

# Hypersurfaces with Constant Scalar Curvature in a Hyperbolic Space Form

Liu Ximin and Su Weihong

## Abstract

Let  $M^n$  be a complete hypersurface with constant normalized scalar curvature  $R$  in a hyperbolic space form  $H^{n+1}$ . We prove that if  $\bar{R} = R + 1 \geq 0$  and the norm square  $|h|^2$  of the second fundamental form of  $M^n$  satisfies

$$n\bar{R} \leq \sup |h|^2 \leq \frac{n}{(n-2)(n\bar{R}-2)}[n(n-1)\bar{R}^2 - 4(n-1)\bar{R} + n],$$

then either  $\sup |h|^2 = n\bar{R}$  and  $M^n$  is a totally umbilical hypersurface; or

$$\sup |h|^2 = \frac{n}{(n-2)(n\bar{R}-2)}[n(n-1)\bar{R}^2 - 4(n-1)\bar{R} + n],$$

and  $M^n$  is isometric to  $S^{n-1}(r) \times H^1(-1/(r^2+1))$ , for some  $r > 0$ .

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 53C42, 53A10

**Key words:** hypersurface, hyperbolic space form, scalar curvature

## 1 Introduction

Let  $R^{n+1}(c)$  be an  $(n+1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold with constant sectional curvature  $c$ . We also call it a space form. When  $c > 0$ ,  $R^{n+1}(c) = S^{n+1}(c)$  (i.e.  $(n+1)$ -dimensional sphere space); when  $c = 0$ ,  $R^{n+1}(c) = R^{n+1}$  (i.e.  $(n+1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space); when  $c < 0$ ,  $R^{n+1}(c) = H^{n+1}(c)$  (i.e.  $(n+1)$ -dimensional hyperbolic space). We simply denote  $H^{n+1}(-1)$  by  $H^{n+1}$ . Let  $M^n$  be an  $n$ -dimensional hypersurface in  $R^{n+1}(c)$ , and  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  a local orthonormal frame field on  $M^n$ ,  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$  its dual coframe field. Then the second fundamental form of  $M^n$  is

$$(1) \quad h = \sum_{i,j} h_{ij} \omega_i \otimes \omega_j.$$

Further, near any given point  $p \in M^n$ , we can choose a local frame field  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  so that at  $p$ ,  $\sum_{i,j} h_{ij} \omega_i \otimes \omega_j = \sum_i k_i \omega_i \otimes \omega_i$ , then the Gauss equation writes

$$(2) \quad R_{ijij} = c + k_i k_j, \quad i \neq j.$$

$$(3) \quad n(n-1)(R-c) = n^2 H^2 - |h|^2,$$

where  $R$  is the normalized scalar curvature,  $H = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i k_i$  the mean curvature and  $|h|^2 = \sum_i k_i^2$  the norm square of the second fundamental form of  $M^n$ .

As it is well known, there are many rigidity results for minimal hypersurfaces or hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature  $H$  in  $R^{n+1}(c)$  ( $c \geq 0$ ) by use of J. Simons' method, for example, see [1], [4], [5], [8], [12] etc., but less were obtained for hypersurfaces immersed into a hyperbolic space form. Walter [13] gave a classification for non-negatively curved compact hypersurfaces in a space form under the assumption that the  $r$ th mean curvature is constant. Morvan-Wu [7], Wu [14] also proved some rigidity theorems for complete hypersurfaces  $M^n$  in a hyperbolic space form  $H^{n+1}(c)$  under the assumption that the mean curvature is constant and the Ricci curvature is non-negative. Moreover, they proved that  $M^n$  is a geodesic distance sphere in  $H^{n+1}(c)$  provided that it is compact.

On the other hand, Cheng-Yau [3] introduced a new self-adjoint differential operator  $\square$  to study the hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature. Later, Li [6] obtained interesting rigidity results for compact hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature in space-forms using the Cheng-Yau's self-adjoint operator  $\square$ .

