

Generators of Order Two for S_n and its Two Double Covers

John Brinkman

*Department of Mathematics, Liverpool Hope University College
Hope Park, Liverpool L16 8ND, England*

1. Introduction

This paper considers the minimum number of involutions, $i(G)$, required to generate both of the double covers G of the symmetric group. In particular, explicit generators, of order two, for each of the groups are also introduced. These generators may, for example, be useful for implementation in Magma-Cayley or GAP.

As a starting point we observe that if $i(G) = 1$ then G is cyclic and if $i(G) = 2$ then G is dihedral. Hence for any group of order greater than two that is not isomorphic to a dihedral group we have $i(G) \geq 3$.

It is also well-known that the symmetric group S_n , $n \geq 3$, may be generated by the two cycles $(1, 2)$ and $(1, 2, 3, \dots, n)$. But as we may write $(1, 2, 3, \dots, n)$ as the product of, multiplying from the left,

$$(1, n-1)(2, n-2) \dots (r, n-r) \quad \text{and} \quad (1, n)(2, n-1) \dots (t, n+1-t)$$

where r is the integer part of $(n-1)/2$ and t the integer part of $n/2$, it is clear that S_n , $n \geq 4$, may be generated by three involutions. Moreover, for $n \geq 4$, $i(S_n) = 3$.

2. $i(\tilde{S}_n)$

This double cover of S_n , which lifts a transposition of S_n to an element of order 4, will be denoted by \tilde{S}_n . So that \tilde{S}_n is the group with generators $z, r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{n-1}$ and relations

$$z^2 = 1,$$

$$\begin{aligned} zr_i &= r_i z, & r_i^2 &= z & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ (r_j r_{j+1})^3 &= z & \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n-2, \\ r_k r_h &= z r_h r_k & \text{for } |h-k| > 1 & \text{ and } 1 \leq h, k \leq n-1. \end{aligned}$$

For computations in \tilde{S}_n we will use a method first described by Conway and others at Cambridge (Atlas [2]). This method is outlined in a paper by David B. Wales [5]. In this the elements of \tilde{S}_n are products of the form $\pm[\sigma_i]$, where the σ_i are disjoint cycles in S_n and $\pm[\sigma_i]$ are the corresponding lifts in \tilde{S}_n .

Definition 2.1. For distinct elements a_1, \dots, a_m we define $[a_1 a_2 \dots a_m] = a_1 a_2 \dots a_m a_1$. We call $\pm[a_1 a_2 \dots a_k]$ signed cycles in \tilde{S}_n . Each is a lift of the cycle $(a_1 a_2 \dots a_k)$ in S_n .

In fact each a_i corresponds to an element of a subgroup of a Clifford algebra which is isomorphic to \tilde{S}_n . But the following rules are sufficient to enable the calculation of products of disjoint signed cycles in \tilde{S}_n (these appear as 2.3 and 2.4 in [5]).

$$\begin{aligned} [a_i] &= -1, \\ [a_1 a_2 \dots a_m] &= (-1)^{m+1} [a_2 a_3 \dots a_m a_1], \\ [a_1 a_2 \dots a_{m-1}] a_m &= (-1)^m a_m [a_1 a_2 \dots a_{m-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, these are used in [1] to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2. Any product of k disjoint signed transpositions in \tilde{S}_n has order two if the integer part of $(k+1)/2$ is a multiple of two, and order four otherwise.

Hence in \tilde{S}_n an involution is of the form $\pm\pi$ where π is a signed cycle consisting of k disjoint transpositions and k is congruent to 0 or 3 modulo 4. Also as the only other element of order two in \tilde{S}_n is -1 , we have immediately that \tilde{S}_4 and \tilde{S}_5 may not be generated by involutions.

Also, as we will be making repeated use of this fact, it is convenient at this point to note that factoring out \tilde{S}_n by the subgroup $Z = \langle 1, -1 \rangle$ recovers S_n . That is $\tilde{S}_n/Z \cong S_n$.

