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#### Abstract

We apply the kneading theory techniques to a class of circle maps with one discontinuity and we characterize the rotation interval of a map in terms of the kneading sequences. As a consequence we obtain lower and upper bounds of the entropy depending on the rotation interval.


## 1. Introduction

We study the class $\mathcal{C}$ of maps $F: \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined as follows (see Figure 1). We say that $F \in \mathcal{C}$ if:
(1) $\left.F\right|_{(0,1)}$ is bounded, continuous and non-decreasing.
(2) $\lim _{x \uparrow 1} F(x)>\lim _{x \downarrow 1} F(x)$.
(3) $F(x+1)=F(x)+1$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$.

For a map $F \in \mathcal{C}$ and for each $a \in \mathbf{Z}$ we set $F\left(a^{+}\right)=\lim _{x \downarrow a} F(x)$ and $F\left(a^{-}\right)=$ $\lim _{x \uparrow a} F(x)$. In view of (3) we have $F\left(a^{+}\right)=F\left(0^{+}\right)+a$ and $F\left(a^{-}\right)=F\left(0^{-}\right)+a$. Note that the exact value of $F(0)$ is not specified. Then in what follows we consider that $F(0)$ is either $F\left(0^{+}\right)$or $F\left(0^{-}\right)$, or both, as necessary.

Since every map $F \in \mathcal{C}$ has a discontinuity in each integer, the class $\mathcal{C}$ can be considered as a family of liftings of circle maps with one discontinuity.

The maps of class $\mathcal{C}$ appear in a natural way in the study of many branches of dynamics. The simplest example of such maps is the family $x \rightarrow \beta x+\alpha$, which plays an important role in ergodic theory (see $[\mathbf{H}]$ ). The case $\alpha=0$ gives the famous $\beta$-transformations (see $[\mathbf{R}]$ ). Also, the class $\mathcal{C}$ contains the class of the Lorenz-Like maps which has been studied by several authors (see [ALMT], [G], $[\mathbf{G S}],[\mathbf{G u}],[\mathbf{H S}],[\mathbf{S}])$.

The aim of this paper is to extend the kneading theory developed in $[\mathbf{A M}]$ for continuous maps of the circle of degree one to class $\mathcal{C}$, to obtain a characterization of the rotation interval of a map in terms of its kneading sequences. From this characterization we shall obtain models with maximum and minimum entropy
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Figure 1. An example of a map of class $\mathcal{C}$.
and, hence, lower and upper bounds of the topological entropy depending on the rotation interval. The lower bounds of the topological entropy for this class of maps were already known (see [ALMT]). Here we give a different proof.

To extend the kneading theory to our class of maps we note that it is closely related to the class $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ defined as follows. We say that $F \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ if (see Figure 2) :
(1) $F \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R})$ and $F(x+1)=F(x)+1$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$.
(2) There exists $c_{F} \in(0,1)$, such that $F$ is non-decreasing in $\left[0, c_{F}\right]$ and nonincreasing in $\left[c_{F}, 1\right]$.
(3) $F\left(c_{F}\right)>F(1)$.

To show the relation between maps from class $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ take $F \in \mathcal{C}$ and for each $\mu>0$ let $c_{\mu} \in(0,1)$ be such that $F\left(c_{\mu}\right)=\mu\left(1-c_{\mu}\right)+F\left(1^{+}\right)$. Also let $F_{\mu}$ be the continuous map defined as follows (see Figure 3):
(1) $\left.F_{\mu}\right|_{\left(0, c_{\mu}\right]}=F$,
(2) $F_{\mu}(x)=\mu(1-x)+F\left(1^{+}\right)$for all $x \in\left[c_{\mu}, 1\right)$

Clearly for all $\mu>0, F_{\mu} \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}, \lim _{\mu \rightarrow \infty} c_{\mu}=1$ and $F(x)=\lim _{\mu \rightarrow \infty} F_{\mu}(x)$. In other words each map of $\mathcal{C}$ is a pointwise limit of maps from $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$.

