# MINIMAL SIZE OF A GRAPH WITH DIAMETER 2 AND GIVEN MAXIMAL DEGREE, II 

## Š. ZNÁM


#### Abstract

Let $F_{2}(n,\lfloor p n\rfloor)$ be the minimal size of a graph on $n$ vertices with diameter 2 and maximal degree $\lfloor p n\rfloor$. The asymptotic behaviour of $F_{2}(n,\lfloor p n\rfloor)$ is considered for $2 / 5<p<5 / 12$.


## 1. Introduction

Denote by $H_{2}(n,\lfloor p n\rfloor)$ the family of undirected graphs of order $n$, diameter 2 and maximal degree $\lfloor p n\rfloor(0<p<1)$ and put

$$
F_{2}(n,\lfloor p n\rfloor)=\min _{G \in H_{2}(n,\lfloor p n\rfloor)} e(G)
$$

where $e(G)$ is the size of $G$. Denote further by

$$
f(p)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{2}(n,\lfloor p n\rfloor)
$$

The function $f(p)$ was introduced in [1] and in [5] the existence of the limit (conjectured in [2]) was proved for all values of $p$ except of a sequence tending to 0 . It is also showed in [5] that for a given $p, f(p)$ can be determined using linear programming. However, this procedure is too slow to enable us to solve the problem even for relatively large values of $p$.

In [2] the values of $f(p)$ for $p>1 / 2$ were determined. Further, in [4] it was shown that if a projective plane of order $t$ exists, then $f(p)=t+1$ for $(t+1) /\left(t^{2}+\right.$ $t+1)<p<1 / t$, hence putting $t=2$ we get $f(p)=3$ if $3 / 7<p<1 / 2$. In [6] $f(p)$ is determined for $5 / 12<p<3 / 7$. Thus for all $p>5 / 12$ the values of $f(p)$ are known.

In this paper we determine $f(p)$ for smaller $p$. In fact, we prove here the following result conjectured in [5].

## Theorem.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(p)=8-11 p \quad \text { for } \quad 2 / 5<p<5 / 12 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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We shall use here very often methods and results of [5]. In those cases when our assertions can be proved by a slight modification of those in [5], the proofs will be omitted here. On the other hand, the following lemma is used here in exactly the same way as in [5].

Lemma 1 ([5]). Let a set $U$ with $|U| \geq 8$ be given. Let $Z$ be a system of triples of distinct elements of $U$. If every element of $U$ is contained in some triple of $Z$ and any two triples of $Z$ intersect then there exist $x, y \in U$ such that every triple of $Z$ contains at least one of $x, y$ (we say that $x, y$ cover $Z$ ).

## 2. The Main Inequality

We prove now that if $p$ fulfils (1) and $n$ is sufficiently large then for every $G \in H_{2}(n,\lfloor p n\rfloor)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(G) \geq 8 n-11\lfloor p n\rfloor-8\left\{4\binom{192}{3}+128\right\} \sqrt{n} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $I=4\binom{192}{3}+128$. We shall proceed indirectly: suppose there exists a graph $G_{0} \in H_{2}(n,\lfloor p n\rfloor)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
e\left(G_{0}\right)<8 n-11\lfloor p n\rfloor-8 I \sqrt{n} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $V$ the set of all vertices of $G_{0}$, and by $A$ the set of vertices of degree at least $\sqrt{n}$. According to (3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|A|<16 \sqrt{n} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote further by $B$ the set of vertices of degree at most 7 adjacent to 3 vertices of $A$ (due to (1), no vertex of degree less than 3 exists in $G_{0}$ ), and by $C$ the set of such vertices adjacent to at least 4 vertices of $A$, and finally, let $D=V-A-B-C$. If $x \in D$ then $8 \leq \operatorname{deg} x \leq \sqrt{n}$.

The proof of the following lemma is straightforward (and very similar to that of Lemma 2 in [5]).

Lemma 2.

$$
2 e\left(G_{0}\right) \geq 8 n-2|B|-128 \sqrt{n}
$$

Let now $E$ be the set of vertices of degree at least $n / 12$. By (3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|E|<192 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (1) every vertex of $B$ is adjacent to 3 vertices of $E$. Let $a b c$ be the set of vertices of $B$ adjacent to vertices $a, b, c \in E$ (a set of the form $a b c$ will be called a triple-set). $B$ consists of at most $\binom{192}{3}$ triple-sets. Let $F$ be the union of triple-sets from $B$ with cardinalities at least $4 \sqrt{n}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|B|<|F|+4\binom{192}{3} \sqrt{n} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $T$ the system of neighbours of triple-sets in $F$ and by $W$ the set of vertices occurring in $T$. Now we shall state some lemmas.

Lemma 3. Any two triples of $T$ have a common element.
The proof is straightforward and very similar to that of Lemma 3 in [5].
Lemma 4. Let $J$ be the set of vertices $x$ having the following property: there is some triple-set abc in $F$ such that $x$ is not adjacent to any of the vertices $a, b$, c. Then $|J| \leq 4\binom{192}{3} \sqrt{n}$.

The proof follows from the obvious fact that to any triple-set $a b c$ there exist at most $4 \sqrt{n}$ vertices adjacent to neither $a, b, c$ (see also the proof of Lemma 4 in [5]).

