

On the Chern-Type Problem in a Complex Projective Space

Hyang Sook Kim and Yong-Soo Pyo

Abstract

Chern pointed out that it is interesting to study the distribution of the values of the squared norm $|\alpha|_2 = h_2$ of the second fundamental form α of the Kähler manifold. The purpose of this paper is to investigate the Chern-type problem in a complex projective space.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C50; 53C55, 53C56.

Key words: Kähler manifold, second fundamental form, Chern problem, complex submanifolds

1 Introduction

Let M be an n -dimensional submanifold of an $(n+p)$ -dimensional complex space form $M^{n+p}(c)$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c . Chern pointed out that it is interesting to study the distribution of the values of the squared norm $|\alpha|_2 = h_2$ of the second fundamental form α of M . The first value is of course 0 in the case where M is totally geodesic.

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the Chern-type problem in a complex space form. In this paper, the second fundamental form of complex submanifolds on a complex projective space $CP^{n+p}(c)$ is treated. We prove the following

Theorem. *Let M be an $n(\geq 3)$ -dimensional complete complex submanifold of an $(n+p)$ -dimensional complex projective space $CP^{n+p}(c)$, $p > 0$, of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c . If the squared norm $|\alpha|_2$ of the second fundamental form α on M satisfies*

$$|\alpha|_2 \leq \frac{c(n^2 - 4)}{12n(n^2 - 1)},$$

then M is totally geodesic.

2 Kähler manifolds

In this section, we shall consider M an $n(\geq 2)$ -dimensional connected Kähler manifold. Then a local unitary frame field $\{E_j\} = \{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$ on a neighborhood of M can be chosen. This is a complex linear frame which is orthonormal with respect to the Kähler metric g of M , that is, $g^c(E_j, \bar{E}_k) = \delta_{jk}$. Its dual frame field $\{\omega_j\} = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$ consists of complex valued 1-forms of $(1,0)$ on M such that $\omega_j(E_k) = \delta_{jk}$ and $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n, \bar{\omega}_1, \dots, \bar{\omega}_n$ are linearly independent. Thus the natural extension g^c of the Kähler metric g of M can be expressed as $g^c = 2 \sum_j \omega_j \otimes \bar{\omega}_j$. Associated with the frame field $\{E_j\}$, there exist complex valued forms ω_{ik} , where the indices i and k run over the range $1, \dots, n$. They are usually called *connection forms* on M such that they satisfy the structure equations of M :

$$(2.1) \quad d\omega_i + \sum_j \omega_{ij} \wedge \omega_j = 0, \quad \omega_{ij} + \bar{\omega}_{ji} = 0,$$

$$(2.2) \quad d\omega_{ij} + \sum_k \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kj} = \Omega_{ij},$$

$$(2.3) \quad \Omega_{ij} = \sum_{k,l} R_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}} \omega_k \wedge \bar{\omega}_l,$$

where $\Omega = (\Omega_{ij})$ (*resp.* $R_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}}$) denotes the curvature form (*resp.* the components of the Riemannian curvature tensor R) of M . The second formula of (2.1) means the skew-Hermitian symmetric of Ω_{ij} , which is equivalent to the symmetric condition

$$R_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}} = \bar{R}_{\bar{j}il\bar{k}}.$$

Moreover, the first Bianchi identity implies the further symmetric relations

$$(2.4) \quad R_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}} = R_{\bar{i}kjl} = R_{\bar{l}kj\bar{i}} = R_{\bar{l}jk\bar{i}}.$$

Next, relative to the frame field chosen above, the Ricci tensor S of M can be expressed as follows

$$(2.5) \quad S = \sum_{i,j} (S_{i\bar{j}} \omega_i \otimes \bar{\omega}_j + S_{i\bar{j}} \bar{\omega}_i \otimes \omega_j),$$

where $S_{i\bar{j}} = \sum_k R_{\bar{k}ki\bar{j}} = S_{\bar{j}i} = \bar{S}_{i\bar{j}}$. The scalar curvature K of M is also given by

$$(2.6) \quad K = 2 \sum_j S_{j\bar{j}}.$$

Now, the components $R_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}m}$ and $R_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}\bar{m}}$ (*resp.* $S_{i\bar{j}k}$ and $S_{i\bar{j}\bar{k}}$) of the covariant derivative of the Riemannian curvature tensor R (*resp.* the Ricci tensor S) are obtained by

