# A NOTE ON MIXING PROPERTIES OF INVERTIBLE EXTENSIONS 


#### Abstract

G. MORRIS and T. WARD

Abstract. The natural invertible extension $\tilde{T}$ of an $\mathbb{N}^{d}$-action $T$ has been studied by Lacroix. He showed that $\tilde{T}$ may fail to be mixing even if $T$ is mixing for $d \geq 2$. We extend this observation by showing that if $T$ is mixing on $(k+1)$ sets then $\overline{\tilde{T}}$ is in general mixing on no more than $k$ sets, simply because $\mathbb{N}^{d}$ has a corner. Several examples are constructed when $d=2$ : (i) a mixing $T$ for which $\tilde{T}^{(n, m)}$ has an identity factor whenever $n \cdot m<0$; (ii) a mixing $T$ for which $\tilde{T}$ is rigid but $\tilde{T}^{(n, m)}$ is mixing for all $(n, m) \neq(0,0)$; (iii) a $T$ mixing on 3 sets for which $\tilde{T}$ is not mixing on 3 sets.


## 1. Invertible Extensions

Let $T$ be a measure-preserving $\mathbb{N}^{d}$-action on the probability space $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$. Such an action may be thought of as the natural shift-action on the space

$$
\left\{\left(x_{\mathbf{n}}\right) \in X^{\mathbb{N}^{d}} \mid x_{\mathbf{n}}=T^{\mathbf{n}} x_{0} \forall \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^{d}\right\}
$$

the projection $\pi_{0}$ onto the zero coordinate shows that $T$ is isomorphic to the shift action, so we identify them. The natural invertible extension of $T$ is constructed in [3], and may be thought of as the natural shift action $\tilde{T}$ on

$$
\tilde{X}=\left\{\left(x_{\mathbf{n}}\right) \in X^{\mathbb{Z}^{d}} \mid x_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{m}}=T^{\mathbf{n}} x_{\mathbf{m}} \forall \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^{d}\right\}
$$

For any sets $F \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d}, G \subset \mathbb{N}^{d}$ let $\tilde{\pi}_{F}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X^{F}, \pi_{G}: X \rightarrow X^{G}$ denote the projections. The set $\tilde{X}$ is a probability space with $\sigma$-algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ and measure $\tilde{\mu}$ defined as follows. The $\sigma$-algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is the smallest one containing all sets of the form

$$
A_{\mathbf{m}, C}=\left\{\left(x_{\mathbf{n}}\right) \in \tilde{X} \mid x_{\mathbf{m}} \in C\right\}
$$

for $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ and $C \in \mathcal{B}$, and $\tilde{\mu}$ is defined via the Daniell-Kolmogorov consistency theorem (see [1, Theorem 1, Chapter IV.6]) from the requirement that $\tilde{\mu}\left(A_{\mathbf{m}, C}\right)=$

[^0]$\mu(C)$. Notice that for $\left\{\mathbf{m}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{m}_{s}\right\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ and sets $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{s} \in \mathcal{B}$, if $\ell \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$ has $\ell+\mathbf{m}_{j} \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$ for all $j$, then
$$
\tilde{\mu}\left(\left\{\left(x_{\mathbf{n}}\right) \in \tilde{X} \mid x_{\mathbf{m}_{j}} \in C_{j} \text { for } j=1, \ldots, s\right\}\right)
$$
and
$$
\mu\left(T^{-\left(\ell+\mathbf{m}_{1}\right)}\left(C_{1}\right) \cap \cdots \cap T^{-\left(\ell+\mathbf{m}_{s}\right)}\left(C_{s}\right)\right)
$$
coincide. We shall use the following notation: if $\tilde{B} \subset \tilde{X}$ is measurable with respect to $\tilde{\pi}_{\mathbb{N} d}^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$ then let $B=\pi_{\mathbb{N}^{d}}(\tilde{B}) \subset X$. Let $\tilde{T}_{+}=\left.\tilde{T}\right|_{\mathbb{N}^{d}}$ be the $\mathbb{N}^{d}$-action obtained by restricting the invertible extension to $\mathbb{N}^{d} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d}$. The projection $\tilde{\pi}_{\mathbb{N}^{d}}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow$ $X^{\mathbb{N}^{d}}$ realizes $T$ as a factor of $\tilde{T}_{+}$. If the generators of the original $\mathbb{N}^{d}$-action are invertible, then $\tilde{\pi}_{\mathbb{N}^{d}}$ is an isomorphism.

