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#### Abstract

An algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is called restricted regular with respect to a subset $M$ of its base set if every single class of some congruence $\Theta$ on $\mathcal{A}$ determines $\Theta \mid M$. A variety is called restricted regular with respect to a unary term $t$ if every of its members $(A, F)$ is restricted regular with respect to $t(A)$. The well-known results on (weak) regularity are generalized to the "restricted case".


Definition 1. Let $\mathcal{A}=(A, F)$ be an algebra and $M \subseteq A$. $\mathcal{A}$ is called restricted regular with respect to $M$ if for all $a \in A$ and $\Theta, \Phi \in \operatorname{Con} \mathcal{A}$ the following holds: If $[a] \Theta=[a] \Phi$ then $\Theta|M=\Phi| M$. For an arbitrary set $M$ let $\omega_{M}$ denote the least equivalence relation on $M$.

Remark 1. The case $M=A$ yields the concept of a regular algebra (see [2],[5] and [7]). Every algebra is restricted regular with respect to every at most oneelement subset of its base set.

Lemma 1. If $\mathcal{A}=(A, F)$ is an algebra, $M \subseteq A, \mathcal{A}$ is restricted regular with respect to $M$ and $\Theta$ is a congruence on $\mathcal{A}$ having a singleton class then $\Theta \mid M=\omega_{M}$.

Proof. If $[a] \Theta=\{a\}$ for some $a \in A$ then $[a] \Theta=[a] \omega_{A}$ and hence $\Theta \mid M=$ $\omega_{A} \mid M=\omega_{M}$.

Definition 2. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a variety and $t$ a unary term of $\mathcal{V}$. $\mathcal{V}$ is called restricted regular with respect to $t$ if every member $(A, F)$ of $\mathcal{V}$ is restricted regular with respect to $t(A)$.

Remark 2. The case $t(x)=x$ yields the concept of a regular variety (see $[\mathbf{2}],[\mathbf{5}]$ and $[\mathbf{7}])$. Every variety $\mathcal{V}$ is restricted regular with respect to every constant unary term of $\mathcal{V}$.

Theorem 1. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a variety and $t$ a unary term of $\mathcal{V}$. Then $\mathcal{V}$ is restricted regular with respect to $t$ if and only if for each member $\mathcal{A}=(A, F)$ of $\mathcal{V}$ and each congruence $\Theta$ on $\mathcal{A}$ having a singleton class it holds $\Theta \mid t(A)=\omega_{t(A)}$.

[^0]Proof. If $\mathcal{V}$ satisfies the condition of the theorem, $\mathcal{A}=(A, F) \in \mathcal{V}, a \in A$, $\Theta, \Phi \in \operatorname{Con} \mathcal{A}$ and $[a] \Theta=[a] \Phi$ then

$$
|[[a](\Theta \cap \Phi)](\Theta /(\Theta \cap \Phi))|=|[[a](\Theta \cap \Phi)](\Phi /(\Theta \cap \Phi))|=1
$$

and hence

$$
(\Theta /(\Theta \cap \Phi))|t(A /(\Theta \cap \Phi))=(\Phi /(\Theta \cap \Phi))| t(A /(\Theta \cap \Phi))
$$

which implies $\Theta|t(A)=\Phi| t(A)$. The rest of the proof follows from Lemma 1.
Theorem 2. For an algebra $\mathcal{A}=(A, F), a, b \in A$ and $M \subseteq A^{2}$ the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $(a, b) \in \Theta(M)$.
(ii) There exists a positive integer $n, a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n} \in A,\left(b_{1}, c_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(b_{n}, c_{n}\right) \in M$ and unary polynomial functions $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}$ over $\mathcal{A}$ with $a_{0}=a,\left\{a_{i-1}, a_{i}\right\}=$ $\left\{p_{i}\left(b_{i}\right), p_{i}\left(c_{i}\right)\right\}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$ and $a_{n}=b$.
(iii) There exists a positive integer $n$, $\left(b_{1}, c_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(b_{n}, c_{n}\right) \in M$ and binary polynomial functions $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}$ over $\mathcal{A}$ with $q_{1}\left(b_{1}, c_{1}\right)=a, q_{i}\left(c_{i}, b_{i}\right)=$ $q_{i+1}\left(b_{i+1}, c_{i+1}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$ and $q_{n}\left(c_{n}, b_{n}\right)=b$.
Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii):
See [4] (p. 55) or [6] (Theorem 4.19).
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii):

