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#### Abstract

We develop a technique to compute asymptotic expansions for recurrent sequences of the form $a_{n+1}=f\left(a_{n}\right)$, where $f(x)=x-a x^{\alpha}+b x^{\beta}+o\left(x^{\beta}\right)$ as $x \rightarrow 0$, for some real numbers $\alpha, \beta, a$, and $b$ satisfying $a>0,1<\alpha<\beta$. We prove a result which summarizes the present stage of our investigation, generalizing the expansions in [Amer. Math Monthly, Problem E 3034[1984, 58], Solution [1986, 739]]. One can apply our technique, for instance, to obtain the formula: $a_{n}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{n}}-\frac{3 \sqrt{3}}{10} \frac{\ln n}{n \sqrt{n}}+\frac{9 \sqrt{3}}{50} \frac{\ln n}{n^{2} \sqrt{n}}+o\left(\frac{\ln n}{n^{5 / 2}}\right)$, where $a_{n+1}=\sin \left(a_{n}\right), a_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, we consider the recurrences $a_{n+1}=a_{n}^{2}+g_{n}$, and we prove that under some technical assumptions, $a_{n}$ is almost doubly-exponential, namely $a_{n}=\left\lfloor k^{2^{n}}\right\rfloor$, $a_{n}=\left\lfloor k^{2^{n}}\right\rfloor+1, a_{n}=\left\lfloor k^{2^{n}}-\frac{1}{2}\right\rfloor$, or $a_{n}=\left\lfloor k^{2^{n}}+\frac{5}{2}\right\rfloor$ for some real number $k$, generalizing a result of Aho and Sloane [Fibonacci Quart. 11 (1973), 429-437].


## 1. Introduction

Obtaining an exact formula for the terms of a sequence given by a recurrence may not, in general, be possible. It is the intent of this paper to investigate and give asymptotics for sequences given by recurrences of the form $a_{n+1}=f\left(a_{n}\right)$, where $f(x)=x-a x^{\alpha}+b x^{\beta}+o\left(x^{\beta}\right)$ as $x \rightarrow 0$, for some real numbers $\alpha, \beta, a$, and $b$ satisfying $a>0,1<\alpha<\beta$. We also consider the same recurrence where $f(x)=x-x^{2}$ and give more detailed asymptotics. Moreover, we prove a few results concerning almost doubly-exponential sequences $a_{n+1}=a_{n}^{2}+g_{n}$, where $-a_{n}+1<g_{n}<2 a_{n}$, generalizing a result of Aho and Sloane [1]. For standard notations consult [3], or any other book on differential and integral calculus.
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## 2. Asymptotics of Nonlinear Recurrences

The first part of the next lemma is known as Cesàro's lemma, and the second part is just a small variation of the first. For completeness, we include a proof of the second part of this lemma.

Lemma 1 (Cesàro). Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}},\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ two sequences of real numbers satisfying one of the following conditions:
(i) $\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is eventually a strictly increasing sequence converging to infinity, or
(ii) $\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is eventually a strictly decreasing sequence converging to zero, and $u_{n}$ converges to zero.
If the limit of the sequence $\frac{u_{n+1}-u_{n}}{v_{n+1}-v_{n}}$ exists, then the limit of the sequence $\frac{u_{n}}{v_{n}}$ exists, and we have the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_{n}}{v_{n}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_{n+1}-u_{n}}{v_{n+1}-v_{n}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Suppose we are given an $\epsilon>0$, and by our hypothesis, for some integer $n_{0}$ and some real number $l$ we have

$$
\left|\frac{u_{n+1}-u_{n}}{v_{n+1}-v_{n}}-l\right|<\epsilon, \quad n \geq n_{0}
$$

Using (ii), the above inequality can be equivalently written in the form

$$
-\epsilon\left(v_{n}-v_{n+1}\right)<u_{n}-u_{n+1}-l\left(v_{n}-v_{n+1}\right)<\epsilon\left(v_{n}-v_{n+1}\right), \quad n \geq n_{0}
$$

Adding up these inequalities from $n \geq n_{0}$ to some larger integer $m>n \geq n_{0}$, we get

$$
-\epsilon\left(v_{n}-v_{m+1}\right)<u_{n}-u_{m+1}-l\left(v_{n}-v_{m+1}\right)<\epsilon\left(v_{n}-v_{m+1}\right), \quad m>n \geq n_{0}
$$

Letting $m$ go to infinity in the above inequality and taking into account that $u_{m} \rightarrow 0$ and $v_{m} \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$
-\epsilon v_{n} \leq u_{n}-l v_{n} \leq \epsilon v_{n}, \quad n \geq n_{0}
$$

which gives finally, after dividing by $v_{n}$, the conclusion of our lemma.
Theorem 2. Suppose $f$ is a real-valued continuous function defined on the interval $I=(0, \delta)$ (for some $\delta$ ), which has the form $f(x)=x-a x^{\alpha}+b x^{\beta}+o\left(x^{\beta}\right)$ as $x \rightarrow 0$, for some real numbers $\alpha, \beta$, $a$, and b satisfying $a>0,1<\alpha<\beta$. Then, for $a_{0}$ sufficiently small, the orbit sequence $a_{n}=f\left(a_{n-1}\right)$, satisfies one of the following:
(i) if $\beta=2 \alpha-1$, then

$$
a_{n}=\frac{1}{[a(\alpha-1)]^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{1 /(\alpha-1)}+\frac{b-\frac{a^{2} \alpha}{2}}{[a(\alpha-1)]^{\frac{2 \alpha-1}{\alpha-1}}} \frac{\ln n}{n^{\alpha /(\alpha-1)}} o\left(\frac{\ln n}{n^{\alpha /(\alpha-1)}}\right)
$$

