# THE VECTOR INDIVIDUAL WEIGHTED ERGODIC THEOREM FOR BOUNDED BESICOVICH SEQUENCES

### K. EL BERDAN

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove maximal ergodic theorem and a pointwise convergence theorem. Our result is to prove the convergence of

$$B_n(T, \alpha, f) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha_j T^j f$$

for all  $f \in L^1(\Omega, X) = L^1(X)$ , where *n* tends to infinity,  $\Omega$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space, *X* is a reflexive Banach space,  $\alpha_j$  is a bounded Besicovich sequence and *T* is a linear operator on  $L^1(X)$  which is contracting in both  $L^1(X)$  and  $L^{\infty}(X)$ .

Our result has the additional advantage as it is sufficiently general in order to extend the Beck and Schwartz random theorem.

We can also generalize this result to a multidimensional case.

## **Notations and Definitions**

Denote by X a Banach space,  $(\Omega, \beta, \mu)$  a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space,  $||x||_X$  the norm of a vector x in X.

•  $L^1(\Omega, X) = L^1(X) = \left\{ f \colon \Omega \to X, \text{ measurable and } \int_\Omega \|f(\omega)\|_X d\mu(\omega) < \infty \right\}$ the space of integrable functions in the sense of Bochner which take values in X, and  $L^\infty(\Omega, X) = L^\infty(X) = \left\{ f \colon \Omega \to X, \text{ measurable and bounded a.e.} \right\}$ 

(i.e  $\sup_{\omega \in \Omega} \|f(\omega)\|_X < \infty$ )

- $L^1 = L^1(\Omega, R), \ L^\infty = L^\infty(\Omega, R).$
- For all  $f \in L^1(X)$ ,  $\|f\|_1 = \int_{\Omega} \|f(\omega)\|_X d\mu(\omega)$  and  $\|f\|_{\infty} = \sup_{\omega \in \Omega} \|f(\omega)\|_X$ .
- For an operator T of  $L^1(X)$  into itself: T is contracting in  $L^1(X)$  iff  $||Tf||_1 \le ||f||_1$  for all  $f \in L^1(X)$ , similarly, T is contracting in  $L^{\infty}(X)$  iff for all  $f \in L^{\infty}(X)$ ,  $||Tf||_{\infty} \le ||f||_{\infty}$ .
- $L^{\infty}(X), \|Tf\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{\infty}.$ • For a > 0 and  $f \in L^{1}(X), f^{a-} = \frac{f}{\|f\|} \min\{\|f\|, a\}, f^{a+} = f - f^{a-}, f^{*} = \sup_{n} \|B_{n}(\alpha, T, f)\|, e^{*}(a, \alpha) = \{f^{*} > \alpha a\}, e(a) = \{\|f\| > a\} \text{ and for } A \subset \Omega$ we denote  $\varphi_{A}$  the indicator function of A.

Received July 7, 1995; revised March 5, 1998.

<sup>1980</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification (1991 Revision). Primary 27A35; Secondary 28A65.

We first define the term "Bounded Besicovich sequence". Let  $\alpha_j$  be a sequence of complex numbers. We say that  $\alpha_j$  is a bounded Besicovich sequence if

- (i) there exists a positive real  $\alpha$  such that  $|\alpha_j| < \alpha$  for every  $j \in N$ ,
- (ii) for  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a trigonometric polynomial  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$  such that

$$\lim_n rac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^n |lpha_j - arphi_arepsilon(j)| < arepsilon \, .$$

### Introduction

In [5] J. Olsen proved an individual weighted ergodic theorem for bounded Besicovich sequences. He proved the a.e. convergence of

$$B_n(T, \alpha, f) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j T^j f$$

where T is linear operator on  $L^1 = L^1(\Omega, R)$  which is contracting in  $L^1 = L^1(\Omega, R)$ and in  $L^{\infty} = L^{\infty}(\Omega, R)$ , and  $\alpha_j$  is a bounded Besicovich sequence.

In [2] R. V. Chacon proved a maximal ergodic lemma for operators which act in the space of functions taking their values in a Banach space, and he used this result to obtain a vector valued ergodic theorem as a generalization of the Dunford and Shwartz theorem.

In this paper we intend to generalize the individual ergodic theorem of Olsen to operators acting in  $L^{1}(X)$ .

In his proof Olsen used the dominated operator of T-linear modulus (see [5, Lemma 2.1]) but in our case we have shown in [4] that there exists a vector operator without linear modulus contracting in  $L^1$ .

We prove that the method of Chacon can be adapted to this situation, then our result is the convergence  $\mu$ -a.e. of  $B_n(T, \alpha, f)$  where T is a linear operator on  $L^1(X)$  which is contracting in both  $L^1(X)$  and  $L^{\infty}(X)$ .

