

Extrema Constrained by C^k Curves

Oltin Dogaru and Vlad Dogaru

Abstract

In this paper we generalize the results obtained in Ref. [17].

§1 raises the following problem: what connection there exists between the local extrema of the function $f : D \subset R^p \rightarrow R$ and the local extrema of the functions $f \circ \alpha$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$, where Γ is a given family of parametrized curves ?

§2 proves the existence of a C^k curve containing a given sequence of points.

§3 solves the problem which was presented in §1 in the case of C^k curves.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 49K99

Key words: Minima constrained by C^k curves, C^k curves by sequences.

1 Introduction

Let us consider the extremum problem

$$\min f(x), \text{ subject to } x \in M,$$

where M is a subset of R^p with a given structure. If M is an open set of R^p which coincides to the domain of f , then the extremum problem is called *unconstrained*; in any other case, the extremum problem is called *constrained*. Such problems, in which M is a C^k , $k \geq 2$, finite-dimensional differentiable were developed recently in Refs. [1]–[4], [6]–[9].

The extremum conditions (necessary and sufficient) depend on the fashion of defining the subset M . If M is a differentiable manifold, then they depend also on the geometrical structure of M . Frequently, M is considered as the union of a family of its subsets (a plane as the union of straight lines, an integral manifold of a Pfaff system as the union of some integral curves, and so on, Refs. [10]–[16]). Then the following two problems arise:

1) Let D be an open subset in R^p and $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of subsets of D having a common point $x_* \in A_i, \forall i \in I$. Suppose x_* is a local minimum point for each restriction $f|_{A_i}$ of the function $f : D \rightarrow R$ to the subset $A_i, i \in I$. Is x_* a local minimum point of f ?

2) Let $f : D \subset R^p \rightarrow R$ and $\alpha_i : I_i \subset R \rightarrow D, i \in J$ a family of parametrized curves. What connection we have between of functions $f \circ \alpha_i$, the extrema of the restrictions $f|_{\alpha_i(I_i)}$, and the extrema of the function f ?

The problem 1) and the problem 2), for the C^1 or C^2 curves, were solved in Ref. [17]. In this paper we shall solve the problem 2) for the general case of C^k curves and even for the analytic curves. For that reason we shall recall some notions about the curves.

Definition 1.1. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval. A function $\alpha : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ of class C^k , $k \geq 1$, is called *parametrized curve of class C^k* and is denoted by α . We shall say that:

- 1) α passes (just once) through the point $x_* \in \mathbb{R}^p$ if there exists (only one) $t_0 \in \text{Int}I$ such that $\alpha(t_0) = x_*$;
- 2) α is a *simple parametrized curve* if α is injective;
- 3) α is *regular at the point $x_* = \alpha(t_0)$* if $\alpha'(t_0) \neq 0$;
- 4) α has a *tangent at the point $x_* = \alpha(t_0)$* if there exists $m \in \overline{1, k}$, such that $\alpha^{(m)}(t_0) \neq 0$.

Definition 1.2. Two parametrized curves $\alpha : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p, \beta : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ of class C^k are called *equivalent* if there exists a diffeomorphism $h : I \rightarrow J$ of class C^k such that $\alpha = \beta \circ h$. We shall write $\alpha \sim \beta$.

Definition 1.3. The set $\tilde{\alpha}$ of C^k parametrized curves equivalent to $\alpha : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ is called *curve of class C^k* . The curve $\tilde{\alpha}$ has qualities 1) to 4) in the Definition 1.1, if a representative α has these properties.

From now we shall refer to a function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where D is an open subset in \mathbb{R}^p .

Definition 1.4. Let $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, let $x_* \in D$, and $\alpha : I \rightarrow D$ be a parametrized curve passing through x_* . We shall say that

- 1) x_* is a *minimum point for f constrained by α* if for any $t_0 \in I$, with $\alpha(t_0) = x_*$, the point t_0 is a local minimum point for $f \circ \alpha$, i.e., there exists a neighborhood $I_{t_0} \subset I$ of t_0 such that

$$f(x_*) = f(\alpha(t_0)) \leq f(\alpha(t)), \quad \forall t \in I_{t_0}.$$

- 2) x_* is a *minimum point for f constrained by $\tilde{\alpha}$* if there exists a neighborhood V of x_* such that

$$f(x_*) \leq f(x), \quad \forall x \in V \cap \alpha(I).$$

Remark. If x_* is a minimum point of f constrained by the curve $\tilde{\alpha}$, then x_* is a minimum point of f constrained by the parametrized curve α . The converse is not true even so α is a simple parametrized curve. However, in case that $\alpha : I \rightarrow D$ is a simple and regular parametrized curve and I is a compact set, both notions coincide.

