Y).$$

Thus using (11) in [14], we have that B_{n-s} is a harmonic form. Moreover in Ω it is

$$\delta B_{n-s}(X) = \delta H_{n-s}(X) + \frac{1}{k_n} \int_{\partial\Omega} \delta_X \omega_{n-s}(X, Y) \wedge \Phi_{s-1}(Y).$$

Furthermore by Theorem 1 in [14] δB_{n-s} has interior nontangential trace in $L_{s-1}^p(\partial\Omega)$ and

$$(\delta B_{n-s})^- = (\delta H_{n-s})^- + \frac{1}{2} \Phi_{s-1} + \frac{1}{k_n} T_{s-1}(\Phi_{s-1}).$$

From this, being Φ_{s-1} a solution of (2.13), we deduce that $(\delta B_{n-s})^- = \Phi_{s-1}$ and i) is satisfied.

ii) and iii) follow from Corollary of Theorem 1.1 and from (2.6) respectively. \square

By applying Lemma 2.1 of [15], from (2.6) we obtain the following

Corollary. *If F_{s-1} is a form that satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1, then the form*

$$(2.20) \quad U_{s-2}(X) = \frac{(-1)^{n+s}}{k_n} \int_{\Omega} \delta_X \omega_{n-s+1}(X, Y) \wedge (F_{s-1}(Y) + \delta B_{n-s}(Y))$$

is a primitive of F_{s-1} , where B_{n-s} is the form defined in (2.19) and Φ_{s-1} is a solution of (2.13).

Theorem 2.2. *If F_{s-1} is a form that satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1, and $dF_{s-1}^* \in L_{n-s+2}^p(\Omega)$, then there exists a primitive U_{s-2} of F_{s-1} in $C_{s-2}^2(\Omega) \cap D_{s-2}^{1,p}(\Omega)$.*

Proof. Arguing in a manner similar to the proof of (2.9), from (2.20) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} U_{s-2}(X) = & -\frac{1}{k_n} \int_{\Omega} \omega_{s-2}(X, Y) \wedge dF_{s-1}^*(Y) \\ & + \frac{1}{k_n} \int_{\partial\Omega} \omega_{s-2}(X, Y) \wedge ((F_{s-1}^*)^-(Y) + (-1)^{(n-1)(s-1)}(dB_{n-s})^-(Y)) \end{aligned}$$

and applying Theorem 1.2 of [15] and Theorem 77.VI of [9], we obtain our claim. \square

Corollary. *If F_{s-1} satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 and F_{s-1}^* is a closed form in Ω , then there exists a primitive U_{s-2} of F_{s-1} in $C_{s-2}^\infty(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{N}_{s-2}^{1,p}(\Omega)$.*

Theorem 2.3. *If $F_{s-1} \in C_{s-1}^0(\bar{\Omega})$ is a closed form and satisfies (2.4), then for all $p > n$ there exists a primitive U_{s-2} of F_{s-1} in $C_{s-2}^0(\bar{\Omega}) \cap D_{s-2}^{1,p}(\Omega)$.*

Proof. Let $p > n$. Theorem 2.1 implies that there exists a primitive U_{s-2} of F_{s-1} in $D_{s-2}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. By Theorem 77.VI of [9] it follows that $U_{s-2} \in C^{0,\mu}(\bar{\Omega})$, where $\mu = 1 - \frac{n}{p}$. \square

Our purpose is to obtain the following uniqueness Theorem:

Theorem 2.4. *Let F_{s-1} and G_{n-s+3} be closed forms in $C_{s-1}^0(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C_{s-1}^1(\Omega)$ and in $C_{n-s+3}^0(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C_{n-s+3}^1(\Omega)$, respectively, such that*

$$(2.21) \quad \int_{\tau_{s-1}^l} F_{s-1} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\tau_{n-s+3}^j} G_{n-s+3} = 0,$$

for all $l = 1, \dots, R_{s-1}^-$ and for all $j = 1, \dots, R_{n-s+3}^-$. Let $L_{s-2} \in \tilde{C}_{s-2}^1(\partial\Omega)$ be such that

$$(2.22) \quad dL_{s-2} = F_{s-1} \text{ on } \partial\Omega$$

and

$$(2.23) \quad \int_{\gamma_{s-2}^i} L_{s-2} = \int_{\Gamma_{s-1}^i} F_{s-1}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, R_{s-2}^+$, where $\gamma_{s-2}^i = \partial\Gamma_{s-1}^i$. Then we have

i) if $R_{n-s+2}^- = 0$, there exists a unique form $U_{s-2} \in C_{s-2}^1(\Omega)$ with an interior nontangential trace in $L_{s-2}^p(\partial\Omega)$ such that

$$(2.24) \quad dU_{s-2} = F_{s-1} \text{ in } \Omega, \quad dU_{s-2}^* = G_{n-s+3} \text{ in } \Omega$$

and

$$(2.25) \quad U_{s-2}^- = L_{s-2} \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega;$$

ii) if $R_{n-s+2}^- > 0$, then for any sequence $(\alpha_i)_{1 \leq i \leq R_{n-s+2}^-}$ in \mathbf{R} there exists a unique form $U_{s-2} \in C_{s-2}^1(\Omega)$ with interior nontangential trace in $L_{s-2}^p(\partial\Omega)$ satisfying (2.24), (2.25), and

$$(2.26) \quad \int_{\tau_{n-s+2}^i} U_{s-2}^* = \alpha_i,$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, R_{n-s+2}^-$.

