# POINTWISE WEIGHTED VECTOR ERGODIC THEOREM IN $L^{1}(X)$ 
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#### Abstract

In this paper we prove the almost everywhere convergence of weighted multiparameter averages of linear surjective isometries in $L^{1}(X)$ and power bounded in $L^{p}(X), 1<p \leq \infty$.


Throughout this paper $X$ will be denoted a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and $(\Omega, \beta, \mu)$ a $\sigma$-finite measure space. For $1 \leq p<\infty, L^{p}(X)=L^{p}(\Omega, X)=$ $=L^{p}((\Omega, \beta, \mu), X)$ denoted the usual Banach space of $X$-valued strongly measurable functions $f$ on $\Omega$ with the norm given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{p} & =\left(\int|f|^{p} d \mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}<\infty \text { if } 1 \leq p<\infty \\
\|f\|_{\infty} & =\text { ess } \sup \{|f(\omega)| ; \omega \in \Omega\}<\infty \text { if } p=\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $d \geq 1$ be an integer, and let $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{d}$ be linear surjective isometries on $L^{1}(\Omega, X)$ such that each $T_{i}$ is power bounded in $L^{\infty}(\Omega, X)$. Thus $T_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq d$, can be considered to be power bounded in $L^{p}(\Omega, X)$ for each $1<p<\infty$, by the Riesz convexity theorem. We will be concerned with classes of weights $\left\{a(\mathbf{k}) ; \mathbf{k} \in \mathbf{Z}_{d}^{+}\right\}$ such that the limit of averages

$$
\lim _{|N| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{N}|} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{k}} f
$$

exists a.e. for all $f \in L^{1}(X)$, where $\mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{k}}=T_{1}^{k_{1}} \ldots T_{d}^{k_{d}}$ with $\mathbf{N}=\left(N_{1}, \ldots, N_{d}\right)$ and $\mathbf{k}=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{d}\right),|\mathbf{N}|=N_{1} \ldots N_{d}$, etc...

The class of weights we will consider are the Besicovich sequences in $\mathbf{Z}_{d}^{+}$. In the case $d=1$, Besicovitch sequences are defined to be the class of sequences $a(k)$ such that given $\varepsilon>0$, there is a trigonometric polynomial $\psi_{\varepsilon}$ such that

$$
\lim \sup _{n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left|a(k)-\psi_{\varepsilon}(k)\right|<\varepsilon
$$
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and bounded Besicovitch weights are bounded weights in this class. Let us define the $d$-dimensional analogs of Besicovitch sequences: We say that the sequence $\{a(\mathbf{k})\}$ to be $r$-Besicovich if for every $\varepsilon>0$ there is a sequence of trigonometric polynomials in $d$ variables such that

$$
\lim \sup _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{N}|} \sum_{\mathbf{k}=0}^{\mathbf{N}-1}\left|a(\mathbf{k})-\psi_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k})\right|^{r}<\varepsilon
$$

We denote this class by $B(r)$. We say that $\{a(\mathbf{k})\}$ to be r-bounded Besicovitch sequence if $\{a(\mathbf{k})\} \in B(r) \cap l^{\infty}$. Let $\alpha=\sup _{\mathbf{k}} a_{k}$. We call that the Banach space $X$ is without 1-projections iff there is no projection $P$ on $X$ such that $\|x\|=$ $=\|P x\|+\|x-P x\|$ for all $x \in X$.

In the vector case, R. V. Chacon proved the a.e. convergence of the averages $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T^{k} f$ when $X$ being reflexive Banach space, $T$ is linear operator on $L^{1}(X)$ contraction in both $L^{1}(X)$ and in $L^{\infty}(X)$ and $f \in L^{1}(X)$. Yoshimoto [13] remarked that Chacon's theorem remains true if the operator $T$ is contraction in $L^{1}(\Omega, X)$ but power bounded in $L^{\infty}(\Omega, X)$.