Definition 1.5. Let Γ_{x_*} be a family of parametrized curves (curves) passing through the point x_* . We shall say that x_* is a *minimum point of f constrained by the family Γ_{x_*}* if x_* is a minimum point of f constrained by every curve of the family Γ_{x_*} .

2 C^k curves by given sequences of points

The aim of this paragraph is to show that certain conditions assume the existence of C^k curves which contain a given sequence of points. To this we recall shortly the prolongation theorem of Whitney (Ref. [5]).

Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ be a compact set, $k = (k_1, \dots, k_p)$ be a multiindex and $|k| = k_1 + \dots + k_p$. A family of continuous functions $F = (f^k)_{|k| \leq m}, f^k : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, is called *jet of order m* . Denote $F(x) = f^0(x)$, $x \in K$ and $D^k F = (f^{k+l})_{|l| \leq m - |k|}, |k| \leq m$. Naturally, for any function $g \in C^m(K)$ one can define the jet

$$J^m(g) = \left(\frac{\partial^k g}{\partial x^k} \right)_{|k| \leq m}.$$

For any $x \in R^p$ and a fixed $a \in K$, we introduce the Taylor polynomial function

$$T_a^m F(x) = \sum_{|k| \leq m} \frac{(x-a)^k}{k!} f^k(a).$$

Denote

$$\tilde{T}_a^m F = J^m(T_a^m F), \quad R_a^m F = F - \tilde{T}_a^m F.$$

Prolongation Theorem 2.1. *Let $F = (f^k)_{|k| \leq m}$. There exists a function $f \in C^m(R^p)$ with $g^m(f) = F$ if and only if*

$$(R_x^m F)^k(y) = \mathcal{O}(|x-y|^{m-|k|}),$$

when $|x-y| \rightarrow 0$, for any $x, y \in K$ and any $|k| \leq m$.

In the sequel we shall apply the preceding theorem in the case $p = 1$ and $K = \{0\} \cup \{t_n | n \in N\}$; where $t_n \in R$ and $t_n \rightarrow 0$.

Corollary 2.1. *Let us consider $k \in N^*$. Given the real sequences $t_n \rightarrow 0$, $x_n^{(0)} \rightarrow 0$, $x_n^{(i)} \rightarrow a^{(i)}$, $i = \overline{1, k}$, there exists $f \in C^k(R)$ with $f^{(i)}(t_n) = x_n^{(i)}$, $\forall i \in \overline{0, k}$, if and only if*

$$(*) \quad \frac{x_m^{(p)} - \sum_{i=p}^{k-1} \frac{(t_m - t_n)^{i-p}}{(i-p)!} x_n^{(i)}}{(t_m - t_n)^{k-p}} \rightarrow \frac{a^{(k)}}{(k-p)!}$$

for $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ and for any $p \in \overline{0, k-1}$.

Lemma 2.1. (Ref. [17]) *Let $(x_n), (y_n)$ be two sequences of real numbers such that*

- 1) $x_n \neq 0$, $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$, $\forall n \in N$;
- 2) there exists $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y_n}{x_n} = r$;
- 3) there exists $\lambda > 0$ with $\left| \frac{x_n}{x_{n+1}} - 1 \right| \geq \lambda$, $\forall n \in N$.

Then the sequence $\frac{y_{n+1} - y_n}{x_{n+1} - x_n}$ is convergent towards r .

Lemma 2.2. *If (x_n) is a sequence of strictly positive real numbers and $x_{n+1} \leq \frac{1}{2^k} x_n$,*

$\forall n \in N$, where $k \in N^*$, then there exists $\mu > 0$ such that $\left| \frac{x_n - x_m}{(x_n^{1/k} - x_m^{1/k})^k} \right| \leq \mu$, $\forall m, n \in N$, $m \neq n$.