The class $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ contains the class $\mathcal{A}$ of those maps which satisfy the statement (2) of the definition of $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ with strict monotonicity. In $[\mathbf{A M}]$ a kneading theory for maps from class $\mathcal{A}$ was developed. It is an easy exercise to extend this kneading


Figure 2. A map of class $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$.
theory and all the results of $[\mathbf{A M}]$ to the class $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$. To study the class $\mathcal{C}$ we shall use without proof the results from $[\mathbf{A M}]$ for class $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$. Most of the results we shall state for class $\mathcal{C}$ are also trivial extensions of the corresponding ones in the continuous case. Thus we shall also omit their proofs. However, this paper is an extension of $[\mathbf{A M}]$. Therefore, to understand the proofs and details of this paper it is necessary to know the general theory developed in $[\mathbf{A M}]$.

The notions of periodic (mod. 1) point, rotation number, rotation interval, lap, growth number and entropy extend naturally to class $\mathcal{C}$ (see [AM] for a review of these notions). From $[\mathbf{M}]$ it follows that the rotation interval has the same properties as in the continuous case. We shall use the same notation as in [AM]. Thus, if $F \in \mathcal{C}, L_{F}$ denotes the rotation interval of $F, s(F)$ the growth number of $F$ and $h(F)=\log s(F)$ the topological entropy of $F$.

## 2. Kneading Theory

Let $F \in \mathcal{C}$. Given a point $x \in \mathbf{R} \backslash \mathbf{Z}$ we define its address ( $F$-address if necessary) as $A(x)=E(F(x))-E(x)$. If $x \in \mathbf{Z}$ we define $A(x)=E\left(F\left(x^{+}\right)\right)-E(x)$. The sequence $\underline{I}(x)=\underline{I}_{F}(x)=I_{0}(x) I_{1}(x) \ldots I_{n}(x) \ldots=A(x) A(F(x)) \ldots A\left(F^{n}(x)\right) \ldots$ will be called the itinerary of $x$. For a point $x \in \mathbf{R}$ we define $\underline{I}\left(x^{+}\right)=$ $I_{0}\left(x^{+}\right) I_{1}\left(x^{+}\right) \ldots$ as follows. For each $n \geq 0$ there exists $\delta_{n}$ such that $I_{n}(y)$ takes


Figure 3. The maps $F_{\mu}$.
a constant value in $\left(x, x+\delta_{n}\right)$. Denote this value by $I_{n}\left(x^{+}\right)$. This gives $\underline{I}\left(x^{+}\right)$. In a similar way one can define $\underline{I}\left(x^{-}\right)$.

Now we define an ordering in the set of itineraries. First we note that the set of addresses is naturally ordered by the order of the integers. This gives a total ordering in the set of the itineraries with the lexicographical ordering. The following lemma follows trivially.

Lemma 1. Let $x, y \in[0,1)$ such that $x<y$. Then $\underline{I}(x) \leq \underline{I}(y)$.
In a similar way to the continuous case, for a map $F \in \mathcal{C}$, we define the invariant coordinate, $\theta(x)$ (where for maps in $\mathcal{C}$ we define the function $\epsilon(A(x))$ to be 1 for each $x \in \mathbf{R}$ ), the kneading invariants and the kneading determinant $D_{F}(t)$, and we obtain:

Theorem 2. For $F \in \mathcal{C}$, the function $D_{F}(t)$ is nonzero for $|t|<\frac{1}{s(F)}$. Moreover, if $s(F)>1$ then the first zero of $D_{F}(t)$ as $t$ varies in the interval $[0,1)$ occurs at $t=\frac{1}{s(F)}$.

If $F \in \mathcal{C}$ and $s(F)>1$ we can define the map $\phi_{F}$ and the twist number $T(F)$ in the same way as $[\mathbf{A M}]$ and we obtain the following result which is the analogous to Theorem 2.12 of $[\mathbf{A M}]$ for the class $\mathcal{C}$.

Theorem 3. Let $F \in \mathcal{C}$ be such that $s(F)>1$. Then there exists a unique map $\tilde{F}$ such that $\tilde{F} \circ \phi_{F}=\phi_{F} \circ F$. Moreover, $\tilde{F} \in \mathcal{C}, \tilde{F}(0)=T(F), \tilde{F}$ is piecewise affine, $L_{\tilde{F}}=L_{F}$ and $s(\tilde{F})=s(F)$.

