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#### Abstract

The additive structure of multiplicative semigroup $Z_{p^{k}}=Z(\cdot) \bmod p^{k}$ is analysed for prime $p>2$. Order $(p-1) p^{k-1}$ of cyclic group $G_{k}$ of units $\bmod p^{k}$ implies product $G_{k} \equiv A_{k} B_{k}$, with cyclic 'core' $A_{k}$ of order $p-1$ so $n^{p} \equiv n$ for core elements, and 'extension subgroup' $B_{k}$ of order $p^{k-1}$ consisting of all units $n \equiv 1 \bmod p$, generated by $p+1$. The $p$-th power residues $n^{p} \bmod p^{k}$ in $G_{k}$ form an order $\left|G_{k}\right| / p$ subgroup $F_{k}$, with $\left|F_{k}\right| /\left|A_{k}\right|=p^{k-2}$, so $F_{k}$ properly contains core $A_{k}$ for $k \geq 3$.

The additive structure of subgroups $A_{k}, F_{k}$ and $G_{k}$ is derived by successor function $S(n)=n+1$, and by considering the two arithmetic symmetries $C(n)=-n$ and $I(n)=n^{-1}$ as functions, with commuting $I C=C I$, where $S$ does not commute with $I$ nor $C$. The four distinct compositions $S C I, C I S, C S I, I S C$ all have period 3 upon iteration. This yields a triplet structure in $G_{k}$ of three inverse pairs $\left(n_{i}, n_{i}^{-1}\right)$ with $n_{i}+1 \equiv-\left(n_{i+1}\right)^{-1}$ for $i=0,1,2$ where $n_{0} \cdot n_{1} \cdot n_{2} \equiv 1 \bmod p^{k}$, generalizing the cubic root solution $n+1 \equiv-n^{-1} \equiv-n^{2} \bmod p^{k}(p \equiv 1 \bmod 6)$.

Any solution in core: $(x+y)^{p} \equiv x+y \equiv x^{p}+y^{p} \bmod p^{k>1}$ has exponent $p$ distributing over a sum, shown to imply the known $F L T$ inequality for integers. In such equivalence $\bmod p^{k}\left(F L T\right.$ case $\left._{1}\right)$ the three terms can be interpreted as naturals $n<p^{k}$, so $n^{p}<p^{k p}$, and the $(p-1) k$ produced carries cause $F L T$ inequality. In fact, inequivalence $\bmod p^{3 k+1}$ is derived for the cubic roots of $1 \bmod$ $p^{k}(p \equiv 1 \bmod 6)$.


## Introduction

The commutative semigroup $Z_{p^{k}}(\cdot)$ of multiplication $\bmod p^{k}$ (prime $p>2$ ) has for all $k>0$ just two idempotents: $1^{2} \equiv 1$ and $0^{2} \equiv 0$, and is the disjoint union of the corresponding maximal subsemigroups (Archimedian components [4], [8]). Namely the group $G_{k}$ of units $\left(n^{i} \equiv 1 \bmod p^{k}\right.$ for some $\left.i>0\right)$ which are all relative prime to $p$, and maximal ideal $N_{k}$ as nilpotent subsemigroup of all $p^{k-1}$ multiples of $p\left(n^{i} \equiv 0 \bmod p^{k}\right.$ for some $\left.i>0\right)$. Notice that, since the analysis holds for any odd prime $p$, the index $p$ in $G_{k}$ and $N_{k}$ is omitted for brevity of notation. Order $\left|G_{k}\right|=(p-1) p^{k-1}$ has two coprime factors, so that $G_{k} \equiv A_{k} B_{k}$, with 'core' $A_{k}$

[^0]and 'extension group' $B_{k}$ of orders $p-1$ and $p^{k-1}$ respectively. Residues of $n^{p}$ form a subgroup $F_{k} \subset G_{k}$ of order $\left|F_{k}\right|=\left|G_{k}\right| / p$, to be analysed for its additive structure. Each $n \in A_{k}$ has $n^{p} \equiv n \bmod p^{k}$ denoted as $F S T_{k}$, since this is related to Fermat's Small Theorem where $k=1$.

Notation: Base $p$ number representation is used, which is useful for computer experiments, as reported in Tables 1 and 2. This models residue arithmetic mod $p^{k}$ by considering only the $k$ less significant digits, and ignoring the more significant digits. Congruence class $[n] \bmod p^{k}$ is represented by natural number $n<p^{k}$, encoded by $k$ digits (base $p$ ). Class $[n]$ consists of all integers with the same least significant $k$ digits as $n$. As usual, concatenation of operands indicates multiplication.

Define the 0 -extension of residue $n \bmod p^{k}$ as the natural number $n<p^{k}$ with the same $k$-digit representation (base $p$ ), and all more significant digits (at $p^{m}$, $m \geq k)$ set to 0 .

Signed residue $-n$ is only a convenient notation for the complement $p^{k}-n$ of $n$, which are both positive. $C[n]$ is a cyclic group of order $n$, such as $Z_{p^{k}}(+) \cong C\left[p^{k}\right]$. Units $\bmod p$ form a cyclic group $G_{1}=C[p-1]$, and $G_{k}$ of order $(p-1) p^{k-1}$ is also cyclic for $k>1$ [ $\mathbf{1}]$. Finite semigroup structure is applied, and digit analysis of prime-base residue arithmetic, to study the combination of $(+)$ and $(\cdot) \bmod p^{k}$, especially the additive properties of multiplicative subgroups of ring $Z_{p^{k}}(+, \cdot)$

Elementary residue arithmetic, cyclic groups, and (associative) function composition will be used, starting at the known cyclic (one generator) nature [1] of the group $G_{k}$ of units $\bmod p^{k}$. The direct product structure of $G_{k}$ (Lemma 1.1 and Corollary 1.2) on the $p^{k-2}$ extensions of $n^{p} \bmod p^{2}$ to cover all $p$-th power residues $\bmod p^{k}$ for $k>2$ are known, but they are derived for completeness. Results beyond Section 1 are believed to be new.

The two symmetries of residue arithmetic $\bmod p^{k}$, defined as automorphisms of order 2 , are complement $-n$ under ( + ) and inverse $n^{-1}$ under ( $\cdot$ ). Their role as functions $C(n)=-n$ and $I(n)=n^{-1}$, in the triplet additive structure of $Z(\cdot)$ $\bmod p^{k}($ Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.1) is essential.