Proof. By applying Theorems 2.1 and 2.3 to forms F_{s-1} and G_{n-s+3} , it results that there exist two forms $\bar{U}_{s-2} \in C_{s-2}^0(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C_{s-2}^1(\Omega)$ and $\bar{V}_{n-s+2} \in C_{n-s+2}^0(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C_{n-s+2}^1(\Omega)$, such that in Ω

$$(2.27) \quad d\bar{U}_{s-2} = F_{s-1}, \quad d\bar{U}_{s-2}^* = 0$$

and

$$(2.28) \quad d\bar{V}_{n-s+2} = G_{n-s+3}, \quad d\bar{V}_{n-s+2}^* = 0.$$

Let $K_{n-s+1} \in C_{n-s+1}^2(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{N}_{n-s+1}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $M_{s-3} \in C_{s-3}^2(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{N}_{s-3}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be two arbitrary harmonic forms in Ω . Thus if we let

$$(2.29) \quad \bar{W}_{s-2} = \bar{U}_{s-2} + (-1)^{s(n-1)} \bar{V}_{n-s+2}^*$$

and

$$(2.30) \quad U_{s-2} = \bar{W}_{s-2} + \delta K_{n-s+1} + dM_{s-3},$$

in Ω , it follows that $\bar{W}_{s-2} \in \tilde{C}_s^1(\bar{\Omega})$, $dU_{s-2} = F_{s-1}$ and $dU_{s-2}^* = G_{n-s+3}$ in Ω .

We want to show that there exist K_{n-s+1} and M_{s-3} such that U_{s-2} satisfies (2.25) and (2.26). In order to do this let

$$(2.31) \quad M_{s-3}(X) = \sum_{k=1}^{R_{n-s+2}^-} \beta_k \int_{\partial\Omega} \omega_{s-3}(X, Y) \wedge (\Psi_{n-s+2}^k)(Y),$$

where $(\beta_k)_{1 \leq k \leq R_{n-s+2}^-}$ is an arbitrary sequence in \mathbf{R} and $(\Psi_{n-s+2}^k)_{1 \leq k \leq R_{n-s+2}^-}$ is a base of $\text{Ker}(\tilde{T}'_{n-s+2})$ such that for $h, i = 1, \dots, R_{n-s+2}^-$ and for $l = 1, \dots, R_{n-s+2}^+$ we have (see: Theorem 8 in [14])

$$(2.32) \quad \int_{\tau_{n-s+2}^h} \Psi_{n-s+2}^i = \delta_{ih} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\gamma_{n-s+2}^l} \Psi_{n-s+2}^i = 0.$$

Since Ψ_{n-s+2}^i belongs to $\text{Ker}(\tilde{T}'_{n-s+2})$, Theorem 4 in [14] implies that Ψ_{n-s+2}^i is a closed form in $W_{n-s+2}^{1,q}(\partial\Omega)$ for any $q > 1$. Using Theorem 72.V in [9] we have that M_{s-3} is a continuous form in \mathbf{R}^n . Moreover, by Theorems 1 and 2 in [14], it follows

that M_{s-3} is harmonic form in $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \partial\Omega$ with interior and exterior nontangential trace in $L^q_{n-s+2}(\partial\Omega)$ for any $q > 1$, and $(\delta M_{s-3})^+ = 0$. Furthermore, from Theorem 2.1 in [13] it follows that $\delta M_{s-3} \in L^q_{n-s+2}(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \Omega)$ for any $q > 1$. By applying Theorem 11, p. 121 in [10], we have

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \Omega} dM_{s-3} \wedge \delta M_{s-3} = \int_{\partial\Omega} M_{s-3} \wedge (\delta M_{s-3})^+ = 0.$$

We deduce that $dM_{s-3} = 0$ in $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \Omega$. Thus, for all $\Phi_{n-s+1} \in \tilde{C}_{n-s+1}(\partial\Omega)$, it results that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (dM_{s-3})^- \wedge \Phi_{n-s+3} = \int_{\partial\Omega} M_{s-3} \wedge d\Phi_{n-s+3} = \int_{\partial\Omega} (dM_{s-3})^+ \wedge \Phi_{n-s+3} = 0.$$