For $i=1, \ldots, n$ define $q_{i}(x, y):=p_{i}(x)$ if $p_{i}\left(b_{i}\right)=a_{i-1}$ and $q_{i}(x, y):=p_{i}(y)$ otherwise. Then $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}$ are binary polynomial functions over $\mathcal{A}$ and for $i=$ $1, \ldots, n-1$ it holds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{1}\left(b_{1}, c_{1}\right)=p_{1}\left(b_{1}\right)=a_{0}=a \text { if } p_{1}\left(b_{1}\right)=a_{0}, \\
& q_{1}\left(b_{1}, c_{1}\right)=p_{1}\left(c_{1}\right)=a_{0}=a \text { otherwise, } \\
& q_{i}\left(c_{i}, b_{i}\right)=p_{i}\left(c_{i}\right)=a_{i} \text { if } p_{i}\left(b_{i}\right)=a_{i-1}, \\
& q_{i}\left(c_{i}, b_{i}\right)=p_{i}\left(b_{i}\right)=a_{i} \text { otherwise, } \\
& q_{i+1}\left(b_{i+1}, c_{i+1}\right)=p_{i+1}\left(b_{i+1}\right)=a_{i} \text { if } p_{i+1}\left(b_{i+1}\right)=a_{i}, \\
& q_{i+1}\left(b_{i+1}, c_{i+1}\right)=p_{i+1}\left(c_{i+1}\right)=a_{i} \text { otherwise, } \\
& q_{n}\left(c_{n}, b_{n}\right)=p_{n}\left(c_{n}\right)=a_{n}=b \text { if } p_{n}\left(b_{n}\right)=a_{n-1} \text { and } \\
& q_{n}\left(c_{n}, b_{n}\right)=p_{n}\left(b_{n}\right)=a_{n}=b \text { otherwise } .
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i):
$a=q_{1}\left(b_{1}, c_{1}\right) \Theta(M) q_{1}\left(c_{1}, b_{1}\right)=q_{2}\left(b_{2}, c_{2}\right) \Theta(M) \ldots \Theta(M) q_{n}\left(c_{n}, b_{n}\right)=b$.
Theorem 3. For a variety $\mathcal{V}$ and a unary term $t$ of $\mathcal{V}$ the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $\mathcal{V}$ is restricted regular with respect to $t$.
(ii) There exists a positive integer $n$, ternary terms $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}$ and 5 -ary terms $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}$ satisfying the following conditions:
$t(x)=t(y)$ implies $t_{1}(x, y, z)=\cdots=t_{n}(x, y, z)=z$, $s_{1}\left(t_{1}(x, y, z), z, x, y, z\right)=t(x)$,

$$
s_{i}\left(z, t_{i}(x, y, z), x, y, z\right)=s_{i+1}\left(t_{i+1}(x, y, z), z, x, y, z\right) \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n-1
$$

$$
\text { and } s_{n}\left(z, t_{n}(x, y, z), x, y, z\right)=t(y)
$$

(iii) There exists a positive integer $n$ and ternary terms $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}$ such that $t_{1}(x, y, z)=\cdots=t_{n}(x, y, z)=z$ is equivalent to $t(x)=t(y)$.
Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii):
Consider the free algebra $F_{\mathcal{V}}(x, y, z)$ of $\mathcal{V}$ generated by the free generators $x, y, z$. Then

$$
[z] \Theta(t(x), t(y))=[z] \Theta(\{z\} \times[z] \Theta(t(x), t(y)))
$$

implies, according to (i),

$$
(t(x), t(y)) \in \Theta(t(x), t(y))\left|t\left(F_{\mathcal{V}}(x, y, z)\right)=\Theta(\{z\} \times[z] \Theta(t(x), t(y)))\right| t\left(F_{\mathcal{V}}(x, y, z)\right)
$$