In the second part, we generalize these results to a multidimensional case: If  $\alpha_j$  is a bounded Besicovich sequence and  $\lambda_d = i_1 s_1 + \cdots + i_d s_d$  where  $s_j \in N$  for  $j = 1, \ldots, d$  then the limit of

$$B_n(T,d,\alpha,f) = \frac{1}{(n+1)^d} \sum_{i_1=0}^n \cdots \sum_{i_d}^n \alpha_{\lambda_d} T^{\lambda_d} f$$

exists a.e. for all  $f \in L^1(X)$ .

#### I. ONE DIMENSIONAL CASE

We now state Chacon's result [2]:

**Theorem 1.1** (Chacon). Let T be a linear operator on  $L^1(X)$  contracting in  $L^1(X)$  and in  $L^{\infty}(X)$ .

(i) If 
$$a > 0$$
,  $e^*(a) = \left\{ \omega \in \Omega; \quad \sup_n \left\| \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^n T^j f(\omega) \right\|_X > a \right\}$ 
$$\int_{e^*(a)} \left( a - \left\| f^{a-}(\omega) \right\|_X \right) \, d\mu(\omega) \le \int_\Omega \left\| f^{a+}(\omega) \right\|_X d\mu(\omega).$$

(ii) For  $f \in L^1(X)$ , the limit of  $A_n(T, f)(\omega) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T^j f(\omega)$  exists strongly

for every  $\omega \in \Omega$  as n tends to infinity.

(iii) If  $1 , then there exists a function <math>f^{**} \in L^p(X)$  such that

$$||A_n(T)f||_X \le ||f^{**}||_X \quad (a.e. \ n \ge 0).$$

## Main Result

We now state and prove our main result.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let X be a reflexive Banach space, T be a linear operator on  $L^1(X)$  contracting in  $L^1(X)$  and in  $L^{\infty}(X)$ ,  $\alpha_j$  be a bounded Besicovich sequence then:

(i) For  $f \in L^1(X)$ , the limit of  $B_n(T, \alpha, f)(\omega) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha_j T^j f(\omega)$  exists

strongly for every  $\omega \in \Omega$  as n tends to infinity.

(ii) If  $1 , <math>f \in L^p(X)$  the average  $B_n(T, \alpha, f)$  converges a.e. and

$$\left\|\sup_{n}\left\|B_{n}(T,\alpha,f)\right\|_{X}\right\|_{p} \leq \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{1/p} \|f\|_{p}.$$

Before proving Theorem 1.2, let us remark that if  $\alpha_j = 1$  for every j, we have

$$e^*(a,1) = e^*(a) = \left\{ \sup_n \left\| \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n T^k f \right\| > a \right\}.$$

We will have to prove that Chacon's lemma is valid also for the averages  $B_n(T, \alpha, f)$ .

**Lemma 1.3.** If  $f \in L^1(X)$  and a > 0, we have then

$$\int_{e^*(a,\alpha)} \left(a - \left\| f^{a-}(\omega) \right\|_X \right) d\mu(\omega) \le \int_{\Omega} \left\| f^{a+}(\omega) \right\|_X d\mu(\omega) \,.$$

*Proof of Lemma* 1.3. We can suppose that  $\alpha_j > 0$  for all j in N. As in [2] we define:

$$f_{0} = f^{a+},$$
  
$$f_{i+1} = Tf_{i} - \frac{Tf_{i}}{\|Tf_{i}\|_{X}} \min\left\{ \|Tf_{i}\|_{X}, a - \|f^{a-}\|_{X} - \sum_{k=0}^{i} \|Tf_{k} - f_{k+1}\|_{X} \right\}$$

and

$$d_{0} = 0,$$
  
$$d_{i+1} = \frac{Tf_{i}}{\|Tf_{i}\|_{X}} \min\left\{ \|Tf_{i}\|_{X}, a - \|f^{a-}\|_{X} - \sum_{k=0}^{i} \|Tf_{k} - f_{k+1}\|_{X} \right\}.$$

By [2] these sequences satisfy the following relations:

(1)  $\|f^{a-}(\omega)\|_X + \sum_{k=0}^i \|d_k(\omega)\|_X \le a \text{ for every } i \text{ in } N \text{ and for every } \omega \text{ in } \Omega,$ 

(2) 
$$\|Tf_i(\omega)\|_X = \|f_{i+1}(\omega)\|_X + \|d_{i+1}(\omega)\|_X$$
 for all  $\omega$  in  $\Omega$ ,

(3) 
$$T^{i}f = T^{i}f^{a-} + f_{i} + \sum_{k=0}^{i} T^{i-k}d_{k}$$
 for every *i* in *N*,

(4) 
$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} \left[ T^{i} f^{a-} + \sum_{k=0}^{i} T^{i-k} d_{k} \right] = \sum_{i=0}^{n} T^{i} \left( f^{a-} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} d_{k} \right) \text{ for every } n \text{ in } N,$$

(5) if for 
$$\omega \in \Omega$$
  $f_{i+1}(\omega) \neq 0$  then  $a = \left\| f^{a-}(\omega) \right\|_X + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left\| d_k(\omega) \right\|_X$ .