(ii) if $\beta>2 \alpha-1$, then

$$
a_{n}=\frac{1}{[a(\alpha-1)]^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{1 /(\alpha-1)}-\frac{\frac{a^{2} \alpha}{2}}{[a(\alpha-1)]^{\frac{2 \alpha-1}{\alpha-1}}} \frac{\ln n}{n^{\alpha /(\alpha-1)}}+o\left(\frac{\ln n}{n^{\alpha /(\alpha-1)}}\right) .
$$

(iii) if $\beta<2 \alpha-1$ and $b \neq 0$, then

$$
a_{n}=\frac{1}{[a(\alpha-1)]^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{1 /(\alpha-1)}+\frac{b[a(\alpha-1)]^{\frac{\alpha-\beta-1}{\alpha-1}}}{a(2 \alpha-1-\beta)}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{\frac{\beta-1}{\alpha-1}}+o\left(\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{\frac{\beta-1}{\alpha-1}}\right) .
$$

Proof. We give the idea of the proof only in the case (i). Since $f(x)<x$, for $x$ in a small neighborhood of zero, the sequence $a_{n}$ is decreasing to zero if we assume also that $a_{0}$ is positive. Then we apply Cesáro's lemma for the sequences $u_{n}=\frac{1}{a_{n}^{\alpha-1}}$, and $v_{n}=n$ :

$$
\lim _{n} \frac{1}{n a_{n}^{\alpha-1}}=\lim _{n}\left(\frac{1}{a_{n+1}^{\alpha-1}}-\frac{1}{a_{n}^{\alpha-1}}\right)=\lim _{n}\left(\frac{1}{f\left(a_{n}\right)^{\alpha-1}}-\frac{1}{a_{n}^{\alpha-1}}\right) .
$$

Using the well-known formula from calculus $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{1-(1-x)^{\gamma}}{x}=\gamma$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{n} \frac{1}{n a_{n}^{\alpha-1}}=\lim _{n} \frac{1}{a_{n}^{\alpha-1}} \frac{\left(1-\left(1-a a_{n}^{\alpha-1}+b a_{n}^{\beta-1}+o\left(a_{n}^{\beta-1}\right)\right)^{\alpha-1}\right)}{\left(1-a a_{n}^{\alpha-1}+b a_{n}^{\beta-1}+o\left(a_{n}^{\beta-1}\right)\right)^{\alpha-1}} \\
& =\lim _{n} \frac{1-\left(1-a a_{n}^{\alpha-1}+b a_{n}^{\beta-1}+o\left(a_{n}^{\beta-1}\right)\right)^{\alpha-1}}{a a_{n}^{\alpha-1}-b a_{n}^{\beta-1}-o\left(a_{n}^{\beta-1}\right)} \frac{a a_{n}^{\alpha-1}-b a_{n}^{\beta-1}-o\left(a_{n}^{\beta-1}\right)}{a_{n}^{\alpha-1}} \\
& =(\alpha-1) a .
\end{aligned}
$$

Equivalently, this means that $a_{n}=\frac{1}{[a(\alpha-1)]^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{1 /(\alpha-1)}+o\left(\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{1 /(\alpha-1)}\right)$, which is the first approximation in the statements (i)-(iii). Now let us assume that $\beta=2 \alpha-1$. To simplify the computations we will denote $c=a(\alpha-1)$, and $y_{n}=a a_{n}^{\alpha-1}-b a_{n}^{\beta-1}-o\left(a_{n}^{\beta-1}\right)$, which under the above assumption becomes $y_{n}=a a_{n}^{\alpha-1}-b a_{n}^{2(\alpha-1)}-o\left(a_{n}^{2(\alpha-1)}\right)$. We want to apply Cesàro's lemma again for $u_{n}=c n-\frac{1}{a_{n}^{\alpha-1}}$ and $v_{n}=\ln n$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{n} \frac{c n-\frac{1}{a_{n}^{\alpha-1}}}{\ln n}=\lim _{n} \frac{c-\frac{1}{a_{n+1}^{\alpha-1}}+\frac{1}{a_{n}^{\alpha-1}}}{\ln \left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)} \\
& =\lim _{n} n \frac{\left(1-y_{n}\right)^{\alpha-1}+c a_{n}^{\alpha-1}\left(1-y_{n}\right)^{\alpha-1}-1}{a_{n}^{\alpha-1}\left(1-y_{n}\right)^{\alpha-1}} \\
& =c \lim _{n} n^{2} y_{n}^{2} \frac{\left(1-y_{n}\right)^{\alpha-1}-1+(\alpha-1) y_{n}}{y_{n}^{2}}+n^{2}\left(c a_{n}^{\alpha-1}\left(1-y_{n}\right)^{\alpha-1}-(\alpha-1) y_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking into account that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n y_{n}=\frac{a}{c}$ and $\lim _{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{(1-y)^{\gamma}-1+\gamma y}{y^{2}}=\frac{\gamma(\gamma-1)}{2}$, we may continue the above computation as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n} \frac{c n-\frac{1}{a_{n}^{\alpha-1}}}{\ln n}= & \frac{a(\alpha-2)}{2}+c \lim _{n}(\alpha-1) n^{2}\left[a a_{n}^{\alpha-1}\left(1-y_{n}\right)^{\alpha-1}\right. \\
& \left.-a a_{n}^{\alpha-1}+b a_{n}^{2(\alpha-1)}+o\left(a_{n}^{2(\alpha-1)}\right)\right]=\frac{a(\alpha-2)}{2}+\frac{b}{a} \\
& +a(\alpha-1) \lim _{n} n^{2} a_{n}^{\alpha-1}\left(\left(1-y_{n}\right)^{\alpha-1}-1\right) \\
= & \frac{b-\frac{a^{2} \alpha}{2}}{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