Definition. The $\mathbb{N}^{d}$-action $T$ is mixing on $(k+1)$ sets if for any $A_{0}, A_{1}, \ldots$, $A_{k} \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu\left(A_{0} \cap T^{-\mathbf{n}_{1}} A_{1} \cap \cdots \cap T^{-\mathbf{n}_{k}} A_{k}\right) \longrightarrow \mu\left(A_{0}\right) \ldots \mu\left(A_{k}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $\mathbf{n}_{i} \rightarrow \infty, \mathbf{n}_{i}-\mathbf{n}_{j} \rightarrow \infty$ for $i \neq j$. Here $\rightarrow \infty$ means leaving finite subsets of $\mathbb{N}^{d}$, and $\mathbf{n}_{i}-\mathbf{n}_{j} \rightarrow \infty$ means that if $\mathbf{n}_{i}+\boldsymbol{\ell}=\mathbf{n}_{j}+\mathbf{m}$ for $\boldsymbol{\ell}, \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$ then $\boldsymbol{\ell}$ or $\mathbf{m} \rightarrow \infty$.

If $k=1$ then mixing on $(k+1)$ sets is called mixing. A $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-action $T$ is said to be mixing on $(k+1)$ sets if (1) holds with the vectors $\mathbf{n}_{j}$ now allowed to lie in $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$.

Lacroix [3] has shown, inter alia, that $T$ mixing does not imply that $\tilde{T}$ will be mixing, with an example in which $\tilde{T}^{\mathbf{n}}$ has an identity factor for some $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d} \backslash \mathbb{N}^{d}$. We extend this by proving the following theorem and illustrating it with several examples in $d=2$, including one in which $T$ is mixing but $\tilde{T}^{\mathbf{n}}$ has an identity factor for every $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \backslash\left(\mathbb{N}^{2} \cup-\mathbb{N}^{2}\right)$.

The "corner" $0 \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$ is distinguished because it must (unlike the $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ case) appear in the expression (1) above. This forces the order of mixing to drop.

Theorem. If the $\mathbb{N}^{d}$-action $T$ is mixing on $(k+1)$ sets, then the invertible extension $\tilde{T}$ is mixing on $k$ sets.

Proof. Assume $T$ is mixing on $(k+1)$ sets for some $k \geq 1$. Let $\tilde{B}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{B}_{k}$ be sets measurable with respect to $\tilde{\pi}_{S(N)}^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$ where $S(N)=[-N, N]^{d} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d}$. Write $\mathbf{N}=(N, N, \ldots, N)$. Let $\mathbf{m}_{2}(n), \ldots, \mathbf{m}_{k}(n)$ be integer vectors with $\mathbf{m}_{i}(n) \rightarrow \infty$ and $\mathbf{m}_{i}(n)-\mathbf{m}_{j}(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each $i \neq j$. For each $n=1,2, \ldots$ let $\boldsymbol{\ell}(n) \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$ be chosen so that $\boldsymbol{\ell}(n) \rightarrow \infty, \mathbf{n}_{j}(n)=\mathbf{m}_{j}(n)+\boldsymbol{\ell}(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $\mathbf{n}_{j}(n) \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$ for all $n$.

Notice by construction we have $\boldsymbol{\ell}(n) \rightarrow \infty, \mathbf{n}_{j}(n) \rightarrow \infty, \boldsymbol{\ell}(n)-\mathbf{n}_{j}(n) \rightarrow \infty$, and for each $i \neq j, \mathbf{n}_{j}(n)-\mathbf{n}_{i}(n) \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that if $n$ is large enough to ensure
that $\ell(n)-\mathbf{N} \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mu} & \left(\tilde{B}_{1} \cap \tilde{T}^{-\mathbf{m}_{2}(n)} \tilde{B}_{2} \cap \cdots \cap \tilde{T}^{-\mathbf{m}_{k}(n)} \tilde{B}_{k}\right) \\
& =\tilde{\mu}\left(\tilde{T}^{-\ell(n)} \tilde{B}_{1} \cap \tilde{T}^{-\mathbf{n}_{2}(n)} \tilde{B}_{2} \cap \cdots \cap \tilde{T}^{-\mathbf{n}_{k}(n)} \tilde{B}_{k}\right) \\
& =\tilde{\mu}\left(\tilde{X} \cap \tilde{T}^{-\ell(n)} \tilde{B}_{1} \cap \tilde{T}^{-\mathbf{n}_{2}(n)} \tilde{B}_{2} \cap \cdots \cap \tilde{T}^{-\mathbf{n}_{k}(n)} \tilde{B}_{k}\right) \\
& =\tilde{\mu}\left(\tilde{X} \cap \tilde{T}^{-(\ell(n)-\mathbf{N})}\left(\tilde{T}^{-\mathbf{N}} \tilde{B}_{1}\right) \cap \tilde{T}^{-\left(\mathbf{n}_{2}(n)-\mathbf{N}\right)}\left(\tilde{T}^{-\mathbf{N}} \tilde{B}_{2}\right) \cap \ldots\right. \\
& \left.\quad \cap \tilde{T}^{-\left(\mathbf{n}_{k}(n)-\mathbf{N}\right)}\left(\tilde{T}^{-\mathbf{N}} \tilde{B}_{k}\right)\right) \\
& =\mu\left(X \cap T^{-(\ell(n)-\mathbf{N})} C_{1} \cap T^{-\left(\mathbf{n}_{2}(n)-\mathbf{N}\right)} C_{2} \cap \cdots \cap T^{-\left(\mathbf{n}_{k}(n)-\mathbf{N}\right)} C_{k}\right) \\
& \rightarrow \mu\left(C_{1}\right) \ldots \mu\left(C_{k}\right) \\
& =\tilde{\mu}\left(\tilde{T}^{-\mathbf{N}} \tilde{B}_{1}\right) \ldots \tilde{\mu}\left(\tilde{T}^{-\mathbf{N}} \tilde{B}_{k}\right)=\tilde{\mu}\left(\tilde{B}_{1}\right) \ldots \mu\left(\tilde{B}_{k}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{j}=\tilde{\pi}_{\mathbb{N}^{d}}\left(\tilde{T}^{-\mathbf{N}} \tilde{B}_{j}\right)$ for each $j$. It follows that $\tilde{T}$ is mixing on $k$ sets.