In the present paper, we use Cheng-Yau's self-adjoint operator  $\square$  to study the complete hypersurfaces in a hyperbolic space form with constant scalar curvature, and prove the following theorem:

**Theorem.** *Let  $M^n$  be an  $n$ -dimensional ( $n \geq 3$ ) complete hypersurface with constant normalized scalar curvature  $R$  in  $H^{n+1}$ . If*

$$(1) \quad \bar{R} = R + 1 \geq 0,$$

(2) *the norm square  $|h|^2$  of the second fundamental form of  $M^n$  satisfies*

$$n\bar{R} \leq \sup |h|^2 \leq \frac{n}{(n-2)(n\bar{R}-2)} [n(n-1)\bar{R}^2 - 4(n-1)\bar{R} + n],$$

*then either*

$$\sup |h|^2 = n\bar{R}$$

*and  $M^n$  is a totally umbilical hypersurface; or*

$$\sup |h|^2 = \frac{n}{(n-2)(n\bar{R}-2)} [n(n-1)\bar{R}^2 - 4(n-1)\bar{R} + n],$$

*and  $M^n$  is isometric to  $S^{n-1}(r) \times H^1(-1/(r^2+1))$ , for some  $r > 0$ .*

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $M^n$  be an  $n$ -dimensional hypersurface in  $H^{n+1}$ . We choose a local orthonormal frame  $e_1, \dots, e_{n+1}$  in  $H^{n+1}$  such that at each point of  $M^n$ ,  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  span the tangent space of  $M^n$  and form an orthonormal frame there. Let  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n+1}$  be its dual coframe. In this paper, we use the following convention on the range of indices:

$$1 \leq A, B, C, \dots \leq n+1; \quad 1 \leq i, j, k, \dots \leq n.$$

Then the structure equations of  $H^{n+1}$  are given by

$$(4) \quad d\omega_A = \sum_B \omega_{AB} \wedge \omega_B, \quad \omega_{AB} + \omega_{BA} = 0,$$

$$(5) \quad d\omega_{AB} = \sum_C \omega_{AC} \wedge \omega_{CB} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{C,D} K_{ABCD} \omega_C \wedge \omega_D,$$

$$(6) \quad K_{ABCD} = -(\delta_{AC}\delta_{BD} - \delta_{AD}\delta_{BC}).$$

Restricting these forms to  $M^n$ , we have

$$(7) \quad \omega_{n+1} = 0.$$

From Cartan's lemma we can write

$$(8) \quad \omega_{n+1i} = \sum_j h_{ij} \omega_j, \quad h_{ij} = h_{ji}.$$

From these formulas, we obtain the structure equations of  $M^n$ :

$$(9) \quad d\omega_i = \sum_j \omega_{ij} \wedge \omega_j, \quad \omega_{ij} + \omega_{ji} = 0,$$

$$(10) \quad d\omega_{ij} = \sum_k \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kj} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l} R_{ijkl} \omega_k \wedge \omega_l,$$

$$(11) \quad R_{ijkl} = -(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk}) + (h_{ik}h_{jl} - h_{il}h_{jk}),$$

where  $R_{ijkl}$  are the components of the curvature tensor of  $M^n$  and

$$(12) \quad h = \sum_{i,j} h_{ij} \omega_i \otimes \omega_j$$

is the second fundamental form of  $M^n$ . We also have

$$(13) \quad R_{ij} = -(n-1)\delta_{ij} + nHh_{ij} - \sum_k h_{ik}h_{kj},$$

$$(14) \quad n(n-1)(R+1) = n^2H^2 - |h|^2,$$

where  $R$  is the normalized scalar curvature, and  $H$  the mean curvature.

