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#### Abstract

A compact Riemann surface $X$ of genus $g>1$ is said to be $p$ hyperelliptic if $X$ admits a conformal involution $\rho$, called a $p$-hyperelliptic involution, for which $X / \rho$ is an orbifold of genus $p$. Here we give a new proof of the well known fact that for $g>4 p+1, \rho$ is unique and central in the group of all automorphisms of $X$. Moreover we prove that every two $p$-hyperelliptic involutions commute for $3 p+2 \leq g \leq 4 p+1$ and $X$ admits at most two such involutions if $g>3 p+2$. We also find some bounds for the number of commuting $p$-hyperelliptic involutions and general bound for the number of central $p$-hyperelliptic involutions. Keywords: p-hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces, automorphisms of Riemann surfaces, fixed points of automorphisms


## 1. Introduction

A Riemann surface $X=\mathcal{H} / \Gamma$ of genus $g \geq 2$ is said to be $p$-hyperelliptic if $X$ admits a conformal involution $\rho$, called a $p$-hyperelliptic involution, such that $X / \rho$ is an orbifold of genus $p$. This notion has been introduced by H. Farkas and I. Kra in [1] where they also proved that for $g>4 p+1$, $p$-hyperelliptic involution is unique and central in the group of all automorphisms of $X$. We prove these facts in a combinatorial way using the HurwitzRiemann formula and certain theorem of Macbeath [2] about fixed points of an automorphism of $X$; the Hurwitz-Riemann formula asserts that a $p$-hyperelliptic involution has $2 g+2-4 p$ fixed points. The advantage of our approach is that it allows us to study of $p$-hyperelliptic involutions in case $g \leq 4 p+1$ also. First we show that for $g$ in range $3 p+2 \leq g \leq 4 p+1$,
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every two $p$-hyperelliptic involutions commute and afterwards we argue that $X$ admits at most two such involutions for $3 p+2<g \leq 4 p+1$ and at most 6 for $g=3 p+2$. Finally we find some bounds for the number of commuting $p$-hyperelliptic involutions and general bound for the number of central $p$-hyperelliptic involutions.

## 2. Preliminaries

We shall approach the problem using Riemann uniformization theorem by which each compact Riemann surface $X$ of genus $g \geq 2$ can be represented as the orbit space of the hyperbolic plane $\mathcal{H}$ under the action of some Fuchsian surface group $\Gamma$. Furthermore a group $G$ of automorphisms of a surface $X=\mathcal{H} / \Gamma$ can be represented as $G=\Lambda / \Gamma$ for another Fuchsian group $\Lambda$. Each Fuchsian group $\Lambda$ is given a signature $\sigma(\Lambda)=\left(g ; m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$, where $g, m_{i}$ are integers verifying $g \geq 0, m_{i} \geq 2$. The signature determines the presentation of $\Lambda$ :

$$
\text { generators: } \quad x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}, a_{1}, b_{1}, \ldots, a_{g}, b_{g} \text {, }
$$

relations: $\quad x_{1}^{m_{1}}=\cdots=x_{r}^{m_{r}}=x_{1} \cdots x_{r}\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right] \cdots\left[a_{g}, b_{g}\right]=1$.
Such set of generators is called the canonical set of generators and often, by abuse of language, the set of canonical generators. Geometrically $x_{i}$ are elliptic elements which correspond to hyperbolic rotations and the remaining generators are hyperbolic translations. The integers $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{r}$ are called the periods of $\Lambda$ and $g$ is the genus of the orbit space $\mathcal{H} / \Lambda$. Fuchsian groups with signatures $(g ;-)$ are called surface groups and they are characterized among Fuchsian groups as these ones which are torsion free.