Multiply equality (3) by  $\alpha_j$  to obtain

$$\alpha_j T^i f = \alpha_i T^i f^{a-} + \alpha_i f_i + \sum_{k=0}^i \alpha_i T^{i-k} d_k \,.$$

To prove the following equality

$$(*) \qquad \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left[ \alpha_i T^i f^{a-} + \sum_{k=0}^{i} \alpha_i T^{i-k} d_k \right] = \sum_{i=0}^{n} T^i \left( \alpha_i f^{a-} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \alpha_{i+k} d_k \right)$$

we shall argue by induction on n. It is true for n = 0. Suppose now that it is valid up to n and let us prove it for n + 1:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} & \left[ \alpha_i T^i f^{a-} + \sum_{k=0}^i \alpha_i T^{i-k} d_k \right] \\ & = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i \left( T^i f^{a-} + \sum_{k=0}^i T^{i-k} d_k \right) + \alpha_{n+1} \left( T^{n+1} f^{a-} + \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} T^{n+1-k} d_k \right) \\ & = \underbrace{\sum_{i=0}^n T^i \left( \alpha_i f^{a-} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \alpha_{i+k} d_k \right)}_{\mu_n} + \underbrace{\alpha_{n+1} \left( T^{n+1} f^{a-} + \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} T^{n+1-k} d_k \right)}_{\lambda_n}. \end{split}$$

On the other hand we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} T^i \left( \alpha_i f^{a-} + \sum_{k=0}^{n+1-i} \alpha_i d_k \right) = \sum_{i=0}^n T^i \left( \alpha_i f + \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \alpha_{i+k} d_k + \alpha_{n+1} d_{n+1-i} \right)$$
$$= \sum_{i=0}^n T^i \left( \alpha_i f + \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \alpha_{i+k} d_k \right) + T^{n+1} f^{a-} + \alpha_{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} T^i d_{n+1-i}$$
$$= \underbrace{\sum_{i=0}^n T^i \left( \alpha_i f^{a-} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \alpha_{i+k} d_k \right)}_{\mu_n} + \underbrace{\alpha_{n+1} \left( T^{n+1} f^{a-} + \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} T^{n+1-k} d_k \right)}_{\lambda_n}.$$

It follows that (\*) holds for every n in N. Thus

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \alpha_i T^i f = \sum_{i=0}^n T^i \Big( \alpha_i f^{a-} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \alpha_{i+k} d_k \Big) + \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \alpha_i f_i$$
$$= \Big( \alpha_0 f^{a-} + \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k d_k \Big) + \sum_{i=1}^n T^i \Big( \alpha_i f^{a-} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \alpha_{i+k} d_k \Big) + \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \alpha_i f_i$$

Now, we shall show that for all  $\omega \in e^*(\alpha, a) = \left\{ \omega \in \Omega; \sup_n \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j T^j f(\omega) \right\|_X > a \right\}$  we have

$$a = \left\| f^{a-}(\omega) \right\|_{X} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\| d_{k}(\omega) \right\|_{X}.$$

Let  $\omega \in e^*(\alpha, a)$  then there exists  $n = n(\omega)$  such that:

$$\alpha an + \alpha a \le \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i T^i f(\omega) \right\| \le \left( \alpha_0 \left\| f^{a-}(\omega) \right\|_X + \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k \left\| d_k(\omega) \right\|_X \right) + \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| T^i \left( \alpha_i f^{a-} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \alpha_{i+k} d_k \right)(\omega) \right\|_X + \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i \left\| f_i(\omega) \right\|_X + \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i \left\| f_i(\omega) \right\|_X + \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i \| f_i(\omega) \|_X + \sum_{$$

By (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i \| f^{a-}(\omega) \|_X + \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_{i+k} \| d_k(\omega) \|_X &\leq \alpha_i \| f^{a-}(\omega) \|_X + \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_i \| d_k(\omega) \|_X \\ &= \alpha_i \Big[ \| f^{a-}(\omega) \|_X + \sum_{k=0}^n \| d_k(\omega) \|_X \Big] \end{aligned}$$

and, as the operator T is contracting in  $L^{\infty}(X)$ , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| T^{i}(\alpha_{i}f^{a-} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \alpha_{i+k}d_{k}(\omega)) \right\|_{X} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| T^{i}(\alpha_{i}f^{a-} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \alpha_{i+k}d_{k}) \right\|_{\infty}$$
$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| \left( \alpha_{i}f^{a-} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \alpha_{i+k}d_{k} \right) \right\|_{\infty} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sup_{\omega \in \Omega} \left\| \left( \alpha_{i}f^{a-} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \alpha_{i+k}d_{k} \right)(\omega) \right\|_{X}$$
$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sup_{\omega \in \Omega} \left[ \alpha_{i} \left\| f^{a-}(\omega) \right\|_{X} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \alpha_{i+k} \left\| d_{k}(\omega) \right\|_{X}$$
$$\leq \alpha a \right]$$

whence

$$(n+a)\alpha a \le \alpha \Big[ \|f^{a-}(\omega)\|_X + \sum_{k=0}^n \|d_k(\omega)\|_X \Big] + na\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i \|f_i(\omega)\|_X \\ \le \alpha \Big\{ \Big[ \|f^{a-}(\omega)\|_X + \sum_{k=0}^n \|d_k(\omega)\|_X \Big] + na + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i \|f_i(\omega)\|_X \Big\}$$

and so

$$a \le \left[ \left\| f^{a-}(\omega) \right\|_X + \sum_{k=0}^n \left\| d_k(\omega) \right\|_X \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left\| f_i(\omega) \right\|_X$$

by relation (5) we have

$$a = \|f^{a-}(\omega)\|_{X} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|d_{k}(\omega)\|_{X}$$

for all  $\omega \in e^*(\alpha, a)$ .