| Symbols | and Definitions (odd prime $p)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $Z_{p^{k}}()$. | multiplicative semigroup mod $p^{k}(k$-digit arithmetic base $p)$ |
| $C[m]$ | cyclic group of order m: e.g. $Z_{p^{k}}(+) \cong C\left[p^{k}\right]$ |
| $x \in Z_{p^{k}}()$. | unique product $x=g^{i} p^{k-j} \bmod p^{k}\left(g^{i} \in G_{j}\right.$ coprime to $\left.p\right)$ |
| 0 -extension X | of residue $x \bmod p^{k}:$ the smallest non-negative integer |
|  | $X \equiv x \bmod p^{k}$ |
| (finite) extension $U$ of $x \bmod p^{k}:$ any integer $U \equiv x \bmod p^{k}$ |  |
| $G_{k} \equiv A_{k} \cdot B_{k}$ | group of units $n: n^{i} \equiv 1 \bmod p^{k}($ some $i>0)$, |
|  | $\left\|G_{k}\right\| \equiv(p-1) p^{k-1}$ |
| $A_{k}$ | core of $G_{k},\left\|A_{k}\right\|=p-1\left(n^{p} \equiv n \bmod p^{k}\right.$ for $\left.n \in A_{k}\right)$ |
| $B_{k} \equiv(p+1)^{*}$ | extension group of all $n \equiv 1 \bmod p,\left\|B_{k}\right\|=p^{k-1}$ |
| $F_{k}$ | subgroup of all $p$-th power residues in $G_{k},\left\|F_{k}\right\|=\left\|G_{k}\right\| / p$ |
| $A_{k} \subset F_{k} \subset G_{k}$ | proper inclusions only for $k \geq 3\left(A_{2} \equiv F_{2} \subset G_{2}\right)$ |


| Symbols | and Definitions (odd prime $p$ ) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{d(n)}$ | core increment $A(n+1)-A(n)$ of core func'n $A(n) \equiv n^{q}$, $q=\left\|B_{k}\right\|$ |
| $F S T_{k}$ | core $A_{k}\left(p-1\right.$ residues) extends $F S T\left(n^{p} \equiv n \bmod p\right)$ to $\bmod p^{k>1}$ |
| solution in core period of $n \in G_{k}$ normation | $x^{p}+y^{p} \equiv z^{p} \bmod p^{k}$ with $x, y, z$ in core $A_{k}$. order $\left\|n^{*}\right\|$ of subgroup generated by $n$ in $G_{k}(\cdot)$ divide $x^{p}+y^{p} \equiv z^{p} \bmod p^{k}$ by one term (in $F_{k}$ ) to yield one term $\pm 1$ |
| complement $-n$ inverse $n^{-1}$ | unique in $Z_{p^{k}}(+):-n+n \equiv 0 \bmod p^{k}$ unique in $G_{k}(\cdot): n^{-1} \cdot n \equiv 1 \bmod p^{k}$ |
| 1-complement pair inverse-pair triplet | pair $\{m, n\}$ in $Z_{p^{k}}(+): m+n \equiv-1 \bmod p^{k}$ <br> pair $\left\{a, a^{-1}\right\}$ of inverses in $G_{k}$ <br> 3 inv. pairs: $a+b^{-1} \equiv b+c^{-1} \equiv c+a^{-1} \equiv-1$, <br> $\left(a b c \equiv 1 \bmod p^{k}\right)$ |
| triplet $^{p}$ <br> symmetry $\bmod p^{k}$ | a triplet of $p$-th power residues in subgroup $F_{k}$ <br> $-n$ and $n^{-1}$ : order 2 automorphism of $Z_{p^{k}}(+)$ resp. $G_{k}(\cdot)$ |
| $E D S$ property | Exponent Distributes over a Sum: $(a+b)^{p} \equiv a^{p}+b^{p} \bmod p^{k}$ |

## 1. Structure of the group $G_{k}$ of units

Lemma 1.1. $G_{k} \cong A_{k}^{\prime} \times B_{k}^{\prime} \cong C[p-1] \cdot C\left[p^{k-1}\right]$ and $Z(\cdot) \bmod p^{k}$ has a subsemigroup isomorphic to $Z(\cdot) \bmod p$.

Proof. Cyclic group $G_{k}$ of units $n\left(n^{i} \equiv 1\right.$ for some $\left.i>0\right)$ has order $(p-1) p^{k-1}$, namely $p^{k}$ minus $p^{k-1}$ multiples of $p$. Then $G_{k}=A_{k}^{\prime} \times B_{k}^{\prime}$, the direct product of two relative prime cycles, with corresponding subgroups $A_{k}$ and $B_{k}$, so that $G_{k} \equiv A_{k} B_{k}$ where:
extension group $B_{k}=C\left[p^{k-1}\right]$ consists of all $p^{k-1}$ residues $\bmod p^{k}$ that are $1 \bmod p$, and
core $A_{k}=C[p-1]$, so $Z_{p^{k}}(\cdot)$ contains sub-semigroup $A_{k} \cup 0 \cong Z_{p}(\cdot)$
Core $A_{k}$, as $p-1$ cycle $\bmod p^{k}$, is Fermat's Small Theorem $n^{p} \equiv n \bmod p$ extended to $k>1$ for $p$ residues (including 0 ), to be denoted as $F S T_{k}$.
Recall that $n^{p-1} \equiv 1 \bmod p$ for $n \not \equiv 0 \bmod p(F S T)$, then Lemma 1.1 implies:
Corollary 1.1. With $|B|=p^{k-1}=q$ and $|A|=p-1$, core $A_{k}=\left\{n^{q}\right\} \bmod p^{k}$ $(n=1, \ldots, p-1)$ extends $F S T$ for $k>1$, and $B_{k}=\left\{n^{p-1}\right\} \bmod p^{k}$ consists of all $p^{k-1}$ residues $1 \bmod p$ in $G_{k}$.

Subgroup $F_{k} \equiv\left\{n^{p}\right\} \bmod p^{k}$ of all $p$-th power residues in $G_{k}$, with $F_{k} \supseteq A_{k}$ (only $F_{2} \equiv A_{2}$ ) and order $\left|F_{k}\right|=\left|G_{k}\right| / p=(p-1) p^{k-2}$, consists of all $p^{k-2}$ extensions $\bmod p^{k}$ of the $p-1 p$-th power residues in $G_{2}$, which has order $(p-1) p$. Consequently:

Corollary 1.2. Each extension of $n^{p} \bmod p^{2}\left(\right.$ in $\left.F_{2}\right)$ is a $p$-th power residue (in $F_{k}$ ).

Core generation: The $p-1$ residues $n^{q} \bmod p^{k}\left(q=p^{k-1}\right)$ define core $A_{k}$ for $0<n<p$. Cores $A_{k}$ for successive $k$ are produced as the $p$-th power of each $n_{0}<p$ recursively

$$
\left(n_{0}\right)^{p} \equiv n_{1},\left(n_{1}\right)^{p} \equiv n_{2},\left(n_{2}\right)^{p} \equiv n_{3}, \ldots
$$

where $n_{i}$ has $i+1$ digits (base $p$ ). In more detail:
Lemma 1.2. For non-negative digits $a_{i}<p$ the $p-1$ naturals $a_{0}<p$ define core

$$
A_{k}\left(a_{0}\right) \equiv\left(a_{0}\right)^{p^{k-1}} \equiv a_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} a_{i} p^{i} \quad \bmod p^{k}
$$

and

$$
A_{k+1}\left(a_{0}\right) \equiv\left[A_{k}\left(a_{0}\right)\right]^{p} \quad \bmod p^{k+1}
$$

Proof. Let $a=a_{0}+m p<p^{2}$ be in core $A_{2}$, so $a^{p} \equiv a \bmod p^{2}$. Then

$$
a^{p}=\left(m p+a_{0}\right)^{p} \equiv a_{0}^{p-1} m p^{2}+a_{0}^{p} \equiv m p^{2}+a_{0}^{p} \quad \bmod p^{3},
$$

by FST. Core digit $a_{1}$ of weight $p$ is not found in this way as function of $a_{0}$, requiring actual computation, except for $a \equiv p \pm 1$ as in (1) and ( $1^{\prime}$ ). It depends on the carries produced in computing the $p$-th power of $a_{0}$. Similarly, the next more significant digit in core $A_{k+1}(n)$ is found by computing, with $k+1$ digit precision, the $p$-th power $a^{p}$ of 0-extension $a<p^{k}$ in core $A_{k}$, leaving core $A_{k}$ fixed, because $a^{p} \equiv a \bmod p^{k}$.