The group $\Lambda$ has associated to it a fundamental region whose area $\mu(\Lambda)$, called the area of the group, is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(\Lambda)=2 \pi\left(2 g-2+\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(1-1 / m_{i}\right)\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\Gamma$ is a subgroup of finite index in $\Lambda$, then we have the Riemann-Hurwitz formula which says that

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\Lambda: \Gamma]=\frac{\mu(\Gamma)}{\mu(\Lambda)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The points of $\mathcal{H}$ with non-trivial stabilizers in $\Lambda$ fall into $r \Lambda$-orbits $o_{1}, \ldots, o_{r}$ such that every point belonging to $o_{i}$ has a stabilizer which is a cyclic group of order $m_{i}$. The points of $X$ with non-trivial stabilizers fall into $r G$-orbits $O_{1}, \ldots, O_{r}$, where $O_{i}=\pi\left(o_{i}\right)$ and $\pi: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow X$ is a projection map. Furthermore a homomorphism $\theta: \Lambda \rightarrow G$ induces an isomorphism between stabilizers and so the stabilizer of $y \in O_{i}$ is cyclic of order $m_{i}$. The number of fixed points of an automorphism of $X$ can be calculated by the following theorem of Macbeath [2]. Theorem 2.1. Let $X=H / \Gamma$ be a Riemann surface with the automorphism group $G=\Lambda / \Gamma$ and let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$ be elliptic canonical generators of $\Lambda$ with periods $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}$ respectively. Let $\theta: \Lambda \rightarrow G$ be the canonical epimorphism and for $1 \neq g \in G$ let $\varepsilon_{i}(g)$ be 1 or 0 according as $g$ is or is not conjugate to a power of $\theta\left(x_{i}\right)$. Then the number $F(g)$ of points of $X$ fixed by $g$ is given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(g)=\left|N_{G}(\langle g\rangle)\right| \sum_{i=1}^{r} \varepsilon_{i}(g) / m_{i} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. On $p$-hyperelliptic involutions of Riemann surfaces

Here we deal with the number of $p$-hyperelliptic involutions which a Riemann surface can admit. Along the chapter $X$ is a $p$-hyperelliptic Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$ and we call its $p$-hyperelliptic involutions briefly by $p$-involutions. First we give a new proof of the well known result of H. Farkas and I. Kra.

Theorem 3.1. A p-involution of a surface $X$ of genus $g>4 p+1$ is unique and central in the full automorphism group of $X$.

Proof. Suppose that a Riemann surface $X=\mathcal{H} / \Gamma$ admits two distinct $p$-involutions $\rho$ and $\rho^{\prime}$. Then they generate a dihedral group $G$, say of order $2 n$ and there exist a Fuchsian group $\Lambda$ and an epimorphism $\theta: \Lambda \rightarrow G$ with the kernel $\Gamma$. If $x_{i}$ is a canonical elliptic generator of $\Lambda$ corresponding to some period $m_{i}>2$ then $\theta\left(x_{i}\right) \in\left\langle\rho \rho^{\prime}\right\rangle$. But none conjugation of $\rho$ nor of $\rho^{\prime}$ belongs to $\left\langle\rho \rho^{\prime}\right\rangle$ and so in terms of Macbeath's theorem $\varepsilon_{i}(\rho)=\varepsilon_{i}\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)=0$.

Now if $n$ is odd then $\left|N_{G}(\langle\rho\rangle)\right|=2$ and $F(\rho)=2 g+2-4 p$ implies that $\Lambda$ has $2 g+2-4 p$ periods equal to 2 . If $n$ is even then $\left|N_{G}(\langle\rho\rangle)\right|=4$ and so $g+1-2 p$ canonical elliptic generators are mapped by $\theta$ onto conjugates of $\rho$. Similarly another $g+1-2 p$ canonical elliptic generators are mapped by $\theta$ onto conjugates of $\rho^{\prime}$. So in both cases $\sigma(\Lambda)=\left(\gamma ; 2, . \stackrel{s}{.}, 2, m_{s+1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$, for $s=2 g+2-4 p$ and some integer $r \geq s$. Now applying the Hurwitz-Riemann formula for $(\Lambda, \Gamma)$, we obtain $2 g-2=2 n\left(2 \gamma-2+g+1-2 p+\sum_{i=s+1}^{r}\left(1-1 / m_{i}\right)\right)$ which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
g-1 \geq n(g-1-2 p) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $n \geq 2$, it follows that $g \leq 4 p+1$. Thus for $g>4 p+1$ a $p$-involution is unique.
Now given $g \in G, g \rho g^{-1}$ has the same number of fixed points as $\rho$. So by the HurwitzRiemann formula it is also a $p$-involution which implies that $g \rho g^{-1}=\rho$ for $g>4 p+1$.