Let $S$ be the shift operator which acts in a natural way on sequences of integers (i.e. $S\left(I_{0} I_{1} \ldots\right)=I_{1} I_{2} \ldots$ ). We say that a sequence of integers $\underline{A}$ is quasidominated by $F$ if and only if

$$
\underline{I}_{F}\left(0^{+}\right) \leq \underline{A} \leq \underline{I}_{F}\left(0^{-}\right)
$$

We say that $\underline{A}$ is dominated by $F$ if both of the above inequalities are strict. As in $[\mathbf{A M}]$ we obtain

Proposition 4. Let $F \in \mathcal{C}$. Then the following hold:
(1) Let $x \in \mathbf{R} \backslash \mathbf{Z}$. Then $\underline{I}_{F}(x)$ is quasidominated by $F$.
(2) Let $\underline{A}$ be a sequence of integers dominated by $F$. Then there exists $x \in$ $(0,1)$ such that $\underline{I}_{F}(x)=\underline{A}$.

Corollary 5. Let $F, G \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\underline{I}_{F}\left(0^{+}\right) \leq \underline{I}_{G}\left(0^{+}\right)$and $\underline{I}_{F}\left(0^{-}\right) \geq \underline{I}_{G}\left(0^{-}\right)$. Then $h(F) \geq h(G)$.

The main result of this paper is the following which is the analogous of Theorem $B$ of $[\mathbf{A M}]$ for class $\mathcal{C}$. Its proof is similar to the proof of Theorem B of $[\mathbf{A M}]$ and hence it will be omited. To state it we need to adapt the notation used in [AM] to our needs.

Let $a \in \mathbf{R}$ and $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. We define $\epsilon_{i}(a)=E(i a)-E((i-1) a)$ and $\delta_{i}(a)=$ $\tilde{E}(i a)-\tilde{E}((i-1) a)$, where $E(\cdot)$ denotes the integer part function and $\tilde{E}: \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ is defined as follows:

$$
\tilde{E}(x)= \begin{cases}E(x), & \text { if } x \notin \mathbf{Z} \\ x-1, & \text { if } x \in \mathbf{Z}\end{cases}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{I}_{\epsilon}(a)=\epsilon_{1}(a) \epsilon_{2}(a) \epsilon_{3}(a) \ldots \\
& \underline{I}_{\delta}(a)=\delta_{1}(a) \delta_{2}(a) \delta_{3}(a) \ldots \\
& \underline{I}_{\epsilon}^{*}(a)=\left(\epsilon_{1}(a)+1\right) \epsilon_{2}(a) \epsilon_{3}(a) \ldots \\
& \underline{I}_{\delta}^{*}(a)=\left(\delta_{1}(a)-1\right) \delta_{2}(a) \delta_{3}(a) \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 6. For a map $F \in \mathcal{C}$ the following statements are equivalent:
(1) $L_{F}=[a, b]$.
(2) $\underline{I}_{\delta}^{*}(a) \leq \underline{I}\left(0^{+}\right) \leq \underline{I}_{\epsilon}(a)$ and $\underline{I}_{\delta}(b) \leq \underline{I}\left(0^{-}\right) \leq \underline{I}_{\epsilon}^{*}(b)$.

## 3. Bounds of the Topological Entropy

First of all, for each $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ with $a<b$ we construct maximal and minimal models with rotation interval $[a, b]$.

Lemma 7. Let $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ with $a<b$. Then, there exists $H_{a, b}^{+}$and $H_{a, b}^{-} \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\underline{I}_{H_{a, b}^{-}}\left(0^{+}\right)=\underline{I}_{\epsilon}(a), \underline{I}_{H_{a, b}^{-}}\left(0^{-}\right)=\underline{I}_{\delta}(b), \underline{I}_{H_{a, b}^{+}}\left(0^{+}\right)=\underline{I}_{\delta}^{*}(b)$ and $\underline{I}_{H_{a, b}^{+}}\left(0^{-}\right)=$ $\underline{I}_{\epsilon}^{*}(a)$. Moreover $L_{H_{a, b}^{+}}=L_{H_{a, b}^{-}}=[a, b]$.