The rest of the proof can be obtained in the same way as in Chacon's method, in fact, using (6) and knowing that  $||T||_1 \le 1$  we deduce

$$\begin{split} \int_{e^*(a,\alpha)} (a - \|f^{a-}(\omega)\|_X) \, d\mu &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\|Tf_k\|_1 - \|f_{k+1}\|_1) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\|f_k\|_1 - \|f_{k+1}\|_1) \\ &\leq \|f_0\|_1 = \int_{\Omega} \|f_0(\omega)\| \, d\mu(\omega) = \int_{\Omega} \|f^{a+}(\omega)\| \, d\mu(\omega). \end{split}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Since the Lemma 1.3 gives us maximal weak inequality for averages  $B_n(T, \alpha, f)$  it suffices to prove the convergence for f belonging to a set which is dense every where in  $L^1(X)$ . We know that  $L^{\infty}(X)$  is such a set, so for  $f \in L^{\infty}(X)$  we have:

$$\frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{i=0}^{n}\alpha_{i}T^{i}f = \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{i=0}^{n}\varphi_{\varepsilon}(i)T^{i}f + \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left[\alpha_{i}-\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right]T^{i}f.$$

Let  $\theta$  be a complex number. The operator  $Uf = e^{i\theta}Tf$  is contracting in both  $L^1(X)$  and  $L^{\infty}(X)$  and the theorem follows in the case  $\alpha_n = e^{in\theta}$  from Chacon's theorem. The linearity of convergence gives that

$$\lim_{n} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \varphi_{\varepsilon}(i) T^{i} f$$

exists and is finite a.e. for any trigonometric polynomial  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$ , and  $f \in L^{\infty}(X)$ .

In fact we have for this operators a strong inequality in  $L^{\infty}(X)$ :

$$\left\|\sup_{n}\left\|\frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{i=0}^{n}\varphi_{\varepsilon}(i)T^{i}f\right\|_{X}\right\|_{\infty} \leq k_{\varepsilon}\|f\|_{\infty}$$

and by the definition b) we also have

$$\limsup_{n} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{n} |\alpha_i - \varphi_{\varepsilon}(i)| \left\| T^i f \right\|_X \le \varepsilon \|f\|_{\infty}.$$

By Lemma 1.3 we have

$$a\mu(e^*(a,\alpha)) \le \int_{e(a)} \|f(\omega)\|_X d\mu$$

and so, using the rearrangement formula we get:

$$\begin{split} \left\|f^*\right\|_p^P &= \int_{\Omega} [f^*]^p \, d\mu = p\alpha^p \int_{\Omega} \int_0^{f^*/\alpha} \lambda \mathbf{1}_{e^*(\lambda,\alpha)} \, d\lambda \, d\mu(\omega) \\ &= p\alpha^p \int_{\Omega} \int_0^{\infty} \lambda^{p-1} \mu \big[ e^*(\lambda,\alpha) \big] \, d\lambda \\ &\leq p\alpha^p \int_{\Omega} \int_0^{\infty} \lambda^{p-2} \|f(\omega)\|_X \, d\lambda \, d\mu(\omega) \\ &= p\alpha^p \int_{\Omega} \int_0^{\infty} \lambda^{P-2} \mathbf{1}_{e(\lambda)} \, d\lambda \, d\mu(\omega) \\ &= p\alpha^p \int_{\Omega} \|f(\omega)\|_X \Big[ \int_0^{\|f(\omega)\|} \lambda^{p-2} \, d\lambda \Big] \, d\mu(\omega) \\ &= \alpha^p \frac{p}{p-1} \int_{\Omega} \|f(\omega)\|_X^p \, d\mu(\omega). \end{split}$$

**Remark 1.4.** We notice that Lemma 1.3 remains true for any bounded sequence even if it is not a Besicovich one.

Let us consider some examples to which Theorem 1.2 is applied:

## Examples 1.5.

1. Let  $X = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  (reflexive Banach space) with norm ||(x, y)|| = ||x| + |y|.  $\Omega = \{1, 2\}$  a probability space,  $\mu(1) = \mu(2) = \frac{1}{2}$ ;  $L^1(\{1, 2\}, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$  being a Banach space of dimension 4. Notice that for

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & a' & b' \\ c & d & c' & d' \\ e & f & e' & f' \\ g & h & g' & h' \end{pmatrix}$$

T will be contracting on  $L^1(\{1,2\}, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$  if the sum of the absolute values of the terms in each column is less than 1.