Theorem 3.2. Every two p-involutions of a Riemann surface $X$ of genus $3 p+2 \leq g \leq 4 p+1$ commute. Moreover for $3 p+2<g \leq 4 p+1, X$ can admit two and no more such involutions.

Proof. Let $X$ be a Riemann surface of genus $3 p+2 \leq g \leq 4 p+1$. If $X$ admits two $p$ involutions then they generate the group $\mathrm{D}_{n}=\Lambda / \Gamma$ for some $n$ satisfying the inequality (4), which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \leq 1+\frac{2 p}{g-1-2 p} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $n=2$ and so every two $p$-involutions of $X$ commute. Moreover their product cannot be a $p$-involution. Otherwise, by Theorem $2.1, \Lambda$ would have the signature $\left(\gamma ; 2,{ }^{3(g+1-2 p)}, 2\right)$ and applying the Hurwitz-Riemann formula for $(\Lambda, \Gamma)$ we would obtain $2 \gamma=3 p-g$ and consequently $g \leq 3 p$, a contradiction. So if $X$ admits three $p$-involutions $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \rho_{3}$ then they generate the group $G=Z_{2} \oplus Z_{2} \oplus Z_{2}$ which can be identified with $\Delta / \Gamma$ for some Fuchsian group $\Delta$ with a signature $(\delta ; 2, \stackrel{r}{.}, 2)$. Let $\theta: \Delta \rightarrow G$ be the canonical epimorphism and let $s_{k}$ denote the number of elliptic generators of $\Delta$ which are transformed by $\theta$ onto $\rho_{k}$, for $k=1,2,3$. Then by Theorem 2.1, $s_{k}=(g+1-2 p) / 2$ for $k=1,2,3$ and so applying the Hurwitz-Riemann formula for $(\Delta, \Gamma)$ we obtain $2 g-2=8(2 \delta-2+3(g+1-2 p) / 4+t / 2)$,
where $t=r-3(g+1-2 p) / 2$. Thus $\delta=(2+3 p-g-t) / 4 \geq 0$ if and only if $g \leq 3 p+2$. Consequently a surface $X$ of genus $3 p+2<g \leq 4 p+1$ admits at most two $p$-involutions.

Now we shall prove that Riemann surfaces of such genera with two $p$-involutions actually exist. For, let $\Delta$ be a Fuchsian group with the signature ( $0 ; 2, . \stackrel{r}{.}, 2$ ), where $r=g+3$ and let us define an epimorphism $\theta: \Delta \rightarrow Z_{2} \oplus Z_{2}=\langle\rho\rangle \oplus\left\langle\rho^{\prime}\right\rangle$ by the assignment $\theta\left(x_{1}\right)=\cdots=\theta\left(x_{s}\right)=$ $\rho, \theta\left(x_{s+1}\right)=\cdots=\theta\left(x_{2 s}\right)=\rho^{\prime}, \theta\left(x_{2 s+1}\right)=\cdots=\theta\left(x_{r}\right)=\rho \rho^{\prime}$, where $s=g+1-2 p$. Since $s$ and $r-2 s$ have the same parities, it follows that the relation $\theta\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots \theta\left(x_{r}\right)=1$ holds. Moreover by Theorem 2.1, $F(\rho)=F\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)=2 g+2-4 p$ and so by the Hurwitz-Riemann formula, $\rho$ and $\rho^{\prime}$ are two commuting $p$-involutions.