Define the first and the second covariant derivatives of  $h_{ij}$ , say  $h_{ijk}$  and  $h_{ijkl}$  by

$$(15) \quad \sum_k h_{ijk} \omega_k = dh_{ij} + \sum_k h_{kj} \omega_{ki} + \sum_k h_{ik} \omega_{kj},$$

$$(16) \quad \sum_l h_{ijkl} \omega_l = dh_{ijk} + \sum_m h_{mjk} \omega_{mi} + \sum_m h_{imk} \omega_{mj} + \sum_m h_{ijm} \omega_{mk}.$$

Then we have the Codazzi equation

$$(17) \quad h_{ijk} = h_{ikj},$$

and the Ricci identity

$$(18) \quad h_{ijk} - h_{ijlk} = \sum_m h_{mj} R_{mikl} + \sum_m h_{im} R_{mjkl}.$$

For a  $C^2$ -function  $f$  defined on  $M^n$ , we defined its gradient and Hessian ( $f_{ij}$ ) by the following formulas

$$(19) \quad df = \sum_i f_i \omega_i, \quad \sum_j f_{ij} \omega_j = df_i + \sum_j f_j \omega_{ji}.$$

The Laplacian of  $f$  is defined by  $\Delta f = \sum_i f_{ii}$ .

Let  $\phi = \sum_{ij} \phi_{ij} \omega_i \otimes \omega_j$  be a symmetric tensor defined on  $M^n$ , where

$$(20) \quad \phi_{ij} = nH\delta_{ij} - h_{ij}.$$

Following Cheng-Yau [3], we introduce an operator  $\square$  associated to  $\phi$  acting on any  $C^2$ -function  $f$  by

$$(21) \quad \square f = \sum_{i,j} \phi_{ij} f_{ij} = \sum_{i,j} (nH\delta_{ij} - h_{ij}) f_{ij}.$$

Since  $\phi_{ij}$  is divergence-free, it follows [3] that the operator  $\square$  is self-adjoint relative to the  $L^2$  inner product of  $M^n$ , i.e.

$$(22) \quad \int_{M^n} f \square g = \int_{M^n} g \square f.$$

We can choose a local frame field  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  at any point  $p \in M^n$ , such that  $h_{ij} = k_i \delta_{ij}$  at  $p$ , by use of (21) and (14), we have

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} \square(nH) &= nH\Delta(nH) - \sum_i k_i (nH)_{ii} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \Delta(nH)^2 - \sum_i (nH)_i^2 - \sum_i k_i (nH)_{ii} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} n(n-1) \Delta R + \frac{1}{2} \Delta |h|^2 - n^2 |\nabla H|^2 - \sum_i k_i (nH)_{ii}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, through a standard calculation by use of (17) and (18), we get

$$(24) \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta |h|^2 = \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 + \sum_i k_i (nH)_{ii} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} R_{ijij} (k_i - k_j)^2.$$

Putting (24) into (23), we have

$$(25) \quad \square(nH) = \frac{1}{2} n(n-1) \Delta R + |\nabla h|^2 - n^2 |\nabla H|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} R_{ijij} (k_i - k_j)^2.$$

From (11), we have  $R_{ijij} = -1 + k_i k_j$ ,  $i \neq j$ , and by putting this into (25), we obtain

$$(26) \quad \square(nH) = \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)\Delta R + |\nabla h|^2 - n^2|\nabla H|^2 - n|h|^2 + n^2H^2 - |h|^4 + nH \sum_i k_i^3.$$

Let  $\mu_i = k_i - H$  and  $|Z|^2 = \sum_i \mu_i^2$ , we have

$$(27) \quad \sum_i \mu_i = 0, \quad |Z|^2 = |h|^2 - nH^2,$$

$$(28) \quad \sum_i k_i^3 = \sum_i \mu_i^3 + 3H|Z|^2 + nH^3,$$

From (26)-(28), we get

$$(29) \quad \square(nH) = \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)\Delta R + |\nabla h|^2 - n^2|\nabla H|^2 + |Z|^2(-n + nH^2 - |Z|^2) + nH \sum_i \mu_i^3.$$

We need the following algebraic lemma due to M. Okumura [9] (see also [1]).

