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#### Abstract

We introduce new classes of meromorphic multivalent quasi-convex functions and find some sufficient differential subordination theorems for such classes in punctured unit disk with applications in fractional calculus.


## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let $\Sigma_{p, \alpha}^{+}$be the class of functions $F(z)$ of the form

$$
F(z)=\frac{1}{z^{p}}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n+\alpha-1}, \quad \alpha \geq 1, \quad p=1,2, \ldots,
$$

which are analytic in the punctured unit disk $U:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}, 0<|z|<1\}$. Let $\Sigma_{p, \alpha}^{-}$ be the class of functions of the form

$$
F(z)=\frac{1}{z^{p}}-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n+\alpha-1}, \quad \alpha \geq 1, \quad a_{n} \geq 0
$$

which are analytic in the punctured unit disk $U$. Now let us recall the principle of subordination between two analytic functions: Let the functions $f$ and $g$ be analytic in $\triangle:=\{z \in \mathbb{C},|z|<1\}$. Then we say that the function $f$ is subordinate to $g$ if there exists a Schwarz function $w$, analytic in $\triangle$ such that

$$
f(z)=g(w(z)), \quad z \in \triangle .
$$

We denote this subordination by

$$
f \prec g \quad \text { or } \quad f(z) \prec g(z) .
$$

If the function $g$ is univalent in $\triangle$, the above subordination is equivalent to

$$
f(0)=g(0) \quad \text { and } \quad f(\triangle) \subset g(\triangle) .
$$

Now, let $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{3} \times \triangle \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and let $h$ be univalent in $\triangle$. Assume that $p, \phi$ are analytic and univalent in $\triangle$. If $p$ satisfies the differential superordination

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.h(z) \prec \phi(p(z)), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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then $p$ is called a solution of the differential superordination. (If $f$ is subordinate to $g$, then $g$ is called superordinate to $f$.) An analytic function $q$ is called a subordinant if $q \prec p$ for all $p$ satisfying (1). A univalent function $q$ such that $p \prec q$ for all subordinants $p$ of (1) is said to be the best subordinant.

Let $\Sigma_{p}^{+}$be the class of analytic functions of the form

$$
f(z)=\frac{1}{z^{p}}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, \quad \text { in } U
$$

And let $\Sigma_{p}^{-}$be the class of analytic functions of the form

$$
f(z)=\frac{1}{z^{p}}-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, \quad a_{n} \geq 0, \quad n=0,1, \ldots \quad \text { in } U
$$

A function $f \in \Sigma_{p}^{+}\left(\Sigma_{p}^{-}\right)$is meromorphic multivalent starlike if $f(z) \neq 0$ and

$$
-\Re\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>0, \quad z \in U
$$

Similarly, the function $f$ is meromorphic multivalent convex if $f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ and

$$
-\Re\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>0, \quad z \in U
$$

Moreover, a function $f$ is a called meromorphic multivalent quasi-convex function if there is a meromorphic multivalent convex function $g$ such that

$$
-\Re\left\{\frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{g^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>0
$$

A function $F \in \Sigma_{p, \alpha}^{+}\left(\Sigma_{p, \alpha}^{-}\right)$such that $F(z) \neq 0$ is called meromorphic multivalent starlike if

$$
-\Re\left\{\frac{z F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}\right\}>0, \quad z \in U
$$

And the function $F$ is meromorphic multivalent convex if $F^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ and

$$
-\Re\left\{1+\frac{z F^{\prime \prime}(z)}{F^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>0, \quad z \in U
$$

A function $F \in \Sigma_{p, \alpha}^{+}\left(\Sigma_{p, \alpha}^{-}\right)$is called a meromorphic multivalent quasi-convex function if there is a meromorphic multivalent convex function $G$ such that $G^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ and

$$
-\Re\left\{\frac{\left(z F^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{G^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>0
$$

In the present paper, we establish some sufficient conditions for functions $F \in \Sigma_{p, \alpha}^{+}$ and $F \in \Sigma_{p, \alpha}^{-}$to satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\left(z^{p} F^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{G^{\prime}(z)} \prec q(z) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q$ is a given univalent function in $U$. Moreover, we give applications for these results in fractional calculus. We shall need the following known results.