In virtue of Theorem 2 , there exists a positive integer $n, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} \in[z] \Theta(t(x), t(y))$ and binary polynomial functions $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}$ over $F_{\mathcal{V}}(x, y, z)$ with $q_{1}\left(z, t_{1}\right)=t(x)$, $q_{i}\left(t_{i}, z\right)=q_{i+1}\left(z, t_{i+1}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$ and $q_{n}\left(t_{n}, z\right)=t(y)$.

Now there exist 5 -ary terms $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}$ with $s_{i}(u, v, x, y, z)=q_{i}(v, u)$ for $i=$ $1, \ldots, n$ and the elements $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}$ of $[z] \Theta(t(x), t(y))$ can be considered as ternary terms $t_{1}(x, y, z), \ldots \ldots, t_{n}(x, y, z)$. These terms satisfy the identities of (ii). Since $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} \in[z] \Theta(t(x), t(y))$ one obtains $t_{1}(x, y, z)=\cdots=t_{n}(x, y, z)=z$ provided $t(x)=t(y)$.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii):
$t_{1}(x, y, z)=\cdots=t_{n}(x, y, z)=z$ implies
$t(x)=s_{1}(z, z, x, y, z)=\cdots=s_{n}(z, z, x, y, z)=t(y)$.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i):

If $\mathcal{A}=(A, F) \in \mathcal{V}, a, b, c \in A, \Theta \in \operatorname{Con} \mathcal{A},[a] \Theta=\{a\}$ and $(t(b), t(c)) \in \Theta$ then

$$
t_{i}(b, c, a) \in\left[t_{i}(b, c, a)\right] \Theta=t_{i}([b] \Theta,[c] \Theta,[a] \Theta)=[a] \Theta=\{a\}
$$

for all $i=1, \ldots, n$ according to (iii) whence $t(b)=t(c)$ again by (iii) which shows $\Theta \mid t(A)=\omega_{t(A)}$. In virtue of Theorem $1, \mathcal{V}$ is restricted regular with respect to $t$.

Example 1. The variety $\mathcal{V}$ of pseudocomplemented lattices $\left(L, \vee, \wedge,{ }^{*}\right)$ is restricted regular with respect to * but not regular (cf. [1]). This can be seen as follows: The terms

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{1}(x, y, z) & :=\left(x^{*} \wedge y\right)^{*} \wedge z, \\
t_{2}(x, y, z) & :=\left(x^{*} \wedge y\right) \vee z, \\
t_{3}(x, y, z) & :=\left(x \wedge y^{*}\right)^{*} \wedge z \text { and } \\
t_{4}(x, y, z) & :=\left(x \wedge y^{*}\right) \vee z
\end{aligned}
$$

satisfy condition (iii) of Theorem 3: If $t_{1}(x, y, z)=\cdots=t_{4}(x, y, z)=z$ then

$$
x^{*} \wedge y \leq z \leq\left(x^{*} \wedge y\right)^{*} \text { and } x \wedge y^{*} \leq z \leq\left(x \wedge y^{*}\right)^{*}
$$

which implies

$$
x^{*} \wedge y \leq\left(x^{*} \wedge y\right) \wedge\left(x^{*} \wedge y\right)^{*}=0 \text { and } x \wedge y^{*} \leq\left(x \wedge y^{*}\right) \wedge\left(x \wedge y^{*}\right)^{*}=0
$$

From this it follows $x^{*} \leq y^{*}$ and $y^{*} \leq x^{*}$ and therefore $x^{*}=y^{*}$. If, conversely, $x^{*}=y^{*}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{1}(x, y, z)=\left(y^{*} \wedge y\right)^{*} \wedge z=z \\
& t_{2}(x, y, z)=\left(y^{*} \wedge y\right) \vee z=z \\
& t_{3}(x, y, z)=\left(x \wedge x^{*}\right)^{*} \wedge z=z \text { and } \\
& t_{4}(x, y, z)=\left(x \wedge x^{*}\right) \vee z=z
\end{aligned}
$$