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\mu_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , be real numbers such that  $\sum_i \mu_i = 0$  and  $\sum_i \mu_i^2 = \beta^2$ , where  $\beta = \text{constant} \geq 0$ . Then*

$$(30) \quad -\frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}\beta^3 \leq \sum_i \mu_i^3 \leq \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}\beta^3,$$

and the equality holds in (30) if and only if at least  $(n-1)$  of the  $\mu_i$  are equal.

By use of Lemma 2.1, we have

$$(31) \quad \begin{aligned} \square(nH) &\geq \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)\Delta R + |\nabla h|^2 - n^2|\nabla H|^2 + \\ &+ (|h|^2 - nH^2) \left( -n + 2nH^2 - |h|^2 - \frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}H\sqrt{|h|^2 - nH^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

### 3 Umbilical hypersurface in a hyperbolic space form

In this section, we consider some special hypersurfaces in a hyperbolic space form which we will need in the following discussion.

First we want to give a description of the real hyperbolic space-form  $H^{n+1}(c)$  of constant curvature  $c$  ( $< 0$ ). For any two vectors  $x$  and  $y$  in  $R^{n+2}$ , we set

$$g(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i y_i - x_{n+2} y_{n+2}.$$

$(R^{n+2}, g)$  is the so-called Minkowski space-time. Denote  $\rho = \sqrt{-1/c}$ . We define

$$H^{n+1}(c) = \{x \in R^{n+2} \mid x_{n+2} > 0, g(x, x) = -\rho^2\}.$$

Then  $H^{n+1}(c)$  is a connected simply-connected hypersurface of  $R^{n+2}$ . It is not hard to check that the restriction of  $g$  to the tangent space of  $H^{n+1}(c)$  yields a complete Riemannian metric of constant curvature  $c$ . Here we obtain a model of a real hyperbolic space form.

We are interested in those complete hypersurfaces with at most two distinct constant principal curvatures in  $H^{n+1}(c)$ . This kind of hypersurfaces was described by Lawson [5] and completely classified by Ryan [11].

**Lemma 3.1** [11]. *Let  $M^n$  be a complete hypersurface in  $H^{n+1}(c)$ . Suppose that, under a suitable choice of a local orthonormal tangent frame field of  $TM^n$ , the shape operator over  $TM^n$  is expressed as a matrix  $A$ . If  $M^n$  has at most two distinct constant principal curvatures, then it is congruent to one of the following:*

(1)  $M_1 = \{x \in H^{n+1}(c) \mid x_1 = 0\}$ . In this case,  $A = 0$ , and  $M_1$  is totally geodesic. Hence  $M_1$  is isometric to  $H^n(c)$ ;

(2)  $M_2 = \{x \in H^{n+1}(c) \mid x_1 = r > 0\}$ . In this case,  $A = \frac{1/\rho^2}{\sqrt{1/\rho^2 + 1/r^2}} I_n$ , where  $I_n$  denotes the identity matrix of degree  $n$ , and  $M_2$  is isometric to  $H^n(-1/(r^2 + \rho^2))$ ;

(3)  $M_3 = \{x \in H^{n+1}(c) \mid x_{n+2} = x_{n+1} + \rho\}$ . In this case,  $A = \frac{1}{\rho} I_n$ , and  $M_3$  is isometric to a Euclidean space  $E^n$ ;

(4)  $M_4 = \{x \in H^{n+1}(c) \mid \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i^2 = r^2 > 0\}$ . In this case,  $A = \sqrt{1/r^2 + 1/\rho^2} I_n$ , and  $M_4$  is isometric to a round sphere  $S^n(r)$  of radius  $r$ ;

(5)  $M_5 = \{x \in H^{n+1}(c) \mid \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} x_i^2 = r^2 > 0, \sum_{j=k+2}^{n+1} x_j^2 - x_{n+2}^2 = -\rho^2 - r^2\}$ .