Proof. Here we use the maps $F^{+}=F_{a, b}^{+}$and $F^{-}=F_{a, b}^{-}$defined in $[\mathbf{A M}]$ (see Proposition 4.13 and Lemma 4.14). Set $c^{+}=c_{F^{+}}$and $c^{-}=c_{F^{-}}$. Then we define (see Figure 4)

$$
H_{a, b}^{+}(x)= \begin{cases}F^{+}(x) & \text { if } x \in\left[0, c^{+}\right] \\ F^{+}\left(c^{+}\right) & \text {if } x \in\left[c^{+}, 1\right)\end{cases}
$$
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Figure 4. The maps $H_{0,1}^{+}$and $H_{0,1}^{-}$.

$$
H_{a, b}^{-}(x)= \begin{cases}F^{-}(x) & \text { if } x \in\left[0, c^{-}\right] \\ F^{-}\left(c^{-}\right) & \text {if } x \in\left[c^{-}, 1\right)\end{cases}
$$

From the construction of $F^{+}$we have that $D\left(\left(F^{+}\right)^{n}\right)(0), D\left(\left(F^{+}\right)^{n}\right)\left(c^{+}\right) \in\left[0, c^{+}\right]$ for all $n$ (where $D(\cdot)$ denotes the decimal part function). Hence, $\left(F^{+}\right)^{n}(0)=$ $\left(H_{a, b}^{+}\right)^{n}(0)$ and $\left(H_{a, b}^{+}\right)^{n}\left(0^{-}\right)=\left(H_{a, b}^{+}\right)^{n}\left(c^{+}\right)=\left(F^{+}\right)^{n}\left(c^{+}\right)$. Therefore, we obtain the desired result for $H_{a, b}^{+}$. The assertion about $H_{a, b}^{-}$follows in a similar way.

Next we compute the kneading determinants of $H_{a, b}^{+}$and $H_{a, b}^{-}$. For $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ with $a<b$ and $z>1$ we set $R_{a, b}^{-}(z)=\sum z^{-q}$ (resp. $R_{a, b}^{+}(z)=\sum z^{-q}$ ), where the sum is taken over all pairs $(p, q) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{N}$ for which $a<\frac{p}{q}<b$ (resp. $a \leq \frac{p}{q} \leq b$ ).

Proposition 8. Let $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $a<b$. Then the kneading determinants of $H_{a, b}^{-}$and $H_{a, b}^{+}$are $D_{H_{a, b}^{-}}(t)=1-R_{a, b}^{-}\left(t^{-1}\right)$ and $D_{H_{a, b}^{+}}(t)=1-R_{a, b}^{+}\left(t^{-1}\right)$, respectively.

Proof. First we compute $D_{H_{a, b}^{-}}(t)$. Set $F=H_{a, b}^{-}$and $c=c_{H_{a, b}^{-}}$. Let $k=$ $\tilde{E}\left(F\left(0^{+}\right)\right)-\underset{\tilde{E}}{E}\left(F\left(0^{-}\right)\right)+1$ (notice that the lap number of $\underset{\tilde{E}}{F}$ is $k+1$ ). By Lemma 7 we get $k=\tilde{E}\left(F\left(0^{+}\right)\right)-E\left(F\left(0^{-}\right)\right)+1=\delta_{1}(b)-\epsilon_{1}(a)=\tilde{E}(b)-E(a)+1$.

Let $J_{1}, J_{2}, \ldots, J_{k+1}$ be the laps of $F$ contained in the interval $[0,1]$. Assume that for all $x \in \operatorname{int}\left(J_{i}\right), y \in \operatorname{int}\left(J_{j}\right)$ we have $x<y$ if $i<j$. We note that all points in the interior of a lap have the same address. Then we can use the notion of address of a lap and hence the notation $A\left(J_{i}\right)$. We have

$$
A\left(J_{i}\right)=\epsilon_{1}(a)+i-1 \quad \text { for } \quad i=1, \ldots, k+1
$$

From now on we will also denote a lap $J_{i}$ by its address. Then, the invariant coordinates of $0^{+}$and $0^{-}$are the following (see the definition in Section 2 of [AM])

$$
\theta\left(0^{+}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_{i}(a) t^{i-1} \quad \text { and } \quad \theta\left(0^{-}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_{i}(a) t^{i-1}
$$

Hence $v(0)=\theta\left(0^{+}\right)-\theta\left(0^{-}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(\epsilon_{i}(a)-\delta_{i}(a)\right) t^{i-1}$.
Set $\mathcal{K}=\left\{i \in \mathbf{N}: \epsilon_{i}(a)=\epsilon_{1}(a)\right\}$. By Lemma 4.15 of $[\mathbf{A M}]$ if $i \notin \mathcal{K}$ then $\epsilon_{i}(a)=\epsilon_{1}(a)+1=E(a)+1$. Thus,

$$
E(a)+1-\epsilon_{i}(a)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { for } i \in \mathcal{K} \\ 0 & \text { if } i \in N \backslash \mathcal{K}\end{cases}
$$