Proposition 2.3. For $n \geq 3$, \tilde{S}_n may be generated by $a = \pm[1, 2]$ and $b = \pm[1, 2, \dots, n]$.

Proof. As S_n is generated by $(1, 2)$ and $(1, 2, 3, \dots, n)$ it follows that a and b will generate at least one, up to parity of sign, of every type of signed cycle. Hence we only need show that we may also generate -1 . But $a^2 = -1$. □

Proposition 2.4. $i(\tilde{S}_6) = 5$ and $i(\tilde{S}_7) = 3$.

Proof. That $i(\tilde{S}_6) = 5$ is proved in Section 3. However, in order to give specific generators, we note that $(1, 2)(3, 4)(5, 6)$, $(1, 3)(2, 4)(5, 6)$, $(1, 4)(2, 3)(5, 6)$, $(1, 5)(2, 6)(3, 4)$ and $(1, 6)(2, 3)(4, 5)$ generate S_6 . Thus, as in Proposition 2.3, the corresponding signed cycles will generate \tilde{S}_6 .

For \tilde{S}_7 we only need note that

$$(1, 2)(3, 4)(5, 6), \quad (1, 4)(3, 5)(2, 7) \quad \text{and} \quad (2, 3)(3, 7)(1, 6)$$

generate S_7 . Hence the corresponding signed cycles generate \tilde{S}_7 . □

Proposition 2.5. For $8 \leq n \leq 12$, the following involutions generate \tilde{S}_n . When $n = 8$

$$a = [1, 2][3, 8][4, 7][5, 6], \quad b = [1, 3][4, 8][5, 7] \quad \text{and} \quad c = [3, 8][4, 7][5, 6].$$

For $9 \leq n \leq 12$ $a = [1, 2][3, 9][4, 8][5, 7]$, $b = [1, 3][4, 9][5, 8][6, 7]$

$$\text{and } c = \begin{cases} [3, 9][4, 8][5, 7] & \text{when } n = 9, \\ [3, 10][4, 8][5, 7] & \text{when } n = 10, \\ [3, 10][4, 11][5, 7] & \text{when } n = 11, \\ [3, 10][4, 11][5, 12] & \text{when } n = 12. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For $n = 8$ and 9 we have $ac = \pm[1, 2]$ and $ab = \pm[1, 2, \dots, n]$ from which the result follows.

When $n = 10, 11$ or 12 , $(ac)^3 = \pm[1, 2]$ and $ab = \pm[1, 2, \dots, 9]$ so for each n we may generate the subgroup \tilde{S}_9 , in particular the signed cycles $d = [1, 3]$, $e = [1, 4]$ and $f = [1, 5]$.

It only remains to show that $[1, 2, \dots, n]$ can also be generated for each n . But when $n = 10$ we have $abcdc = \pm[1, 2, \dots, 10]$, when $n = 11$, $abcdec = \pm[1, 2, \dots, 11]$ and when $n = 12$, $abcdefc = \pm[1, 2, \dots, 12]$. \square

Proposition 2.6. $i(\tilde{S}_{13}) = 4$.

Proof. Note that in \tilde{S}_{13} the only involutions are -1 and signed cycles of type 2^3 and 2^4 . Also we require at least 12 signed transpositions in our generators to ensure that all the numbers from 1 to 13 have some link. However we cannot use three signed cycles of type 2^4 , the minimum needed, as they are all even and thus cannot generate any odd signed cycles. Thus $i(\tilde{S}_{13}) > 3$. That $i(\tilde{S}_{13}) = 4$ follows by noting that $(1, 12)(2, 11)(3, 10)(4, 9), (5, 8)(6, 7)(1, 13)(2, 12), (3, 11)(4, 10)(5, 9)(6, 8)$ and $(1, 2)(4, 11)(5, 6)$ will generate S_{13} . Thus the corresponding signed cycles generate \tilde{S}_{13} . \square