Proof. For $m = n + p$, $p \geq 1$, it follows $x_n^{1/k} \leq \frac{1}{2^p} x_m^{1/k}$. Denote $t_n = x_n^{1/k}$. We have

$$\frac{x_n - x_m}{(x_n^{1/k} - x_m^{1/k})^k} = \frac{t_n^k - t_m^k}{(t_n - t_m)^k} = \frac{t_n^{k-1} + \dots + t_m^{k-1}}{(t_n - t_m)^{k-1}} \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{t_n^{k-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^p} + \dots + \left(\frac{1}{2^p} \right)^{k-1} \right)}{t_n^{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^p} \right)^{k-1}} = \frac{1 - \left(\frac{1}{2^p} \right)^k}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^p} \right)^k} < \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \right)^k}.$$

Lemma 2.3. Let $(x_n), (y_n)$ two sequences of real numbers such that (x_n) is strictly monotone,

$$y_n \rightarrow 0, \frac{y_n}{x_n} \rightarrow 0, |x_{n+1}| \leq \frac{1}{2^k} |x_n|, \forall n \in N$$

where $k \in N^*$. Then $\frac{y_m - y_n}{x_m - x_n} \rightarrow 0$ for $m, n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Suppose, for example, $x_n > 0, \forall n \in N$. Let $b_n = \frac{y_{n+1} - y_n}{x_{n+1} - x_n}$. From Lemma 2.1 it follows $b_n \rightarrow 0$. Let $m > n$ and $\mu_{mn} = \max\{|b_n|, \dots, |b_{m-1}|\}$. Then, for any $i \in \overline{n, m-1}$ we have $\left| \frac{y_{i+1} - y_i}{x_{i+1} - x_i} \right| \leq \mu_{mn}$, namely

$$-\mu_{mn} \leq \frac{y_{i+1} - y_i}{x_i - x_{i+1}} \leq \mu_{mn}$$

and therefore

$$-\mu_{mn} \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} (x_i - x_{i+1}) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} (y_{i+1} - y_i) \leq \mu_{mn} \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} (x_i - x_{i+1}).$$

It results

$$\left| \frac{y_m - y_n}{x_m - x_n} \right| \leq \mu_{mn}.$$

Now the conclusion is obvious.

Lemma 2.4. Let $(x_n), (y_n)$ be two sequences of real numbers such that (x_n) is strictly monotone, $y_n \rightarrow 0, \frac{y_n}{x_n} \rightarrow 0, |x_{n+1}| \leq \frac{1}{2^k} |x_n|, \forall n \in N$, where $k \in N^*$. Then, there exist two functions $f, g \in C^k(\mathbb{R})$ and a sequence (t_n) of real numbers such that $t_n \rightarrow 0, f(t_n) = x_n, g(t_n) = y_n, f^{(i)}(0) = 0, \forall i \in \overline{0, k-1}, g^{(i)}(0) = 0, \forall i \in \overline{0, k}$ and $f^{(k)}(0) \neq 0$.

Moreover, the function f and the sequence (t_n) do not depend on the sequence (y_n) .

Proof. Let $t_n = x_n^{1/k}$. Then, the function $f(x) = x^{1/k}$ and the sequence (t_n) satisfy the required properties. In order to get the function g we should apply the Corollary 2.1 to $t_n = x_n^{1/k}, x_n^{(0)} = y_n, x_n^{(i)} = 0$ and $a^{(i)} = 0, \forall i \in \overline{1, k}$. Obviously, the condition (*) from the statement (Corollary 2.1) is fulfilled, for any $p \geq 1$. For $p = 0$ this condition becomes $\frac{y_m - y_n}{(t_m - t_n)^k} \rightarrow 0$, for $m, n \rightarrow \infty$. Taking into account that

$$\frac{y_m - y_n}{(t_m - t_n)^k} = \frac{y_m - y_n}{x_m - x_n} \cdot \frac{x_m - x_n}{(t_m - t_n)^k}$$

and using the Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, it results $\frac{y_m - y_n}{(t_m - t_n)^k} \rightarrow 0$, for $m, n \rightarrow \infty$.

Thus we obtain a function $g \in C^k(R)$ which satisfies the required properties.