This finally says that

$$
\lim _{n} \frac{\left[(c n)^{1 /(\alpha-1)} a_{n}-1\right] n}{\ln n}=\frac{b-\frac{a^{2} \alpha}{2}}{c^{2}}
$$

from which (i) can be easily derived. The rest of the cases are treated similarly.
In Odlyzko's excellent paper [5], a few methods are studied for approximating nonlinear recurrences by linear ones. If $f(x)=x-x^{2}$, the following method for determining an approximation of $a_{n}$ is presented. Let $x_{n}=1 / a_{n}$. By iteration we obtain (cf. [2])

$$
x_{n}=x_{n-1}+1+\frac{a_{n-1}}{1-a_{n-1}}=\cdots=\frac{1}{a_{0}}+n+\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{a_{j}}{1-a_{j}} .
$$

If $0<a_{0}<1$, then we get that

$$
n \leq x_{n} \leq n+O(\log n)
$$

therefore $x_{n}=n+\log n+o(\log n)$. In our next theorem, we push further the technique (by a somewhat similar method). We would like to mention that the function of which orbit is studied here constitutes an important case of an onedimensional dynamical system (see Theorem 10.1, Chap. II of [4]).

Theorem 3. Assume $a_{n+1}=f\left(a_{n}\right)$, where $f(x)=x-x^{2}$. For each $a_{1} \in I=$ $(0,1)$, the function $g$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(a_{1}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{a_{n}}-n-\ln n\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

has the properties:
(i) $g$ is continuously differentiable on $I$, and for all $x \in I$ we have

$$
g(x)=g(1-x), \text { and } g(f(x))=g(x)+1
$$

(ii) $g$ is strictly decreasing on $(0,1 / 2)$, strictly increasing on $(1 / 2,1)$, and its minimum value $g(1 / 2)$ is a positive number;
(iii) the measure $d \xi(x)=g^{\prime}(x) d x$ is invariant under the action of $f$ on $(0,1 / 2)$, i.e., for any measurable subset $A$ of $(0,1 / 2)$ we have $\xi(A)=\xi(f(A))$;
(iv) if we denote $G_{k}\left(a_{1}\right)=\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{a_{n}}{1-a_{n}}\right)^{k}, k \geq 2$, then for $x \in(0,1 / 2)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=\ln \left(C+\int_{x}^{1 / 2} \frac{1}{t} \exp \left(\frac{1}{t}-1-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} G_{k}(t)\right) d t\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=\exp (g(1 / 2))$ is a constant approximately equal to $2.15768 \ldots$.
(v) the following expansions hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{n}= & \frac{1}{n}-\frac{\ln n}{n^{2}}-\frac{g\left(a_{0}\right)}{n^{2}}+\frac{(\ln n)^{2}}{n^{3}}+\frac{\left(2 g\left(a_{0}\right)-1\right) \ln n}{n^{3}}+o\left(\frac{\ln n}{n^{3}}\right)  \tag{4}\\
\frac{1}{a_{n}}= & n+\ln n+g(x)+\frac{\ln n}{n}+\frac{\left(-\frac{1}{2}+g\right)}{n}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\ln n)^{2}}{n^{2}} \\
& +\frac{\left(\frac{3}{2}-g\right) \ln n}{n^{2}}+\left(\frac{3}{2} g-\frac{1}{2} g^{2}-\frac{5}{6}\right) \frac{1}{n^{2}}+\frac{1}{3} \frac{(\ln n)^{3}}{n^{3}} \\
& +(-2+g) \frac{(\ln n)^{2}}{n^{3}}+\left(\frac{19}{6}-4 g+g^{2}\right) \frac{\ln n}{n^{3}}+o\left(\frac{\ln n}{n^{3}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The sequence $x_{n}=\frac{1}{a_{n}}, n \geq 1$, satisfies the recurrence relation $x_{n+1}=$ $h\left(x_{n}\right)$, where $h(x)=x+1+\frac{1}{x-1}$, for $x \in(1, \infty)$. If we define $r\left(x_{1}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n}$ with $y_{n}=x_{n}-n-\ln n$, clearly $g(x)=r(1 / x)$ for all $x \in I$. Since all the properties of $r$ transfer to $g$ in a corresponding way, we prefer to work with the function $r$ instead of $g$. Directly from the recurrence relation for $x_{n}$ we easily see that $x_{n}$ is a strictly increasing sequence, $\left.x_{2} \geq 4,(h(1, \infty))=[4, \infty)\right)$, and we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=x_{2}+n-1+\sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{1}{x_{k}-1}, n \geq 2 . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this we obtain that $x_{n} \geq n+2$ for all $n \geq 2$. This shows, in particular, that the limit defining $r$ exists, since $y_{n}$ is a decreasing sequence:

$$
y_{n}-y_{n+1}=\ln \left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)-\frac{1}{x_{n}-1}>\frac{1}{n+1}-\frac{1}{x_{n}-1} \geq 0, n \geq 2
$$

Secondly, going back to (5), the next better estimation from above of $x_{n}$ results:
(6) $\quad x_{n+1} \leq x_{2}+n-1+\sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{1}{k+1}<x_{2}+n-1+\ln (n+1)-\ln 2, n \geq 2$.

Since for $u>v \geq 2$ or $1<u<v \leq 2$, we get $h(u)>h(v) \geq 4$, and then $h(h(u))>h(h(v) \geq 4$, a simple induction argument shows that $r$ is decreasing on $(1,2]$ and increasing on $[2, \infty)$. Therefore, in order to prove that $r$ has finite values, it is enough to show that $r(2)>0$. Hence, if $x_{1}=2,(6)$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n} \leq n+\omega+\ln n, \quad n \geq 2 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega=2-\ln 2>1$. Using (7) in (5), we obtain

$$
x_{n+1} \geq n+3+\sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{1}{k-1+\omega+\ln k}, n \geq 2
$$

This implies that for $n \geq 2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{n+1} & \geq 2-\ln (n+1)+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k+\omega+\ln (k+1)} \\
& >2-\ln (n+1)+\int_{1}^{n} \frac{d x}{x+\omega+\ln (x+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\frac{1}{x+\omega+\ln (x+1)}>\frac{1}{(x+\omega)}-\frac{\ln (x+1)}{(x+\omega)^{2}}$ on the interval $[1, \infty)$, we can continue the above sequence of inequalities as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{n+1} & \geq 2-\ln (n+1)+\int_{1}^{n} \frac{d x}{(x+\omega)}-\int_{1}^{n} \frac{\ln (x+1) d x}{(x+\omega)^{2}} \\
& =2-\ln (1+\omega)++\ln \left(\frac{n+\omega}{n+1}\right)-\int_{1}^{n} \frac{\ln (x+1) d x}{(x+\omega)^{2}} \\
& >2-\ln (1+\omega)-\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln (x+1) d x}{(x+\omega)^{2}} \\
& =2+\frac{2 \ln 2}{\omega^{2}-1}-\frac{\omega}{\omega-1} \ln (1+\omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\ln (1+\omega)=\ln 2\left(1+\frac{\omega-1}{2}\right)<\ln 2+\frac{\omega-1}{2}=\frac{3-\omega}{2}$, we obtain from the above computation that

$$
r(2)=\lim _{n} y_{n+1}(2) \geq \frac{(\omega-1)(\omega+4)}{2(\omega+1)}>0
$$

Hence we have proved the second part of the statement (ii) in Theorem 3.
We next look at the sequence of the derivatives of the functions $x_{n}(x)=$ $h^{n}(x)\left(x_{1}=x\right)$, where $h^{n+1}(x)=h\left(h^{n}(x)\right), n \geq 1$. Since $h^{\prime}(x)=1-\frac{1}{(x-1)^{2}}$, and $\left(h^{n}\right)^{\prime}(x)=h^{\prime}\left(h^{n-1}(x)\right) h^{\prime}\left(h^{n-2}(x)\right) \ldots h^{\prime}(x)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}^{\prime}=x_{n}^{\prime}=\prod_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(1-\frac{1}{\left(x_{k}-1\right)^{2}}\right), \quad n \geq 2 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the inequality $x_{n} \geq n+2, n \geq 2$, the product appearing in (8) is absolutely convergent. Therefore the sequence $y_{n}(x)=y_{n}(2)+\int_{2}^{x} y_{n}^{\prime}(t) d t$ converges to $r(x)=r(2)+\int_{2}^{x} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{1}{\left(x_{k}(t)-1\right)^{2}}\right) d t$. In particular, this shows that $r$ is continuously differentiable. In order to complete the proof of (i), let us observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
r(h(x)) & =\lim _{n} y_{n}(h(x))=\lim _{n} x_{n+1}(x)-n-\ln n= \\
& =\lim _{n} x_{n}(x)+1+\frac{1}{x_{n}-1}-n-\ln n \\
& =r(x)+1
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $g(f(x))=r(1 / f(x))=r(h(1 / x))=r(1 / x)+1$ and $g(1-x)=g(f(1-$ $x)-1=g(f(x))-1=g(x)$, for $x \in I$, which completes the proof of (i). Because
(9) $\quad r^{\prime}(x)=\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{1}{\left(x_{k}(x)-1\right)^{2}}\right)=\frac{x(x-2)}{(x-1)^{2}} \prod_{k=2}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{1}{\left(x_{k}(x)-1\right)^{2}}\right)$,
it is easy to see that $r^{\prime}(x)>0$ for $x>2$ and $r^{\prime}(x)<0$ for $1<x<2$. This completes the proof of (ii).