Proposition 2.7. For $14 \leq n \leq 16$, the following involutions generate \tilde{S}_n .

$$\begin{array}{ll} n = 14 & a = [1, 2][3, 14][4, 13][5, 12][6, 11][7, 10][8, 9], \\ & b = [1, 3][4, 14][5, 13][2, 9], \\ & c = [6, 12][7, 11][8, 10][2, 9]. \\ n = 15 & a = [2, 15][3, 14][4, 13][5, 12][6, 11][7, 10][8, 9], \\ & b = [1, 2][3, 15][4, 14][5, 13][6, 12][7, 11][8, 10], \\ & c = [3, 14][4, 13][6, 11][7, 10]. \\ n = 16 & a = [2, 16][3, 15][4, 14][5, 13][6, 12][7, 11][8, 10], \\ & b = [1, 2][3, 16][4, 15][5, 14][6, 13][7, 12][8, 11][9, 10], \\ & c = [3, 16][4, 15][5, 14][6, 13][7, 12][8, 11][9, 10]. \end{array}$$

Proof. For $n = 16$, $bc = \pm[1, 2]$ and $ab = \pm[1, 2, \dots, 16]$ from which the result follows. Now for $n = 14$, $abc = \pm[1, 2, \dots, 14]$ and for $n = 15$, $ab = \pm[1, 2, \dots, 15]$. Thus in both cases we need only show that we may also generate $\pm[1, 2]$. But when $n = 15$, $(ab)^4((abc)^2bab)^{13}(ab)^{-4} = \pm[2, 9]$ and $(b \pm [2, 9])^3 = \pm[1, 2]$. While for $n = 14$, $d = ((ba)^2(ca)^2bc)^{15} = \pm[1, 5][7, 13]$ and $f = (abcdb)^9 = \pm[5, 6]$, from which we obtain $(abc)^4 f (abc)^{10} = \pm[1, 2]$. \square

It is convenient at this point to introduce some notation.

Definition 2.8. We will denote the following product of $\delta + 1$ signed transpositions

$$[\alpha, \beta][\alpha + 1, \beta - 1][\alpha + 2, \beta - 2] \dots [\alpha + \delta, \beta - \delta] \quad \text{by} \quad T(\alpha, \alpha + \delta, \alpha + \beta).$$

As an example of this notation, in the previous proposition, we could express the generators for \tilde{S}_{16} as

$$a = T(2, 8, 18), \quad b = [1, 2]T(3, 9, 19) \quad \text{and} \quad c = T(3, 9, 19).$$

Proposition 2.9. For $n \geq 17$, the following involutions, which are dependent on the value of n modulo 8, generate \tilde{S}_n .

$$\begin{array}{ll} n \equiv 1 & \begin{aligned} a &= [1, 2]T(3, (n+1)/2, n+3), \\ b &= [1, 3]T(4, (n+3)/2, n+4), \\ c &= T(3, (n+1)/2, n+3). \end{aligned} \\ n \equiv 2 & \begin{aligned} a &= [1, 2]T(3, n/2, n+2), \\ b &= [1, 3]T(4, (n+2)/2, n+3), \\ c &= [3, n]T(4, n/2, n+2). \end{aligned} \\ n \equiv 3 & \begin{aligned} a &= [1, 2]T(3, (n-1)/2, n+1), \\ b &= [1, 3]T(4, (n+1)/2, n+2), \\ c &= [3, n][4, n-1]T(5, (n-1)/2, n+1). \end{aligned} \\ n \equiv 4 & \begin{aligned} a &= [1, 2]T(3, (n-2)/2, n), \\ b &= [1, 3]T(4, n/2, n+1), \\ c &= T(3, 5, n+3)T(6, (n-2)/2, n). \end{aligned} \\ n \equiv 5 & \begin{aligned} a &= [1, 2]T(3, (n-3)/2, n-1), \\ b &= [1, 3]T(4, (n-1)/2, n), \\ c &= T(3, 6, n+3)T(7, (n-3)/2, n-1). \end{aligned} \\ n \equiv 6 & \begin{aligned} a &= [1, 2]T(3, (n-4)/2, n-2), \\ b &= [1, 3]T(4, (n-2)/2, n-1), \\ c &= T(3, 7, n+3)T(8, (n-4)/2, n-2). \end{aligned} \\ n \equiv 7 & \begin{aligned} a &= [1, 2]T(3, (n-5)/2, n-3), \\ b &= [1, 3]T(4, (n-3)/2, n-2), \\ c &= T(3, 8, n+3)T(9, (n-5)/2, n-3). \end{aligned} \\ n \equiv 0 & \begin{aligned} a &= [1, 2]T(3, (n-6)/2, n-4), \\ b &= [1, 3]T(4, (n-4)/2, n-3), \\ c &= T(3, 9, n+3)T(10, (n-6)/2, n-4). \end{aligned} \end{array}$$