Notice $\left(p^{2} \pm 1\right)^{p} \equiv p^{3} \pm 1 \bmod p^{5}$, and $(p+1)^{p} \equiv p^{2}+1 \bmod p^{3}$ yields by induction on $m$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
(p+1)^{p^{m}} & \equiv p^{m+1}+1 \quad \bmod p^{m+2}  \tag{1}\\
(p-1)^{p^{m}} & \equiv p^{m+1}-1 \quad \bmod p^{m+2}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 1.3. Extension group $B_{k}$ is generated by $p+1 \bmod p^{k}$, with $\left|B_{k}\right|=$ $p^{k-1}$, and each subgroup $S \subseteq B_{k},|S|=\left|B_{k}\right| / p^{s}$ has sum

$$
\sum S \equiv|S| \quad \bmod p^{k} \not \equiv 0 \quad \bmod p^{k}
$$

Proof. For the smallest $x$ with $(p+1)^{x} \equiv 1 \bmod p^{k}$, the period of $p+1$, (1) implies $m+1=k$. So $m=k-1$, thus period $p^{k-1}$. No smaller $x$ generates $1 \bmod p^{k}$ since $\left|B_{k}\right|$ has only divisors $p^{s}$.
$B_{k}$ consists of all $p^{k-1}$ residues which are $1 \bmod p$. The order of each subgroup $S \subset B_{k}$ must divide $\left|B_{k}\right|$, so that $|S|=\left|B_{k}\right| / p^{s}(0 \leq s<k)$ and $S=\left\{1+m \cdot p^{s+1}\right\}$ $(m=0, \ldots,|S|-1)$. Then $\sum S=|S|+p^{s+1} \cdot|S|(|S|-1) / 2 \bmod p^{k}$, where $p^{s+1} \cdot|S|=p \cdot\left|B_{k}\right|=p^{k}$, so that $\sum S=|S|=p^{k-1-s} \bmod p^{k}$. Hence no subgroup of $B_{k}$ sums to $0 \bmod p^{k}$.

Corollary 1.3. For core $A_{k} \equiv g^{*}$, each unit $n \in G_{k} \equiv A_{k} B_{k}$ has the form:

$$
n \equiv g^{i}(p+1)^{j} \quad \bmod p^{k}
$$

for a unique pair of non-negative exponents $i<\left|A_{k}\right|$ and $j<\left|B_{k}\right|$.

Pair $(i, j)$ are the exponents in the core- and extension- component of unit $n$. In case $p=2$, the most interesting prime for computer engineering purposes, the next binary number representation is readily verified $[\mathbf{3}],[\mathbf{7}]$ :

Lemma 1.4. For $p=2: p+1=3$ is a semi-primitive root of $1 \bmod 2^{k}$ for $k>2$.

In other words, for base $p=2$ and precision $k>2$ : each odd residue $\bmod 2^{k}$ is a unique signed power of 3 . Hence an efficient $k$-bit binary number code is

$$
n= \pm 3^{i} \cdot 2^{j} \quad \bmod 2^{k}
$$

for all integers $0 \leq n<2^{k}$, with unique non-negative index pair $i<2^{k-2}$ and $j \leq k$.
Clearly, this allows a dual-base $(2,3)$ binary logarithmetic code, which reduces multiplication to addition of the two indices, and XOR (add mod 2 ) of the involved signs (see US-patent [7]).

Theorem 1.1. Each subgroup $S \supset 1$ of core $A_{k}$ sums to $0 \bmod p^{k}(k>0)$.
Proof. For even $|S|:-1$ in $S$ implies pairwise zero-sums. In general: $c \cdot S=S$ for all $c$ in $S$, and $c \sum S=\sum S$, so $S \cdot x=x$, writing $x$ for $\sum S$. Now for any $g$ in $G_{k}:|S \cdot g|=|S|$ so that $|S \cdot x|=1$ implies $x$ not in $G_{k}$, hence $x=g \cdot p^{e}$ for some $g$ in $G_{k}$ and $0<e<k$ or $x=0(e=k)$. Then $S \cdot x=S\left(g \cdot p^{e}\right)=(S \cdot g) p^{e}$ with $|S \cdot g|=|S|$ if $e<k$. So $|S \cdot x|=1$ yields $e=k$ and $x=\sum S=0$.

Consider the normation of an additive equivalence $a+b \equiv c \bmod p^{k}$ in units group $G_{k}$, by multiplying all terms with the inverse of one of these terms, to yield rhs -1 as right hand side:

$$
\begin{align*}
1 \text {-complement form: } a+ & b \equiv-1 \bmod p^{k} \text { in } G_{k}  \tag{2}\\
& \text { (digitwise sum } p-1, \text { no carry). }
\end{align*}
$$

For instance the well known $p$-th power residue equivalence: $x^{p}+y^{p} \equiv z^{p}$ in $F_{k}$ yields:
normal form: $a^{p}+b^{p} \equiv-1 \bmod p^{k}$ in $G_{k}$, with a special case in core $A_{k}$, considered next.

## 2. The cubic root solution in core, and core symmetries

Lemma 2.1. Cubic roots $a^{3} \equiv 1 \bmod p^{k}(p \equiv 1 \bmod 6, k>1)$ are $p$-th power residues in core $A_{k}$, and $a+a^{-1} \equiv-1 \bmod p^{k}(a \not \equiv-1)$ has no corresponding integers as $p$-th powers $<p^{k p}$.

Proof. If $p \equiv 1 \bmod 6$ then 3 divides $p-1$, implying a core subgroup $S=$ $\left\{a, a^{2}, 1\right\}$ of three $p$-th powers: the cubic roots $a^{3} \equiv 1$ in $G_{k}$, with sum $0 \bmod p^{k}$ (Theorem 1.1). Now $a^{3}-1=(a-1)\left(a^{2}+a+1\right)$, so for $a \neq 1: a^{2}+a+1 \equiv 0$, hence $a+a^{-1} \equiv-1$ solves the normed (2'), being a root-pair of inverses with $a^{2} \equiv a^{-1}$. Subgroup $S \subset A_{k}$ consists of $p$-th power residues with $n^{p} \equiv n \bmod p^{k}$.

$$
\text { Core } A=(43)^{*}=434266242501\left(\bmod 7^{2}\right)
$$

Cubic rootpair: $42+24 \equiv 66 \equiv-1$


Figure 1. Core $A_{2} \bmod 7^{2}(6$-cycle), Cubic roots $\{42,24,01\}$ (3-cycle) in core.
Write $b$ for $a^{-1}$, then $a^{p}+b^{p} \equiv-1$ and $a+b \equiv-1$, hence $a^{p}+b^{p} \equiv(a+b)^{p}$ $\bmod p^{k}$. The "exponent $p$ distributes over a sum" $(E D S)$ property implies $A^{p}+B^{p}$ $<(A+B)^{p}$ for the corresponding 0-extensions $A, B, A+B$ of residues $a, b, a+b$ $\bmod p^{k}$.