It is easy to show that the operator T is contracting on  $L^{\infty}(\{1,2\}, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$  if the terms of the matrix T satisfy

$$\begin{cases} |a| + |c| + |a'| + |c'| \le 1 \\ |a| + |c| + |b'| + |d'| \le 1 \\ |b| + |d| + |a'| + |c'| \le 1 \\ |b| + |d| + |b'| + |d'| \le 1 \end{cases} \text{ and } \begin{cases} |e| + |g| + |e'| + |g'| \le 1 \\ |e| + |g| + |f'| + |h'| \le 1 \\ |f| + |h| + |e'| + |g'| \le 1 \\ |f| + |h| + |f'| + |h'| \le 1 \end{cases}$$

Let T be the linear operator on  $L^1(\{1,2\}, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$  represented by a square matrix of order 4 defined by

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 2/9 & 0 & 3/8 & 3/7 \\ 1/4 & 1/9 & 2/9 & 2/9 \\ 0 & 1/7 & 2/7 & 1/4 \\ 2/5 & 1/9 & 2/9 & 3/10 \end{pmatrix}$$

T is contracting on both  $L^1(\{1,2\}, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$  and  $L^{\infty}(\{1,2\}, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ .

Consider also the sequence  $\alpha_n = e^{i\theta n}$  where  $\theta$  a complex number, we have by Theorem 1.2 the convergence of the sequence

$$\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_k T^k f = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} e^{i\theta k} \begin{pmatrix} 2/9 & 0 & 3/8 & 3/7 \\ 1/4 & 1/9 & 2/9 & 2/9 \\ 0 & 1/7 & 2/7 & 1/4 \\ 2/5 & 1/9 & 2/9 & 3/10 \end{pmatrix}^k \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ x_2 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

for all  $f = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} \varphi_{\{1\}} + \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} \varphi_{\{2\}} \in L^1(\{1,2\}, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}).$ 

2. Let X be a reflexive Banach space,  $\Omega$  a probability space,  $\varphi$  is a transformation from  $\Omega$  to  $\Omega$  such that for all  $f \in L^1(X)$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega} \left\| f \circ \varphi(\omega) \right\| d\mu(\omega) \le \int_{\Omega} \left\| f(\omega) \right\| d\mu(\omega)$$

(or  $\varphi$  is a measure preserving transformation) and let  $(\alpha_i)_{i \in N}$  be any Besicovich bounded sequence then

$$\lim_{n} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \alpha_i (f \circ \varphi^i)$$

exists a.e. for every  $f \in L^1(X)$ .

**Applications.** The general result of Theorem 1.2 can be applied to give a generalization of the vector valued random ergodic theorem of Beck and Schwartz [1].

**Theorem 1.6.** Let be defined on  $\Omega$  a strongly measurable function  $U_{\omega}$  with values in the Banach space B(X) of bounded linear operators on a space X. Suppose that  $||U_{\omega}|| \leq 1$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Let  $\varphi$  be a measure preserving transformation in  $(\Omega, \beta, \mu)$  and  $(\alpha_i)_{i \in N}$  be a Besicovich bounded sequence, then for  $f \in L^1(X)$ , the limit

$$\lim_{n} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_k U_{\omega} U_{\phi(\omega)} \dots U_{\phi^{k-1}(\omega)} f(\varphi^k(\omega))$$

exists for almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

*Proof.* For  $f \in L^1(X)$  we define

$$Uf(\omega) = U_{\omega}(f(\varphi(\omega))).$$

Then it can be easily seen that it satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.2 and hence the condition follows at once from Theorem 1.2.  $\hfill \Box$ 

#### II. A MULTIDIMENSIONAL CASE

Obtaining an extension of Theorem 1.2 to distinct several operators  $T_1, \ldots, T_d$ which more general means difficult. But if  $T_1 = T^{s_1}, \ldots, T_d = T^{s_d}$  where T is an linear operator on  $L^1(X)$  and  $s_k \in N$  for  $k = 1, \ldots, d$ , then Theorem 1.2 can be extended to this case. Let

$$B_n(T, d, \alpha, f) = \frac{1}{(n+1)^d} \sum_{i_1=0}^n \cdots \sum_{i_d=0}^n \alpha_{\lambda_d} T_1^{i_1} \dots T_d^{i_d} f$$

where  $\lambda_d = i_1 s_1 + \dots i_d s_d$  and  $\alpha_j$  be a bounded Besicovich sequence. Let  $f_d^* = \sup_n \left\| B_n(T, d, \alpha, f) \right\|_X$  and  $e_d^*(a, \alpha) = \{ f_d^* > \alpha a \}.$ 

**Theorem 2.1.** Let X be a reflexive Banach space, T be a linear operator on  $L^1(X)$  contracting in  $L^1(X)$  and in  $L^{\infty}(X)$ ,  $\alpha_j$  be a bounded Besicovich sequence. Then for  $\lambda_d = i_1s_1 + \cdots + i_ds_d$ ,  $s = s_1 + \ldots, s_d$ :