Note that when $n = 24$ we have $(n-6)/2 < 10$. So we define $T(10, (n-6)/2, n-4)$ to be 1.

Proof. For $n \not\equiv 1$ we have $(ac)^3 = \pm[1, 2]$ and when $n \equiv 1$, $ac = \pm[1, 2]$. Hence we only need show that $\pm[1, 2, \dots, n]$ is also generated in each case. When $n \equiv 1$, we have directly that

$ab = \pm[1, 2, \dots, n]$. For the remaining values of n we note that when

$$\begin{aligned} n \equiv 2 \quad ab = \pm[1, 2, \dots, n - 1] \quad &\text{so } \tilde{S}_{n-1} \text{ is generated,} \\ n \equiv 3 \quad ab = \pm[1, 2, \dots, n - 2] \quad &\text{so } \tilde{S}_{n-2} \text{ is generated,} \\ n \equiv 4 \quad ab = \pm[1, 2, \dots, n - 3] \quad &\text{so } \tilde{S}_{n-3} \text{ is generated,} \\ n \equiv 5 \quad ab = \pm[1, 2, \dots, n - 4] \quad &\text{so } \tilde{S}_{n-4} \text{ is generated,} \\ n \equiv 6 \quad ab = \pm[1, 2, \dots, n - 5] \quad &\text{so } \tilde{S}_{n-5} \text{ is generated,} \\ n \equiv 7 \quad ab = \pm[1, 2, \dots, n - 6] \quad &\text{so } \tilde{S}_{n-6} \text{ is generated.} \end{aligned}$$

In particular, as $n \geq 17$, we may generate for each n the signed cycles $d = [1, 9]$, $e = [1, 8]$, $f = [1, 7]$, $g = [1, 6]$, $h = [1, 5]$, $j = [1, 4]$, $k = [1, 3]$. Thus we may obtain $\pm[1, 2, \dots, n]$ from $abckc$ when $n \equiv 2$, $abckjc$ when $n \equiv 3$, $abchjkc$ when $n \equiv 4$, $abceghjkc$ when $n \equiv 5$, $abcfghjkc$ when $n \equiv 6$, $abcefgghjkc$ when $n \equiv 7$ and $abcdefghjkc$ when $n \equiv 0$. \square

The previous propositions give directly the following theorem.

Theorem 2.10. *For $n \geq 7$ and $n \neq 13$ $i(\tilde{S}_n) = 3$.*

3. $i(\hat{S}_n)$

We denote by \hat{S}_n the double cover of S_n that lifts a transposition of S_n to an element of order 2. Hence \hat{S}_n is the group with generators $z, r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{n-1}$ and relations

$$\begin{aligned} z^2 &= 1, \\ zr_i &= r_i z, \quad r_i^2 = 1 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n - 1, \\ (r_j r_{j+1})^3 &= 1 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n - 2, \\ r_k r_h &= z r_h r_k \quad \text{for } |h - k| > 1 \quad \text{and } 1 \leq h, k \leq n - 1. \end{aligned}$$