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_m (R_{ijk\bar{l}m}\omega_m + R_{ijk\bar{l}\bar{m}}\bar{\omega}_m) = dR_{ijk\bar{l}} - \\
 & - \sum_m (R_{\bar{m}jk\bar{l}}\bar{\omega}_{mi} + R_{imk\bar{l}}\omega_{mj} + R_{ijm\bar{l}}\omega_{mk} + R_{ijk\bar{m}}\bar{\omega}_{ml}), \\
 & \sum_k (S_{i\bar{j}k}\omega_k + S_{i\bar{j}\bar{k}}\bar{\omega}_k) = dS_{i\bar{j}} - \sum_k (S_{k\bar{j}}\omega_{ki} + S_{i\bar{k}}\bar{\omega}_{kj}).
 \end{aligned}$$

The second Bianchi formula is given by

$$R_{ijk\bar{l}m} = R_{ijm\bar{l}k},$$

and hence we have

$$S_{i\bar{j}k} = S_{k\bar{j}i} = \sum_l R_{jik\bar{l}l}, \quad K_i = 2 \sum_j S_{i\bar{j}j},$$

where $dK = \sum_j (K_j\omega_j + \bar{K}_j\bar{\omega}_j)$. The components $S_{i\bar{j}kl}$ and $S_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}$ of the covariant derivative of $S_{i\bar{j}k}$ are expressed by

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_l (S_{i\bar{j}kl}\omega_l + S_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}\bar{\omega}_l) = dS_{i\bar{j}k} - \sum_l (S_{l\bar{j}k}\omega_{li} + S_{i\bar{l}k}\bar{\omega}_{lj} + S_{i\bar{j}l}\omega_{lk}).$$

By the exterior differentiation of the definition of $S_{i\bar{j}k}$ and taking account of (2.7), the Ricci formula for the Ricci tensor S is given by

$$S_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} - S_{i\bar{j}\bar{k}l} = \sum_m (R_{\bar{l}kim}S_{m\bar{j}} - R_{\bar{l}kmj}S_{i\bar{m}}).$$

The sectional curvature of the non-degenerate holomorphic plane P spanned by u and Ju is called the *holomorphic sectional curvature*, which is denoted by $H(P) = H(u)$. The Kähler manifold M is said to be of *constant holomorphic sectional curvature* if its holomorphic sectional curvature $H(P)$ is constant for all non-degenerate holomorphic planes P and for all points of M . Then M is called a *complex space form*, which is denoted by $M_s^n(c')$ provided that it is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c' , of complex dimension n . The standard models of complex space forms are the following three kinds : the complex Euclidean space C^n , the complex projective space $CP^n(c')$ or the complex hyperbolic space $CH^n(c')$, according as $c' = 0$, $c' > 0$ or $c' < 0$. It is seen that they are only complete, simply connected and connected complex space forms of dimension n .

The Riemannian curvature tensor $R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}$ of $M_s^n(c')$ is given by

$$(2.8) \quad R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = \frac{c'}{2}(\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} + \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}).$$

3 Complex submanifolds

Let (M', g') be an $(n+p)$ -dimensional connected Kähler manifold and let M be an n -dimensional connected complex submanifold of M' . Then M is the Kähler manifold endowed with the induced metric tensor g . We choose a local unitary frame field

$\{E_A\} = \{E_1, \dots, E_{n+p}\}$ on a neighborhood of M' in such a way that restricted to M , E_1, \dots, E_n are tangent to M and the others are normal to M . Here and in the sequel, the following convention on the range of indices is used throughout this paper, unless otherwise stated :

$$\begin{aligned} A, B, \dots &= 1, \dots, n, n+1, \dots, n+p, \\ i, j, \dots &= 1, \dots, n, \\ x, y, \dots &= n+1, \dots, n+p. \end{aligned}$$

With respect to the unitary frame field $\{E_A\}$, let $\{\omega_A\} = \{\omega_i, \omega_x\}$ be its dual frame field. Then the Kähler metric tensor g' of M' is given by $g' = 2 \sum_A \omega_A \otimes \bar{\omega}_A$. The canonical forms ω_A and the connection forms ω_{AB} of the ambient space satisfy the structure equations