Lemma 1.1 ([1]). Let $q$ be convex univalent in the unit disk $\triangle$. Let $\psi$ be a function and number $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
\Re\left\{1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}+\frac{\psi}{\gamma}\right\}>0
$$

If $p$ is analytic in $\triangle$ and

$$
\psi p(z)+\gamma z p^{\prime}(z) \prec \psi q(z)+\gamma z q^{\prime}(z)
$$

then $p(z) \prec q(z)$ and $q$ is the best dominant.
Lemma 1.2 ([2]). Let $q$ be univalent in the unit disk $\triangle$ and let $\theta$ be analytic in a domain $D$ containing $q(\triangle)$. If $z q^{\prime}(z) \theta(q)$ is starlike in $\triangle$ and

$$
z \psi^{\prime}(z) \theta(\psi(z)) \prec z q^{\prime}(z) \theta(q(z))
$$

then $\psi(z) \prec q(z)$ and $q$ is the best dominant.

## 2. SUbordination theorems

In this section, we establish some sufficient conditions for subordination of analytic functions in the classes $\Sigma_{p, \alpha}^{+}$and $\Sigma_{p, \alpha}^{-}$.

Theorem 2.1. Let the function $q$ be convex univalent in $U$ such that $q^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}+\frac{\psi}{\gamma}\right\}>0, \quad \gamma \neq 0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $-\frac{\left(z^{p} F^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{G^{\prime}(z)}$ is analytic in $U$. If $F \in \Sigma_{p, \alpha}^{+}$satisfies the subordination

$$
-\frac{\left(z^{p} F^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{G^{\prime}(z)}\left\{\psi+\gamma\left[\frac{z\left(z^{p} F^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}}{\left(z^{p} F^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}-\frac{z G^{\prime \prime}(z)}{G^{\prime}(z)}\right]\right\} \prec \psi q(z)+\gamma z q^{\prime}(z)
$$

then

$$
-\frac{\left(z^{p} F^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{G^{\prime}(z)} \prec q(z)
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant.
Proof. Let the function $p$ be defined by

$$
p(z):=-\frac{\left(z^{p} F^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{G^{\prime}(z)}, \quad z \in U
$$

It can easily observed that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi p(z)+\gamma z p^{\prime}(z) & =-\frac{\left(z^{p} F^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{G^{\prime}(z)}\left\{\psi+\gamma\left[\frac{z\left(z^{p} F^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}}{\left(z^{p} F^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}-\frac{z G^{\prime \prime}(z)}{G^{\prime}(z)}\right]\right\} \\
& \prec \psi q(z)+\gamma z q^{\prime}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, using the assumption of the theorem the assertion of the theorem follows by an application of Lemma 1.1.

Corollary 2.1. Assume that (3) holds. Let the function $q$ be univalent in $U$. Let $n=1$, if $q$ satisfies

$$
-\frac{\left(z F^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{G^{\prime}(z)}\left\{\psi+\gamma\left[\frac{z\left(z F^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}}{\left(z F^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}-\frac{z G^{\prime \prime}(z)}{G^{\prime}(z)}\right]\right\} \prec \psi q(z)+\gamma z q^{\prime}(z)
$$

then

$$
-\frac{\left(z F^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{G^{\prime}(z)} \prec q(z)
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant.
Theorem 2.2. Let the function $q$ be univalent in $U$ such that $q(z) \neq 0, z \in U$, $\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}$ is starlike univalent in $U$. If $F \in \Sigma_{p, \alpha}^{-}$satisfies the subordination

$$
a\left[\frac{z\left(z^{p} F^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}}{\left(z^{p} F^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}-\frac{z G^{\prime \prime}(z)}{G^{\prime}(z)}\right] \prec a \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)},
$$

then

$$
-\frac{\left(z^{p} F^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{G^{\prime}(z)} \prec q(z)
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant.
Proof. Let the function $\psi$ be defined by

$$
\psi(z):=-\frac{\left(z^{p} F^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{G^{\prime}(z)}, \quad z \in U
$$