For computations in \hat{S}_n we multiply each of the generators of the Clifford Algebra $C(\Omega)$, where $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \cup \{\delta\}$ (see [5]), by the complex number i to obtain an algebra over \mathbf{C} generated by $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, f_\delta$ where $f_j^2 = 1$ and $f_j f_k = -f_k f_j$ for $j \neq k$. The subgroup of this complex algebra generated by

$$(f_1 - f_2)/\sqrt{2}, (f_2 - f_3)/\sqrt{2}, \dots, (f_n - f_\delta)/\sqrt{2}$$

is isomorphic to \hat{S}_n .

By identifying D_{a_j} with $(f_j - f_\delta)/\sqrt{2}$, where a_j are distinct in $\Omega \setminus \delta$, the following two relations are readily verified.

$$D_{a_j} D_{a_j} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad D_{a_1} D_{a_2} \dots D_{a_m} D_{a_1} = (-1)^{m+1} D_{a_2} D_{a_3} \dots D_{a_m} D_{a_1} D_{a_2}.$$

Now, misusing notation, as in [5], we may write these as

$$a_j a_j = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad a_1 a_2 \dots a_m a_1 = (-1)^{m+1} a_2 a_3 \dots a_m a_1 a_2.$$

We are now in a position to define a signed cycle in \hat{S}_n .

Definition 3.1. For distinct elements a_1, \dots, a_m we define $\langle a_1 a_2 \dots a_m \rangle = a_1 a_2 \dots a_m a_1$. We call $\pm \langle a_1 a_2 \dots a_k \rangle$ signed cycles in \hat{S}_n . Each is a lift of the cycle $(a_1 a_2 \dots a_k)$ in S_n .

Using this definition with the above relations we obtain the following rules for multiplying signed cycles in \hat{S}_n .

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a_j \rangle &= 1, \\ \langle a_1 a_2 \dots a_m \rangle &= (-1)^{m+1} \langle a_2 a_3 \dots a_m a_1 \rangle, \\ \langle a_1 a_2 \dots a_{m-1} \rangle a_m &= (-1)^m a_m \langle a_1 a_2 \dots a_{m-1} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

As an example of the multiplication of signed cycles we recover our presentation for \hat{S}_n as follows: Let $r_1 = \langle 1, 2 \rangle$, $r_2 = \langle 2, 3 \rangle$, \dots , $r_{n-1} = \langle n-1, n \rangle$ and $z = -1$. Clearly $z^2 = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} z r_j &= -\langle j, j+1 \rangle = r_j z, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n-1, \\ r_j^2 &= \langle j, j+1 \rangle \langle j, j+1 \rangle = j, j+1, j, j, j+1, j = 1, \\ (r_j r_{j+1})^3 &= (\langle j, j+1 \rangle \langle j+1, j+2 \rangle)^3 = (-\langle j+1, j \rangle \langle j+1, j+2 \rangle)^3 \\ &= (-j+1, j, j+2, j+1)^3 = -j+1 \langle j, j+2 \rangle \langle j+2, j \rangle j+1 \\ &= j+1 \langle j+2, j \rangle \langle j+2, j \rangle j+1 \\ &= j+1, j+1 = 1 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n-2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} r_j r_k &= \langle j, j+1 \rangle \langle k, k+1 \rangle = -\langle k, k+1 \rangle \langle j, j+1 \rangle \\ &= z r_k r_j \quad \text{for } |j-k| > 1 \quad \text{and } 1 \leq j, k \leq n-1. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, for $n \geq 4$, $\hat{S}_n \not\cong \tilde{S}_n$ if $n \neq 6$, see [3]. Also note that we will again be taking advantage of the fact that by factoring out \hat{S}_n by the subgroup $Z = \langle 1, -1 \rangle$ we recover S_n . That is $\hat{S}_n/Z \cong S_n$.