Proposition 3.3. Let $\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{l}$ be pairwise commuting p-involutions of a surface $X$ of genus $g$ and let they generate the group $G_{k}=Z_{2} \oplus . \stackrel{k}{.} \oplus Z_{2}$, where $l \geq k$. Then
(i) $g \equiv 1\left(2^{k-2}\right)$ and $p \equiv 1\left(2^{k-3}\right)$,
(ii) the integers $k$ and $l$ are limited in the following cases:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
k \leq 2 & \text { and } \quad l \leq 3 & \text { if } g \equiv 0(2) \\
k \leq 3 & \text { and } \quad l \leq 4 & \text { if } p \equiv 0(2) \\
k \leq 3 & \text { and } \quad l \leq 7 & \text { if } g \equiv 3(4) \\
k \leq 4 & \text { and } \quad l \leq 15 & \text { if } \\
p \equiv 3(4) .
\end{array}
$$

Proof. (i) Suppose that pairwise commuting $p$-involutions of a Riemann surface $X$ generate a group $G_{k}=Z_{2} \oplus . \stackrel{k}{.} \oplus Z_{2}$. Then $G_{k}$ can be identified with $\Delta / \Gamma$ for a Fuchsian group $\Delta$ with the signature $(\gamma ; 2, . r, 2)$. Applying the Hurwitz-Riemann formula for $(\Delta, \Gamma)$ we obtain $g-1=2^{k-2}(4 \gamma-4+r)$ which implies that $g \equiv 1\left(2^{k-2}\right)$. Furthermore, by Theorem 2.1, a $p$-involution $\rho \in G_{k}$ admits fixed points in $(g+1-2 p) / 2^{k-2}$ orbits and so in particular $g+1-2 p \equiv 0\left(2^{k-2}\right)$. Consequently $p \equiv 1\left(2^{k-3}\right)$.
(ii) The restrictions for $k$ are direct consequence of the conditions from (i). We need only to show that for even $p$, the group $G_{3}$ can admit at most $4 p$-involutions. For, let us suppose that the product of two $p$-involution $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2} \in G_{3}$ is a $p$-involution. Then they generate the group $G_{2}$ isomorphic with $\Lambda / \Gamma$, where $\Lambda$ is a Fuchsian group with the signature ( $\delta ; 2,{ }^{3(g+1-2 p)}, 2$ ). Thus $\delta=(3 p-g) / 2$ and so $3 p-g \equiv 0(2)$. However $p$ is even and $g$ is odd which implies that $3 p-g$ is odd, a contradiction. Consequently in this case $G_{3}$ may admit only one more $p$-involution, namely $\rho_{1} \rho_{2} \rho_{3}$ and so $l \leq 4$.

By Proposition 3.3, the number of pairwise commuting $p$-involutions corresponding to given $p$ is limited for $p \equiv 0(2)$ or $p \equiv 3(4)$. The next proposition give a bound for such number for $p \equiv 1$ (4).

Proposition 3.4. Let $p=1+2^{m} \alpha$, where $\alpha$ is odd and $m \geq 2$. Then the number of pairwise commuting p-involutions of a Riemann surface $X$ of genus $g \neq 2 p-1$ does not exceed $2^{n} \alpha+5$, where $n$ is the least integer in range $0 \leq n \leq m+2$ such that $2^{n} \alpha \geq m-n-1$.

Proof. Given such $p$, let $X$ be a Riemann surface whose pairwise commuting $p$-involutions generate $G_{k}=Z_{2} \oplus .{ }^{k} . \oplus Z_{2}$. Then by Proposition 3.3, $k \leq m+3$. So let us write $k=m+3-n$ for some integer $n$ in range $0 \leq n \leq m+2$ and let $G_{k}=\Delta / \Gamma$ for a Fuchsian group $\Delta$ with a signature $(\gamma ; 2, \ldots r, 2)$. Since no single $G_{k}$-orbit contains fixed points of two different $p$ involutions, it follows that $r \geq k s$, where $s$ is the number of $G_{k}$-orbits containing fixed points
of a single $p$-involution. In order to check the greatest value of $k$, we consider the minimum value of $s$ and the maximum value of $r$. Thus we take $s=1$ and $\gamma=0$. By Theorem 2.1, $s=(g+1-2 p) / 2^{k-2}$ and so $s=1$ for $g=1+2^{m+1-n}+2^{m+1} \alpha$. But the HurwitzRiemann formula for such $g$ and $\gamma=0$ gives $r=2^{n} \alpha+5$ which clearly limits the number of $p$-involutions in $G_{k}$. Since for $s=1$, the epimorphism $\theta: \Delta \rightarrow G_{k}$ cannot be defined for $r<k+1$, it follows that $n$ is the least integer satisfying the inequality $2^{n} \alpha \geq m-n-1$.