That $\mathcal{V}$ is not regular can be seen from the following example: The equivalence relation on $N_{5}$

having the classes $\{0\},\left\{a, a^{* *}\right\},\left\{a^{*}\right\}$ and $\{1\}$ is a congruence on the pseudocomplemented lattice $\mathcal{N}_{5}$ which has three classes in common with $\omega_{N_{5}}$, but is different from $\omega_{N_{5}}$.

Definition 3. An algebra with 0 is an algebra with a distinguished element 0 of its base set. A variety with 0 is a variety with a constant unary term 0 .

Definition 4. Let $\mathcal{A}=(A, F)$ be an algebra with 0 and $M \subseteq A . \mathcal{A}$ is called restricted weakly regular with respect to $M$ if for all $\Theta, \Phi \in \operatorname{Con} \mathcal{A},[0] \Theta=$ $[0] \Phi$ implies $\Theta|M=\Phi| M$.

Remark 3. The case $M=A$ yields the concept of a weakly regular algebra with 0 (see [3]). Every algebra with 0 is restricted weakly regular with respect to every at most one-element subset of its base set.

Lemma 2. If $\mathcal{A}=(A, F)$ is an algebra with $0, M \subseteq A, \mathcal{A}$ is restricted weakly regular with respect to $M$ and $\Theta$ is a congruence on $\mathcal{A}$ with $[0] \Theta=\{0\}$ then $\Theta \mid M=\omega_{M}$.

Proof. $[0] \Theta=\{0\}=[0] \omega_{A}$ implies $\Theta\left|M=\omega_{A}\right| M=\omega_{M}$.
Definition 5. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a variety with 0 and $t$ a unary term of $\mathcal{V}$. $\mathcal{V}$ is called restricted weakly regular with respect to $t$ if every member $(A, F)$ of $\mathcal{V}$ is restricted weakly regular with respect to $t(A)$.

Remark 4. The case $t(x)=x$ yields the concept of a weakly regular variety with 0 (see $[\mathbf{3}])$. Every variety $\mathcal{V}$ with 0 is restricted weakly regular with respect to every constant unary term of $\mathcal{V}$.

Theorem 4. A variety $\mathcal{V}$ with 0 and with unary term $t$ is restricted weakly regular with respect to $t$ if and only if for each member $\mathcal{A}=(A, F)$ of $\mathcal{V}$ and each $\Theta \in \operatorname{Con} \mathcal{A}$ with $[0] \Theta=\{0\}$ it holds $\Theta \mid t(A)=\omega_{t(A)}$.

Proof. If $\mathcal{V}$ satisfies the condition of the theorem, $\mathcal{A}=(A, F) \in \mathcal{V} ; \Theta, \Phi \in$ $\operatorname{Con} \mathcal{A}$ and $[0] \Theta=[0] \Phi$ then

$$
|[[0](\Theta \cap \Phi)](\Theta /(\Theta \cap \Phi))|=|[[0](\Theta \cap \Phi)](\Phi /(\Theta \cap \Phi))|=1
$$

and hence

$$
(\Theta /(\Theta \cap \Phi))|t(A /(\Theta \cap \Phi))=(\Phi /(\Theta \cap \Phi))| t(A /(\Theta \cap \Phi))
$$