Hence, by Lemma 3.1 in [13], we obtain $(dM_{s-3})^- = 0$. From this, if we require that the form U_{s-2} , defined in (2.30), satisfies the boundary condition (2.25), we have

$$(2.33) \quad (\delta K_{n-s+1})^- = L_{s-2} - \overline{W}_{s-2} \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Let $1 < p < \infty$. From (2.22), (2.27), and (2.28) it follows that $L_{s-2} - \overline{W}_{s-2}$ is a closed form of $W^{1,p}_{s-2}(\partial\Omega)$, instead from Theorem 1.3 and (2.23) we have

$$\int_{\gamma^i_{s-2}} (L_{s-2} - \overline{W}_{s-2}) = 0$$

for any $i = 1, \dots, R^+_{s-2}$. Hence, using Theorem 1.5 in [15] we obtain that there exists a form $K_{n-s+1} \in C^2_{n-s+1}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{N}^{1,p}_{n-s+1}(\Omega)$, harmonic in Ω and satisfying (2.33). Now, since using (2.30) and (2.31)

$$\begin{aligned} U^*_{s-2}(X) &= \overline{W}^*_{s-2}(X) + (-1)^{ns-1} dK_{n-s+1}(X) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{R^+_{n-s+2}} \beta_k \int_{\partial\Omega} \delta_X \omega_{s-3}(X, Y) \wedge (\Psi^k_{n-s+2})(Y), \end{aligned}$$

we prove the existence of a sequence $(\beta_k)_{1 \leq k \leq R^+_{n-s+3}}$ in \mathbf{R} such that U^*_{s-2} satisfies (2.26). For this purpose, arguing in a manner similar to the proof of the Theorem (2.2) in [15], it is sufficient to show the existence of a sequence $(\beta_k)_{1 \leq k \leq R^+_{n-s+3}}$ in \mathbf{R} such that

$$(2.34) \quad \alpha_i = \int_{t^i_{n-s+2}} (\overline{W}^*_{s-2} + (-1)^{(n-1)s} dK_{n-s+1}) + \beta_i \int_{Y \in c^i_{s-2}} \delta_Y \int_{X \in t^i_{n-s+2}} \omega_{n-s+2}$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, R^-_{n-s+2}$. This is easily seen to be true, because by (27) in [8], it is

$$\int_{Y \in c^i_{s-2}} \delta_Y \int_{X \in t^i_{n-s+2}} \omega_{n-s+2} \neq 0.$$

Thus the existence of a solution of the problem is obtained. Finally to show the uniqueness of the solution it is sufficient to prove that the homogeneous problem associated to the above problem is the zero form. Thus, let U_{s-2} be a solution of

the homogeneous problem. Hence U_{s-2} and U_{s-2}^* are closed forms in Ω , with interior nontangential trace of class $L_{s-2}^p(\partial\Omega)$, $U_{s-2}^- = 0$, and

$$(2.35) \quad \int_{t_{n-s+2}^i} U_{s-2}^* = 0$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, R_{n-s+2}^-$. We now prove that for $i = 1, \dots, R_{n-s+2}^-$

$$(2.36) \quad \int_{\tau_{n-s+2}^i} U_{s-2}^* = 0.$$

Let $l = 1, \dots, R_{n-s+2}^-$. If $\Phi_{s-3} \in \tilde{C}_{s-3}^1(\partial\Omega)$ is a closed form associated to τ_{n-s+2}^l . Using Definition 5.3 in [13] and (1.14) we have

$$(2.37) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\tau_{n-s+2}^l} U_{s-2}^* &= \int_{\partial\Omega} U_{s-2}^* \wedge \Phi_{s-3} = \lim_h \int_{\partial\Omega} \Lambda_h^*(U_{s-2}^*) \wedge \Phi_{s-3} \\ &= \lim_h \int_{\partial\Omega_h} U_{s-2}^* \wedge \Lambda_h^{-1*}(\Phi_{s-3}) = \lim_h \int_{\tau_{(n-s+2)h}^l} U_{s-2}^* \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau_{(n-s+2)h}^l = \Lambda_h(\tau_{n-s+2}^l)$. Since $\tau_{(n-s+2)h}^l$ is a C^1 -differentiable cycle on $\partial\Omega_h$, $\bar{\Omega}_h \subset \Omega$ and $([t_{n-s+2}^i]_{1 \leq i \leq R_{n-s+2}^-})$ is a base of $\mathcal{H}_{n-s+2}(\Omega)$, there exists a sequence $(\alpha_i^h)_{1 \leq i \leq R_{n-s+2}^-}$ in \mathbf{R} and a cycle $c_{(n-s+3)h}^l$ C^1 -differentiable in Ω , such that

$$\tau_{(n-s+2)h}^l = \sum_{i=1}^{R_{n-s+2}^-} \alpha_i^h t_{n-s+2}^i + \partial c_{(n-s+3)h}^l.$$