Proposition 3.5. Let $X$ be a p-hyperelliptic Riemann surface of genus $g=3 p+2$. Then $X$ admits at most 2 -involutions if $p \equiv 0(2)$ or $p \equiv 3(4)$ and at most 3 if $p \equiv 1$ (4) and $p>5$. For $p=1$ or $p=5, X$ can admit 5 or 6 and no more $p$-involutions respectively.

Proof. By Theorem 3.2, all $p$-involutions of a Riemann surface of genus $g=3 p+2$ commute one to each other and so they generate the group $G_{k}=Z_{2} \oplus . k . \oplus Z_{2}$ for some $k$. Let $G_{k}=\Delta / \Gamma$ for some Fuchsian group $\Delta$, say with a signature $(\gamma ; 2, . r, 2)$. Denote by $s_{k}$ the number of $G_{k}$-orbits containing the fixed points of a single $p$-involution from $G_{k}$. By Theorem 2.1, $s_{k}=(g+1-2 p) / 2^{k-2}=(p+3) / 2^{k-2}$. Thus $k \leq 2$ for $p$ even and $k \leq 3$ and $s_{k}$ is odd for $p \equiv 3$ (4). However, by the Hurwitz-Riemann formula for $k=3$ and $(\Delta, \Gamma)$, we have $2 \gamma+r-3 s_{3}=0$, which implies $\gamma=0$ and $r=3 s_{3}$ in virtue of obvious $r \geq 3 s_{3}$. Therefore, for $p \equiv 3$ (4), an epimorphism $\theta: \Delta \rightarrow G_{3}$ actually can not exist. Consequently $k \leq 2$ if $p \equiv 0(2)$ or $p \equiv 3(4)$. Furthermore $X$ admits at most $2 p$-involutions in these cases since, by the proof of the Theorem 3.2, a product of two $p$-involutions cannot be a $p$-involution for $g>3 p$.

Now let $p \equiv 1$ (4). First we shall show that $k \leq 5$ and that surfaces whose $p$-involutions generate $G_{4}$ or $G_{5}$ exist only for $p \leq 5$. For, let us write $p=4 \alpha+1$ for some integer $\alpha$. Then $g=1+4(1+3 \alpha)$ and $s_{k}=(\alpha+1) / 2^{k-4}$. Let $n$ and $m$ be the greatest integers such that $g \equiv 1\left(2^{n}\right)$ and $p \equiv 1\left(2^{m}\right)$. Then for even $\alpha$, we have $n=2$ which by (i) of the Proposition 3.3 implies $k \leq 4$ and for odd $\alpha, m=2$ and consequently $k \leq 5$.

Now let $t=r-k s_{k}$. Applying the Hurwitz-Riemann formula for $(\Delta, \Gamma)$ and $k=4$, we obtain $1=4 \gamma+\alpha+t$. Thus $\gamma=0$ and either $\alpha=1, r=4 s_{4}$ or $\alpha=0, r=4 s_{4}+1$. Consequently $p=5, s_{4}=2$ and $\sigma(\Delta)=(0 ; 2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)$ or $p=1, s_{4}=1$ and $\sigma(\Delta)=$ $(0 ; 2,2,2,2,2)$. So there exists exactly one possible epimorphism $\theta: \Delta \rightarrow G_{4}$ whose image is generated by $p$-involutions and it is given by the assignment

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta\left(x_{i}\right)=\rho_{j} \text { for } 1 \leq j \leq k,(j-1) s_{k}<i \leq j s_{k}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the first case and by the assignment

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta\left(x_{i}\right)=\rho_{j}, \theta\left(x_{k s_{k}+1}\right)=\rho_{1} \cdots \rho_{k} \text { for } 1 \leq j \leq k,(j-1) s_{k}<i \leq j s_{k} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the second one, where $k=4$. Thus the surface whose $p$-involutions generate $G_{4}$ exists only for $p=1$ or $p=5$ and the corresponding group $G_{4}$ admits exactly five or four $p$-involutions respectively.