1. Successive powers $g^{i}$ of generator $g$ of $G_{k}$ produce $\left|G_{k}\right|$ points ( $k$-digit residues) counter clockwise on a unit circle (Figures 1, 2). Inverse pairs ( $a, a^{-1}$ ) are connected vertically, complements ( $a,-a$ ) diagonally, and pairs $\left(a,-a^{-1}\right)$ horizontally, representing functions $I, C$ and $I C=C I$ respectively (Theorem 3.1).
2. Scaling any equation, such as $a+1 \equiv-b^{-1}$, by a factor $s \equiv g^{i} \in G_{k} \equiv g^{*}$, yields $s(a+1) \equiv-s / b \bmod p^{k}$, represented by a rotation counter clockwise over $i$ positions.

### 2.1. Another derivation of the cubic roots of $1 \bmod p^{k}$

The cubic root solution was derived, for 3 dividing $p-1$, via subgroup $S \subset A_{k}$ of order 3 (Theorem 1.1). For completeness a derivation using elementary arithmetic follows.

Notice $a+b \equiv-1$ to yield $a^{2}+b^{2} \equiv(a+b)^{2}-2 a b \equiv 1-2 a b$, and:

$$
a^{3}+b^{3} \equiv(a+b)^{3}-3(a+b) a b \equiv-1+3 a b
$$

The combined sum is $a b-1$ :

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(a^{i}+b^{i}\right) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{3} a^{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{3} b^{i} \equiv a b-1 \quad \bmod p^{k} .
$$

Find $a, b$ for $a b \equiv 1 \bmod p^{k}$. Now

$$
n^{2}+n+1=\left(n^{3}-1\right) /(n-1)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad n^{3} \equiv 1 \quad(n \neq 1)
$$

hence $a b \equiv 1 \bmod p^{k},(k>0)$ if $a^{3} \equiv b^{3} \equiv 1 \bmod p^{k}$, with 3 dividing $p-1$ $(p \equiv 1 \bmod 6)$. Cubic roots $a^{3} \equiv 1 \bmod p^{k}$ exist for any prime $p \equiv 1 \bmod 6$ at any precision $k>0$.

In the next section other solutions of $\sum_{i=1}^{3} a^{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{3} b^{i} \equiv 0 \bmod p^{k}$ will be shown, depending not only on $p$ but also on $k$, with $a b \equiv 1 \bmod p^{2}$ but $a b \not \equiv 1$ $\bmod p^{3}$, for some primes $p \geq 59$.

### 2.2. Core increment symmetry $\bmod p^{2 k+1}$ and asymmetry $\bmod p^{3 k+1}$

Consider:
core function $A_{k}(n)=n^{q}\left(q=\left|B_{k}\right|=p^{k-1}\right)$ as natural monomial, core increment $d_{k}(n)=A_{k}(n+1)-A_{k}(n)=(n+1)^{q}-n^{q}$ (even degree $\left.q-1\right)$, natural core $C_{k}(n)<p^{k}$ with $A_{k}(n) \equiv C_{k}(n) \bmod p^{k}$,
integer core increment $D_{k+1}(n)=\left[C_{k}(n+1)\right]^{p}-\left[C_{k}(n)\right]^{p}$, with absolute value less than $p^{k p}$.

Recall: for natural $n<p$ the $p$-th power residues $\left[A_{k}(n)\right]^{p} \bmod p^{k+1}$ form core $A_{k+1}$ (Lemma 1.2). For any core element $a \in C_{k}: a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \bmod p^{k}$. By FST: $C_{k}(n) \equiv n \bmod p$, so $D_{k}(n) \equiv 1 \bmod p$, and $D_{k}(n)$ is called core increment, although in general $D_{k}(n) \not \equiv 1 \bmod p^{k}$ for $k>2$. Core naturals $C_{k}(n)<p^{k}$ are considered in order to study natural $p$-th power sums.

For example consider $p=7$ (Figure 1). The cubic roots in core $A_{2}$ are $\{42,24,01\} \bmod 7^{2}$, with 7 -th powers $\{642,024,001\}$ in core $A_{3}$. In full 14 digits (base 7):

$$
42^{7}+24^{7}=01424062500666(k=2) \quad \text { versus } \quad 66^{7}=60262046400666
$$

which are equivalent $\bmod 7^{2 k+1}=7^{5}$, but differ mod $7^{6}$ hence also mod $7^{3 \cdot 2+1}=7^{7}$. Cubic roots $\{3642,3024\}$ in core $A_{4}$, as 7 -th powers of cubic roots in $A_{3}(k=3)$, have increment $1 \bmod 7^{7}$ with increment symmetry $\bmod 7^{2 k+1}=7^{7}$, and asymmetry $\bmod p^{3 k+1}=7^{10}$. See also Table 1 . This core- and carry effect is generalized for integers as follows.

Lemma 2.2 (Core increment symmetry and asymmetry). For $q=\left|B_{k}\right|=p^{k-1}$ ( $k \geq 1$ ) and natural $m, n<p$ :
(a) Core residues $A_{k}(n) \equiv n^{q} \bmod p^{k}$ and increments $d_{k}(n) \equiv A_{k}(n+1)$ $-A_{k}(n) \bmod p^{k}$ have period $p$ in $n$.
(b) If $m+n=p$ then $A_{k}(p-n) \equiv A_{k}(-n) \equiv-A_{k}(n) \bmod p^{k}($ odd symm.).
(c) If $m+n=p-1$ then $D_{k+1}(m) \equiv D_{k+1}(n) \bmod p^{2 k+1} \quad$ (even symm.).
(d) If $m+n=p-1$ and natural cubic roots $C_{k}(m)+C_{k}(n)=p^{k}-1$ then $D_{k+1}(m) \not \equiv D_{k+1}(n) \bmod p^{3 k+1}$ (asymmetry)
Proof. (a) Core function $A_{k}(n) \equiv n^{q} \bmod p^{k}\left(q=p^{k-1}, n \not \equiv 0 \bmod p\right)$ has just $p-1$ distinct residues with $\left(n^{q}\right)^{p} \equiv n^{q} \bmod p^{k}$, and $A_{k}(n) \equiv n \bmod p(\mathrm{FST})$. Include non-core $A_{k}(0) \equiv 0$ then $A_{k}(n) \bmod p^{k}$ is periodic in $n$ with period $p$, so $A_{k}(n+p) \equiv A_{k}(n) \bmod p^{k}$. Hence difference $d_{k}(n) \bmod p^{k}$ of two functions of period $p$ also has period $p$.