## 2. Examples

Example 1. If $X=\mathbb{T}$, the additive group, and the $\mathbb{N}^{2}$-action $T$ is generated by $T^{(1,0)} x=T^{(0,1)} x=2 x \bmod 1$, then it is clear that $T$ is mixing while $\tilde{T}$ cannot be mixing since $\tilde{T}^{(1,-1)}$ is the identity map on $\tilde{X}=\widehat{\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{2}\right]}$.

This example is of course not a faithful action - in [3] a faithful example is given, generated by the toral endomorphisms dual to the matrices $\left[\begin{array}{ll}2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\end{array}\right]$ and $\left[\begin{array}{ll}4 & 0 \\ 0 & 3\end{array}\right]$.

Example 2. The previous example may be refined to produce a mixing $\mathbb{N}^{2}$-action $T$ with the property that $\tilde{T}^{(n, m)}$ has an identity factor for every pair $n, m$ with opposite signs. Let $X$ be the infinite torus $\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}} \times \mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}} \times \ldots$ Let $S_{a}: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ denote the $\operatorname{map} S_{a}(x)=a x \bmod 1$, and let

$$
S^{*}=S_{2} \times S_{4} \times S_{8} \times S_{16} \times \ldots
$$

and

$$
S_{a}^{\infty}=S_{a} \times S_{a} \times S_{a} \times S_{a} \times \ldots
$$

Throughout the indicated correspondence between positions in infinite products holds. Define the $\mathbb{N}^{2}$-action $T$ by the two generators

$$
T^{(1,0)}=S^{*} \times S^{*} \times S^{*} \times S^{*} \times \ldots
$$

and

$$
T^{(0,1)}=S_{2}^{\infty} \times S_{4}^{\infty} \times S_{8}^{\infty} \times S_{16}^{\infty} \times \ldots
$$

Then $T$ is a mixing $\mathbb{N}^{2}$-action on $X$ : it is enough to check that for any pair of non-trivial characters $\chi_{0}, \chi_{1} \in \widehat{X}$ the character $\chi_{0}+\widehat{T}^{(n, m)} \chi_{1}$ is non-trivial for large $(n, m) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}$ and this is clear since each character is finitely supported.

The invertible extension $\tilde{T}$ is obtained as follows. Let $\Sigma=\widehat{\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{2}\right]}$ be the solenoid, and $\tilde{S}_{a}: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ the endomorphism dual to multiplication by $a$, invertible if $a$ is a power of 2 . Then the generators of $\tilde{T}$ are simply given by placing tildes on the definition of the generators of $T$, and they act on $\tilde{X}=\Sigma^{\infty}$. For any pair $(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \backslash\left(\mathbb{N}^{2} \cup-\mathbb{N}^{2}\right)$, the map $\tilde{T}^{(n, m)}$ has a non-trivial identity factor and therefore cannot be mixing: to see this, notice that $\tilde{T}^{(|n|, 0)}$ acts in the $|m|$ th position in each of the indicated factors as $\times 2^{|n m|}$, while $\tilde{T}^{(0,|m|)}$ acts in the $|n|$ th position in the $S_{2|m|}^{\infty}$ factor as $\times 2^{|n m|}$ in each copy of $\Sigma$.