which implies $\Theta|t(A)=\Phi| t(A)$. The rest of the proof follows from Lemma 2.
Theorem 5. For a variety $\mathcal{V}$ with 0 and a unary term $t$ of $\mathcal{V}$ the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $\mathcal{V}$ is restricted weakly regular with respect to $t$.
(ii) There exists a positive integer n, binary terms $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}$ and 4-ary terms $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}$ satisfying the following conditions:
$t(x)=t(y)$ implies $d_{1}(x, y)=\cdots=d_{n}(x, y)=0$,
$s_{1}\left(d_{1}(x, y), 0, x, y\right)=t(x)$,
$s_{i}\left(0, d_{i}(x, y), x, y\right)=s_{i+1}\left(d_{i+1}(x, y), 0, x, y\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$ and $s_{n}\left(0, d_{n}(x, y), x, y\right)=t(y)$.
(iii) There exists a positive integer $n$ and binary terms $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}$ such that $d_{1}(x, y)=\cdots=d_{n}(x, y)=0$ is equivalent to $t(x)=t(y)$.
Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii):
Consider the free algebra $F_{\mathcal{V}}(x, y)$ of $\mathcal{V}$ generated by the free generators $x, y$. Then

$$
[0] \Theta(t(x), t(y))=[0] \Theta(\{0\} \times[0] \Theta(t(x), t(y)))
$$

implies, according to (i),

$$
(t(x), t(y)) \in \Theta(t(x), t(y))\left|t\left(F_{\mathcal{V}}(x, y)\right)=\Theta(\{0\} \times[0] \Theta(t(x), t(y)))\right| t\left(F_{\mathcal{V}}(x, y)\right)
$$

In virtue of Theorem 2, there exists an integer $n \geq 1, d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n} \in[0] \Theta(t(x), t(y))$ and binary polynomial functions $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}$ over $F_{\mathcal{V}}(x, y)$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{1}\left(0, d_{1}\right)=t(x) \\
& q_{i}\left(d_{i}, 0\right)=q_{i+1}\left(0, d_{i+1}\right) \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n-1 \text { and } \\
& q_{n}\left(d_{n}, 0\right)=t(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now there exist 4-ary terms $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}$ with $s_{i}(u, v, x, y)=q_{i}(v, u)$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$ and the elements $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}$ of $[0] \Theta(t(x), t(y))$ can be considered as binary terms $d_{1}(x, y), \ldots, d_{n}(x, y)$. These terms satisfy the identities of (ii). Since $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n} \in$ $[0] \Theta(t(x), t(y))$ one obtains $d_{1}(x, y)=\cdots=d_{n}(x, y)=0$ provided $t(x)=t(y)$.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii):
$d_{1}(x, y)=\cdots=d_{n}(x, y)=0$ implies $t(x)=s_{1}(0,0, x, y)=\cdots=s_{n}(0,0, x, y)=$ $t(y)$.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i):

If $\mathcal{A}=(A, F) \in \mathcal{V}, a, b \in A, \Theta \in \operatorname{Con} \mathcal{A},[0] \Theta=\{0\}$ and $(t(a), t(b)) \in \Theta$ then

$$
d_{i}(a, b) \in\left[d_{i}(a, b)\right] \Theta=d_{i}([a] \Theta,[b] \Theta)=[0] \Theta=\{0\}
$$

for all $i=1, \ldots, n$ according to (iii) whence $t(a)=t(b)$ again by (iii) which shows $\Theta \mid t(A)=\omega_{t(A)}$. In virtue of Theorem $4, \mathcal{V}$ is restricted weakly regular with respect to $t$.

Example 2. The variety $\mathcal{V}$ of pseudocomplemented semilattices $\left(S, \wedge,{ }^{*}\right)$ is restricted weakly regular with respect to * but not weakly regular (cf. [1]). This can be seen as follows: The terms $d_{1}(x, y):=x^{*} \wedge y$ and $d_{2}(x, y):=x \wedge y^{*}$ satisfy condition (iii) of Theorem 5: If $d_{1}(x, y)=d_{2}(x, y)=0$ then $x^{*} \leq y^{*}$ and $y^{*} \leq x^{*}$ which yields $x^{*}=y^{*}$. If, conversely, $x^{*}=y^{*}$ then $d_{1}(x, y)=y^{*} \wedge y=0$ and $d_{2}(x, y)=x \wedge x^{*}=0$. That $\mathcal{V}$ is not weakly regular can be seen from the example mentioned in Example 1.

Remark 5. The results obtained in this paper are natural generalizations of the corresponding results on (weak) regularity (see [2],[3],[5] and [7]).
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