In [8] it was shown that Chacon's theorem remains true for the weighted averages $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a(k) T^{k} f$ where $a(k)$ is a 1 -Besicovitch bounded sequence.

In the real case ( $X=\mathbf{R}$ ) and using linear modulus of non positive operator, R. Jones and J. Olsen proved [11] the a.e convergence of the averages $\frac{1}{|\mathbf{N}|} \sum_{\mathbf{k}=0}^{\mathbf{N}-1} a(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{k}} f$ with $\mathbf{N}=(n, \ldots, n)$ and $f \in L^{1}$ for Dunford-Schwartz operators. Akcoglu and Chacon proved [1] the almost everywhere convergence of the
Cesaro average $A_{n}(T) f=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{i} f$ for all $f \in L^{1}(\Omega, \mathbf{R})$, when $T$ is a linear operator on $L^{1}(\Omega, \mathbf{R})$ contrction in $L^{1}(\Omega, \mathbf{R})$ and in $L^{q}(\Omega, \mathbf{R})$ for some $\left.q \in\right] 1,+\infty[$.

Using the linear modulus A. Brunel proved [4] the almost everywhere convergence of the multiparameter averages $A_{n}\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{d}\right) f=A_{n}\left(T_{1}\right) \ldots A_{n}\left(T_{d}\right) f$ when $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{d}$ are linear commuting operators on $L^{1}(\Omega, \mathbf{R})$ contraction in both $L^{1}(\Omega, \mathbf{R})$ and in $L^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbf{R})$.

Our aim is to prove that if the operators $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{d}$ (possibly not commuting) are linear surjective isometries on $L^{1}(X)$ and contractions (or power bounded) in $L^{\infty}(X)$ then, we have a.e. convergence of the averages $\frac{1}{|\mathbf{N}|} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{k}} f$ with $\mathbf{N}=(n, \ldots, n)$ for all $f \in L^{1}(X)$.

This result maybe considered to be a multidimensional version of Chacon's theorem [5] for surjective isometries in $L^{1}(X)$ and for the weighted averages, of course, the extension of Chacon's theorem to multidimensional case remains an open problem.

Using a representation of surjective isometries due to S. Guerre and Y. Raynaud [10] we give a vector multiparameter version of Jones-Olsen's result. In fact, the difficulty in vector case is that: for a vector operator $T$ on $L^{1}(X)$ we cannot always find a DPO (dominated positive linear operator) $\tau$, which is a contraction on $L^{1}=L^{1}(\mathbf{R})$ (analog to the linear modulus) and verify that for all $f \in L^{1}(X)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T f\| \leq \tau(\|f\|) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(We give a counter example proving that the operators $\tau$ does not exist in general). In [10] Guerre and Raynaud proved in proposition 6.1 that if an isometry $T$ admits a DPO, $\tau$ then we have $\|T f\|=\tau(\|f\|)$ for all $f \in L^{p}(X)$.

Our main result is the following:
Theorem 1. Let $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{d}$ be commuting linear surjective isometries on $L^{1}(X)$ and power bounded in $L^{\infty}(X)$. a $(\mathbf{k})$ is a d-dimensional $r$-Besicovitch bounded sequences. Then, for $f \in L^{1}(X)$ we have the almost everywhere convergence of the averages $\frac{1}{|\mathbf{N}|} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{k}} f$ with $\mathbf{N}=(n, \ldots, n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We need the following lemma:
Lemma 1. Let $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{d}$ be commuting linear surjective isometries on $L^{1}(X)$ and power bounded in $L^{\infty}(X)$. Let $F^{*}=\sup _{N}\left\|\frac{1}{|\mathbf{N}|} \sum_{\mathbf{k}=0}^{N-1} a(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{k}} f\right\|$ then, for all $f \in L^{1}(X)$ and any $a>0$, there exists a positive real $\chi_{d}$ such that