Proposition 3.2. Any product of k disjoint signed transpositions in \hat{S}_n has order two if the integer part of $k/2$ is a multiple of two, and order four otherwise.

Proof. Let S denote a product of k disjoint signed transpositions so that we have, for $k \geq 2$,

$$S = \pm \langle a_1, b_1 \rangle \langle a_2, b_2 \rangle \dots \langle a_k, b_k \rangle,$$

where a_i and b_i are distinct integers in the signed transpositions of \hat{S}_n . Then a straight forward induction proof gives, for $k > 1$,

$$S^2 = (-1)^{k-1} (-1)^{k-2} \dots (-1)^2 (-1).$$

While for $k = 1$, $\langle a_1, b_1 \rangle \langle a_1, b_1 \rangle = 1$. □

So in \hat{S}_n an involution is of the form $\pm \pi$ where π is a signed cycle consisting of k disjoint transpositions and k is congruent to 0 or 1 modulo 4.

Proposition 3.3. For $n \geq 4$, \hat{S}_n may be generated by $a = \pm \langle 1, 2 \rangle$ and $b = \pm \langle 1, 2, \dots, n \rangle$.

Proof. As in Proposition 2.3 we need only show that -1 is generated. Now in \hat{S}_n we may generate either

$$g = + \langle 1, 2 \rangle \langle 3, 4 \rangle \quad \text{or} \quad h = - \langle 1, 2 \rangle \langle 3, 4 \rangle,$$

but in either case $g^2 = h^2 = -1$. □

The investigation into the value of $i(\hat{S}_n)$ closely follows that of the previous section, except that here involutions are products of k signed transpositions for $k \equiv 0$ or 1 modulo 4. So in particular $\pm \langle 1, 2 \rangle$ is an involution in this double cover.

Hence in \hat{S}_5 , \hat{S}_6 and \hat{S}_7 the only elements of order two are -1 and signed transpositions of the form $\pm \langle a, b \rangle$. Thus we have immediately that $i(\hat{S}_5) = 4$, $i(\hat{S}_6) = 5$ (which, as $\hat{S}_6 \cong \tilde{S}_6$, implies $i(\hat{S}_6) = 5$) and $i(\hat{S}_7) = 6$, generators being $\langle 1, 2 \rangle, \dots, \langle 1, n \rangle$. Also, as the only involutions in \hat{S}_8 are -1 and signed cycles of type 2^1 and 2^4 , it is readily verified (via GAP [4]) that $i(\hat{S}_8) > 3$. But as

$$\langle 1, 2 \rangle, \quad \langle 1, 6 \rangle, \quad \langle 1, 2 \rangle \langle 3, 8 \rangle \langle 4, 7 \rangle \langle 5, 6 \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle 1, 6 \rangle \langle 2, 3 \rangle \langle 4, 8 \rangle \langle 5, 7 \rangle$$

generate \hat{S}_8 we have $i(\hat{S}_8) = 4$.

Proposition 3.4. *For $n \geq 9$, when $n \equiv 1, 2$ or 3 modulo 8, \hat{S}_n may be generated by three involutions.*

Proof. We only need apply the decomposition referred to in the introduction to see that we may express $\pm \langle 1, 2, \dots, n \rangle$ as the product ab where

$$a = \langle 1, n-1 \rangle \langle 2, n-2 \rangle \dots \langle r, n-r \rangle, \quad b = \langle 1, n \rangle \langle 2, n-1 \rangle \dots \langle t, n+1-t \rangle.$$

Hence if we take a, b along with $\langle 1, 2 \rangle$ the result follows. □

Proposition 3.5. *For $12 \leq n \leq 16$, the following involutions generate \hat{S}_n .*