Now set $\mathcal{J}=\left\{i \in \mathbf{N}: \delta_{i}(b)=\delta_{1}(b)\right\}$. If $i \notin \mathcal{J}$ then $\delta_{i}(b)=\delta_{1}(b)-1=\tilde{E}(b)$ and hence

$$
\tilde{E}(b)+1-\delta_{i}(b)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { for } i \in \mathcal{J} \\ 0 & \text { for } i \in \mathbf{N} \backslash \mathcal{J}\end{cases}
$$

Therefore, writing $v(0)$ as $\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} v_{i}(0) J_{i}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{1}(0) & =\sum_{i \in \mathcal{K}} t^{i-1}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(E(a)+1-\epsilon_{i}(a)\right) t^{i-1}, \\
v_{2}(0) & =\sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}-\mathcal{K}, i>0} t^{i-1}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(\epsilon_{i}(a)-E(a)\right) t^{i-1}, \\
v_{j}(0) & =0 \quad \text { for } \quad j=3, \ldots, k-1, \\
v_{k}(0) & =-\sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}-\mathcal{J}, i>0} t^{i-1}=-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(\tilde{E}(b)+1-\delta_{i}(b)\right) t^{i-1}, \\
v_{k+1}(0) & =-\sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} t^{i-1}=-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(\delta_{i}(b)-\tilde{E}(b)\right) t^{i-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote $v_{1}(0) t, v_{2}(0) t, v_{k}(0) t$ and $v_{k+1}(0) t$, by $\varphi, \kappa, \eta, \omega$ respectively.
Now we are able to write the kneading matrix of $F$. Note that the turning points of $F$ in $(0,1)$ are the elements of $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}=\{x \in(0,1): F(x) \in \mathbf{Z}\}$. Assume that $x_{i}<x_{j}$ if and only if $i<j$. To compute the columns of the kneading matrix we note that if $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ then $v\left(x_{i}\right)=J_{i+1}-J_{i}+t v(0)$.

To see more clearly the structure of the kneading matrix we make the technical assumption that $k>4$. The proof in the case $1<k \leq 4$ goes in a similar way.

The kneading matrix is:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
-1+\varphi & \varphi & \ldots & \varphi & \varphi \\
1+\kappa & -1+\kappa & \ldots & \kappa & \kappa \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\eta & \eta & \ldots & \eta+1 & \eta-1 \\
\omega & \omega & \ldots & \omega & \omega+1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then,

$$
D_{1}=\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
1+\kappa & -1+\kappa & \ldots & \kappa & \kappa \\
0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\eta & \eta & \ldots & \eta+1 & \eta-1 \\
\omega & \omega & \ldots & \omega & \omega+1
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
1+\kappa & -2 & \ldots & -1 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\eta & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 1 \\
\omega & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right|
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =1+\kappa+(-1)^{k+1} \omega\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
-2 & -1 & \ldots & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right| \\
& \quad+(-1)^{k} \eta\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
-2 & -1 & \ldots & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right| \\
& =1+\kappa+(-1)^{k+1}(-1)^{k-2}(-k) \omega+(-1)^{k}(-1)^{k-3}(-k+1) \eta .
\end{aligned}
$$

By substituting we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{1} & =1+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(\epsilon_{i}(a)-E(a)\right) t^{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(\tilde{E}(b)-E(a)+1) t^{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(\tilde{E}(b)+1-\delta_{i}(b)\right) t^{i} \\
& =1-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(\delta_{i}(b)-\epsilon_{i}(a)\right) t^{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by Lemma 4.16 of $[\mathbf{A M}]$,

$$
D_{F}(t)=\frac{1}{1-t}\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(\delta_{i}(b)-\epsilon_{i}(a)\right) t^{i}\right)=1-R_{a, b}^{-}\left(t^{-1}\right) .
$$