$$
a \mu\left\{F^{*}>a\right\} \leq \chi_{d} \int_{\Omega}\|f\| d \mu
$$

Proof. We shall use the norm resolution [10, p. 368]. Let $Z$ is Boole algebra (they are commuting). We define a measure $\mu_{Z}$ on $\mathcal{P}_{1}(Z)$ and a surjective mapping $N_{Z}: Z \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{1}(Z)$ which is the norme resolution on $\mathcal{P}_{1}(Z)$ verifying
(i) $\forall z \in Z,\|z\|=\left|N_{Z}(z)\right|_{L_{+}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}(Z)\right)}$.
(ii) $\forall(u, v) \in Z^{2}, \forall(\alpha, \beta) \in R^{2}$

$$
N_{Z}(\alpha u+\beta v) \leq|\alpha| N_{Z}(u)+|\beta| N_{Z}(v) .
$$

Guerre and Raynaud showed [10] that for each linear surjective isometry $T: Z \rightarrow Z$ that there is a positive surjective isometry

$$
\tau: L_{+}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}(Z)\right) \rightarrow L_{+}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}(Z)\right)
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{Z}(T f)=\tau\left(N_{Z} f\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $Z=L^{1}(X)=L(\Omega, \beta, \mu, X)$. In $[\mathbf{9}]$ it was proved that if $X$ is separable space (without loss of generality we can suppose that $X$ is separable) then

$$
\mathcal{P}_{1}(Z)=\beta \otimes \mathcal{P}_{1}(X)
$$

Let $\mu_{Z}=\mu \otimes \mu_{X}$ with these notations we can write for $f \in L^{1}(X)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
N_{Z}(f) \in L^{1}\left(\beta \otimes \mathcal{P}_{1}(X)\right)=L_{\beta}^{1}\left(L_{\mathcal{P}_{1}(X)}^{1}\right) \\
N_{Z}(f)(\omega)=N_{X}(f(\omega))
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\|f(\omega)\|_{X}=\left|N_{X}(f(\omega))\right|_{L_{+}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}(Z)\right)}=\left|N_{z}(f)(\omega)\right|_{L_{+}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}(Z)\right)} .
$$

Denote by $N=N_{Z}=N_{L^{1}(X)}$, (2) shows that for all $j \in \mathbf{N}$ we have

$$
\tau^{j}(N f)=N\left(T^{j} f\right)
$$

and since $X$ is reflexive Banach space then it has a finite number of 1-projections (because otherwise $X \supset l^{1}$ ). Let

$$
\mathcal{P}_{1}(X)=\Pi=\{1, \ldots, K\} .
$$

We can decompose the space $X$ as

$$
X=X_{1} \oplus^{1} X_{2} \oplus^{1} \ldots \oplus^{1} X_{K}
$$

where $X_{i}$ is a Banach space without 1-projections for $i=1, \ldots, K$. If $x \in X$ then $x=\left(x_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq K}$ and theorem of $x$ will be

$$
\|x\|_{X}=\sum_{i=1}^{K}\left\|x_{i}\right\|_{X_{i}}
$$

where $\left\|x_{i}\right\|_{X_{i}}$ is the norm in the space $X_{i}$. We can write that the measure $\mu_{X}$ on the set $\{1, \ldots, K\}$ is a countable measure The space $L^{1}(\Omega \times\{1, \ldots, K\})$ identifies to the space $L^{1}\left(\Omega, l_{K}^{1}\right)$ and the norm resolutions $N=N_{Z}: L^{1}(X) \rightarrow L^{1}(\Omega \times$ $\times\{1, \ldots, K\})$ and $N_{X}: X \rightarrow L_{+}^{1}(\{1, \ldots, K\})=l_{K}^{1}$ are related by

$$
N_{X}(f(\omega))(i)=N(f)(\omega, i)=\left\|f_{i}(\omega)\right\|_{X_{i}}
$$

and as $\left.N_{X}(x)\right)(i)=\left\|x_{i}\right\|_{X_{i}}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|f_{i}(\omega)\right\|_{X_{i}} & =\sum_{i=1}^{K}\left\|f_{i}(\omega)\right\|_{X_{i}}=\sum_{i=1}^{K} N_{X}(f(\omega))(i) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{K} N f(\omega, i)=\|N f(\omega, .)\|_{L^{1}(\Pi)} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