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad d\omega_A + \sum_B \omega_{AB} \wedge \omega_B &= 0, \quad \omega_{AB} + \bar{\omega}_{AB} = 0, \\ d\omega_{AB} + \sum_C \omega_{AC} \wedge \omega_{CB} &= \Omega'_{AB}, \\ \Omega'_{AB} &= \sum_{C,D} R'_{\bar{A}BC\bar{D}} \omega_C \wedge \bar{\omega}_D, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega' = (\Omega'_{AB})$ (*resp.* $R'_{\bar{A}BC\bar{D}}$) denotes the curvature form with respect to the unitary frame field $\{E_A\}$ (*resp.* the components of the Riemannian curvature tensor R') of M' . Restricting these forms to the submanifold M , we have

$$(3.2) \quad \omega_x = 0,$$

and the induced Kähler metric tensor g of M is given by $g = 2 \sum_j \omega_j \otimes \bar{\omega}_j$. Then $\{E_j\}$ is a local unitary frame field with respect to this metric and $\{\omega_j\}$ is a local dual frame field due to $\{E_j\}$, which consists of complex valued 1-forms of type (1.0) on M . Moreover, $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n, \bar{\omega}_1, \dots, \bar{\omega}_n$ are linearly independent. It follows from (3.2) and Cartan's lemma that the exterior derivatives of (3.2) give rise to

$$(3.3) \quad \omega_{xi} = \sum_j h_{ij}^x \omega_j, \quad h_{ij}^x = h_{ji}^x.$$

The quadratic form $\sum_{i,j,x} h_{ij}^x \omega_i \otimes \omega_j \otimes E_x$ with values in the normal bundle is called the *second fundamental form* of the submanifold M . From the structure equations of M' , it follows that the structure equations for M are similarly given by

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4) \quad d\omega_i + \sum_j \omega_{ij} \wedge \omega_j &= 0, \quad \omega_{ij} + \bar{\omega}_{ji} = 0, \\ d\omega_{ij} + \sum_k \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kj} &= \Omega_{ij}, \\ \Omega_{ij} &= \sum_{k,l} R_{ijk\bar{l}} \omega_k \wedge \bar{\omega}_l, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega = (\Omega_{ij})$ (resp. $R_{\bar{i}j\bar{k}l}$) denotes the curvature form with respect to the unitary frame field $\{E_A\}$ (resp. the component of the Riemannian curvature tensor R) of M .

Moreover, the following relationships are obtained :

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} d\omega_{xy} + \sum_A \omega_{xA} \wedge \omega_{Ay} &= \Omega_{xy}, \\ \Omega_{xy} &= \sum_{k,l} R_{\bar{x}y\bar{k}l} \omega_k \wedge \bar{\omega}_l, \end{aligned}$$

where Ω_{xy} is called the *normal curvature form* of M . For the Riemannian curvature tensors R and R' of M and M' , respectively, it follows from (3.1), (3.3) and (3.4) that we have the Gauss equation

$$(3.6) \quad R_{\bar{i}j\bar{k}l} = R'_{\bar{i}j\bar{k}l} - \sum_x h_{jk}^x \bar{h}_{il}^x,$$

and by means of (3.1), (3.3) and (3.5), we have

$$R_{\bar{x}y\bar{k}l} = R'_{\bar{x}y\bar{k}l} + \sum_j h_{kj}^x \bar{h}_{jl}^y.$$

Using (2.5), (2.6) and (3.6), the components of the Ricci tensor S and the scalar curvature K of M are given by

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} S_{i\bar{j}} &= \sum_k R'_{\bar{k}k\bar{i}j} - h_{i\bar{j}}^2, \\ K &= 2\left(\sum_{j,k} R'_{\bar{k}k\bar{j}j} - h_2\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $h_{i\bar{j}}^2 = h_{j\bar{i}}^2 = \sum_{k,x} h_{ik}^x \bar{h}_{kj}^x$ and $h_2 = \sum_j h_{j\bar{j}}^2$.