When $n = 16$

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \langle 1, 15 \rangle \langle 2, 14 \rangle \langle 3, 13 \rangle \langle 6, 10 \rangle \langle 7, 9 \rangle \\ b &= \langle 4, 12 \rangle \langle 5, 11 \rangle \langle 7, 10 \rangle \langle 2, 15 \rangle \langle 3, 14 \rangle \\ c &= \langle 4, 13 \rangle \langle 5, 12 \rangle \langle 6, 11 \rangle \langle 1, 16 \rangle \langle 8, 9 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

For $12 \leq n \leq 15$

$$a = \langle 1, 2 \rangle \langle 3, 11 \rangle \langle 4, 10 \rangle \langle 5, 9 \rangle \langle 6, 8 \rangle, \quad b = \langle 1, 3 \rangle \langle 4, 11 \rangle \langle 5, 10 \rangle \langle 6, 9 \rangle \langle 7, 8 \rangle$$

$$\text{and } c = \begin{cases} \langle 3, 12 \rangle \langle 4, 10 \rangle \langle 5, 9 \rangle \langle 6, 8 \rangle & \text{when } n = 12, \\ \langle 3, 12 \rangle \langle 4, 13 \rangle \langle 5, 9 \rangle \langle 6, 8 \rangle & \text{when } n = 13, \\ \langle 3, 12 \rangle \langle 4, 13 \rangle \langle 5, 14 \rangle \langle 6, 8 \rangle & \text{when } n = 14, \\ \langle 3, 12 \rangle \langle 4, 13 \rangle \langle 5, 14 \rangle \langle 6, 15 \rangle & \text{when } n = 15. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For $n = 16$ we have $abc = \pm \langle 1, 2, \dots, 16 \rangle$ so we need only show that $\langle 1, 2 \rangle$ is also generated. But

$$(abc)^6((c(ab)^4cb)^3(b(abc)^3b)^3)^3(abc)^{-6} = \pm \langle 1, 4 \rangle \quad \text{and}$$

$$((abc)^2b(abc)^3)^2 \pm \langle 1, 4 \rangle ((abc)^2b(abc)^3)^{-2} = \pm \langle 1, 2 \rangle.$$

When $n = 12, 13, 14$ or 15 , $(ac)^3 = \pm \langle 1, 2 \rangle$ and $ab = \pm \langle 1, 2, \dots, 11 \rangle$ so for each n we may generate the subgroup \hat{S}_{11} , in particular the signed cycles $d = \langle 1, 3 \rangle$, $e = \langle 1, 4 \rangle$, $f = \langle 1, 5 \rangle$ and $g = \langle 1, 6 \rangle$.

Hence we need only show that $\langle 1, 2, \dots, n \rangle$ can also be generated for each n . But when $n = 12$ we have $abcdc = \pm \langle 1, 2, \dots, 12 \rangle$. When $n = 13$, $abcdec = \pm \langle 1, 2, \dots, 13 \rangle$. When $n = 14$, $abcdefc = \pm \langle 1, 2, \dots, 14 \rangle$ and when $n = 15$, $abcdefgc = \pm \langle 1, 2, \dots, 15 \rangle$. \square

We again make use of the notation $T(\alpha, \alpha + \delta, \alpha + \beta)$, as in the previous section, but here this represents the $\delta + 1$ signed transpositions

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \langle \alpha + 1, \beta - 1 \rangle \langle \alpha + 2, \beta - 2 \rangle \dots \langle \alpha + \delta, \beta - \delta \rangle.$$

Proposition 3.6. *For $n \geq 17$, the following involutions, which are dependent on the value of n modulo 8, generate \hat{S}_n .*