(3) gives the norm in $X$ in terms of the norm resolution on $L^{1}(\Omega \times\{1, \ldots, K\})$. In its definition the operator $\tau$ acts in $L^{1}(\Omega \times\{1, \ldots, K\})=L^{1}\left(\Omega, l_{K}^{1}\right)$ and verifies $\forall j \in \mathbf{N}$

$$
\tau^{j}(N f)=\tau^{j}\left[\left(\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{X_{i}}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq K}\right]=\left(\left\|T^{j} f_{i}\right\|_{X_{i}}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq K}=N\left(T^{j} f\right)
$$

By (2) we have for $\varphi=N f \in L^{1}\left(\Omega^{\prime}=\Omega \times\{1, \ldots, K\}\right)$ with $f \in L^{1}(\Omega, X)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\tau \varphi\|_{L^{1}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)} & =\|\tau(N f)\|_{L^{1}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)}=\|N(T f)\|_{L^{1}(\Omega, X)} \\
& =\|N f\|_{L^{1}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)}=\|f\|_{L^{1}(\Omega, X)}=\|\varphi\|_{L^{1}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves that $\tau$ is isometry on $L^{1}\left(\Omega, l_{K}^{1}\right)$. In what follows we will prove that $\sup _{N}\left\|\frac{1}{|\mathbf{N}|} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{k}} f\right\|$ is finite a.e. for all $f \in L^{1}(\Omega, X)$.

To complete the proof we need the following proposition:
Proposition 1. Let $T$ be a surjective isometry in $L^{1}(X)$ and contracting in $L^{q}(X)(1 \leq q \leq \infty)$, then its DPO $\tau$ is a contraction on $L^{q}\left(l_{k}^{1}\right)$ and if $T$ is power bounded in $L^{q}(X)$ then is power bounded in $L^{q}(\Omega,\{1, \ldots, k\})=L^{q}\left(\Omega, l_{k}^{1}\right)$.

Proof. Let $q \in] 1,+\infty\left[\right.$. If $T$ is a contraction in $L^{q}(X)$, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\tau(N f)\|_{L^{q}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)} & =\left[\int\left(\sum_{i=1}^{K} \tau(N f)(\omega, i)\right)^{q} d \omega\right]^{1 / q} \\
& =\left[\int\left(\sum_{i=1}^{K} N(T f)(\omega, i)\right)^{q} d \omega\right]^{1 / q} \\
& =\left[\int\left(\sum_{i=1}^{K}\left\|(T f(\omega))_{i}\right\|_{X_{i}}\right)^{q} d \omega\right]^{1 / q}=\left[\int\|T f(\omega)\|_{X}^{q} d \omega\right]^{1 / q} \\
& =\|T f\|_{L^{q}(X)} \leq\|f\|_{L^{q}(X)}=\| \| f\left\|_{X}\right\|_{L^{q}} \\
& =\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{K}\right\| f\left\|_{i}\right\|_{L^{q}}=\|N f\|_{L^{q}\left(l_{k}^{1}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $T$ is power bounded in $L^{q}(X)$ we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\tau^{j}(N f)\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)} & =\left\|N\left(T^{j} f\right)\right\|_{L^{q}\left(l_{K}^{1}\right)}=\left\|T^{j} f\right\|_{L^{q}(X)} \\
& \leq\|f\|_{L^{q}(X)}=\|N f\|_{L^{q}\left(l_{K}^{1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly

$$
\|(.)\|_{L^{q}\left(l_{K}^{q}\right)} \leq\|(.)\|_{L^{q}\left(l_{K}^{1}\right)} \leq K^{\frac{q-1}{q}}\|(.)\|_{L^{q}\left(l_{K}^{q}\right)}
$$

and then we get

$$
\left\|\tau^{j}(N f)\right\|_{L^{q}\left(l_{K}^{q}\right)} \leq\left\|\tau^{j}(N f)\right\|_{L^{q}\left(l_{K}^{1}\right)} \leq c K^{\frac{q-1}{q}}\|N f\|_{L^{q}\left(l_{K}^{q}\right)}
$$

which proves that $\tau$ is power bounded in $L^{q}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right), 1<q \leq \infty$.
We have two cases to consider:

## Case 1. The space X is without 1-projections.

By $[\mathbf{1 0}]$ if $T_{j}, j=1, \ldots, d$, are surjective isometries on $L^{1}(X)$ and contraction (resp. power bounded) in $L^{q}(X), 1<q \leq \infty$, then, by Proposition 1.6 in [10] (resp. Proposition 2) they are majorizable by (DPO) $\tau_{j}, j=1, \ldots, d$, which are isometries in $L^{1}$ and contraction in $L^{q}$ (resp. power bounded in $L^{q}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right), 1<q \leq \infty$.

To the operators $\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{d}$ we associate the Brunel operator $U$ which is a contraction in $L^{1}$ and a contraction (resp. a power bounded) in $L^{q}, 1<q \leq \infty$. Moreover, the operator $U$ verifies [12, p. 213]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{n}\left\|\frac{1}{|\mathbf{N}|} \sum_{k=0}^{\mathbf{N}-1} a(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{k}} f\right\|=\sup _{n}\left\|\frac{1}{\mathbf{n}^{d}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}=0}^{\mathbf{N}-1} a(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{k}} f\right\| \\
\leq & \sup _{n}\left\|\frac{1}{\mathbf{n}^{d}} \sum_{k=0}^{\mathbf{N}-1} a(\mathbf{k}) \tau^{\mathbf{k}} f\right\| \leq \alpha \sup _{n}\left\|\frac{1}{\mathbf{n}^{d}} \sum_{k=0}^{\mathbf{N}-1} \tau^{\mathbf{k}}\right\| f\| \| \\
\leq & \alpha \chi_{d} \sup _{n} A_{n}(U)\|f\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

In this case we obtain by applying the maximal weak inequality to the operator $U$ (which is Dunford-Schwarz operators)

$$
a \mu\left\{F^{*}>a\right\} \leq a \mu\left\{\sup _{n} A_{n}(U)\|f\|>a / \alpha \chi_{d}\right\} \leq \alpha \chi_{d} \int_{\Omega}\|f\| d \mu
$$

## Case 2. The space $X$ is reflexive Banach space:

The operators $\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{d}$ are isometries in $L^{1}\left(\Omega^{\prime}=\Omega \otimes \Pi\right)$ and power bounded in $L^{\infty}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)$ apply the Proposition 2 with $q=\infty$ to obtain that Brunel's operator $U$ associated to the family $\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{d}$ is also a contraction in $L^{1}$ and power bounded in $L^{\infty}$. Using (3) and the fact that $\mu_{X}$ is a countable measure we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\sup _{n}\left\|\frac{1}{\mathbf{n}^{d}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{k}} f(\omega)\right\| & \leq \sup _{n} \sum_{i=1}^{K} N\left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{n}^{d}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{k}} f\right)(\omega, i) \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{K} \sup _{n}\left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{n}^{d}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a(\mathbf{k}) \tau^{\mathbf{k}}\right)(N f)(\omega, i)  \tag{4}\\
& \leq \chi_{d} \sum_{i=1}^{K} \sup _{n} A_{n}(U) N f(\omega, i)
\end{align*}
$$