Now, the components $h_{ij\bar{k}}^x$ and $h_{ij\bar{k}}^x$ of the covariant derivative of the second fundamental form of M are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k (h_{ij\bar{k}}^x \omega_k + h_{ij\bar{k}}^x \bar{\omega}_k) &= dh_{ij}^x - \sum_k (h_{kj}^x \omega_{ki} + h_{ik}^x \omega_{kj}) \\ &+ \sum_y h_{ij}^y \omega_{xy}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, substituting dh_{ij}^x into the exterior derivative of (3.3), we have

$$h_{ij\bar{k}}^x = h_{j\bar{i}k}^x = h_{ik\bar{j}}^x, \quad h_{ij\bar{k}}^x = -R'_{\bar{x}ij\bar{k}}.$$

Similarly the components $h_{ij\bar{k}l}^x$ and $h_{ij\bar{k}l}^x$ of the covariant derivative of $h_{ij\bar{k}}^x$ can be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_l (h_{ij\bar{k}l}^x \omega_l + h_{ij\bar{k}l}^x \bar{\omega}_l) &= dh_{ij\bar{k}}^x - \sum_l (h_{lj\bar{k}}^x \omega_{li} + h_{il\bar{k}}^x \omega_{lj}) \\ &+ h_{ij\bar{l}k}^x \omega_{lk} + \sum_y h_{ij\bar{k}}^y \omega_{xy}, \end{aligned}$$

and by the simple calculation the Ricci formula for the second fundamental form are given by

$$\begin{aligned} h_{ijkl}^x &= h_{ijlk}^x, \\ h_{ij\bar{k}\bar{l}}^x - h_{ij\bar{l}\bar{k}}^x &= \sum_r (R_{\bar{l}ki\bar{r}} h_{rj}^x + R_{\bar{l}kj\bar{r}} h_{ir}^x) - \sum_y R_{\bar{x}y\bar{k}\bar{l}} h_{ij}^y. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, let the ambient space be an $(n+p)$ -dimensional complex space form $M^{n+p}(c)$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c . Then, from (2.8), (3.6) and (3.7), we get

$$(3.8) \quad R_{ij\bar{k}\bar{l}} = \frac{c}{2}(\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} + \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}) - \sum_x h_{jk}^x \bar{h}_{il}^x,$$

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} S_{i\bar{j}} &= \frac{(n+1)c}{2}\delta_{ij} - h_{i\bar{j}}^2, \\ h_{ij\bar{k}}^x &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

And hence from (3.8) we obtain

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} h_{ij\bar{k}\bar{l}}^x &= \frac{c}{2}(h_{ij}^x \delta_{kl} + h_{jk}^x \delta_{il} + h_{ki}^x \delta_{jl}) \\ &- \sum_{r,y} (h_{ri}^x h_{jk}^y + h_{rj}^x h_{ki}^y + h_{rk}^x h_{ij}^y) \bar{h}_{rl}^y. \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote by $h_4 = \sum_{i,j} h_{i\bar{j}}^2 h_{j\bar{i}}^2$ and $A = (A_y^x)$, where $A_y^x = \sum_{i,j} h_{ij}^x \bar{h}_{ij}^y$. Then, by means of (3.10), the Laplacian Δh_2 of the function h_2 is given by

$$(3.11) \quad \Delta h_2 = \frac{c}{2}(n+2)h_2 - 2h_4 - Tr A^2 + \sum_{i,j,k,x} h_{ij\bar{k}}^x \bar{h}_{ij\bar{k}}^x.$$

4 Totally real bisectional curvature

Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional Kähler manifold with almost complex structure J . In this section, we consider the totally real bisectional curvature on M .

A plane section P in the tangent space $T_x M$ of M at any point x in M is said to be *totally real* if P is orthogonal to JP . For the non-degenerate totally real plane P spanned by orthonormal vectors u and v , the *totally real bisectional curvature* $B(u, v)$ is defined by

$$(4.1) \quad B(u, v) = \frac{g(R(u, Ju)Jv, v)}{g(u, u)g(v, v)}.$$

For a complex submanifold, using the first Bianchi identity to (4.1) and the fundamental properties of the Riemannian curvature tensor of a complex submanifold, we get

$$(4.2) \quad B(u, v) = g(R(u, v)v, u) + g(R(u, Jv)Jv, u) = K(u, v) + K(u, Jv),$$

where $K(u, v)$ means the sectional curvature of the plane spanned by u and v .