Theorem 2.2. *Let (x_n) be a sequence of distinct points of R^p , convergent to the point $a \in R^p$. Then, for any $k \in N^*$, there exist a subsequence (x_{n_m}) and a parametrized curve $\alpha : R \rightarrow R^p$ of class C^k , which contains the set of points $\{x_{n_m}, a\}$ such that α has a tangent at the point a . Moreover, if $a = \alpha(t_0)$, then $\alpha^{(i)}(t_0) = 0, \forall i \in \overline{1, k-1}, \alpha^{(k)}(t_0) \neq 0$ and there exists a sequence (t_m) with $t_m \rightarrow t_0$ and $\alpha(t_m) = x_{n_m}$.*

Proof. By a translation, we can suppose $a = (0, \dots, 0) \in R^p$. Since $u_n = \frac{x_n}{\|x_n\|}$ is bounded, considering it likely a subsequence, we can assume $u_n \rightarrow u \in R^p$. By a rotation, we can suppose $u = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Consequently, if $x_n = (x_n^1, \dots, x_n^p)$, it follows

$$\frac{x_n^1}{|x_n^1| \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{x_n^2}{x_n^1}\right)^2 + \dots + \left(\frac{x_n^p}{x_n^1}\right)^2}} \rightarrow 1.$$

Hence $x_n^1 > 0$ for sufficiently large n and $\frac{x_n^i}{x_n^1} \rightarrow 0, \forall i \in \overline{2, p}$. Obviously, there exists a subsequence (x_{n_m}) such that $x_{n_{m+1}}^1 > 0$ and $x_{n_{m+1}}^1 \leq \frac{1}{2^k} x_{n_m}^1, \forall m \in N$.

Applying Lemma 2.4 to the pair of sequences $(x_{n_m}^1)$ and $(x_{n_m}^i), i \in \overline{2, p}$, we obtain the functions $\varphi_i : R \rightarrow R, i \in \overline{1, p}$ of class C^k and a sequence (t_m) of real numbers such that $t_m \rightarrow 0, \varphi_i(t_m) = x_{n_m}^i, i \in \overline{1, p}, \varphi_i^{(j)}(0) = 0, i \in \overline{1, p}, j \in \overline{0, k-1}, \varphi_i^k(0) = 0, i \in \overline{2, p}$ and $\varphi_1^{(k)}(0) \neq 0$. Then, the parametrized curve $\alpha(t) = (\varphi_1(t), \dots, \varphi_p(t)), t \in R$, has the required properties.

3 Minimum constrained by C^k curves

Let D be an open subset in R^p and $x_* \in D$. For any $k \in N^*$ we denote by $\Gamma_{x_*}^k$ the family of all C^k parametrized curves passing just once through the point x_* , each having a tangent at x_* . Let

$$A_{x_*}^k = \left\{ \alpha \in \Gamma_{x_*}^k \mid \alpha(t_0) = x_*, \quad \alpha^{(i)}(t_0) = 0, i \in \overline{1, k-1}, \alpha^{(k)}(t_0) \neq 0 \right\}$$

and

$$B_{x_*}^k = \left\{ \alpha \in \Gamma_{x_*}^k \mid \alpha(t_0) = x_*, \exists m \in \overline{1, k-1} \text{ such that } \alpha^{(m)}(t_0) \neq 0 \right\}, \text{ for } k \geq 1.$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f : D \rightarrow R$. Then, x_* is a local minimum point of f , if and only if, there exists $k \in N^*$ such that x_* is a minimum point constrained by the family $A_{x_*}^k$.*

Proof. We can suppose $f(x_*) = 0$. If x_* would not be a local minimum point of f , then there exists a sequence of distinct points (x_n) of R^p , with $x_n \rightarrow x_*$ and $f(x_n) < 0, \forall n \in N$. Taking into account the Theorem 2.2 we find a curve $\alpha \in A_{x_*}^k$ such that x_* is not a minimum point of f constrained by α . Contradiction.

It is interesting to remark that Theorem 3.1 does not impose any condition upon the function f . Then, more surprising is the fact that Theorem 3.1 fails for the family $B_{x_*}^k$ or for the family of all analytic curves passing through the point x_* , even if f is of class C^∞ .

Examples. 1) Let $f : R^3 \rightarrow R$,

$$f(x, y) = (y^k - x^{k+1})(y^k - 2^k x^{k+1}),$$

where $k \in N$, $k \geq 1$. It is obvious that f is of class C^∞ and that the critical point $x_* = (0, 0)$ is not a local minimum point of f . Let us show that $x_* = (0, 0)$ is a minimum point of f constrained by the family $B_{x_*}^k$.