Table 1. Cores $C_{1} . . C_{3}$, increment symmetry $\bmod p^{[2 k+1]}$ of $C_{2} . . C_{4}$. For cubic roots of $1 \bmod p^{k}:$ asymmetry $\bmod p^{[3 k+1]}$ in $C_{2} . . C_{4} .$.
(b) $(-n)^{q}=-n^{q}$, odd $q=p^{k-1}$, yields odd symmetry

$$
A_{k}(p-n) \equiv A_{k}(-n) \equiv-A_{k}(n) \quad \bmod p^{k}
$$

(c) Difference polynomial $d_{k}(n)$ has leading term $q n^{q-1}$. Even degree $q-1$ results in even symmetry

$$
d_{k}(n-1)=n^{q}-(n-1)^{q}=-(-n)^{q}+(-n+1)^{q}=d_{k}(-n)
$$

Now $C_{k}(n)=p^{k}-C_{k}(p-n)<p^{k}$, hence for $m+n=p-1, C_{k}(m+1)=p^{k}-C_{k}(n)$, so
$D_{k+1}(m)=\left[p^{k}-C_{k}(n)\right]^{p}-\left[C_{k}(m)\right]^{p} \quad$ and $\quad D_{k+1}(n)=\left[p^{k}-C_{k}(m)\right]^{p}-\left[C_{k}(n)\right]^{p}$.
Briefly denote naturals $C_{k}(m)=a, C_{k}(n)=b$, and $h=(p-1) / 2$ then

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{k+1}(m)- & D_{k+1}(n)=\left[\left(p^{k}-b\right)^{p}+b^{p}\right]-\left[\left(p^{k}-a\right)^{p}+a^{p}\right] \\
& \equiv-h\left[b^{p-2}-a^{p-2}\right] p^{2 k+1}+\left[b^{p-1}-a^{p-1}\right] p^{k+1} \bmod p^{3 k+1}  \tag{*}\\
& \equiv 0 \quad \bmod p^{2 k+1}
\end{align*}
$$

because by FST: $a^{p-1} \equiv b^{p-1} \equiv 1 \bmod p^{k}$.
(d) Carry difference $\left(b^{p-1}-a^{p-1}\right) / p^{k} \not \equiv h\left(b^{p-2}-a^{p-2}\right) \bmod p^{k}$ is required, to avoid cancellation in $\left(^{*}\right)$. It suffices to show this for $k=1$ and 0 -extensions $1<a, b<p$ of cubic roots of $1 \bmod p$. Using $b \equiv a^{2} \equiv a^{-1}, b^{p-2}-a^{p-2} \equiv-(b-a)$ $\bmod p$, and $h=(p-1) / 2 \equiv-1 / 2 \bmod p$ the carry difference must satisfy $(c d)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(b^{p-1}-a^{p-1}\right)}{p} \not \equiv \frac{(b-a)}{2} \quad \bmod p \tag{cd}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $a^{3} \equiv c p+1 \bmod p^{2}$ with some carry $c$, then for $m>0: a^{3 m} \equiv m c p+1$ $\bmod p^{2}$. So $a^{p-1} \equiv[(p-1) / 3] c p+1 \bmod p^{2}$, and similarly for cubic root power $b^{3}$. In other words, in extension group $B_{2} \equiv\{x p+1\} \equiv(p+1)^{x} \bmod p^{2}$ the coefficient of $p$ is proportional to the exponent. For $a^{p-1}$ versus $a^{3}$ the ratio is $(p-1) / 3$. However in (cd), adapted for third powers $a^{3}, b^{3}$ it is $(p-1) /(3 / 2)=2(p-1) / 3$, hence the (cd) inequivalence holds.

So for the cubic roots of $1 \bmod p^{k}$, with $a+b=C_{k}(m)+C_{k}(n)=p^{k}-1$ core increment has asymmetry

$$
D_{k+1}(m) \not \equiv D_{k+1}(n) \quad \bmod p^{3 k+1} .
$$

Corollary 2.1. Let prime $p \equiv 1 \bmod 6$, and any precision $k>0$. For $x^{3} \equiv y^{3} \equiv 1 \bmod p^{k}$ (cubic roots $\left.x, y \not \equiv 1\right) 0$-extensions $X, Y<p^{k}$ of $x, y$ have $X^{p}, Y^{p} \bmod p^{k+1}$ in core $A_{k+1}$ with $X^{p}+Y^{p} \equiv-1 \bmod p^{k+1}$ and $X^{p}+Y^{p} \equiv \equiv\left(p^{k}-1\right)^{p} \bmod p^{3 k+1}$ 。

## 3. Symmetries as functions yield 'triplets'

Any solution of $\left(2^{\prime}\right): a^{p}+b^{p}=-1 \bmod p^{k}$ has at least one term $(-1)$ in core, and at most all three terms in core $A_{k}$. To characterize such solution by the number of terms in core $A_{k}$, quadratic analysis $\left(\bmod p^{3}\right)$ is essential since proper inclusion $A_{k} \subset F_{k}$ requires $k \geq 3$. The cubic root solution, involving one inverse pair (Lemma 2.1) has all three terms in core $A_{k}(k>1)$. However, a computer search (Table 2) reveals another type of solution of ( $2^{\prime}$ ) $\bmod p^{2}$ for some $p \geq 59$, namely three inverse pairs of $p$-th power residues, denoted triplet ${ }^{p}$, in core $A_{2}$.

Lemma 3.1. A triplet ${ }^{p}$ of three inverse-pairs of $p$-th power residues in $F_{k}$ satisfies
(3a) $a+b^{-1} \equiv-1 \bmod p^{k}$
(3b) $b+c^{-1} \equiv-1 \bmod p^{k}$
(3c) $c+a^{-1} \equiv-1 \bmod p^{k}$ with $a b c \equiv 1 \bmod p^{k}$.
Proof. Multiplying by $b, c, a$ resp. maps (3a) to (3b) if $a b \equiv c^{-1}$, and (3b) to (3c) if $b c \equiv a^{-1}$, and (3c) to (3a) if $a c \equiv b^{-1}$. All three conditions imply $a b c \equiv 1$ $\bmod p^{k}$.

Table 2 shows all normed solutions of $\left(2^{\prime}\right) \bmod p^{2}$ for $p<200$, with a triplet ${ }^{p}$ at $p=59,79,83,179,193$. The cubic roots, indicated by $C_{3}$, occur only at $p \equiv 1$ $\bmod 6$, while a triplet ${ }^{p}$ can occur for either prime type $\pm 1 \bmod 6$. More than one triplet ${ }^{p}$ can occur per prime: two at $p=59$, three at $1093(\mathrm{dec})=[1111111]$ base 3 (one of the two known Wieferich primes [9], [6], and four at 36847, each the first occurrence of such multiple triplet $\left.{ }^{p}\right)$. There are primes for which both root forms occur, e.g. $p=79$ has a cubic root solution as well as a triplet ${ }^{p}$.