Example 4.1. Let Q^n be a complex quadric in a complex projective space $CP^{n+1}(c)$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c . Then it is seen in Kobayashi and Nomizu [4] that its totally real bisectonal curvature B satisfies

$$0 \leq B \leq \frac{c}{2}.$$

From now on, we suppose that u and v are orthonormal vectors in the non-degenerate totally real plane P . If we put $u' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(u + v)$ and $v' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(u - v)$, then it is easily seen that

$$g(u', u') = 1, \quad g(v', v') = 1, \quad g(u', v') = 0.$$

Thus we get

$$B(u', v') = g(R(u', Ju')Jv', v') = \frac{1}{4}\{H(u) + H(v) + 2B(u, v) - 4K(u, Jv)\},$$

where $H(u) = K(u, Ju)$ means the holomorphic sectional curvature of the holomorphic plane spanned by u and Ju . Hence we have

$$(4.3) \quad 4B(u', v') - 2B(u, v) = H(u) + H(v) - 4K(u, Jv).$$

If we put $u'' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(u + Jv)$ and $v'' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Ju + v)$, then we get

$$g(u'', u'') = 1, \quad g(v'', v'') = 1, \quad g(u'', v'') = 0.$$

Using the similar method as (4.3), we have

$$(4.4) \quad 4B(u'', v'') - 2B(u, v) = H(u) + H(v) - 4K(u, v).$$

Summing up (4.3) and (4.4) and taking account of (4.2), we obtain

$$(4.5) \quad 2B(u', v') + 2B(u'', v'') = H(u) + H(v).$$

Next, let M be an $n(\geq 3)$ -dimensional complex submanifold of $M' = M^{n+p}(c)$ and let $b(M)$ or $a(M)$ be the supremum or the infimum of the set B of the totally real bisectonal curvatures on M . Suppose that the totally real bisectonal curvature is bounded from above (*resp.* below) by a constant b (*resp.* a). From the assumption and (4.5), it follows that we have

$$(4.6) \quad H(u) + H(v) \leq 4b \text{ (resp. } \geq 4a).$$

We can choose an unitary frame field $\{E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n\}$ on a neighborhood of M . Let $\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n\}$ be a dual frame field. If we put $e_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(E_j + \bar{E}_j)$, then $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of T_xM . Thus the holomorphic sectional curvature $H(e_j)$ of the holomorphic plane defined by E_j is given by

$$H(e_j) = g(R(e_j, Je_j)Je_j, e_j) = R_{\bar{j}j\bar{j}j}.$$

On the other hand, it is easily seen that the plane spanned by e_j and e_k ($j \neq k$) is totally real and the totally real bisectonal curvature $B(e_j, e_k)$ is given by

$$(4.7) \quad B(e_j, e_k) = g(R(e_j, Je_j)Je_k, e_k) = R_{\bar{j}jk\bar{k}}, \quad j \neq k.$$

From the inequality (4.6) for $u = e_j$ and $v = e_k$, we find

$$(4.8) \quad R_{\bar{j}j\bar{j}j} + R_{\bar{k}kk\bar{k}} \leq 4b \text{ (resp. } \geq 4a), \quad j \neq k.$$

Thus we have

$$(4.9) \quad \sum_{j < k} (R_{\bar{j}j\bar{j}j} + R_{\bar{k}kk\bar{k}}) \leq 2bn(n-1) \text{ (resp. } \geq 2an(n-1)),$$

which implies that

$$(4.10) \quad \sum_j R_{\bar{j}j\bar{j}j} \leq 2bn \text{ (resp. } \geq 2an),$$

where the equality holds if and only if $R_{\bar{j}j\bar{j}j} = 2b$ (resp. $= 2a$) for any index j .