By Yoshimoto [13], we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
a \mu\left\{F^{*}>a\right\} & \leq a \mu\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{K} \sup _{n} A_{n}(U) N f(\omega, i)>a / \chi_{d}\right\} \\
& \leq a \mu\left\{\bigcup_{i=1}^{K}\left\{\sup _{n} A_{n}(U) N f(\omega, i)>a / k \chi_{d}\right\}\right\} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{K} a \mu\left\{\sup _{n} A_{n}(U) N f(\omega, i)>a / k \chi_{d}\right\} \\
& \leq \chi_{d} \sum_{i=1}^{K} \int_{\Omega^{\prime}} N f(\omega, i) d\left(\mu \otimes \mu_{X}\right) \\
& =\chi_{d}\|N f\|_{L^{1}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)} \\
& =\alpha \chi_{d}\|f\|_{L^{1}(\Omega, X)}=\alpha \chi_{d} \int_{\Omega}\|f\| d \mu
\end{aligned}
$$

Before giving the proof of our main result, we state a result of Jones-Olsen in [11, pp. 351]
Theorem 2. For all $r \geq 1$ we have $B(r) \cap l^{\infty}=B(1) \cap l^{\infty}$.
By this theorem it suffices to prove theorem 1 for the 1-Besicovitch bounded sequences.

Proof of Theorem 1. We have to prove that the averages $\frac{1}{|\mathbf{N}|} \sum_{k=0}^{\mathbf{N}-1} a(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{k}} f$ converge a.e. on a dense set on $L^{1}(X)$. Let $L^{\infty}(X)$ such a set. For every $\varepsilon>0$ we have

$$
\frac{1}{|\mathbf{N}|} \sum_{k=0}^{\mathbf{N}-1} a(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{k}} f=\frac{1}{|\mathbf{N}|} \sum_{k=0}^{\mathbf{N}-1}\left(a(\mathbf{k})-\psi_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k})\right) \mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{k}} f+\frac{1}{|\mathbf{N}|} \sum_{k=0}^{\mathbf{N}-1} \psi_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{k}} f
$$

and then for all $f \in L^{\infty}(X)$ we have
(5)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\frac{1}{|\mathbf{N}|} \sum_{k=0}^{\mathbf{N}-1} a(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{k}} f\right\| & \leq\left\|\frac{1}{|\mathbf{N}|} \sum_{k=0}^{\mathbf{N}-1}\left(a(\mathbf{k})-\psi_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k})\right) \mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{k}} f\right\|+\left\|\frac{1}{|\mathbf{N}|} \sum_{k=0}^{\mathbf{N}-1} \psi_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{k}} f\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{|\mathbf{N}|} \sum_{k=0}^{\mathbf{N}-1}\left|a(\mathbf{k})-\psi_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k})\right|\left\|\mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{k}} f\right\|+\left\|\frac{1}{|\mathbf{N}|} \sum_{k=0}^{\mathbf{N}-1} \psi_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{k}} f\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case 1 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{|\mathbf{N}|} \sum_{k=0}^{\mathbf{N}-1}\left|a(\mathbf{k})-\psi_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k})\right|\left\|\mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{k}} f\right\| & \leq \frac{1}{|\mathbf{N}|} \sum_{k=0}^{\mathbf{N}-1}\left|a(\mathbf{k})-\psi_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k})\right| \tau^{k}\|f\| \\
& \leq\|f\|_{\infty} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{N}|} \sum_{k=0}^{\mathbf{N}-1}\left|a(\mathbf{k})-\psi_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k})\right|<\varepsilon\|f\|_{\infty}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case 2 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{|\mathbf{N}|} \sum_{k=0}^{\mathbf{N}-1}\left|a(\mathbf{k})-\psi_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k})\right|\left\|\mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{k}} f\right\| & \leq \frac{1}{|\mathbf{N}|} \sum_{k=0}^{\mathbf{N}-1}\left|a(\mathbf{k})-\psi_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k})\right|\left[\sum_{i=1}^{K} N\left(\mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{k}} f\right)(., i)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{|\mathbf{N}|} \sum_{k=0}^{\mathbf{N}-1}\left|a(\mathbf{k})-\psi_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k})\right|\left[\sum_{i=1}^{K} \tau^{\mathbf{k}} N f(., i)\right] \\
& \leq \varepsilon\left[\sum_{i=1}^{K} \tau\|N f(., i)\|_{\infty}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