In this case,  $A = \lambda I_k \oplus \nu I_{n-k}$ , where  $\lambda = \sqrt{1/\rho^2 + 1/r^2}$ , and  $\nu = \frac{1/\rho^2}{\sqrt{1/r^2 + 1/\rho^2}}$ ,  $M_5$  is isometric to  $S^k(r) \times H^{n-k}(-1/(r^2 + \rho^2))$ .

**Remark 3.1.**  $M_1, \dots, M_5$  are often called the standard examples of complete hypersurfaces in  $H^{n+1}(c)$  with at most two distinct constant principal curvatures. It is obvious that  $M_1, \dots, M_4$  are totally umbilical. In the sense of Chen [2], they are called the hyperspheres of  $H^{n+1}(c)$ .  $M_3$  is called the horosphere and  $M_4$  the geodesic distance sphere of  $H^{n+1}(c)$ .

**Remark 3.2.** Ryan [11] stated that the shape operator of  $M_2$  is  $A = \sqrt{1/r^2 - 1/\rho^2} I_n$ , and  $M_2$  is isometric to  $H^n(-1/r^2)$ , where  $r \leq \rho$ . This is incorrect and we have corrected it here.

## 4 The proof of Theorem

The following lemma essentially due to Cheng-Yau [3].

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $M^n$  be an  $n$ -dimensional hypersurface in  $H^{n+1}$ . Suppose that the normalized scalar curvature  $R = \text{constant}$  and  $R \geq -1$ . Then  $|\nabla h|^2 \geq n^2 |\nabla H|^2$ .*

**Proof.** From (14),

$$n^2 H^2 - \sum_{i,j} h_{ij}^2 = n(n-1)(R+1).$$

Taking the covariant derivative of the above expression, and using the fact  $R = \text{constant}$ , we get

$$n^2 H H_k = \sum_{i,j} h_{ij} h_{ijk}.$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\sum_k n^4 H^2 (H_k)^2 = \sum_k \left( \sum_{i,j} h_{ij} h_{ijk} \right)^2 \leq \left( \sum_{i,j} h_{ij}^2 \right) \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2,$$

that is

$$n^4 H^2 \|\nabla H\|^2 \leq |h|^2 |\nabla h|^2.$$

On the other hand, from  $R+1 \geq 0$ , we have  $n^2 H^2 - |h|^2 \geq 0$ . Thus

$$H^2 |\nabla h|^2 \geq n^2 H^2 |\nabla H|^2$$

and Lemma 4.1 follows.

From the assumption of the Theorem that  $R$  is constant and  $\bar{R} = R+1 \geq 0$  and Lemma 4.1 we have

$$(32) \quad \square(nH) \geq (|h|^2 - nH^2) \left( -n + 2nH^2 - |h|^2 - \frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} H \sqrt{|h|^2 - nH^2} \right).$$

By Gauss equation (14) we know that

$$(33) \quad |Z|^2 = |h|^2 - nH^2 = \frac{n-1}{n} (|h|^2 - n\bar{R}).$$

From (32) and (33) we have

$$(34) \quad \square(nH) \geq \frac{n-1}{n} (|h|^2 - n\bar{R}) \phi_H(|h|),$$

where

$$\phi_H(|h|) = -n + 2nH^2 - |h|^2 - \frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} H \sqrt{|h|^2 - nH^2}.$$

By (33) we can write  $\phi_H(|h|)$  as

$$(35) \quad \phi_{\bar{R}}(|h|) = -n + 2(n-1)\bar{R} - \frac{n-2}{n} |h|^2 - \frac{n-2}{n} \sqrt{(n(n-1)\bar{R} + |h|^2)(|h|^2 - n\bar{R})}.$$

Therefore (34) becomes

$$(36) \quad \square(nH) \geq \frac{n-1}{n} (|h|^2 - n\bar{R}) \phi_{\bar{R}}(|h|),$$