Similarly for $k=5$ we obtain $4 \gamma+\alpha+t=2$. Since for even $\alpha$ we have $k \leq 4$, it follows that $\alpha=1, \gamma=0$ and $r=5 s_{5}+1$. Thus $p=5, s_{5}=1$ and $\Delta$ has the signature ( $0 ; 2,2,2,2,2,2$ ). Now the assignment (7) defines the only possible epimorphism onto $G_{5}$. Thus the surface whose $p$-involutions generate $G_{5}$ exists only for $p=5$ and the corresponding group $G_{5}$ admits exactly six 5 -involutions.

Summing up, for $p>5$ and $p \equiv 1$ (4) we have $k \leq 3$. However, from the first part of the proof $s_{3}$ is even and $\Delta$ has the signature $\left(0 ; 2, \stackrel{3 s_{3}, 2}{ }\right.$ ). Thus the assignment (6) for $k=3$, defines the only possible epimorphism $\Delta \rightarrow G_{3}$ whose image is generated by $p$-involutions and so the group $G_{3}$ contains exactly $3 p$-involutions.

Let us notice that for arbitrary positive integer $k \geq 5$, we can find integers $p$ and $g$ such that there exists a Riemann surface of genus $g$ admitting $k$ pairwise commuting $p$-involutions. Indeed for $g=1+(k-4) 2^{k-3}$ and $p=1+(k-5) 2^{k-4}$ we can take a Fuchsian group $\Delta$ with the signature $(0 ; 2, . . ., 2)$ and define an epimorphism $\theta: \Delta \rightarrow Z_{2} \oplus \stackrel{k-1}{\cdot} \oplus Z_{2}=\left\langle\rho_{1}\right\rangle \oplus \cdots \oplus\left\langle\rho_{k-1}\right\rangle$ by the assignment $\theta\left(x_{i}\right)=\rho_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k-1$ and $\theta\left(x_{k}\right)=\rho_{1} \cdots \rho_{k-1}$. Then $\Gamma=\operatorname{ker} \theta$ is a surface Fuchsian group of orbit genus $g$ and $\rho_{i}$ are $p$-involutions of a Riemann surface $X=\mathcal{H} / \Gamma$.

At the end of the paper we give a bound for the number of all central $p$-involutions of a surface $X$.

Theorem 3.6. Let $X$ be a p-hyperelliptic Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$ and let $G$ be its automorphism group of order $2 N$. Assume that the canonical projection $X \rightarrow X / G$ is ramified at $r$ points with multiplicities $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}$. Then for $g \neq 2 p-1$, the number of central p-involutions of $X$ does not exceed

$$
\left(N \sum_{i=1}^{r} 1 / m_{i}\right) /(g+1-2 p) .
$$

Proof. Here $X=\mathcal{H} / \Gamma$ for some Fuchsian surface group $\Gamma$ with the signature $(g ;-)$ and $G=\Delta / \Gamma$ for some Fuchsian group $\Delta$ with the signature $\left(\delta ; m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$. Let $x_{1}, \ldots x_{r}$ be canonical elliptic generators of $\Delta$ and let $\theta: \Delta \rightarrow G$ be the canonical epimorphism. Assume that $X$ admits a central $p$-involution $\rho$. If $g \neq 2 p-1$ then $\rho$ has fixed points and so it is conjugate to $\theta\left(x_{i}\right)^{m_{i} / 2}$ for some $x_{i}$ corresponding to an even period $m_{i}$. However since $\rho$ is central, it follows that actually $\rho=\theta\left(x_{i}\right)^{m_{i} / 2}$. In particular for distinct $p$-involutions $\rho$ and $\rho^{\prime}$, $\varepsilon_{i}(\rho) \neq \varepsilon_{i}\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)$. Moreover by Theorem 2.1, $N \sum_{i=1}^{r} \varepsilon_{i}(\rho) / m_{i}=g+1-2 p=N \sum_{i=1}^{r} \varepsilon_{i}\left(\rho^{\prime}\right) / m_{i}$. Thus if $n$ is the number of all $p$-involutions of $X$ then $n(g+1-2 p) \leq N \sum_{i=1}^{r} 1 / m_{i}$ and so the theorem is proved.
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