Since the scalar curvature K is given by

$$K = 2 \sum_{j,k} R_{\bar{j}jk\bar{k}} = 2 \left(\sum_j R_{\bar{j}j\bar{j}j} + \sum_{j \neq k} R_{\bar{j}jk\bar{k}} \right),$$

we have by (4.7)

$$K \leq 2 \sum_j R_{\bar{j}j\bar{j}j} + 2bn(n-1) \text{ (resp. } \geq 2 \sum_j R_{\bar{j}j\bar{j}j} + 2an(n-1)),$$

from which it follows that

$$(4.11) \quad \sum_j R_{\bar{j}j\bar{j}j} \geq \frac{K}{2} - bn(n-1) \text{ (resp. } \leq \frac{K}{2} - an(n-1)),$$

where the equality holds if and only if $R_{\bar{j}jk\bar{k}} = b$ (resp. $= a$) for any distinct indices j and k . In this case, M is locally congruent to $M^n(2b)$ (resp. $M^n(2a)$) due to Houh [1]. Also (4.8) gives us $\sum_{j \neq k} (R_{\bar{j}j\bar{j}j} + R_{\bar{k}kk\bar{k}}) \leq 4b(n-1)$ (resp. $\geq 4a(n-1)$), so that

$$(n-2)R_{\bar{j}j\bar{j}j} + \sum_k R_{\bar{k}kk\bar{k}} \leq 4b(n-1) \text{ (resp. } \geq 4a(n-1)).$$

Combining this with (4.11), we have

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} (n-2)R_{\bar{j}j\bar{j}j} &\leq b(n-1)(n+4) - \frac{K}{2} \\ \text{(resp. } &\geq a(n-1)(n+4) - \frac{K}{2}), \end{aligned}$$

for any index j , so that the holomorphic sectional curvature $R_{\bar{j}j\bar{j}j}$ is bounded from above (resp. below) for $n \geq 3$. Moreover, the equality holds for some index j if and only if M is locally congruent to $M^n(2b)$ (resp. $M^n(2a)$).

Since the Ricci curvature $S_{j\bar{j}}$ is given by

$$S_{j\bar{j}} = R_{j\bar{j}j\bar{j}} + \sum_{k \neq j} R_{j\bar{j}k\bar{k}},$$

we have by (4.7)

$$S_{j\bar{j}} \leq R_{j\bar{j}j\bar{j}} + b(n-1) \quad (\text{resp. } \geq R_{j\bar{j}j\bar{j}} + a(n-1))$$

and hence, from (4.12), we get

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} S_{j\bar{j}} &\leq \frac{1}{2(n-2)} \{4b(n-1)(n+1) - K\} \\ (\text{resp. } &\geq \frac{1}{2(n-2)} \{4a(n-1)(n+1) - K\}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for the scalar curvature K , we see by (4.13)

$$\begin{aligned} K &= 2S_{j\bar{j}} + 2 \sum_{k \neq j} S_{k\bar{k}} \\ &\leq 2S_{j\bar{j}} + \frac{1}{n-2} (n-1) \{4b(n-1)(n+1) - K\} \\ (\text{resp. } &\geq 2S_{j\bar{j}} + \frac{1}{n-2} (n-1) \{4a(n-1)(n+1) - K\}), \end{aligned}$$

and hence we see

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} S_{j\bar{j}} &\geq \frac{1}{2(n-2)} \{(2n-3)K - 4b(n-1)^2(n+1)\} \\ (\text{resp. } &\leq \frac{1}{2(n-2)} \{(2n-3)K - 4a(n-1)^2(n+1)\}). \end{aligned}$$

This together with (4.12) and $R_{j\bar{j}i\bar{i}} \leq b$ implies

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} R_{j\bar{j}k\bar{k}} &\geq \frac{1}{n-2} \{(n-1)K - (2n^3 - 3n + 2)b\} \\ (\text{resp. } &\leq \frac{1}{n-2} \{(n-1)K - (2n^3 - 3n + 2)a\} \end{aligned}$$

for any distinct indices j and k .

5 Complex submanifolds of a complex projective space

Let $M' = CP^{n+p}(c)$ be an $(n+p)$ -dimensional complex projective space and let M be an $n(\geq 3)$ -dimensional complex submanifold of $CP^{n+p}(c)$. Then by (3.8), we have

$$R_{j\bar{j}k\bar{k}} = \frac{c}{2} - \sum_x h_{jk}^x \bar{h}_{jk}^x \leq \frac{c}{2}, \quad j \neq k.$$

Thus we see that any totally real plane section satisfies $B(u, v) \leq \frac{c}{2}$.