To get (iii) we can use (i) to obtain $g^{\prime}(f(x)) f^{\prime}(x)=g^{\prime}(x)$, and hence by the change of variable formula,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi(f(A)) & =\int_{f(A)} d \xi(x)= \\
& =\int_{f(A)} g^{\prime}(x) d x=\int_{f(A)} g^{\prime}(f(x)) f^{\prime}(x) d x \\
& =\int_{f(A)} g^{\prime}(f(x)) f^{\prime}(x) d x=\int_{A} g^{\prime}(x) d x \\
& =\int_{A} d \xi(x)=\xi(A)
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to prove (iv), let us compute $\ln \left(r^{\prime}(x)\right)$ for $x>2$, using formula (9) and the recursive relation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ln \left(r^{\prime}(x)\right) & =\ln \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{1}{\left(x_{k}(x)-1\right)^{2}}\right)\right) \\
& =\ln \left(\lim _{n} \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(1-\frac{1}{x_{k}(x)-1}\right) \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(1+\frac{1}{x_{k}(x)-1}\right)\right) \\
& =\lim _{n}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \ln \left(1-\frac{1}{x_{k}(x)-1}\right)+\ln \left(\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{x_{k}(x)}{x_{k}(x)-1}\right)\right) \\
& =\lim _{n}\left(-\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j}\left(\frac{1}{x_{k}(x)-1}\right)^{j}+\ln \left(\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{x_{k+1}(x)}{x_{k}(x)}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, we used the definition of $\left\{x_{k}\right\}_{k}$, that is, $x_{k+1}=h\left(x_{k}\right)=x_{k}+1+\frac{1}{x_{k}-1}$, therefore $\frac{x_{k}}{x_{k}-1}=\frac{x_{k+1}}{x_{k}}$, hence the last equality. After we interchange the sums,
using (5) we can continue the above computation as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln \left(r^{\prime}(x)\right) \\
&= \lim _{n}\left(\ln \left(x_{n+1}(x)\right)-\ln x-\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{x_{k}(x)-1}-\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j}\left(\frac{1}{x_{k}(x)-1}\right)^{j}\right) \\
&=-\ln x+\lim _{n}\left(\ln \left(x_{n+1}(x)\right)-x_{n+1}(x)+n+x-\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j}\left(\frac{1}{x_{k}(x)-1}\right)^{j}\right) \\
&= x-1-\ln x-\lim _{n}\left(x_{n+1}(x)-(n+1)-\ln (n+1)+\ln \left(\frac{n+1}{x_{n+1}(x)}\right)\right) \\
&-\lim _{n}\left(\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j}\left(\frac{1}{x_{k}(x)-1}\right)^{j}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the double sum $\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j}\left(\frac{1}{x_{k}(x)-1}\right)^{j}$ is absolutely convergent we can interchange the limit sign with the sum sign in the above computation, and using the definition of $r$ we obtain the following differential equation in $r$ :

$$
\ln \left(r^{\prime}(x)\right)=x-1-\ln x-r(x)-\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j}\left(\frac{1}{x_{k}(x)-1}\right)^{j}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{\prime}(x) \exp (r(x))=\frac{1}{x} \exp (x-1-R(x)) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R(x)=\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j}\left(\frac{1}{x_{k}(x)-1}\right)^{j}$. Integrating (10), we obtain a formula which gives us another way of approximating the values of $r$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(x)=\ln \left(C+\int_{2}^{x} \frac{1}{t} \exp (t-1-R(t)) d t\right), \quad x>2 . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of the function $g$ and the sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$, after a change of variable, the formula (11) becomes

$$
g(x)=\ln \left(C+\int_{x}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{u} \exp \left(\frac{1}{u}-1-G(u)\right) d u\right), \quad x \in(0,1 / 2)
$$

where $G(u)=R(1 / u)=\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j}\left(\frac{1}{x_{k}(1 / u)-1}\right)^{j}=\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j}\left(\frac{a_{k}(u)}{1-a_{k}(u)}\right)^{j}$, and (iv) is proved.

To prove $(v)$, we apply several times part (ii) of Cesàro's Lemma. First we take $u_{n}=x_{n}(x)-n-\ln n-r(x)$ and $v_{n}=(1 / n) \ln n:$

$$
\lim _{n} \frac{n\left(x_{n}(x)-n-\ln n-r(x)\right)}{\ln n}=\lim _{n} \frac{\frac{1}{x_{n}-1}-\ln \left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)}{\frac{\ln (n+1)}{n+1}-\frac{\ln n}{n}}=1
$$

Using the same technique we can compute the other terms in (11), and (10) is easily obtained from (11).