Thus, using (2.35), since U_{s-2}^* is a closed form in Ω , we have that

$$\int_{\tau_{(n-s+2)h}^l} U_{s-2}^* = \sum_{i=1}^{R_{n-s+2}^-} \alpha_i^h \int_{t_{n-s+2}^i} U_{s-2}^* + \int_{\partial c_{(n-s+3)h}^l} U_{s-2}^* = 0.$$

Moreover using (2.37), it follows (2.36). Hence U_{s-2}^* verifies the hypotheses of Corollary of Theorem 2.2. Thus there exists a form

$$P_{n-s+1} \in C_{n-s+1}^0(\bar{\Omega}) \cap \mathcal{N}_{n-s+1}^{1,p}(\Omega)$$

such that

$$(2.38) \quad dP_{n-s+1} = U_{s-2}^*.$$

From this, since $dU_{s-2} = 0$ in Ω and $U_{s-2}^- = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, we obtain that P_{n-s+1} is a solution of the homogeneous Neumann problem. Thus $dP_{n-s+1} = 0$ in Ω (see Theorem 2.1 in [15]) and, hence, from (2.38), $U_{s-2} = 0$ in Ω . \square

Acknowledgement. This Work was partially supported by Università degli Studi Bari, Università degli Studi di Lecce and by M.I.U.R

References

- [1] H. Brezis, *Analisi funzionale*, Liguori Ed., Napoli, 1986.
- [2] A. P. Calderón, *Cauchy integrals on Lipschitz curves and related operators*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sc. U.S.A. Vol. 74, No.4, 1977, 1324-1327.
- [3] A. P. Calderón, C. P. Calderón, E. B. Fabes, M. Jodeit Jr. and N. M. Rivière, *Applications of the Cauchy integral along Lipschitz curves*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 84, (1978), No. 2, 287-290.
- [4] G. de Rham, *Variétés Différentiables*, Act. Sc. et Ind. 1222, Hermann Paris, 1960.
- [5] S. Eilenberg, N. Steenrod, *Foundation of Algebraic Topology*, Princeton, New Jersey Princeton University Press, (1952).
- [6] E. B. Fabes, M. Jodeit Jr. and N. M. Rivière, *Potential techniques for boundary value problems on C^1 -domains*, Acta Math. **141**, 1978, 165-186.
- [7] P. Gillis, *Sur les formes différentielles et la formule de Stokes*, Mém. de l'Acad. Royale de Belgique, Coll. in 8°, t. 20, 1943, f. 1-94.
- [8] C. Miranda, *Sull'integrazione delle forme differenziali esterne*, Ricerche di Matematica, **2**, 151-182, 1953.
- [9] C. Miranda, *Istituzioni di Analisi Funzionale Lineare Vol. 1*, Unione Matematica Italiana, 1976.
- [10] J. Nečas, *Les Méthodes directes en Théorie des Équations Elliptiques*, Masson et C., Ed., Paris-VI, 1967.
- [11] R. Selvaggi - I. Sisto, *Regolarità di certe trasformazioni integrali relative ad aperti di classe C^1* , Rend. Accad. Sci. Fis. Mat. Napoli (4), **45**, 1978, 393-410.
- [12] R. Selvaggi - I. Sisto, *Problemi al contorno per sistemi ellittici simmetrici del primo ordine a coefficienti costanti in aperti di classe C^1* , Note di Matematica, Vol. I, 1981, 155-185.
- [13] R. Selvaggi - I. Sisto, *Differenzial forms in C^1 -domains*, Rend. Accad. Naz. delle Scienze detta dei XL, *Mem. di Mat. e Appl.* **114**, Vol. XX, fasc. 1, 1996, 175-192.
- [14] R. Selvaggi - I. Sisto, *A Dirichlet problem for harmonic forms in C^1 -domains*, Integral Equations and Operator Theory **28**, 1997, 343-357.
- [15] R. Selvaggi - I. Sisto, *A Neumann problem for harmonic forms in C^1 -domains*, Nonlinear Analysis, **45**, 2001, 1003-1013).

- [16] G. Verchota, *Layer Potentials and Regularity for the Dirichlet Problem for Laplace's Equation in Lipschitz Domains*, *Journal of Functional Analysis* **59**, 1984, 572-611.
- [17] H. Whitney, *Geometric Integration Theory*, Princeton, New Jersey, Princeton Univ. Press, 1957.

Dipartimento di Matematica
Università degli Studi di Lecce
Via Arnesano, 73100 Lecce, Italy

Dipartimento interuniversitario di Matematica
Università degli Studi di Bari
Via E. Orabona 4, 70125 Bari, Italy