(i) For  $f \in L^1(X)$ , et a > 0 we have

$$\int_{e_d^*(a,\alpha)} \left(a - \left\| f^{a-}(\omega) \right\|_X \right) d\mu(\omega) \le s \int_{\Omega} \left\| f^{a+}(\omega) \right\|_X d\mu(\omega).$$

- (ii) For  $f \in L^1(X)$ , the limit of  $B_n(T, d, \alpha, f)(\omega)$  exists strongly for every  $\omega \in \Omega$  as n tends to infinity.
- (iii) If  $1 , <math>f \in L^p(X)$  and  $\alpha = \sup_k |\alpha_k|$ , the average  $B_n(T, d, \alpha, f)$  converges a.e. and

$$\left\|\sup_{n}\left\|B_{n}(T,d,\alpha,f)\right\|_{X}\right\|_{p} \leq \alpha \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{1/p} \|f\|_{p}.$$

*Proof.* We will prove the theorem in the case where d = 2, and  $s_1 = s_2 = 1$  only, for the sake of simplicity. A similar proof to that used in this case gives the general result in the *d*-dimensional case (d > 2).

We now study the following averages:

$$B_n(T,2,\alpha,f)(\omega) = \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_{i+j} T^{i+j} f.$$

By the relation (3) in the proof of the Theorem 1.2 we can write

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \alpha_{i+j} T^{i+j} f = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_{j+k} \Big[ T^{j+k} f^{a-k} + \sum_{m=0}^{j+k} T^{j+k-m} d_m \Big] + \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_{j+k} f^{j+k} d_{j+k} d_{j+k} \Big] + \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_{j+k} d_{j+k} d_{j+k} d_{$$

We prove an analogous equality to (\*) as in the proof of Theorem 1.2

$$(7) \\ \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_{j+k} \Big[ T^{j+k} f^{a-} + \sum_{m=0}^{j+k} T^{j+k-m} d_m \Big] = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \underbrace{\sum_{t=j}^{n+j} \alpha_t \Big( T^t f^{a-} + \sum_{m=0}^{t} T^{t-m} d_m \Big)}_{1} \\ = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \underbrace{\Big\{ \sum_{t=0}^{n+j} \alpha_t \big( T^t f^{a-} + \sum_{m=0}^{t} T^{t-m} d_m \big) - \sum_{t=0}^{j-1} \alpha_t \Big( T^t f^{a-} + \sum_{m=0}^{t} T^{t-m} d_m \Big) \Big\}}_{1}$$

$$\begin{split} &= \sum_{j=0}^{n} \Big\{ \sum_{t=0}^{n+j} T^{t} \Big( \alpha_{t} f^{a-} + \sum_{m=0}^{n+j-t} \alpha_{t+m} d_{m} \Big) \\ &- \sum_{t=0}^{j-1} T^{t} \Big( \alpha_{t} f^{a-} + \sum_{m=0}^{j-1-t} \alpha_{t+m} d_{m} \Big) \Big\} \quad \text{by } (*) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n} \Big\{ \sum_{t=0}^{n+j} T^{t} \Big[ \alpha_{t} f^{a-} + \sum_{m=0}^{j-t-1} \alpha_{t+m} d_{m} + \sum_{m=j-t}^{n+j-t} \alpha_{t+m} d_{m} \Big] \\ &- \sum_{t=0}^{j-1} T^{t} \Big( \alpha_{t} f^{a-} + \sum_{m=0}^{j-1-t} \alpha_{t+m} d_{m} \Big) \Big\} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n} \Big\{ \sum_{t=0}^{n+j} T^{t} \Big( \alpha_{t} f^{a-} + \sum_{m=0}^{j-t-1} \alpha_{t+m} d_{m} \Big) + \sum_{t=0}^{n+j} \Big[ \sum_{m=j-t}^{n+j-t} \alpha_{t+m} d_{m} \Big] \\ &- \sum_{t=0}^{j-1} T^{t} \Big( \alpha_{t} f^{a-} + \sum_{m=0}^{j-1-t} \alpha_{t+m} d_{m} \Big) + \sum_{t=0}^{n+j} \sum_{m=0}^{n+j-t} \alpha_{t+m} d_{m} \Big) \Big\} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{t=j}^{n+j} T^{t} \Big( \alpha_{t} f^{a-} + \sum_{m=0}^{j-1-t} \alpha_{t+m} d_{m} \Big) + \sum_{t=0}^{n+j-t} T^{t} \Big( \sum_{m=j-t}^{n+j-t} \alpha_{t+m} d_{m} \Big) \Big\}. \end{split}$$

Let

$$\chi_n(\omega) = \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_{j+k} \Big[ T^{j+k} f^{a-k} + \sum_{m=0}^{j+k} T^{j+k-m} d_m \Big](\omega)$$