Now, let $a(M)$ be the infimum of the set B of totally real bisectonal curvatures of M . Though the set B is bounded from above, we have no information on $a(M)$. In their paper [3], Ki and Suh proved the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Let M be an $n (\geq 3)$ -dimensional complete complex submanifold of an $(n + p)$ -dimensional complex projective space $CP^{n+p}(c)$. If the totally real bisectonal curvatures of M are bounded from below, then there exists a constant $a_1 = \frac{c}{2n(n^2 + 2n + 3)}(n^3 + 2n^2 + 2n - 2)$ depending only upon n and c so that if $a(M) > a_1$, then M is congruent to a complex projective space $CP^n(c)$.*

In the following theorem, the above estimate is improved

Theorem 5.2. *Let M be an $n (\geq 3)$ -dimensional complete complex submanifold of a complex projective space $CP^{n+p}(c)$. If the totally real bisectonal curvatures of M are bounded from below, then there exists a constant $a_2 (< a_1)$ such that depending only upon n and c so that if $a(M) > a_2$, then M is congruent to a complex projective space $CP^n(c)$, where $a_1 > a_2$.*

Proof. Assume that the set B is bounded from below by a constant a . Since the matrix $H = (h_{j\bar{k}}^2)$ defined by $h_{j\bar{k}}^2 = \sum_{x,r} h_{jr}^x \bar{h}_{rk}^x$ and the matrix $A = (A_y^x)$ defined by $A_y^x = \sum_{j,k} h_{jk}^x \bar{h}_{jk}^y$ are both positive semi-definite Hermitian ones whose all eigenvalues μ_j and μ_x are non-negative real valued functions on M . Thus we have

$$h_2^2 \geq h_4 = \sum_j \mu_j^2, \quad h_2^2 \geq Tr A^2 = \sum_x \mu_x^2.$$

By (3.11), we have

$$\Delta h_2 \geq \frac{c}{2}(n+2)h_2 - 2h_4 - Tr A^2,$$

from which together with the above properties about eigenvalues, it follows that

$$\Delta h_2 \geq \frac{c}{2}(n+2)h_2 - 3h_2^2.$$

A non-negative function f is defined by h_2 . Then the above inequality is reduced to

$$(5.1) \quad \Delta f \geq -3f^2 + \frac{c}{2}(n+2)f.$$

On the other hand, since the totally real bisectonal curvatures are bounded from below by a constant a , we get

$$R_{\bar{j}jk\bar{k}} \geq a \text{ for any } j, k (j \neq k).$$

Hence, by (2.8), (3.7), (4.10) and (4.11), we have

$$2an \leq \sum_j R_{\bar{j}jj\bar{j}} \leq \frac{c}{2}n(n+1) - h_2 - an(n-1).$$

Thus we get

$$2h_2 \leq (c - 2a)n(n + 1).$$

Therefore we have

$$(5.2) \quad f = \sum_j \mu_j \leq \frac{1}{2}(c - 2a)n(n + 1), \quad \mu_j \geq 0,$$

where the first equality holds if and only if $R_{j\bar{j}j\bar{j}} = 2a$ and $R_{j\bar{j}k\bar{k}} = a$ for any indices $j \neq k$. This means that each eigenvalue μ_j is bounded. On the other hand, since the Ricci curvature $S_{j\bar{j}}$ of M is given by

$$S_{j\bar{j}} = \frac{c}{2}(n + 1) - \mu_j,$$

it is also bounded. Applying the generalized maximum principle due to Omori [6] and Yau [10] to the bounded function f , we see that for any sequence ϵ_m of positive numbers which converges to 0 as m tends to infinity, there exists a point sequence p_m such that

$$|\nabla f(p_m)| < \epsilon_m, \quad \Delta f(p_m) < \epsilon_m, \quad \sup f - \epsilon_m < f(p_m).$$

Thus, we have

$$(5.3) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \Delta f(p_m) \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_m = 0, \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f(p_m) = \sup f.$$

By (5.1) and (5.3), we see

$$\sup f(\sup f - \frac{c}{6}(n + 2)) \geq 0,$$

which means

$$\sup f = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \sup f \geq \frac{c}{6}(n + 2).$$

If $\sup f = 0$, then f vanishes identically because f is non-negative. Then M is totally geodesic. Suppose that M is not totally geodesic. So, f satisfies $\sup f \geq \frac{c}{6}(n + 2)$. On the other hand, by (5.2), we have

$$\sup f \leq \frac{1}{2}(c - 2a)n(n + 1).$$

Thus, we see that

$$a \leq \frac{c}{6n(n + 1)}(3n^2 + 2n - 2).$$

We denote the right hand side of the above inequality by a_2 , which is the constant depending on the dimension n and c . In this case, we can easily prove that $a_1 > a_2$.