By setting

$$
\theta(\omega):=\frac{a}{\omega}, \quad a \neq 0
$$

it can be easily observed that $\theta$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C}-\{0\}$. By straightforward computation we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
a \frac{z \psi^{\prime}(z)}{\psi(z)} & =a\left[\frac{z\left(z^{p} F^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}}{\left(z^{p} F^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}-\frac{z G^{\prime \prime}(z)}{G^{\prime}(z)}\right] \\
& \prec a \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, by using the assumption of the theorem, the assertion of the theorem follows by an application of Lemma 1.2.

Corollary 2.2. Assume that $q$ is convex univalent in $U$. Let $p=1$, if $F \in \Sigma_{p, \alpha}^{-}$ and

$$
\left.a\left[\frac{z\left(z F^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}}{\left(z F^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}-\frac{z G^{\prime \prime}(z)}{G^{\prime}(z)}\right]\right\} \prec a \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}
$$

then

$$
-\frac{\left(z F^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{G^{\prime}(z)} \prec q(z)
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant.

## 3. Applications.

In this section, we introduce some applications of section (2) containing fractional integral operators. Assume that $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{n} z^{n}$ and let us begin with the following definition.

Definition 3.1 ([3]). For a function $f$, the fractional integral of order $\alpha$ is defined by

$$
I_{z}^{\alpha} f(z):=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{z} f(\zeta)(z-\zeta)^{\alpha-1} \mathrm{~d} \zeta ; \quad \alpha>0
$$

where the function $f$ is analytic in simply-connected region of the complex $z$-plane $(\mathbb{C})$ containing the origin, and the multiplicity of $(z-\zeta)^{\alpha-1}$ is removed by requiring $\log (z-\zeta)$ to be real when $(z-\zeta)>0$. Note that $I_{z}^{\alpha} f(z)=f(z) \times \frac{z^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$, for $z>0$ and 0 for $z \leq 0$ (see [4]).

From Definition 3.1, we have

$$
I_{z}^{\alpha} f(z)=f(z) \times \frac{z^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}=\frac{z^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{n} z^{n}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n+\alpha-1}
$$

where $a_{n}:=\frac{\varphi_{n}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$, for all $n=0,1,2,3, \ldots$, thus

$$
\frac{1}{z^{p}}+I_{z}^{\alpha} f(z) \in \Sigma_{p, \alpha}^{+} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{1}{z^{p}}-I_{z}^{\alpha} f(z) \in \Sigma_{p, \alpha}^{-}\left(\varphi_{n} \geq 0\right)
$$

Then we have the following results:
Theorem 3.1. Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 hold, then

$$
-\frac{\left(z^{p}\left(\frac{1}{z^{p}}+I_{z}^{\alpha} f(z)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}}{\left(\frac{1}{z^{p}}+I_{z}^{\alpha} g(z)\right)^{\prime}} \prec q(z),
$$

where $F(z)=\frac{1}{z^{p}}+I_{z}^{\alpha} f(z), G(z)=\frac{1}{z^{p}}+I_{z}^{\alpha} g(z)$ and $q$ is the best dominant.
Theorem 3.2. Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 hold, then

$$
-\frac{\left(z^{p}\left(\frac{1}{z^{p}}-I_{z}^{\alpha} f(z)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}}{\left(\frac{1}{z^{p}}-I_{z}^{\alpha} g(z)\right)^{\prime}} \prec q(z),
$$

where $F(z)=\frac{1}{z^{p}}-I_{z}^{\alpha} f(z), \quad G(z)=\frac{1}{z^{p}}-I_{z}^{\alpha} g(z)$ and $q$ is the best dominant.
Let $F(a, b ; c ; z)$ be the Gauss hypergeometric function (see [5]) defined for $z \in U$ by

$$
F(a, b ; c ; z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n}(b)_{n}}{(c)_{n}(1)_{n}} z^{n}
$$

where the Pochhammer symbol is defined by

$$
(a)_{n}:=\frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)}= \begin{cases}1, & (n=0) \\ a(a+1)(a+2) \ldots(a+n-1), & (n \in \mathbb{N})\end{cases}
$$