Let $D^- = \{(x, y) \in R^2 | f(x, y) < 0\}$. For any $(x, y) \in D^-$ it results

$$(*) \quad \left| \frac{y}{x} \right| < 2|x|^{1/k}$$

and

$$(**) \quad \frac{|y|}{|x|^{(m+1)/m}} > |x|^{-1/m(m+1)},$$

with $|x| < 1$ and $m \in \overline{1, k-1}$.

Let $\alpha \in B_{x_*}^k$. We can suppose $x_* = \alpha(0)$. If, by reductio ad absurdum, the point x_* would not be a minimum point of f constrained by the parametrized curve α , then would exist a sequence (t_n) with $t_n \rightarrow 0$ and $\alpha(t_n) = (x_n, y_n) \in D^-$, $\forall n \in N$. Consequently, the numbers x_n and y_n satisfy the above conditions $(*)$ and $(**)$. Obviously, if $\alpha(t) = (x(t), y(t))$, then $x(t) = t^m(a + tf(t))$ and $y(t) = t^m(b + tg(t))$ where $a^2 + b^2 > 0$, $m \in \overline{1, k-1}$ and f, g are continuous functions. We assume that $a \neq 0$. Hence, $\left| \frac{y_n}{x_n} \right| = \frac{|b + t_n g(t_n)|}{|a + t_n f(t_n)|}$. Using the relation $(*)$, we get that $\left| \frac{y_n}{x_n} \right| \rightarrow 0$ and therefore $b = 0$. Hence,

$$\frac{|y_n|}{|x_n|^{(m+1)/m}} = \frac{g(t_n)}{|a + t_n f(t_n)|^{(m+1)/m}} \rightarrow |g(0)|.$$

On the other hand, using the relation $(**)$, it results that $\frac{|y_n|}{|x_n|^{(m+1)/m}} \rightarrow \infty$. Contradiction. Now, we assume that $a = 0$. Then,

$$\left| \frac{x_n}{y_n} \right| = \frac{|t_n| |f(t_n)|}{|b + t_n g(t_n)|} \rightarrow 0,$$

which is a contradiction to the relation $(*)$.

2) Let $g : R \rightarrow R$, $g(x) = e^{-1/x^2}$, for any $x > 0$ and $g(x) = 0$ for any $x \leq 0$. Let $f : R^2 \rightarrow R$, $f(x, y) = y(y - g(x))$ which is of class C^∞ . Also, it is obvious that the critical point $x_* = (0, 0)$. Let us show that $x_* = (0, 0)$ is a minimum point of f constrained by the family $\Gamma_{x_*}^\omega$, where $\Gamma_{x_*}^\omega$ is the family of all analytic parametrized curves passing through the point x_* .

Let $D^- = \{(x, y) | f(x, y) < 0\}$. It follows that for any $(x, y) \in D^-$ we have $x > 0$ and

$$(*) \quad 0 < ye^{1/x^2} < 1$$

Let $\alpha \in \Gamma_{x_*}^\omega$. We can suppose $x_* = \alpha(0)$. If, by reductio ad absurdum, the point x_* would not be a minimum point of f constrained by α , then would exist a sequence

(t_n) with $t_n \rightarrow 0$ and $\alpha(t_n) = (x_n, y_n) \in D^-, \forall n \in N$. Hence, the numbers x_n and y_n satisfy the above condition (*) and $x_n \rightarrow 0, y_n \rightarrow 0$. Obviously, if $\alpha(t) = (x(t), y(t))$, then $x(t) = t^p(a + \dots)$ and $y(t) = t^q(b + \dots)$, with $ab \neq 0$. It follows that

$$y_n e^{1/x_n^2} = [(x_n^2)^{q/2p} e^{1/x_n^2}] y_n (x_n^2)^{-q/2p} \rightarrow \infty \cdot \frac{|b|}{|a|^{q/p}} = \infty,$$

which is in contradiction to the relation (*).

In the following, we shall denote by $\tilde{\Gamma}_{x_*}^k$ the family of all C^k curves passing through the point x_* , regular at x_* .