It is a direct check that our assumption

$$(37) \quad \sup |h|^2 \leq \frac{n}{(n-2)(n\bar{R}-2)} [n(n-1)\bar{R}^2 - 4(n-1)\bar{R} + n]$$

is equivalent to

$$(38) \quad (-n+2(n-1)\bar{R} - \frac{n-2}{n} \sup |h|^2)^2 \geq \frac{(n-2)^2}{n^2} (n(n-1)\bar{R} + \sup |h|^2)(\sup |h|^2 - n\bar{R}).$$

But it is clear from (37) that (38) is equivalent to

$$(39) \quad -n+2(n-1)\bar{R} - \frac{n-2}{n} \sup |h|^2 \geq \frac{n-2}{n} \sqrt{(n(n-1)\bar{R} + \sup |h|^2)(\sup |h|^2 - n\bar{R})}.$$

So under the hypothesis that

$$\sup |h|^2 \leq \frac{n}{(n-2)(n\bar{R}-2)} [n(n-1)\bar{R}^2 - 4(n-1)\bar{R} + n],$$

we have

$$(40) \quad \phi_{\bar{R}}(\sqrt{\sup |h|^2}) \geq 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$(41) \quad \begin{aligned} \square(nH) &= \sum_{i,j} (nH\delta_{ij} - nh_{ij})(nH)_{ij} = \sum_i (nH - nh_{ii})(nH)_{ii} = \\ &= n \sum_i H(nH)_{ii} - n \sum_i k_i (nH)_{ii} \leq (|H|_{max} - C)\Delta(nH), \end{aligned}$$

where  $|H|_{max}$  is the maximum of the mean curvature  $H$  and  $C = \min k_i$  is the minimum of the principal curvatures of  $M^n$ .

Now we need the following maximum principle at infinity for complete manifolds due to Omori [10] and Yau [15]:

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $M^n$  be an  $n$ -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold whose Ricci curvature is bounded from below and  $f : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a smooth function bounded from below. Then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a point  $p_\varepsilon \in M^n$  such that*

- (i)  $|\nabla f|(p_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ ,
- (ii)  $\Delta f(p_\varepsilon) > -\varepsilon$ ,
- (iii)  $\inf f \leq f(p_\varepsilon) \leq \inf f + \varepsilon$ .

From the hypothesis of the Theorem and Gauss equation, we know that the Ricci curvature is bounded below. So we may apply Lemma 4.2 to the following smooth function  $f$  on  $M^n$  defined by

$$f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + (nH)^2}}.$$

It is immediate to check that



$$(42) \quad |\nabla f|^2 = \frac{1}{4} \frac{|\nabla(nH)^2|^2}{(1+(nH)^2)^3}$$

and that

$$(43) \quad \Delta f = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta(nH)^2}{(1+(nH)^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{3}{4} \frac{|\nabla(nH)^2|^2}{(1+(nH)^2)^{5/2}}.$$

By Lemma 4.2 we can find a sequence of points  $p_k, k \in N$  in  $M^n$ , such that

$$(44) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(p_k) = \inf f, \quad \Delta f(p_k) > -\frac{1}{k}, \quad |\nabla f|^2(p_k) < \frac{1}{k^2}.$$

Using (44) in the equations (42) and (43) and the fact that

$$(45) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (nH)(p_k) = \sup_{p \in M^n} (nH)(p),$$

we get

$$(46) \quad -\frac{1}{k} \leq -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta(nH)^2}{(1+(nH)^2)^{3/2}}(p_k) + \frac{3}{k^2} (1+(nH)^2(p_k))^{1/2}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$(47) \quad \frac{\Delta(nH)^2}{(1+(nH)^2)^2}(p_k) < \frac{2}{k} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+(nH)^2(p_k)}} + \frac{3}{k} \right).$$

On the other hand, by (36) and (41), we have

$$(48) \quad \frac{n-1}{n} (|h|^2 - n\bar{R}) \phi_{\bar{R}}(|h|) \leq \square(nH) \leq n(|H|_{max} - C) \Delta(nH).$$

At points  $p_k$  of the sequence given in (44), this becomes

$$(49) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{n-1}{n} (|h|^2(p_k) - n\bar{R}) \phi_{\bar{R}}(|h|(p_k)) \leq \square(nH(p_k)) \\ & \leq n(|H|_{max} - C) \Delta(nH)(p_k). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and use (47) we have that the right hand side of (49) goes to zero, so we have either  $\frac{n-1}{n} (\sup |h|^2 - n\bar{R}) = 0$ , i.e.  $\sup |h|^2 = n\bar{R}$  or  $\phi_{\bar{R}}(\sqrt{\sup |h|^2}) = 0$ .