We point out that there are cases when it is easy to determine expansions as in (4) for all $k \geq 2$. For example, if $f(x)=x /(1+x)$, then $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n}$ has the expansion of the form

$$
a_{n}=\sum_{j=0}^{m} \frac{(-1)^{j}}{n^{j+1} a_{0}^{j}}+o\left(\frac{1}{n^{m+1}}\right), n \geq 1, \quad a_{0} \in(0, \infty)
$$

That can be seen easily by linearizing the recurrence $a_{n+1}=f\left(a_{n}\right)$ replacing $\frac{1}{a_{n}}$ by $b_{n}$. We obtain the linear equation $b_{n+1}=b_{n}+1$, which obviously produces $a_{n}=\frac{1}{n+a_{0}^{-1}}$, from which we infer the previous approximation.

On the other hand, if $f(x)=\sin x$, we computed using Theorem 2 the following expansion:

$$
a_{n}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{n}}-\frac{3 \sqrt{3}}{10} \frac{\ln n}{n \sqrt{n}}+\frac{9 \sqrt{3}}{50} \frac{\ln n}{n^{2} \sqrt{n}}+o\left(\frac{\ln n}{n^{2} \sqrt{n}}\right)
$$

where the coefficients do not seem to depend on the initial value of the sequence.

## 3. Almost Doubly-Exponential Sequences

Aho and Sloane [1] considered the sequences of the form $a_{n+1}=a_{n}^{2}+g_{n}$, where $\left|g_{n}\right| \leq a_{n} / 4, a_{n} \geq 1$ and $\left|\log \left(a_{n+1} a_{n}^{-2}\right)\right|$ is decreasing, for $n \geq n_{0}$. They proved that under these conditions, there exists a constant $k$ such that $a_{n}=$ nearest integer to $k^{2^{n}}$. Obviously, the sequence of Theorem 3 is not among the ones considered by Aho and Sloane, since it does not satisfy the mentioned conditions. In the spirit of [1], relaxing the conditions, using a somewhat different method, we prove the next theorem, involving what we call almost doubly-exponential recurrences. We denote by $\exp (x)$ the exponential function $e^{x}$ with Euler's constant base.

Theorem 4. Let the sequence of positive integers $a_{n+1}=a_{n}^{2}+g_{n}$, satisfying $-a_{n}+1<g_{n}<a_{n}, a_{n}>1$ and $\left|\log \left(a_{n+1} a_{n}^{-2}\right)\right|$ is decreasing (for $\left.n \geq n_{0}\right)$. Then there exists $\alpha$ such that $a_{n}=\left\lfloor\exp \left(2^{n} \alpha\right)\right\rfloor$, or $a_{n}=\left\lfloor\exp \left(2^{n} \alpha\right)\right\rfloor+1\left(\right.$ for $\left.n \geq n_{0}\right)$.

Proof. Since the entire proof refers to $n \geq n_{0}$, we may as well assume that $n_{0}=0$. The proof uses some ideas of [1] and [5]. Let $u_{n}:=\log a_{n}$, and
$\delta_{n}:=\log \left(g_{n} a_{n}^{-2}+1\right)$. Thus $u_{n+1}=2 u_{n}+\delta_{n}$. Iterating we get

$$
u_{n}=2^{n} u_{0}+2^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \delta_{k} 2^{-k-1}
$$

The series $\alpha:=u_{0}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \delta_{k} 2^{-k-1}$ is absolutely convergent since $\left|\delta_{k}\right|<\log (1+$ $\left.a_{k}^{-1}\right)<\log 2$. Taking $r_{n}:=2^{n} \alpha-u_{n}$, we get that $a_{n}=\exp \left(u_{n}\right)=\exp \left(2^{n} \alpha-r_{n}\right)$. Now,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \exp \left(2^{n} \alpha\right)=a_{n} \exp \left(r_{n}\right), \text { and } \\
& r_{n}=2^{n} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \delta_{k} 2^{-k-1}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \delta_{k+n} 2^{-k-1} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left|\log \left(a_{n+1} a_{n}^{-2}\right)\right|=\left|\log \left(g_{n} a_{n}^{-2}+1\right)\right|=\left|\delta_{n}\right|$ is decreasing, we get

$$
\left|r_{n}\right| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|\delta_{k+n}\right| 2^{-k-1} \leq\left|\delta_{n}\right| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k-1}=\left|\delta_{n}\right|
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n} \exp \left(-\left|\delta_{n}\right|\right) \leq \exp \left(2^{n} \alpha\right) \leq a_{n} \exp \left(\left|\delta_{n}\right|\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use now the definition of $\delta_{n}$, and deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
\exp \left(\delta_{n}\right) & =g_{n} a_{n}^{-2}+1 \\
\exp \left(-\delta_{n}\right) & =\left(g_{n} a_{n}^{-2}+1\right)^{-1} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, using (13) and (14), if $\delta_{n}>0$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{n}-\exp \left(2^{n} \alpha\right) \leq a_{n}-a_{n} \exp \left(-\delta_{n}\right)=a_{n}\left(1-\left(g_{n} a_{n}^{-2}+1\right)^{-1}\right)  \tag{15}\\
& a_{n}-\exp \left(2^{n} \alpha\right) \geq a_{n}-a_{n} \exp \left(\delta_{n}\right)=a_{n}\left(1-\left(g_{n} a_{n}^{-2}+1\right)\right)=-g_{n} a_{n}^{-1} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, in (15) to have $a_{n}\left(1-\left(g_{n} a_{n}^{-2}+1\right)^{-1}\right)<1$, it is necessary to have $\left(g_{n} a_{n}^{-2}+1\right)^{-1}>1-1 / a_{n}$ which in turn is equivalent to $g_{n}<\frac{a_{n}^{2}}{a_{n}-1}=a_{n}+1+$ $\frac{1}{a_{n}-1}$. The last inequality is true since $g_{n}<a_{n}$. In (16) to have $-g_{n} a_{n}^{-1}>-1$, it is necessary to have $g_{n}<a_{n}$.