Now we compute $D_{H_{a, b}^{+}}$. Set $F^{\prime}=H_{a, b}^{+}$and $k^{\prime}=\tilde{E}\left(F^{\prime}\left(0^{-}\right)\right)-E\left(F^{\prime}\left(0^{+}\right)\right)$(now the lap number of $F^{\prime}$ is $k^{\prime}+1$ ) From Lemma 7 we have that $k^{\prime}=E\left(F^{\prime}\left(0^{-}\right)\right)-$ $E\left(F^{\prime}\left(0^{+}\right)\right)=\epsilon_{1}(b)+1-\left(\delta_{1}(a)-1\right)=E(b)-\tilde{E}(a)+1$. We use the same notation as in the case of $H_{a, b}^{-}$: if $J_{1}, J_{2}, \ldots, J_{k^{\prime}+1}$ denote the laps of $F^{\prime}$, we have that

$$
A\left(J_{i}\right)=\delta_{1}(a)-1+i-1 \quad \text { for } \quad i=1, \ldots, k^{\prime}+1 .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta\left(0^{+}\right)=\left(\delta_{1}(a)-1\right)-\delta_{1}(a)+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_{i}(a) t^{i-1} \\
& \theta\left(0^{-}\right)=\left(\epsilon_{1}(b)+1\right)-\epsilon_{1}(b)+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_{i}(b) t^{i-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $v(0)=\left(\delta_{1}(a)-1\right)-\delta_{1}(a)+\epsilon_{1}(b)-\left(\epsilon_{1}(b)+1\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(\delta_{i}(a)-\epsilon_{i}(b)\right) t^{i-1}$. Set $\mathcal{K}=\left\{i \in \mathbf{N}: \delta_{i}(a)=\delta_{1}(a)\right\}$ and $\mathcal{J}=\left\{i \in \mathbf{N}: \epsilon_{i}(b)=\epsilon_{1}(b)\right.$. By Lemma 4.15 of
$[\mathbf{A M}]$, if $i \notin \mathcal{K}$ then $\delta_{i}(a)=\tilde{E}(a)$ and if $i \notin \mathcal{J}$ then $\epsilon_{i}(b)=E(b)+1$. Therefore, if we write $v(0)$ as $\sum_{i=1}^{k^{\prime}+1} v_{i}(0) J_{i}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{1}(0) & =1+\sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}-\mathcal{K}, i>0} t^{i-1}=1+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(\tilde{E}(a)+1-\delta_{i}(a)\right) t^{i-1} \\
v_{2}(0) & =-1+\sum_{i \in \mathcal{K}} t^{i-1}=-1+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(\delta_{i}(a)-\tilde{E}(a)\right) t^{i-1} \\
v_{j}(0) & =0 \quad \text { for } \quad j=3, \ldots, k^{\prime}-1, \\
v_{k^{\prime}}(0) & =1-\sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} t^{i-1}=1-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(E(b)+1-\epsilon_{i}(b)\right) t^{i-1} \\
v_{k^{\prime}+1}(0) & =-1-\sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}-\mathcal{J}, i>0} t^{i-1}=-1-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(\epsilon_{i}(b)-E(b)\right) t^{i-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