 $\quad \text{and} \quad$ 

$$f_C^* = \sup_n \left\| C_n(T, \alpha, f) \right\|_X$$

where

$$C_n(T, \alpha, f) = \frac{1}{(2n+1)^2} \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_{j+k} T^{j+k} f$$

and  $e_C^*(a, \alpha) = \{f_C^* > a\alpha\}$ . Fix  $\omega \in e_C^*(a, \alpha)$  there exists  $n = n(\omega)$  such that

$$(2n+1)^2 \alpha a \le \left\| \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_{j+k} T^{j+k} f(\omega) \right\|_X \le \left\| \chi_n(\omega) \right\|_X + \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_{j+k} \left\| f_{j+k} \right\|_X.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \chi_{n}(\omega) \right\|_{X} &\leq \left[ \alpha_{0} \left\| f^{a-}(\omega) \right\|_{X} + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_{k} \left\| d_{k}(\omega) \right\|_{X} \right] \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{t=j}^{n+j} \left\| T^{t} \Big( \alpha_{t} f^{a-} + \sum_{m=0}^{j-t-1} \alpha_{t+m} d_{m} \Big)(\omega) \right\|_{X} + \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{t=0}^{n+j} \left\| T^{t} \Big( \sum_{m=j-t}^{n+j-t} \alpha_{t+m} d_{m} \Big)(\omega) \right\|_{X}. \end{aligned}$$

We know that T is contracting in  $L^{\infty}(X)$ , using (1) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_{n}(\omega)\|_{X} &\leq \left[\alpha_{0}\|f^{a-}(\omega)\|_{X} + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_{k}\|d_{k}(\omega)\|_{X}\right] + \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{t=j}^{n+j} a\alpha + \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{t=0}^{n+j} a\alpha \\ &\leq \left[\alpha_{0}\|f^{a-}(\omega)\|_{X} + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_{k}\|d_{k}(\omega)\|_{X}\right] + \sum_{j=0}^{n} (n-j-j)\alpha a + \sum_{j=0}^{n} (n+j)\alpha a \,. \end{aligned}$$

By (3) we can write

$$(2n+1)^{2}\alpha a \leq \left[\alpha_{0} \left\| f^{a-}(\omega) \right\|_{X} + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_{k} \left\| d_{k}(\omega) \right\|_{X}\right] + n(n+1)\alpha a + 2n^{2}\alpha a + \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_{j+k} \left\| f_{j+k} \right\|_{X} \\ \leq \alpha \left[ \left\| f^{a-}(\omega) \right\|_{X} + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left\| d_{k}(\omega) \right\|_{X} \right] + (3n^{2}+n)\alpha a + \alpha \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left\| f_{j+k} \right\|_{X}.$$

As in the case d = 1 we have by the relations (3), (4) and (5)

$$a \le \left[ \left\| f^{a-}(\omega) \right\|_{X} + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left\| d_{K}(\omega) \right\|_{X} \right] + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\| f_{j+k}(\omega) \right\|_{X}$$

By the (5) we see for all  $\omega \in e^*_C(a, \alpha)$ 

$$a = \|f^{a-}(\omega)\|_X + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|d_k(\omega)\|_X.$$

This implies

$$\int_{e_{C}^{*}(a,\alpha)} \left(a - \left\|f^{a-}(\omega)\right\|_{X}\right) d\mu(\omega) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\|d_{k}\right\|_{1} \leq \left\|f_{0}\right\|_{1} = \int_{\Omega} \left\|f_{0}(\omega)\right\| d\mu(\omega).$$

On the other hand we can write

$$B_n(T, \alpha, f) = \frac{(2n+1)^2}{(n+1)^2} C_n(T, \alpha, f)$$

which gives  $f_B^* \leq 2f_C^*$  hence  $e_B^*(a, \alpha) \subseteq e_C^*(a/2, \alpha)$ . For b = a/2 we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{e_B^*(a,\alpha)} \left( a - \left\| f^{a-}(\omega) \right\|_X \right) d\mu(\omega) &\leq 2 \int_{e_C^*(a,\alpha)} \left( b - \left\| f^{b-}(\omega) \right\|_X \right) d\mu(\omega) \\ &\leq 2 \int_\Omega \left\| f^{b+}(\omega) \right\|_X d\mu(\omega) \leq 2 \int_\Omega \left\| f^{a+}(\omega) \right\|_X d\mu(\omega) \end{split}$$

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

(8) 
$$a\mu[e_B^*(a,\alpha)] \le a\mu[e_C^*(a/2,\alpha)] \le 2\int_{\Omega} \left\|f(\omega)\right\| d\mu(\omega).$$

Now, we shall prove that averages

$$B(n_1, n_2, T, \alpha, f) = \frac{1}{n_1 n_2} \sum_{i=0}^{n_1} \sum_{j=0}^{n_2} \varphi^1(i) \varphi^2(j) T^{i+j} f$$

converge on a dense set in  $L^1(X)$ . We will need the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.2.** Let T be a linear operator on  $L^1(X)$  which is contracting in both  $L^1(X)$  and  $L^{\infty}(X)$ , then for  $f \in \text{Inv}(T) + \text{Im}(I - T) \cap L^{\infty}(X)$  the limit

$$\lim \frac{1}{n_1 n_2} \sum_{i=0}^{n_1} \sum_{j=0}^{n_2} T^{i+j} f$$

exists as  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  tend to infinity.