It completes the proof. \square

About the value of the squared norm h_2 of the second fundamental form of M , we assert the following theorem.

Theorem 5.3. *Let M be an $n(\geq 3)$ -dimensional complete complex submanifold of a complex projective space $CP^{n+p}(c)$. If the squared norm h_2 of the second fundamental form on M satisfies*

$$h_2 \leq \frac{c}{12n(n^2 - 1)}(n^2 - 4),$$

then M is totally geodesic.

Proof. Suppose that M is not totally geodesic. Then, by Theorem 5.2, there exists a constant $a_2 = \frac{c}{6n(n+1)}(3n^2 + 2n - 2)$ so that the infimum $a(M)$ of the totally real bisectional curvatures of M satisfies $a(M) \leq a_2$. On the other hand, it is seen that we have

$$R_{\bar{j}j\bar{k}k} = \frac{c}{2} - \sum_x h_{jk}^x \bar{h}_{jk}^x \leq \frac{c}{2}$$

for any distinct indices j and k , and hence it turns out to be

$$b(M) \leq \frac{c}{2},$$

where the equality holds if and only if M is totally geodesic. Since M is not totally geodesic, we have $b(M) < \frac{c}{2}$. By (2.8), (3.7) and (4.15), we see

$$R_{\bar{j}j\bar{k}k} \geq \frac{1}{n-2} \{cn(n^2 - 1) - 2(n-1)h_2 - b(M)(2n^3 - 3n + 2)\}.$$

By the definition of $a(M)$, we get

$$a(M) \geq \frac{1}{n-2} \{cn(n^2 - 1) - 2(n-1)h_2 - b(M)(2n^3 - 3n + 2)\},$$

from the fact that $b(M) < \frac{c}{2}$, it follows that we have

$$h_2 > \frac{1}{4(n-1)}(c - 2a(M))(n-2).$$

Since $a(M) \leq a_2$, we get

$$h_2 > \frac{c}{12n(n^2 - 1)}(n^2 - 4).$$

It completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] C. S. Houh, *On totally real bisectional curvatures*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 56 (1976), 261-263.
- [2] T. Ikawa, H. Nakagawa and A. Romero, *Product complex submanifolds of indefinite complex space forms*, Rocky Mountain J. Math., 18 (1988), 601-615.
- [3] U-H, Ki and Y. J. Suh, *On semi-Kaehler manifolds whose totally real bisectional curvature is bounded from below*, J. Korean Math. Soc., 33 (1996), 1009-1038.
- [4] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of differential geometry, I and II*, Interscience Publ., 1963 and 1969.

- [5] S. Montiel and A. Romero, *Complex Einstein hypersurfaces of indefinite complex space forms*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 94 (1983), 495-508.
- [6] H. Omori, *Isometric immersions of Riemannian manifolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 19(1967), 205-211.
- [7] B. O'Neill, *Semi-Riemannian geometry with application to relativity*, Academic Press, 1983.
- [8] A. Romero, *Differential geometry of complex hypersurfaces in an indefinite complex space form*, Univ. de Granada, 1986.
- [9] A. Romero, *On a certain class of complex Einstein hypersurfaces in indefinite complex space forms*, Math. Z., 192 (1986), 627-635.
- [10] S. T. Yau, *Harmonic functions on complete Riemannian manifolds*, Comm. Pure and Appl. Math., 28 (1975), 201-228.

Hyang Sook Kim¹
Department of Mathematics
School of Computer Aided Science
Inje University
Kimhae 621-749, Korea
E-mail : mathkim@ijnc.inje.ac.kr

Yong-Soo Pyo
Division of Mathematical Sciences
Pukyong National University
Pusan 608-737, Korea
E-mail : yspyo@dolphin.pknu.ac.kr

¹The first author was supported by 1999 Inje University Research Grant and the second by NULWON Culture Foundation.