If $\delta_{n}<0$, by (13) and (14), then

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{n}-\exp \left(2^{n} \alpha\right) \leq a_{n}-a_{n} \exp \left(\delta_{n}\right)=a_{n}\left(1-\left(g_{n} a_{n}^{-2}+1\right)\right)=-g_{n} a_{n}^{-1}  \tag{17}\\
& a_{n}-\exp \left(2^{n} \alpha\right) \geq a_{n}-a_{n} \exp \left(-\delta_{n}\right)=a_{n}\left(1-\left(g_{n} a_{n}^{-2}+1\right)^{-1}\right) \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, in (17), $-g_{n} a_{n}^{-1}<1$ is equivalent to $g_{n}>-a_{n}$, and the last inequality is certainly true, since $g_{n}>-a_{n}+1$. In (18) to have $a_{n}\left(1-\left(g_{n} a_{n}^{-2}+1\right)^{-1}\right)>-1$, it is necessary to have $g_{n} a_{n}^{-2}+1>\frac{a_{n}}{a_{n}+1}=1-\frac{1}{a_{n}+1}$. That is equivalent to
$g_{n}>\frac{-a_{n}^{2}}{a_{n}+1}=-a_{n}+1-\frac{1}{a_{n}+1}$, which is certainly true, as $g_{n}$ is an integer, $a_{n}>1$ and $g_{n}>-a_{n}+1$.

Thus, we obtain, in any case, that $\left|a_{n}-\exp \left(2^{n} \alpha\right)\right|<1$, which implies (since $a_{n}$ is an integer) that $a_{n}=\left\lfloor\exp \left(2^{n} \alpha\right)\right\rfloor$, or $a_{n}=\left\lfloor\exp \left(2^{n} \alpha\right)\right\rfloor+1$.

Remark 5. The previous theorem does not consider the case of $g_{n}=-a_{n}+1$ (the lower bound). However, in that case we get $a_{n+1}=a_{n}^{2}-a_{n}+1$, which was dealt with by Aho and Sloane (Recurrence 2.4), if $a_{1}=2$, being transformed into a recurrence satisfying their conditions, deriving the solution $\left\lfloor k^{2^{n}}+\frac{1}{2}\right\rfloor$, for some real number $k$.

Consider now that case of $a_{n}<g_{n}<2 a_{n}$ in the recurrence $a_{n+1}=a_{n}^{2}+g_{n}$, $a_{n}>1$ positive integers. Let $g_{n}^{\prime}=g_{n}-a_{n}$. Thus, $0<g_{n}^{\prime}<a_{n}$ and the recurrence can be written as

$$
a_{n+1}=a_{n}^{2}+a_{n}+g_{n}^{\prime}
$$

Let $b_{n}=a_{n}+\frac{1}{2}$ and $h_{n}=g_{n}^{\prime}-\frac{3}{4}=g_{n}-a_{n}-\frac{3}{4}$. It follows that

$$
b_{n+1}=b_{n}^{2}+h_{n}, \text { with }-\frac{3}{4}<h_{n}<a_{n}-\frac{3}{4}<a_{n}
$$

which is of the first type, but (beware!) this sequence does not consist of integers. We start with one observation: since $a_{n}<g_{n}$, it follows that $g_{n}-a_{n} \geq 1$, therefore $h_{n} \geq \frac{1}{4}$, so $h_{n}$ satisfies $0<h_{n}<a_{n}$.

Let $u_{n}:=\log b_{n}$, and $\delta_{n}:=\log \left(h_{n} b_{n}^{-2}+1\right)$. If $\mid \log \left(b_{n+1} b_{n}^{-2} \mid\right.$ is decreasing, the same technique as before renders, since $h_{n}>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{n}-\exp \left(2^{n} \beta\right) & \leq b_{n}\left(1-\left(h_{n} b_{n}^{-2}+1\right)^{-1}\right) \\
b_{n}-\exp \left(2^{n} \beta\right) & \geq-h_{n} b_{n}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\beta:=u_{0}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \delta_{k} 2^{-k-1}$. Moreover, $b_{n}\left(1-\left(h_{n} b_{n}^{-2}+1\right)^{-1}\right)<1$ if and only if $\frac{b_{n}-1}{b_{n}}<\frac{1}{h_{n} b_{n}^{-2}+1}$. This is equivalent to $h_{n}<\frac{b_{n}^{2}}{b_{n}-1}=b_{n}+1+\frac{1}{b_{n}-1}$, which is certainly true as $h_{n}<a_{n}<a_{n}+\frac{1}{2}=b_{n}$. Furthermore, since $-h_{n} b_{n}^{-1}>-1$, then