*Proof.* Let f = g + (h - Th) with  $Tg = g, g \in L^1(X)$  and  $h \in L^{\infty}(X)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n_1 n_2} \sum_{i=0}^{n_1} \sum_{j=0}^{n_2} T^{i+j} f &= g + \frac{1}{n_1 n_2} \sum_{i=0}^{n_1} T^i \Big[ \sum_{j=0}^{n_2} (T^j h - T^{j+1} f) \Big] \\ &= g + \frac{1}{n_1 n_2} \sum_{i=0}^{n_1} \Big[ T^i h - T^{n_2 - 1} f \Big] \\ &= g + \frac{1}{n_1} \Big[ \frac{1}{n_2} \sum_{i=0}^{n_1} T^i h \Big] - \frac{1}{n_1} \Big[ \frac{1}{n_2} \sum_{i=0}^{n_1} T^{n_2 - 1 + i} h \Big] \end{aligned}$$

But  $\left\| T \right\|_{\infty} \leq 1$  hence

$$\left\|\frac{1}{n_2} \Big[\frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i=0}^{n_1} T^i h\Big]\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{n_2} \left\|h\right\|_{\infty} \xrightarrow{n_2 \to \infty} 0$$

and

$$\Big[\frac{1}{n_2}\Big[\frac{1}{n_1}\sum_{i=0}^{n_1}T^{n_2-1+i}h\Big]\Big\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{n_2}\big\|h\big\|_{\infty} \xrightarrow{n_2 \to \infty} 0.$$

Let  $U_k f = e^{i\theta_k} T_k f$ , k = 1, 2.  $U_k$  is a linear operator satisfying the conditions of Lemma 2.2 and so the theorem holds in the case  $\phi^k(n) = e^{\theta_k n}$ .

This implies that  $\frac{1}{n_1 n_2} \sum_{i=0}^{n_1} \sum_{j=0}^{n_2} \varphi^1(i) \varphi^2(j) T^{i+j} f$  converges a.e. on Inv (T) + Im  $(I - T) \cap L^1(X)$  which is dense, by Kakutani-Yoshida theorem in  $L^1(X)$  (X a reflexive Banach space). From Theorem 2.1(i) and the linearity of convergence of sequences we obtain that

$$\lim_{n_1, n_2 \to \infty} \frac{1}{n_1 n_2} \sum_{i=0}^{n_1} \sum_{j=0}^{n_2} \varphi^1(i) \varphi^2(j) T^{i+j} f$$

exists and is finite a.e. for any trigonometric polynomial  $\phi^k$ , k = 1, 2, and  $f \in L^1(X)$ .

The general case (d > 2) is similar to the real case studied by Olsen [5].

The second assertion (ii) follows from the maximal equality (3) and the rearrangement formula used in part I.

Let  $\alpha_j = 1$ , and  $s_k = 1$  for all j in N and  $k = 1, \ldots, d$  the average  $B_n(T, d, \alpha, f)$  becomes

$$B_n(T,d,\alpha,f) = \frac{1}{(n+1)^d} \sum_{i_1=0}^n \cdots \sum_{i_d=0}^n T^{i_1+\dots+i_d} f = \left(\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^n T^j\right)^d f.$$

Using Theorem 2.1, we deduce the following:

**Corollary 2.3.** Let X be a reflexive Banach space, T be a linear operator on  $L^1(X)$  contracting in  $L^1(X)$  and in  $L^{\infty}(X)$ , then for  $d \in N$  and  $f \in L^1(X)$ 

$$\lim_{n} \left(\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{n} T^{j}\right)^{d} f$$

exists a.e.

Acknowledgement. The author wishes to express his thank to Professors Sylvie Delabriere, Louis Sucheston, Jean Paul Thouvenot and the referee for kind advice.

#### References

- Beck A. and Shwartz J. T., A vector valued random theorem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 1049–1059.
- Chacon R. V., A vector ergodic theorem for operators satisfying norm conditions, J. Math. 11 (1962), 165–172.
- Berdan K. El, Theoreme ergodique vectoriel pour les transformations ponctuelles preservant la mesure sur, C. R. A. S. Paris t. 317, serie I.

- 4. \_\_\_\_\_, These d'Universite Paris 6. Theoremes ergodiques a plusieurs parametres dans les espaces de Banach, 1995.
- Olsen J., The individual weighted ergodic theore for bounded Besicovich sequences, Canad. Math. Bull. 25, 40 (1982).

K. El Berdan, Lebanese University, Faculty of Sciences I, Departement of Mathematics, Hadeth-Beirut, (Mazraa P. O. Box: 14 - 6 573), Lebanon; *e-mail*: kberdan@inco.com.lb