Theorem 3.2. *Let $f : D \subset R^p \rightarrow R$. If there exists $k \in N^*$ such that for any $\tilde{\alpha} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{x_*}^k$ the point x_* is an extrema point of f constrained by $\tilde{\alpha}$, then x_* is a local extrema point of f .*

Proof. Let us suppose that x_* is not a local extrema point for f and $f(x_*) = 0$. Then, there exist two sequences (x_n) and (y_n) of distinct points of D with $x_n \rightarrow x_*, y_n \rightarrow x_*, f(x_n) < 0$ and $f(y_n) > 0, \forall n \in N$. By the Theorem 2.2 there exist two subsequences (x_{n_m}) and (y_{n_r}) , two C^k parametrized curves α and β , and two sequences of real numbers (t_m) and (t'_r) with $t_m \rightarrow 0, t'_r \rightarrow 0, t_m > 0, t'_r > 0$ such that $\alpha(t_m) = x_{n_m}$ and $\beta(t'_r) = y_{n_r}, \forall m, r \in N$. Then, it is easy to show that there exists a parametrized curve $\gamma : R \rightarrow R^p$ of class C^k such that $\gamma(t) = \alpha(t), \forall t \leq 1, \gamma(t) = \beta(1/t), \forall t \geq 3, \gamma(2) = x_*$ and $\gamma'(2) \neq 0$. It follows that $\tilde{\gamma} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{x_*}^k$ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ contains the points x_{n_m} and $y_{n_r}, \forall m, r \in N$. Hence, the point x_* is not a local extrema point of f .

References

- [1] R.L. Bishop, R.I. Crittenden, *Geometry of Manifolds*, Academic Press, New York, New York, 1964.
- [2] D. Gabay, *Minimizing a Differentiable Function over a Differentiable Manifold*, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, Vol.37, pp.177-219, 1982.
- [3] N.I. Hicks, *Notes of Differential Geometry*, Van Nostrand Publishing Company, Princeton, New Jersey, 1965.
- [4] D.G. Luenberger, *Introduction to Linear and Nonlinear Programming*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading, Massachusetts, 1973.
- [5] B. Malgrange, *Ideals of Differentiable Functions*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, England, 1964.
- [6] J.N. Milnor, *Morse Theory*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1969.
- [7] T. Rapcsak, *Minimum Problems on Differentiable Manifolds*, Optimization Vol.20, pp. 3-13, 1989.
- [8] M. Spivak, *A Comprehensive Introduction to Differential Geometry I-V*, Vols. 1-5, Publish or Perish, Berkeley, California, 1979.

- [9] C. Udriște, *Convex Functions and Optimization Methods on Riemannian Manifolds*, Mathematics and Its Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Holland, Vol.297, 1994.
- [10] C. Udriște, O.Dogaru, *Extrema with Nonholonomic Constraints*, Buletinul Institutului Politehnic Bucuresti, Seria Energetica, Vol. 50, pp.3-8, 1988.
- [11] C. Udriște, O.Dogaru, *Mathematical Programming Problems with Nonholonomic Constraints*, Seminarul de Mecanică , Universitatea din Timișoara, Vol. 14, 1988.
- [12] C. Udriște, O.Dogaru, *Extreme Condiționat pe Orbite*, Buletinul Institutului Politehnic București, Seria Mecanică, Vol.51, pp. 3-9, 1989.
- [13] C. Udriște, O.Dogaru, *Convex Nonholonomic Hypersurfaces*, The Mathematical Heritage of C.F.Gauss, Edited by G.M.Rassias, World Scientific Publishers, Singapore, pp. 769-784. 1990.
- [14] C. Udriște, O.Dogaru,, I. Țevy, *Sufficient Conditions for Extremum on Differentiable Manifolds*, Proceedings of the 22nd Conference on Differential Geometry and Topology Applications in Physics and Technics, Bucharest, Romania, 1991.
- [15] C. Udriște, O.Dogaru, I. Țevy, *Extrema Points Associated to a Pfaff Form*, Proceedings of the International Conference on Differential Geometry and Its Applications, Bucharest, Romania, 1992.
- [16] C. Udriște, O.Dogaru, I. Țevy, *Open Problems in Extrema Theory*, Workshop on Differential Geometry and Applications, Bucharest, Romania, 1993.
- [17] O.Dogaru, I. Țevy, C.Udriște, *Extrema Constained by a Family of Curves and Local Extrema*, Journal of Optimization Theory and Its Applications, vol.97, nr. 3(1998), 605-621.

University Politehnica of Bucharest
Department of Mathematics I
Splaiul Independenței 313
77206 Bucharest, Romania