If  $\sup |h|^2 = n\bar{R}$ , by (33)  $|Z|^2 = \frac{n-1}{n} (|h|^2 - n\bar{R})$  we have  $\sup |Z|^2 = \frac{n-1}{n} (\sup |h|^2 - n\bar{R}) = 0$ , then  $|Z|^2 = 0$  and  $M^n$  is totally umbilical.

If  $\phi_{\bar{R}}(\sqrt{\sup |h|^2}) = 0$ , it is easy to prove that

$$\sup H^2 = \frac{1}{n^2} \left[ (n-1)^2 \frac{n\bar{R} + 2}{n-2} - 2(n-1) + \frac{n-2}{n\bar{R} + 2} \right],$$

then equalities hold in (30) and Lemma 4.1, we follow that  $k_i = \text{constant}$  for all  $i$  and  $(n-1)$  of the  $k_i$  are equal. After renumberation if necessary, we can assume

$$k_1 = k_2 = \dots = k_{n-1} \quad k_1 \neq k_n.$$

Therefore, from Lemma 3.1, we know that  $M^n$  is a hypersurface in  $H^{n+1}$  with two distinct principal curvatures, and  $M^n$  is isometric to  $S^{n-1}(r) \times H^1(-1/(r^2 + 1))$ , for some  $r > 0$ . This completes the proof of Theorem.

When  $M^n$  is compact, we can prove

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $M^n$  be an  $n$ -dimensional ( $n \geq 3$ ) compact hypersurface with constant normalized scalar curvature  $R$  in  $H^{n+1}$ . If*

$$(1) \bar{R} = R + 1 \geq 0,$$

(2) the norm square  $|h|^2$  of the second fundamental form of  $M^n$  satisfies

$$(50) \quad n\bar{R} \leq |h|^2 \leq \frac{n}{(n-2)(n\bar{R}-2)}[n(n-1)\bar{R}^2 - 4(n-1)\bar{R} + n],$$

then  $M^n$  is a totally umbilical hypersurface.

**Proof.** From (36) we have

$$(51) \quad \begin{aligned} \square(nH) &\geq \frac{n-1}{n}(|h|^2 - n\bar{R})[-n + 2(n-1)\bar{R} - \frac{n-2}{n}|h|^2 - \\ &- \frac{n-2}{n}\sqrt{(n(n-1)\bar{R} + |h|^2)(|h|^2 - n\bar{R})}], \end{aligned}$$

It is a direct check that our assumption condition (50) is equivalent to

$$(52) \quad \left(-n + 2(n-1)\bar{R} - \frac{n-2}{n}|h|^2\right)^2 \geq \frac{(n-2)^2}{n^2}(n(n-1)\bar{R} + |h|^2)(|h|^2 - n\bar{R}).$$

But it is clear from (50) that (52) is equivalent to

$$(53) \quad -n + 2(n-1)\bar{R} - \frac{n-2}{n}|h|^2 \geq \frac{n-2}{n}\sqrt{(n(n-1)\bar{R} + |h|^2)(|h|^2 - n\bar{R})},$$

therefore the right hand side of (51) is non-negative. Because  $M^n$  is compact and the operator  $\square$  is self-adjoint, we have  $\int_{M^n} \square(nH)dv = 0$ . Thus either

$$(54) \quad |h|^2 = n\bar{R},$$

that is,  $|h|^2 = nH^2$ ,  $M^n$  is a totally umbilical hypersurface; or

$$(55) \quad |h|^2 = \frac{n}{(n-2)(n\bar{R}-2)}[n(n-1)\bar{R}^2 - 4(n-1)\bar{R} + n].$$