As in the previous case, we set $\varphi^{\prime}=t v_{1}(0), \kappa^{\prime}=t v_{2}(0), \eta^{\prime}=v_{k^{\prime}}(0)$ and $\omega^{\prime}=v_{k^{\prime}+1}(0)$. Then, the kneading matrix of $F^{\prime}$ has the same expression as the kneading matrix of $H_{a, b}^{-}$with $k^{\prime}, \varphi^{\prime}, \kappa^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}, \omega^{\prime}$ instead of $k, \varphi, \kappa, \eta, \omega$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{1}(t)= & 1+\kappa^{\prime}+\left(k^{\prime}-1\right) \eta^{\prime}+k^{\prime} \omega^{\prime} \\
= & 1-2 t+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(\delta_{i}(a)-\tilde{E}(a)\right) t^{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(E(b)-\tilde{E}(a)+1) t^{i} \\
& \left.+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(E(b)+1-\epsilon_{i}(b)\right) t^{i}\right) \\
= & 1-2 t-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(\epsilon_{i}(b)-\delta_{i}(a)\right) t^{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{F(t)} & =\frac{1}{1-t}\left[1-2 t-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(E(i b)-\tilde{E}(i a)) t^{i}-t \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(E(i b)-\tilde{E}(i a)) t^{i}-t\right)\right] \\
& =1-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(E(i b)-\tilde{E}(i a)) t^{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by Lemma 4.16 of $[\mathbf{A M}]$, we have $D_{H_{a, b}^{+}}(t)=1-R_{a, b}^{+}\left(t^{-1}\right)$.
Lemma 9. For $a<b$ the equations $R_{a, b}^{+}\left(t^{-1}\right)=1$ and $R_{a, b}^{-}\left(t^{-1}\right)=1$ have $a$ unique solution in $(0,1)$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.16 of $[\mathbf{A M}]$ we know that $R_{a, b}^{+}\left(t^{-1}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(E(n b)-$ $\tilde{E}(n a)) t^{n}$ and $R_{a, b}^{-}\left(t^{-1}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(\tilde{E}(n b)-E(n a)) t^{n}$ for $t \in(0,1)$. Since $\tilde{E}(n b)-$
$E(n a)$ and $E(n b)-\tilde{E}(n a)$ are uniformly bounded for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, then $R_{a, b}^{+}\left(t^{-1}\right)$ and $R_{a, b}^{-}\left(t^{-1}\right)$ are well defined and continuous for $t \in(0,1)$. Since the coefficients of these series are non-negative we have that $R_{a, b}^{+}\left(t^{-1}\right)$ and $R_{a, b}^{-}\left(t^{-1}\right)$ are increasing in $(0,1)$. We also note that since $a<b$ there exists $n_{0}$ such that $(E(n b)-$ $\tilde{E}(n a))>1$ and $(\tilde{E}(n b)-E(n a))>1$ for all $n>n_{0}$. Hence $\lim _{t \uparrow 1} R_{a, b}^{+}\left(t^{-1}\right)=$ $\lim _{t \uparrow 1} R_{a, b}^{-}\left(t^{-1}\right)=\infty$. Since $\lim _{t \downarrow 0} R_{a, b}^{+}\left(t^{-1}\right)=\lim _{t \downarrow 0} R_{a, b}^{-}\left(t^{-1}\right)=0$ we obtain the desired conclusion.


Figure 5. The maps $G_{0,1}^{+}$and $G_{0,1}^{-}$.

From Lemma 9, Proposition 8 and Theorem 2 we obtain that the maps $H_{a, b}^{+}$ and $H_{a, b}^{-}$have positive topological entropy.

Now let $G_{a, b}^{+}$and $G_{a, b}^{-}$be the piecewise linear maps given by Theorem 3 from $H_{a, b}^{+}$and $H_{a, b}^{-}$(see Figure 5). The following lemma follows in a similar way to Lemma 4.14 of [AM].

Lemma 10. The following equalities hold:
(1) $\underline{I}_{G_{a, b}^{-}}\left(0^{+}\right)=\underline{I}_{\epsilon}(a)$ and $\underline{I}_{G_{a, b}^{-}}\left(0^{-}\right)=\underline{I}_{\delta}(b)$.
(2) $\underline{I}_{G_{a, b}^{+}}\left(0^{+}\right)=\underline{I}_{\delta}^{*}(a)$ and $\underline{I}_{G_{a, b}^{+}}\left(0^{-}\right)=\underline{I}_{\epsilon}^{*}(b)$.

In what follows we denote the inverses of the solutions of the equations $R_{a, b}^{+}\left(t^{-1}\right)$ $=1$ and $R_{a, b}^{-}\left(t^{-1}\right)=1$ in $(0,1)$ by $\alpha_{a, b}^{+}$and $\alpha_{a, b}^{-}$respectively (in view of Lemma 9 these numbers are well defined).

The next result is the analogous of Corollary C of $[\mathbf{A M}]$ for class $\mathcal{C}$ and gives lower and upper bounds of the topological entropy for maps from $\mathcal{C}$ depending on the rotation interval. The statement $\log \alpha_{a, b}^{-}=h\left(G_{a, b}^{-}\right) \leq h(F)$ was already known (see [ALMT]). Here we give a different proof.

Corollary 11. Let $F \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $L_{F}=[a, b]$ with $a<b$. Then

$$
\log \alpha_{a, b}^{-}=h\left(G_{a, b}^{-}\right) \leq h(F) \leq h\left(G_{a, b}^{+}\right)=\log \alpha_{a, b}^{+}
$$

Proof. It follows by Lemma 10, Corollary 5 and Theorem 6.
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