Such loop of inverse-pairs in residue ring $Z \bmod p^{k}$ cannot have a length beyond 3, seen as follows. Consider the successor $S(n)=n+1$ and the two symmetries: complement $C(n)=-n$ and inverse $I(n)=n^{-1}$, as functions which compose associatively.

```
Find a+b = -1 mod p^2 (in A=F < G): Core A={n^p=n}, F={n^p} =A if k=2.
    G(p^2)=g*, log-code: log(a)=i, log(b)=j; a.b=1 --> i+j=0 (mod p-1)
TRIPLET^p: a+ 1/b= b+ 1/c= c+ 1/a=-1; a.b.c=1; (p= 59 79 83 179 193 \ldots..
Root-Pair: a+ 1/a=-1; a^3=1 ('C3') <--> p=6m+1 (Cubic rootpair of 1)
p:6m+-1 g=generator; p < 2000: two triplets at p= 59, 701, 1811
    5:-
    37:+
    50:- log lin mod p^2 
        27, 19( 18 44, 40 14) -19, 8( 13 38, 45 20) -8, -27( 5 3, 5, 53 55)
    61:+
    30, 20( 40 46, 38 32) -20, 10( 36 42, 42 36) -10,-30( 77 11, 1 67)
    83:-
89:-
19,-1(78 176,100 2) -1, 18( }6490,114 88) -18,-19( 88 59, 90 119
181:+ 2 % C3 191:- 19
193:+ + 58( 5 C3 106,128 86) -58, 53( 4 101,188 91) -53, 81(188 70, 4 122)
197:- 2 199:+ 3 C3
```

Table 2. $\mathrm{FLT}_{2}$ root: inv-pair (C3) \& $\operatorname{triplet}^{p}$ (for $p<200$ ).

Theorem 3.1 (Two basic solution types). Each normed solution of (2') is (an extension of) a triplet ${ }^{p}$ or an inverse- pair.

Proof. Assume that $r$ equations $1-n_{i}^{-1} \equiv n_{i+1}$ form a loop of length $r$ (indices mod $r$ ). Consider function $I C S(n) \equiv 1-n^{-1}$, composed of the three elementary functions: Inverse, Complement and Successor, in that sequence. Let $E(n) \equiv n$ be the identity function, and $n \neq 0,1,-1$ to prevent division by zero, then under function composition the third iteration $[I C S]_{3}=E$, since $[I C S]_{2}(n)$ $\equiv-1 /(n-1) \rightarrow[I C S]_{3}(n) \equiv n$ (repeat substituting $1-n^{-1}$ for $n$ ). Since $C$ and $I$ commute, $I C=C I$, the $3!=6$ permutations of $\{I, C, S\}$ yield only four distinct dual-folded-successor "dfs" functions:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
I C S(n)=1-n^{-1}, & S C I(n)=-(1+n)^{-1} \\
C S I(n)=(1-n)^{-1}, & I S C(n)=-\left(1+n^{-1}\right)
\end{array}
$$

By inspection each of these has $[d f s]_{3}=E$, referred to as loop length 3. For a cubic rootpair $d f s=E$, and 2-loops do not occur since there are no duplets (see Section 3.1 note 2). Hence solutions of ( $2^{\prime}$ ) have only $d f s$ function loops of length 1 and 3: inverse pair and triplet ${ }^{p}$.

A special triplet ${ }^{p}$ occurs if one of $a, b, c$ equals 1 , say $a \equiv 1$. Then $b c \equiv 1$ since $a b c \equiv 1$, while (3a) and (3c) yield $b^{-1} \equiv c \equiv-2$, so $b \equiv c^{-1} \equiv-2^{-1}$. Although triplet $(a, b, c) \equiv\left(1,-2,-2^{-1}\right)$ satisfies conditions (3), 2 is not in core $A_{k}(k>2)$, and by symmetry $a, b, c \neq 1$ for any triplet ${ }^{p}$ of form (3).

If $2^{p} \not \equiv 2 \bmod p^{2}$ then 2 is not a $p$-th power residue, so triplet $\left(1,-2,-2^{-1}\right)$ is not a triplet ${ }^{p}$ for such primes, that is: at least all primes $p<4 \cdot 10^{12}[\mathbf{6}]$, except the two Wieferich primes [9]: $1093(\mathrm{dec})=[1111111]$ base 3, and $3511(\mathrm{dec})=$ [6667] base 8.


Figure 2. $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{A} \cdot \mathrm{B}=g^{*}\left(\bmod 5^{2}\right)$, Cycle in the plane.

### 3.1. A triplet for each unit $n$ in $G_{k}$

Notice the proof of Theorem 3.1 does not require $p$-th power residues. So any $n \in G_{k}$ generates a triplet by iteration of one of the four $d f s$ functions, yielding the main triplet structure of $G_{k}$

Corollary 3.1. Each unit $n$ in $G_{k}(k>0)$ generates a triplet of three inverse pairs, except if $n^{3} \equiv 1$ and $n \not \equiv 1 \bmod p^{k}(p \equiv 1 \bmod 6)$, which involves one inverse pair.

Starting at $n_{0} \in G_{k}$ six triplet residues are generated upon iteration of e.g. $S C I(n): n_{i+1} \equiv-\left(n_{i}+1\right)^{-1}$ (indices mod 3), or another dfs function to prevent a non-invertable residue. Less than 6 residues are involved if 3 or 4 divides $p-1$

If $3 \mid(p-1)$ then a cubic root of $1\left(a^{3} \equiv 1, a \not \equiv 1\right)$ generates just 3 residues: $a+1 \equiv-a^{-1}-$ together with its complement this yields a subgroup $(a+1)^{*} \equiv C_{6}$ (Figure 1, $p=7$ ).

If 4 divides $p-1$ then an $x$ on the vertical axis has $x^{2} \equiv-1$ so $x \equiv-x^{-1}$, so the three inverse pairs involve then only five residues (Figure $2, p=5$ ).

1. It is no coincidence that the period 3 of each $d f s$ composition exceeds by one the number of symmetries of finite ring $Z(+, \cdot) \bmod p^{k}$.
2. No duplet occurs: multiply $a+b^{-1} \equiv-1, b+a^{-1} \equiv-1$ by $b$ resp. $a$. Then $a b+1 \equiv-b$ and $a b+1 \equiv-a$, so that $-b \equiv-a$ and $a \equiv b$.
3. Basic triplet $\bmod 3^{2}: G_{2} \equiv 2^{*} \equiv\{2,4,8,7,5,1\}$ is a 6 -cycle of residues mod 9. Iterate $S C I(1)^{*}:-(1+1)^{-1} \equiv 4,-(4+1)^{-1} \equiv 7,-(7+1)^{-1} \equiv 1$, and $a b c \equiv 1 \cdot 4 \cdot 7 \equiv 1$ $\bmod 9$.

### 3.2. The $E D S$ argument extended to non-core triplets

The $E D S$ argument for the cubic root solution $C R$ (Lemma 2.1), with all three terms in core, also holds for any triplet ${ }^{p} \bmod p^{2}$. Because $A_{2} \equiv F_{2} \bmod p^{2}$, so all three terms are in core for some linear transform (5). Then for each of the three equivalences (3a) - (3c) holds the $E D S$ property: $(x+y)^{p} \equiv x^{p}+y^{p}$, and thus no finite (equality preserving) extension exists, yielding inequality for the corresponding integers for all $k>1$, to be shown next. A cubic root solution is a special triplet ${ }^{p}$ for $p \equiv 1 \bmod 6$, with $a \equiv b \equiv c$ in (3a) - (3c).

Denote the $p-1$ core elements as residues of integer function $A_{k}(n)=n^{\left|B_{k}\right|}$ $(0<n<p)$, then for any $k>2$ consider core increment form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{k}(n+1)-A_{k}(n) \equiv\left(r_{n}\right)^{p} \quad \bmod p^{k}, \quad \text { where } \quad\left(r_{n}\right)^{p} \equiv 1 \quad \bmod p^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This triplet ${ }^{p}$ rootform with two terms in core, and $\left(r_{n}\right)^{p} \not \equiv 1 \bmod p^{3}$, is useful for the additive analysis of subgroup $F_{k}$ of $p$-th power residues $\bmod p^{k}$, in essence: the known Fermat's Last Theorem $F L T$ case $_{1}$ for residues coprime to $p$, discussed in the next section.