We have seen in [7, pp. 28] that a.e. convergence holds for the averages $\frac{1}{|\mathbf{N}|} \sum_{k=0}^{\mathbf{N}-1} \mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{k}} f$. Let $\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{d}$, with $\left|\lambda_{i}\right|=1, i=1,2, \ldots, d$ then the theorem holds when $a(k)=\lambda_{1}^{k_{1}} \ldots \lambda_{d}^{k_{d}}$ since $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}^{\mathbf{k}}=\lambda_{1}^{k_{1}} \ldots \lambda_{d}^{k_{d}} \mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{k}}$ is also a d-parameter sequences of surjective isometries operators on $L^{1}(X)$ and power bounded in $L^{\infty}(X)$ when $a(\mathbf{k})=1$. Clearly the a.e. convergence holds for finite linear combinations of such sequences, and hence holds for trigonometric ploynomial in $d$ variables, which proves the convergence a.e. of the second term of (5) and then we have a.e. convergence of

$$
\frac{1}{|\mathbf{N}|} \sum_{k=0}^{\mathbf{N}-1} a(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{k}} f
$$

for all $f \in L^{\infty}(X)$. The Banach principle combining with Lemma 1 end the proof of Theorem 1 in the contraction (resp. power bounded) case by applying Akcoglu-Chacon's Theorem (resp. Yoshimoto's) Theorem to the operator $U$.

Remark 1. In the case when $X$ is without 1-projections we obtain that AkcogluChacon's theorem [2] can be extended to vector case and for linear surjective isometries.

Remark 2. If $p=2$, Burkholder [12] constructed a surjective isometry in $L^{2}$ for which the pointwise ergodic theorem is false. For this reason we can prove that if $X$ is reflexive Banach lattice, and if $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{d}$ are (non-commuting) surjective isometries on $L^{p}(X), 1<p \neq 2<\infty$, then $\forall f \in L^{p}(X)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\sup _{\vec{N}}\right\| \frac{1}{|\mathbf{N}|} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{k}} f\| \|_{L^{p}(\Omega, X)} & \leq\| \|_{\vec{N}} N_{X}\left(\frac{1}{|\mathbf{N}|} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{k}} f\right)\left\|_{L^{p}(\Pi)}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega, X)} \\
& =\left\|\sup _{\vec{N}} N_{X}\left(\frac{1}{|\mathbf{N}|} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{k}} f\right)\right\|_{L^{p}(\Pi \otimes \Omega)} \\
& \leq\left\|\sup _{\vec{N}} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{N}|} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a(\mathbf{k}) \tau^{\mathbf{k}}(N f)\right\|_{L^{p}(\Pi \otimes \Omega)} \\
& \leq \alpha\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{d}\|N f\|_{L^{p}(\Pi \otimes \Omega)} \\
& =\alpha\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{d}\|f\|_{L^{p}(\Omega, X)}
\end{aligned}
$$

The least inequality is true by applying Akcoglu's theorem $d$ times successively on the operators $\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{d}$.

For the commuting case. Using Brunel operator we obtain the following strong estimates

$$
\left\|\sup _{\vec{N}}\right\| A_{\vec{N}}\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{d}\right) f\| \|_{L^{p}(\Omega, X)} \leq \chi_{d} \frac{p}{p-1}\|f\|_{L^{p}(\Omega, X)}
$$

Remark 3. 1. If $T$ is power bounded in $L^{q}(X)$ then its $D P O ; \tau$ is power bounded in $L^{q}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)$. But we don't know if $\tau$ is a contraction in $L^{q}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)=L^{q}\left(l_{K}^{q}\right)$ when $T$ is in $L^{q}(X)$. For this reason in the case " $X$ has 1-projection", we cannot obtain an extension of Akcoglu-Chacon's theorem.
2. If $\mathcal{P}_{1}(X)$ is singleton and if $T$ is a contraction in $L^{q}(X)$, then $\tau$ is contraction in $L^{q}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right), 1<q<\infty$.