$n \equiv 0$	$a = \langle 1, 2 \rangle T(3, (n - 4)/2, n - 2),$ $b = \langle 1, 3 \rangle T(4, (n - 2)/2, n - 1),$ $c = T(3, 7, n + 3)T(8, (n - 4)/2, n - 2).$
$n \equiv 4$	$a = \langle 1, 2 \rangle T(3, n/2, n + 2),$ $b = \langle 1, 3 \rangle T(4, (n + 2)/2, n + 3),$ $c = \langle 3, n \rangle T(4, n/2, n + 2).$
$n \equiv 5$	$a = \langle 1, 2 \rangle T(3, (n - 1)/2, n + 1),$ $b = \langle 1, 3 \rangle T(4, (n + 1)/2, n + 2),$ $c = \langle 3, n \rangle \langle 4, n - 1 \rangle T(5, (n - 1)/2, n + 1).$
$n \equiv 6$	$a = \langle 1, 2 \rangle T(3, (n - 2)/2, n),$ $b = \langle 1, 3 \rangle T(4, n/2, n + 1),$ $c = T(3, 5, n + 3)T(6, (n - 2)/2, n).$
$n \equiv 7$	$a = \langle 1, 2 \rangle T(3, (n - 3)/2, n - 1),$ $b = \langle 1, 3 \rangle T(4, (n - 1)/2, n),$ $c = T(3, 6, n + 3)T(7, (n - 3)/2, n - 1).$

Proof. For each n here we have $(ac)^3 = \pm \langle 1, 2 \rangle$, so we only need show that $\pm \langle 1, 2, \dots, n \rangle$ is also generated in each case. Now for

$n \equiv 4$	$ab = \pm \langle 1, 2, \dots, n - 1 \rangle$	so \hat{S}_{n-1} is generated,
$n \equiv 5$	$ab = \pm \langle 1, 2, \dots, n - 2 \rangle$	so \hat{S}_{n-2} is generated,
$n \equiv 6$	$ab = \pm \langle 1, 2, \dots, n - 3 \rangle$	so \hat{S}_{n-3} is generated,
$n \equiv 7$	$ab = \pm \langle 1, 2, \dots, n - 4 \rangle$	so \hat{S}_{n-4} is generated,
$n \equiv 0$	$ab = \pm \langle 1, 2, \dots, n - 5 \rangle$	so \hat{S}_{n-5} is generated.

In particular, as $n \geq 17$, we may generate for each n the signed cycles $d = \langle 1, 7 \rangle$, $e = \langle 1, 6 \rangle$, $f = \langle 1, 5 \rangle$, $g = \langle 1, 4 \rangle$ and $h = \langle 1, 3 \rangle$. Thus we may obtain $\pm \langle 1, 2, \dots, n \rangle$ from $abchc$ when $n \equiv 4$, $abcghc$ when $n \equiv 5$, $abcfghc$ when $n \equiv 6$, $abcefgbc$ when $n \equiv 7$ and $abcdefghc$ when $n \equiv 0$. \square

These last three propositions, along with the fact that $(1, 2)$, $(1, 3)$ and $(1, 4)$ generate S_4 , give directly the following theorem.

Theorem 3.7. *For $n = 4$ and $n \geq 9$, $i(\hat{S}_n) = 3$.*

Acknowledgements. This paper is an extension of a portion of a thesis which the author undertook while being supported by the SERC and supervised by Dr. J. F. Humphreys, to whom the author is greatly indebted, at Liverpool University.

References

- [1] Brinkman, J.: *Involutions in the Decorations of M_{12}* . Comm. Algebra **23** (5) (1995), 1975–1988.
- [2] Conway, J. H.; Curtis, R. T.; Norton, S. P.; Parker R. A.; Wilson, R. A.: *Atlas of Finite Groups: Maximal Subgroups and Ordinary Characters for Simple Groups*. Clarendon Press, Oxford 1985.
- [3] Hoffman, P. N.; Humphreys, J. F.: *Projective Representations of the Symmetric Groups*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs, 1992.
- [4] Schönert, M. et.al.: *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming*. Lehrstuhl D für Mathematik, Rheinisch-Westfälische Technische Hochschule Aachen, Germany, fifth edition 1995.
- [5] Wales, D. B.: *Some projective representations of S_n* . J. Algebra **61** (1979), 37–57.

Received November 4, 1998