Any assumed $F L T$ case $_{1}$ solution (5) for integers less than $p^{k p}$ can be transformed to (4), in two equality preserving steps. Namely first a multiplicative scaling by an integer $p$-th power factor $s^{p}$ that is $1 \bmod p^{2}($ so $s \equiv 1 \bmod p)$, to yield as one lefthand term the core residue $A_{k}(n+1) \bmod p^{k}$. And secondly an additive translation by integer term $t$ which is $0 \bmod p^{2}$ applied to both sides, resulting in the other lefthand term $-A_{k}(n) \bmod p^{k}$, while preserving integer equality. Assuming, without loss, the normed form with $z^{p} \equiv 1 \bmod p^{2}$, such linear transformation $(s, t)$ yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{p}+y^{p}=z^{p} \longleftrightarrow(s x)^{p}+(s y)^{p}+t=(s z)^{p}+t \quad[\text { integers }], \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $s^{p} \equiv A_{k}(n+1) / x^{p}, \quad(s y)^{p}+t \equiv-A_{k}(n) \bmod p^{k}$, so:

$$
A_{k}(n+1)-A_{k}(n) \equiv(s z)^{p}+t \quad \bmod p^{k}, \quad \text { equivalent to } 1 \quad \bmod p^{2}
$$

With $s^{p} \equiv z^{p} \equiv 1, t \equiv 0 \bmod p^{2}$ this yields an equivalence which is $1 \bmod p^{2}$, hence a $p$-th power residue, and $\left(5^{\prime}\right)$ has two of the three terms in core, for $k>2$. All three terms of a triplet ${ }^{p} \bmod p^{2}$ are in core (Corrolary 1.2). In core increment form (4) for $k>2$ this holds apparently only if the righthand side $\left(r_{n}\right)^{p} \equiv 1$ $\bmod p^{k}$, yielding:

Corollary 3.2 (For precision $k>2$ (base $p$ )). Core increment form (4) with all three terms in core $A_{k}$ is the cubic root solution, and an FLT equivalence mod $p^{k}$ with three terms in core is a (scaled) cubic root solution.

Lemma 3.2. The $p$-th powers of 0 -extended terms of a triplet ${ }^{p}\left(\bmod p^{k}\right)$ yield integer inequality.

Proof. In a triplet ${ }^{p}$ for some odd prime $p$ the core increment form (4) holds for three distinct values of $n<p$. Consider each triplet ${ }^{p}$ equivalence separately. To simplify notation let $r$ be any of the three $r_{n}$, and core residues $A_{k}(n+1) \equiv x^{p} \equiv x$, $-A_{k}(n) \equiv y^{p} \equiv y \bmod p^{k}$. Then $x^{p}+y^{p} \equiv x+y \equiv r^{p} \bmod p^{k}$, where $r^{p} \equiv 1$ $\bmod p^{2}$, has both summands in core, but $r^{p} \not \equiv 1 \bmod p^{k}$ for $k>2$ is not in core: deviation $d \equiv r-r^{p} \not \equiv 0 \bmod p^{k}$.

Hence $r \equiv r^{p}+d \equiv(x+y)+d \bmod p^{k}\left(\right.$ with $d \equiv 0 \bmod p^{k}$ in the cubic root case), and $x^{p}+y^{p} \equiv x+y \equiv(x+y+d)^{p} \bmod p^{k}$. The corresponding 0 -extensions yield integer $p$-th power inequality: $X^{p}+Y^{p}<(X+Y+D)^{p}$.

In the case of cubic roots in core $A_{k}$, less than full $p k$ digit precision (base $p$ ), namely $\bmod p^{3 k+1}$ suffices to yield the $F L T$ inequality (Corollary 2.1). For any triplet ${ }^{p} \bmod p^{2}$, necessarily in core $A_{2}$ (Corollary 1.2), and for cubic roots of 1 $\bmod p^{k}($ any $k>0)$, there holds $(x+y)^{p} \equiv x+y \equiv x^{p}+y^{p}$, where exponent $p$ distributes over a sum. By binomial expansion the sum of mixed terms yields integer $(X+Y)^{p}-\left(X^{p}+Y^{p}\right) \neq 0$ of precision $k p$, which is $0 \bmod p^{2}$ for any triplet ${ }^{p}$.

For any triplet ${ }^{p} \bmod p^{k}(k>2)$, say in core increment form $\left(5^{\prime}\right)$, it is conjectured that there is a least precision $m(k)$ (base $p$ ), not exceeding that for cubic roots, which implies inequivalence $X^{p}-Y^{p} \not \equiv Z^{p} \bmod p^{m}\left(Z^{p} \equiv 1 \bmod p^{2}\right)$ for successive core 0 -extensions $X, Y<p^{k}$.

Conjecture. The 0 -extensions $X, Y, Z<p^{k}$ of terms in any triplet ${ }^{p} \bmod p^{k}$ equivalence in core increment form (5') with $X-Y=Z \equiv 1 \bmod p^{2}$ yield: $X^{p}-Y^{p} \not \equiv Z^{p} \bmod p^{3 k+1}$.

## 4. Relation to Fermat's Small and Last Theorem

Core $A_{k}$ as $F S T$ extension $\bmod p^{k}(k>1)$, the additive zero-sum property of its subgroups (Theorem 1.1), and the triplet structure of units group $G_{k}$ (Theorem 3.1), allow a direct approach to Fermat's Last Theorem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{p}+y^{p}=z^{p} \text { (prime } p>2 \text { ) has no solution for positive integers } x, y, z \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$ with case $_{1}: x y z \not \equiv 0 \bmod p$, and case $_{2}: p$ divides one of $x, y, z$.

Usually (6) mentions exponent $n>2$, but it suffices to show inequality for primes $p>2$, because composite exponent $m=p \cdot q$ yields $a^{p q}=\left(a^{p}\right)^{q}=\left(a^{q}\right)^{p}$. In case ${ }_{2}$ : $p$ divides just one term, because if $p$ divides two terms then it also divides the third, and all terms can be divided by $p^{p}$.

A finite integer $F L T$ solution of (6) has three $p$-th powers, each less than $p^{m}$ for some finite fixed $m=k p$, with $x, y, z<p^{k}$, so (6) holds mod $p^{m}$, yet with no carry beyond $p^{m-1}, 0$-extending all terms.

The present approach needs only a simple form of Hensel's lemma [5] (in the general $p$-adic number theory), which is a direct consequence of Corollary 1.2, extend digit-wise the normed 1-complement form $\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ such that the $i$-th digit of weight $p^{i}$ in $a^{p}$ and $b^{p}$ sum to $p-1(0 \leq i<k)$, with $p$ choices per extra digit. Thus to each normed solution of $\left(2^{\prime}\right) \bmod p^{2}$ there correspond $p^{k-2}$ solutions $\bmod p^{k}$.

Corollary 4.1 (1-complement extension). For $k>2$, a normed $F L T_{k}$ root is an extended $F L T_{2}$ root.