$$
-\frac{3}{2}<a_{n}-\exp \left(2^{n} \beta\right)<\frac{1}{2}
$$

The right hand side inequality is improved by the simple observation that since $\delta_{k}>0$, then $2^{n} \beta>u_{n}$, therefore, $\exp \left(2^{n} \beta\right)>b_{n}=a_{n}+\frac{1}{2}$, which implies

$$
-\frac{3}{2}<a_{n}-\exp \left(2^{n} \beta\right)<-\frac{1}{2}
$$

and so,

$$
a_{n}<\exp \left(2^{n} \beta\right)-\frac{1}{2}<a_{n}+1
$$

To cover the whole range $-a_{n}+1<g_{n}<2 a_{n}$, it suffices to study the case of $g_{n}=a_{n}$. In that case, we get the recurrence of positive integers $a_{n+1}=a_{n}^{2}+a_{n}$. Taking $b_{n}=a_{n}+1 / 2$, we get

$$
b_{n+1}=b_{n}^{2}-\frac{3}{4}
$$

which was dealt with by Aho and Sloane, if $b_{1}=\frac{3}{2}$, obtaining $b_{n}=\frac{3}{2}+\left\lfloor k^{2^{n}}+\frac{3}{2}\right\rfloor$, $n \geq 3$, for some real $k$.

Thus, we have proved
Theorem 6. Let the recurrence of positive integers $a_{n+1}=a_{n}^{2}+g_{n}$, where $a_{n}<$ $g_{n}<2 a_{n}, a_{n}>1$ (if $n \geq n_{0}$ ). Also assume that $\left|\log \left(\left(a_{n+1}+1 / 2\right)\left(a_{n}+1 / 2\right)^{-2}\right)\right|$ is decreasing. Then there exists a real number $\beta$ such that

$$
a_{n}=\left\lfloor\exp \left(2^{n} \beta\right)-\frac{1}{2}\right\rfloor, \text { if } n \geq n_{0}
$$

If $a_{n+1}=a_{n}^{2}+a_{n}$ and $a_{1}=1$, then

$$
a_{n}=\left\lfloor\exp \left(2^{n} \beta\right)+\frac{5}{2}\right\rfloor, \text { if } n \geq 3
$$

Certainly the theorem can be further extended by taking various other intervals for $g_{n}$ and imposing the restrictive decreasing property on $a_{n}$.

The sequence $g_{n}$ may or may not depend on $a_{n}$. If $g_{n}=a_{n}-2 a_{n}^{2}$, we end up with a recurrence of the form $a_{n+1}=f\left(a_{n}\right)$, where $f(x)=x-x^{2}$. Obviously, in this case Theorem 4 is not true, since the inequality imposed on $g_{n}$ does not hold. But this case was dealt with by Theorem 3.

Can we relax the conditions of Theorem 4 and Theorem 6 even further? The answer is yes, but the result is not that accurate. Let the recurrence of positive integers $a_{n+1}=a_{n}^{2}+h_{n}$ with $\left|h_{n}\right|<(1+\epsilon) a_{n}, \quad a_{n} \geq 1$, where $\epsilon>0$ is a fixed parameter. In the same manner as before, we denote by $\delta_{n}(\epsilon)=$ $\log \left(h_{n} a_{n}^{-2}+1\right)$ and $u_{n}=\log a_{n}$. The series $\alpha(\epsilon)=u_{0}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \delta_{k}(\epsilon) 2^{-k-1}$ is convergent since $-\log (2+\epsilon) \leq \log \left(1-\frac{1+\epsilon}{a_{k}}\right)<\delta_{k}(\epsilon)<\log \left(1+\frac{1+\epsilon}{a_{k}}\right)<\log (2+\epsilon)$, for $k$ sufficiently large so that $a_{k} \xrightarrow{>} 1+\epsilon$. Taking $r_{n}=2^{n} \alpha-u_{n}$, we get that $a_{n}=\exp \left(u_{n}\right)=\exp \left(2^{n} \alpha-r_{n}\right)$. We did not impose the decreasing property on $\left|\delta_{n}(\epsilon)\right|$, so we can only infer at this stage that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\log (2+\epsilon) \leq r_{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \delta_{k+n}(\epsilon) 2^{-k-1} \leq \log (2+\epsilon) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

using the double inequality on $\delta_{n}(\epsilon)$.

With a bit more work, we conclude
Proposition 7. Let $a_{n+1}=a_{n}^{2}+h_{n}$ with $\left|h_{n}\right|<(1+\epsilon) a_{n}, a_{n} \geq 1$, where $\epsilon \geq 0$ is a fixed parameter. Then there exists a constant $\alpha$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{2+\epsilon} \exp \left(2^{n} \alpha\right) \leq a_{n} \leq(2+\epsilon) \exp \left(2^{n} \alpha\right)
$$

if $n$ is sufficiently large so that $a_{n}>1+\epsilon$.
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