We need the following definitions of fractional operators in the Saigo type of fractional calculus (see [6],[7]).

Definition 3.2. For $\alpha>0$ and $\beta, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$, the fractional integral operator $I_{0, z}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta}$ is defined by

$$
I_{0, z}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} f(z)=\frac{z^{-\alpha-\beta}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{z}(z-\zeta)^{\alpha-1} F\left(\alpha+\beta,-\eta ; \alpha ; 1-\frac{\zeta}{z}\right) f(\zeta) \mathrm{d} \zeta
$$

where the function $f$ is analytic in a simply-connected region of the $z$-plane containing the origin with the order

$$
f(z)=O\left(|z|^{\epsilon}\right)(z \rightarrow 0), \quad \epsilon>\max \{0, \beta-\eta\}-1
$$

and the multiplicity of $(z-\zeta)^{\alpha-1}$ is removed by requiring $\log (z-\zeta)$ to be real when $z-\zeta>0$.

From Definition 3.2 with $\beta<0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{0, z}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} f(z) & =\frac{z^{-\alpha-\beta}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{z}(z-\zeta)^{\alpha-1} F\left(\alpha+\beta,-\eta ; \alpha ; 1-\frac{\zeta}{z}\right) f(\zeta) \mathrm{d} \zeta \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha+\beta)_{n}(-\eta)_{n}}{(\alpha)_{n}(1)_{n}} \frac{z^{-\alpha-\beta}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{z}(z-\zeta)^{\alpha-1}\left(1-\frac{\zeta}{z}\right)^{n} f(\zeta) \mathrm{d} \zeta \\
& :=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n} \frac{z^{-\alpha-\beta-n}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{z}(z-\zeta)^{n+\alpha-1} f(\zeta) \mathrm{d} \zeta \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n} \frac{z^{-\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} f(\zeta) \\
& :=\frac{\bar{B}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{n} z^{n-\beta-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\bar{B}:=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n}$. Denote $a_{n}:=\frac{\bar{B} \varphi_{n}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$, for all $n=2,3, \ldots$, and let $\alpha=-\beta$, thus

$$
\frac{1}{z^{p}}+I_{0, z}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} f(z) \in \Sigma_{p, \alpha}^{+} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{1}{z^{p}}-I_{0, z}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} f(z) \in \Sigma_{p, \alpha}^{-}, \quad\left(\varphi_{n} \geq 0\right)
$$

Then we have the following results:
Theorem 3.3. Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 hold, then

$$
-\frac{\left(z^{p}\left(\frac{1}{z^{p}}+I_{0, z}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} f(z)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}}{\left(\frac{1}{z^{p}}+I_{0, z}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} g(z)\right)^{\prime}} \prec q(z), U
$$

where $F(z)=\frac{1}{z^{p}}+I_{0, z}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} f(z), \quad G(z)=\frac{1}{z^{p}}-I_{0, z}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} g(z)$ and $q$ is the best dominant.
Theorem 3.4. Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 hold, then

$$
-\frac{\left(z^{p}\left(\frac{1}{z^{p}}-I_{0, z}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} f(z)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}}{\left(\frac{1}{z^{p}}-I_{0, z}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} g(z)\right)^{\prime}} \prec q(z)
$$

where $F(z)=\frac{1}{z^{p}}-I_{0, z}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} f(z), \quad G(z)=\frac{1}{z^{p}}-I_{0, z}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} g(z)$ and $q$ is the best dominant.
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