Now, we can find the results of $[\mathbf{7}]$ and $[\mathbf{8}]$ as corollary:
Corollary 1. Let $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{d}$ be $d$ commuting linear surjective isometries on $L^{1}(X)$ and power bounded in $L^{\infty}(X)$. Then, for $f \in L^{p}(X)$ we have the almost
everywhere convergence of the averages

$$
A_{n}\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{d}\right) f=\frac{1}{n^{d}} \sum_{i_{1}=0}^{n-1} \ldots \sum_{i_{d}=0}^{n-1} T^{i_{1}} \ldots T^{i_{d}} f
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Corollary 2. Let $X$ be a reflexive Banach space and let $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{d}$ be $d$ preserving measure transformations on a $\sigma$-finite space. If $T_{j} f=f o \theta_{j}, j=1, \ldots, d$, and if the transformations are commuting then the averages $A_{n}\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{d}\right) f$ converge a.e. for all $f \in L^{1}(X)$.

In the following we give an example of vector operator which is not majorizable by a dominated positive operator contraction in $L^{1}$.

Example 1. Let $\Omega=\{1,2\}$ be probability space, $\mu(1)=\mu(2)=1 / 2$, and let $X=\mathbf{R}^{2}$ (reflexive Banach space) with norm $\|(x, y)\|=|x|+|y|$. The Banach space $L^{1}\left(\{1,2\}, \mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$ will be of dimension 4 . The operator $T$ will be represented by a square matrix of order 4 . Let $T=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4}$ if such an operator (DPO) $\tau$ exists then it will verify for all $\varphi \in L^{1}$

$$
\tau_{0} \varphi=\sup \{\|T f\| ;\|f\| \leq \varphi\} \leq \tau \varphi
$$

We shall prove that for an operator $T, \tau_{0}$ is not contracting in $L^{1}$. From this we deduce that $\tau$ is also not contracting. The condition $\|T f\|_{1} \leq\|f\|_{1}$ implies that $\sum_{i=1}^{4}\left|a_{i j}\right| \leq 1$ for $j=1, \ldots, 4$. The operator $\tau_{0}$ is contracting in $L^{1}$ if

$$
(* *)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|a_{11}\right|+\left|a_{21}\right|+\left|a_{32}\right|+\left|a_{42}\right| \leq 1  \tag{*}\\
& \left|a_{12}\right|+\left|a_{22}\right|+\left|a_{31}\right|+\left|a_{41}\right| \leq 1
\end{align*}
$$

But if we take $a_{11}=1 / 2 ; a_{21}=a_{31}=a_{41}=10^{-1}$ and $a_{12}=a_{22}=a_{32}=a_{42}=1 / 4$ and 0 elsewhere then while the operator $T$ is $L^{1}(X)$-contraction we have the conditions $(*)$ and $(* *)$ are not satisfied. This implies that $\tau_{0}$ is not $L^{1}$-contraction. Consequently, $\tau$ is not $L^{1}$-contraction. A similar calculation shows that $\tau_{0}$ is not $L^{p}$-contraction although the operator $T$ is. Since $X=l_{2}^{1}$ has two projections, by its definition, DPO and as $\tau_{0}$ is not contraction in $L^{1}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)$, we then get that $T$ does not have a $D P O$. By Chacon's theorem the Cesaro averages $A_{n}(T) f$ converge a.e. for all $f \in L^{1}(X)$ while the operator $T$ is not majorilized by a DPO.

## Remark 4.

1. By a similar argument of that of Brunel [4] Theorem 1 remains true when $X$ is a reflexive Banach lattice space and the operators $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{d}$ are positive linear operators contraction in both $L^{1}(X)$ and in $L^{\infty}(X)$.
2. The commutation of $\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{d}$ is not needed to the construction of the Brunel operator $U$.
3. If $X$ is without1-projections the contraction of the operator $T$ in $L^{\infty}(X)$ assumed in [5] can be replaced by the contraction in some $L^{q}(X)$ with $\left.q \in\right] 1,+\infty[$.
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