In the latter case, equalities hold in (30) and Lemma 4.1, and it follows that  $M^n$  has at most two distinct constant principal curvatures. We conclude that  $M^n$  is totally umbilical from the compactness of  $M^n$ . This completes the proof of Corollary 1.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $M^n$  be an  $n$ -dimensional compact hypersurface with constant normalized scalar curvature  $R$  and  $R + 1 \geq 0$  in  $H^{n+1}$ . If  $M$  has non-negative sectional curvature, then  $M$  is a totally umbilical hypersurface.*

**Proof.** Because  $M^n$  is compact and the operator  $\square$  is self-adjoint, from (25), we have

$$(56) \quad \int_{M^n} \left[ |\nabla h|^2 - n^2 |\nabla H|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} R_{ijij} (k_i - k_j)^2 \right] = 0.$$

If  $M^n$  has constant normalized scalar curvature  $R$  and  $R \geq -1$ , from Lemma 4.1, we have  $|\nabla h|^2 \geq n^2 |\nabla H|^2$ . So if  $M$  has non-negative sectional curvature, from (56) we have  $|\nabla h|^2 = n^2 |\nabla H|^2$  and  $R_{ijij} = 0$ , when  $k_i \neq k_j$  on  $M^n$ . Since  $R_{ijij} = -1 + k_i k_j$ , then either  $M^n$  is totally umbilical, or  $M^n$  has two different principal curvatures, in the latter case,  $M^n$  is still totally umbilical from the compactness of  $M^n$ . This completes the proof of Corollary 2.

## References

- [1] H. Alencar and M. P. do Carmo, *Hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in hyperbolic space forms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 120 (1994), 1223-1229.
- [2] B.Y. Chen, *Totally mean curvature and submanifolds of finite type*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1984.
- [3] S.Y. Cheng and S.T. Yau, *Hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature*, Math. Ann., 225 (1977), 195-204.
- [4] Z. H. Hou, *Hypersurfaces in sphere with constant mean curvature*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 125 (1997), 1193-1196.
- [5] H.B. Lawson, Jr., *Local rigidity theorems for minimal hypersurfaces*, Ann. of Math. (2), 89 (1969), 187-197.
- [6] H. Li, *Hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature in space forms*, Math. Ann. 305 (1996), 665-672.
- [7] J.M. Morvan and B.Q. Wu, *Hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in hyperbolic space form*, Deom. Dedicata, 59 (1996), 197-222.
- [8] K. Nomizu and B. Smyth, *A formula for Simon's type and hypersurfaces*, J. Diff. Geom., 3 (1969), 367-377.
- [9] M. Okumuru, *Hypersurfaces and a pinching problem on the second fundamental tensor*, Amer. J. Math., 96 (1974), 207-213.
- [10] H. Omori, *Isometric immersions of Riemannian manifolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 19 (1967), 205-214.
- [11] P.J. Ryan, *Hypersurfaces with parallel Ricci tensor*, Osaka J. Math. 8 (1971), 251-259.
- [12] J. Simons, *Minimal varieties in Riemannian manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) 88 (1968), 62-105.
- [13] R. Walter, *Compact hypersurfaces with a constant higher mean curvature function*, Math. Ann., 270 (1985), 125-145.

- [14] B.Q. Wu, *Hypersurface with constant mean curvature in  $H^{n+1}$* , The Math. Heritage of C. F. Gauss, World Scientific, Singapore, 1991, 862-871.
- [15] S.T. Yau, *Harmonic functions on complete Riemannian manifolds*, Comm. Pure and Appl. Math., 28(1975), 201-228.

Department of Mathematical Sciences  
Rutgers University, Camden, New Jersey 08102, USA  
email: xmliu@camden.rutgers.edu

Department of Applied Mathematics  
Beijing Institute of Technology  
Beijing 100081, China