Example 3. The opposite extreme to the previous example is given by the Gaussian construction of Ferenci and Kaminski [2]: for numbers $\alpha>0, \beta>0$ with $1, \alpha, \beta$ rationally independent they construct a two-dimensional Gaussian action $T$ with covariance function

$$
R(n, m)=\frac{\sin (2 \pi(n \alpha+m \beta))}{2 \pi(n \alpha+m \beta)}
$$

If $\left(n_{j}, m_{j}\right)$ is a sequence with $n_{j} \alpha+m_{j} \beta \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ then for large $j$ we must have $n_{j} \cdot m_{j}<0$. Along such a sequence $R\left(n_{j}, m_{j}\right) \rightarrow 1$ so the action is rigid, showing that the $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-action is not mixing. On the other hand, if $\left(n_{j}, m_{j}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathbb{N}^{2}$ or $-\mathbb{N}^{2}$ then it is clear that $R\left(n_{j}, m_{j}\right) \rightarrow 0$ showing that the $\mathbb{N}^{2}$-action $T_{+}$is mixing.

For the next example, recall that a finite set $F$ with $(0,0) \in F \subset \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ (or $\mathbb{N}^{2}$ ) is a mixing shape for a $\lambda$-preserving $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-action $\tilde{T}$ (resp. $\mathbb{N}^{2}$-action $T$ ) if

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda\left(\bigcap_{\mathbf{n} \in F} T^{-k \mathbf{n}} B_{\mathbf{n}}\right)=\prod_{\mathbf{n} \in F} \lambda\left(B_{\mathbf{n}}\right)
$$

for all measurable sets $B_{\mathbf{n}}$.
Example 4. Using ideas from algebraic dynamical systems, as described for example in [5], we exhibit an $\mathbb{N}^{2}$-action $T$ which is mixing on three sets for which the extension $\tilde{T}$ is not mixing on three sets. The example is a modification of Ledrappier's original example, $[\mathbf{4}]$. Let $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ denote the field with two elements, let

$$
X=\left\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{\mathbb{N}^{2}} \mid x_{(n-1, m+1)}+x_{(n, m)}+x_{(n+1, m)}=0 \forall(n, m) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}\right\}
$$

and define the $\mathbb{N}^{2}$-action $T$ to be the shift action on $X$. We claim that $T$ is mixing on three sets. To see this, work in the dual group $\widehat{X}=\mathbb{F}_{2} /\left\langle y+x+x^{2}\right\rangle$, with the $\mathbb{N}^{2}$ action being generated by the endomorphisms dual to multiplication by $x$ and $y$. The map $f(x, y) \mapsto f\left(x, x+x^{2}\right)$ identifies $\widehat{X}$ with $\mathbb{F}_{2}[x]$, with the generators now being multiplication by $x$ and by $x+x^{2}$. Using Fourier analysis on the group $X$ (see for example [5, Section 27]) it is enough to show that for any $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon_{a}, \epsilon_{b}, \epsilon_{c} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}$ the equation

$$
\epsilon_{a} x^{a}+\epsilon_{b} x^{n_{1}} y^{m_{1}} x^{b}+\epsilon_{c} x^{n_{2}} y^{m_{2}}=0
$$

for $\left(n_{1}, m_{1}\right),\left(n_{2}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}$ requires that the points $\left(n_{1}, m_{1}\right),\left(n_{2}, m_{2}\right),(0,0)$ cannot be far apart or the coefficients $\epsilon_{a}, \epsilon_{b}, \epsilon_{c}$ are zero. Using the identity $y=x+x^{2}$, the equation becomes

$$
\epsilon_{a} x^{a}+\epsilon_{b}\left(x^{b+n_{1}+m_{1}}+\cdots+x^{b+n_{1}+2 m_{1}}\right)+\epsilon_{c}\left(x^{b+n_{2}+m_{2}}+\cdots+x^{b+n_{2}+2 m_{2}}\right)=0
$$

If $\left(n_{1}, m_{1}\right)$ and $\left(n_{2}, m_{2}\right)$ are far from the origin then we see that $\epsilon_{a}=0$, and if $\left(n_{1}, m_{1}\right)$ and $\left(n_{2}, m_{2}\right)$ are far from each other then we see that $\epsilon_{b}=\epsilon_{c}=0$.

The natural extension $\tilde{T}$ has $\{(-1,1),(0,0),(1,0)\}$ as a non-mixing shape since in the group

$$
\tilde{X}=\left\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{\mathbb{N}^{2}} \mid x_{(n-1, m+1)}+x_{(n, m)}+x_{(n+1, m)}=0 \forall(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}\right\}
$$

the relation $x_{\left(-2^{n}, 2^{n}\right)}=x_{(0,0)}+x_{\left(2^{n}, 0\right)}$ holds for all $n$.
It is not clear how to construct examples along the lines of Example 4 with the property that $T$ is mixing on $k$ sets while $\tilde{T}$ is not mixing on $k$ sets for each $k \geq 1$ : see Remark 28.12 in [5] for what is known.
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