### 4.1. Proof of the FLT inequality

Regarding $F L T$ case $_{1}$, cubic root of 1 and triplet ${ }^{p}$ are the only (normed) $F L T_{k}$ roots (Theorem 3.1). Any assumed integer case ${ }_{1}$ solution has a corresponding equivalent core increment form (4) with two terms in core, which by Lemma 3.2 has no integer extension, contradicting the assumption, as follows :

Theorem 4.1 ( $F L T$ case $_{1}$ ). For prime $p>2$ and integers $x, y, z>0$ coprime to $p$ equation $x^{p}+y^{p}=z^{p}$ has no solution.

Proof. An $F L T_{k}(k>1)$ solution is a linear transformed extension of an $F L T_{2}$ root in core $A_{2}=F_{2}$ (Corollary 4.1). By Lemma 3.2 it has no finite $p$-th power extension, yielding the theorem.

In $F L T$ case $_{2}$ just one of $x, y, z$ is a multiple of $p$, hence $p^{p}$ divides one of the three $p$-th powers in $x^{p}+y^{p}=z^{p}$. Again, any assumed case ${ }_{2}$ equality can be transformed to an equivalence $\bmod p^{p}$ with two terms in core $A_{p}$, having no integer extension, contra the assumption.

Theorem $4.2\left(F L T\right.$ case $\left._{2}\right)$. For prime $p>2$ and positive integers $x, y$, $z$, if $p$ divides only one of $x, y, z$ then $x^{p}+y^{p}=z^{p}$ has no solution.

Proof. In a case $_{2}$ solution $p$ divides a lefthand term, $x=c p$ or $y=c p(c>0)$, or the right hand side $z=c p$. Bring the multiple of $p$ to the right hand side, for instance if $y=c p$ then $z^{p}-x^{p}=(c p)^{p}$, while otherwise $x^{p}+y^{p}=(c p)^{p}$. So the sum or difference of two $p$-th powers coprime to $p$ must be shown not to yield a $p$-th power $(c p)^{p}$ for any $c>0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{p} \pm y^{p}=(c p)^{p} \text { has no solution for integers } x, y, c>0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that core increment form (4) does not apply here. However, by FST the two lefthand terms, coprime to $p$, are either complementary or equivalent $\bmod p$, depending on their sum or difference being $(c p)^{p}$. Scaling by $s^{p}$ for some $s \equiv 1 \bmod p$, so $s^{p} \equiv 1 \bmod p^{2}$, transforms one lefthand term into a core residue
$A_{p}(n) \bmod p^{p}$, with $n \equiv x \bmod p$. And translation by adding $t \equiv 0 \bmod p^{2}$ yields the other term $A_{p}(n)$ or $-A_{p}(n) \bmod p^{p}$, respectively. The right hand side then becomes $s^{p}(c p)^{p}+t$, equivalent to $t \bmod p^{p}$. So the assumed equality (7) yields, by two equality preserving tansformations, the next equivalence (8), where $A_{p}(n) \equiv u \equiv u^{p} \bmod p^{p}\left(u\right.$ in core $A_{p}$ for $0<n<p$ with $\left.x \equiv n \bmod p\right)$ and $s \equiv 1, t \equiv 0 \bmod p^{2}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{p} \pm u^{p} \equiv u \pm u \equiv t \quad \bmod p^{p}\left(u \in A_{p}\right), \text { with } u \equiv(s x)^{p}  \tag{8}\\
\pm u \equiv \pm(s y)^{p}+t \quad \bmod p^{p}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equivalence (8) does not extend to integers, because $U^{p}+U^{p}>U+U$, and $U^{p}-U^{p}=0 \neq T$, where $U, T$ are the 0 -extensions of $u, t \bmod p^{p}$, respectively. But this contradicts assumed equalities (7), which consequently must be false.

Note. From a practical point of view the $F L T$ integer inequality with terms less than $p^{p k}$ of a 0 -extended $F L T_{k}$ root ( case $_{1}$ ) is caused by the carries beyond $p^{k}$, amounting to a multiple of the modulus $p^{k}$, produced in the arithmetic (base $p$ ). In the expansion of $(a+b)^{p}$, the mixed terms can vanish $\bmod p^{k}$ for some $a, b$, $p$. Ignoring the carries yields $(a+b)^{p} \equiv a^{p}+b^{p} \bmod p^{k}$, and the $E D S^{\prime}$ property is as it were the syntactical expression of ignoring the carry (overflow) in residue arithmetic. In other words, in terms of $p$-adic number theory, this means 'breaking the Hensel lift': the residue equivalence of an $F L T_{k}$ root $\bmod p^{k}$, although it holds for all $k>0$, does imply inequality for integer $p$-th powers less than $p^{p k}$ due to its special triplet structure, where exponent $p$ distributes over a sum.

## Conclusions and Remarks

1. The two symmetries $-n, n^{-1}$ determine $F L T_{k}$ roots, which are necessary for an $F L T$ integer solution. However, these symmetries (automorphisms) do not exist for positive integers.
2. Another proof of $F L T$ case $_{1}$ might use product $1 \bmod p^{k}$ of $F L T_{k}$ root terms: $a b \equiv 1$ or $a b c \equiv 1$, which is impossible for integers $>1$. The $p$-th power of a $k$-digit natural requires upto $p k$ digits. Arithmetic mod $p^{k}$ ignores carries of weight $p^{k}$ and beyond. Interpreting a given $F L T_{k}$ equivalence in naturals less than $p^{k}$, their $p$-th powers produce for $p>2$ carries that cause inequality.
3. Core $A_{k} \subset G_{k}$ as extension of $F S T$ to $\bmod p^{k} k>1$, and the zero-sum of its subgroups (Theorem 1.1) yielding the cubic $F L T$ root (Lemma 2.1), initiated this work. The triplets were found by analysing a computer listing (Table 2) of the $F L T$ roots $\bmod p^{2}$ for primes $p<200$.
4. Linear analysis $\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$ suffices for root existence (Hensel, Corollary 4.1), but triplet ${ }^{p}$ core increment form (4) with two successor terms in core requires quadratic analysis $\left(\bmod p^{3}\right)$. Similarly, $F L T$ case $_{1}$ inequivalence $\bmod p^{3 k+1}$ holds for increments of $C_{k+1} \equiv\left(C_{k}\right)^{p}$ for 0-extended core $A_{k}$.
5. "FLT eqn(1) has no finite solution" and " $[I C S]^{3}$ has no finite fixed point" are equivalent (Theorem 3.1), yet each $n \in G_{k}$ is a fixed point of $[I C S]^{3}$
$\bmod p^{k}$ (re: $F L T_{2}$ roots imply all roots for $k>2$, yet no 0 -extension to integers).
6. Crucial in finding the arithmetic triplet structure were extensive computer experiments, and the application of associative function composition, the essence of semi-groups, to the three elementary functions (Theorem 3.1): successor $S(n)=n+1$, complement $C(n)=-n$ and inverse $I(n)=n^{-1}$, with period 3 for $S C I(n)=-(n+1)^{-1}$ and the other three such compositions. In this sense $F L T$ is not a purely arithmetic problem, but essentially requires non-commutative and associative function composition for its proof.
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