Lemma 5. $T$ is covered by two vertices.
Proof. Assume the opposite. Then by Lemma 4 each of at least $n-4\binom{192}{3} \sqrt{n}$ vertices must be adjacent to at least 3 vertices of $W$. However, by Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 we get $|W| \leq 7$, a contradiction with (1).

In what follows we shall use the notation $\lfloor p n\rfloor=k$.
First of all, from (3), (6) and Lemma 2 follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
8 n-2|F|-2 I \sqrt{n} \leq 16 n-22 k-16 I \sqrt{n}, \quad \text { thus }|F| \geq 11 k-4 n+7 I \sqrt{n} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let now $M=V-A-J-H$ where $H$ is a set of cardinality less than $\sqrt{n}$ which will be specified later. From (4) and Lemma 4 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=|M|>n-I \sqrt{n} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus (7) can be rewritten in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F| \geq 11 k-4 m+3 I \sqrt{n} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further considerations will be restricted to the vertices of $M$. The following two lemmas will be of some use later.

Lemma 6. If $a, b, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{r}$ are distinct vertices of $W$, and there exist $p$ vertices of $M$ adjacent to both $a$ and $b$ then

$$
\left|a b c_{1} \cup \cdots \cup a b c_{r}\right|+r p \leq r(3 k-m) .
$$

Proof. For every $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$ the number of edges incident to vertices $a, b, c_{i}$ is at least $m+p+a b c_{i}(\leq 3 k)$, and thus the assertion follows.

From the obvious inequality $\left|a b c_{1}\right| \leq p$ we get, by taking $r=1$ :
Corollary.

$$
\left|a b c_{i}\right| \leq(3 k-m) / 2
$$

Lemma 7. There exist less than $(5 m-11 k) / 2$ vertices of $M$ having at least 5 neighbours in $V-M$.

Proof. If it is not the case then $e\left(G_{0}\right) \geq 3 m+2(5 m-11 k) / 2=8 m-11 k$, a contradiction with (3).

Now we shall prove that (3) leads to a contradiction. First of all, if all triples of $T$ contain a fixed vertex then we have $|F| \leq k$, a contradiction with (9) for $k \geq 2 n / 5$ (see (1)). Assume now that $T$ is covered by two vertices $x, y$ (see Lemma 5). Denote by $X, Y$ and $Z$, respectively, the union of all triple-sets of $F$ adjacent only to $x$, only to $y$, and to both $x$ and $y$, respectively.

We have to distinguish several cases depending on the form of the sets $X, Y$ and $Z$.

Case 1. $x u v, x w t \subset X$ and $y u w, y v t \subset Y($ or $y u v, y w t \subset Y$, or $y u t, y v w \subset Y)$ where all included vertices are distinct.

Let $K=\{x, y\}, L=\{u, v, w, t\}$. Further let $S, Q$, and $R$, respectively, be the set of vertices of $M$ adjacent to exactly one vertex, exactly two vertices, and no vertex, respectively, of $K$. We can easily derive the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{align*}
2 m+|S| & \leq 6 k, \quad \text { i.e. }|S| \leq 6 k-2 m ;  \tag{10}\\
2|Q|+|S| & \leq 2 k, \quad \text { i.e. }|Q| \leq m-2 k ;  \tag{11}\\
|R| & =m-|S|-|Q| \geq 2 m-4 k . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose $Z=x y z_{1} \cup \cdots \cup x y z_{i}$ and let $\left(x y x_{1}\right)$ [( $\left.x y x_{2}\right)$ ] be the set of all vertices of $M$ adjacent to $x, y, x_{1}$ (to $x, y, x_{2}$, respectively). The vertices of $R$ are adjacent to every $z_{j}$, hence by (12) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x y z_{j}\right)+2 m-4 k \leq k, \quad \text { i.e. }\left(x y z_{j}\right) \leq 5 k-2 m \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we need to consider several subcases.
Case 1a. If there exist at least 3 vertices $z_{j} \notin L$ then a vertex of $R$ is adjacent to 2 vertices of $L$, to at least three vertices $z_{j}$ but this is by (12) a contradiction to Lemma 7.

Case 1b. There exists at most one $z_{j} \notin L$. Because all the remaining triplesets of $F$ contain 3 vertices of $L \cup K$, we have $\left|F-x y z_{i}\right| \leq 6 k-2 m$, and by (13) we get a contradiction with (9). (In this case for $|F|=11 k-4 n-3$ we obtain the extremal graph - see Section 3.)

Case 1c. Now we have the most complicated case when $Z$ contains exactly 2 triple-sets $x y z_{1}$ and $x y z_{2}$ such that $z_{1}, z_{2} \notin K \cup L$. Denote by $N, O$, and $P$, respectively, the set of all vertices of $M$ adjacent to at least two vertices, to one vertex, and to no vertex, respectively, of $L$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
2|N|+|O| & \leq 4 k \\
|O|+|P| & \leq|Q| \\
-2(|N|+|O|+|P|) & =-2 m .
\end{aligned}
$$

Adding these inequalities, and taking into account (11), we have

$$
|P| \geq 2 m-4 k-|Q| \geq m-2 k .
$$

Hence, by (13)

$$
|P|-\left|\left(x y z_{1}\right)\right|-\left|\left(x y z_{2}\right)\right| \geq 5 m-12 k
$$

Now put $p=5 / 12-\varepsilon, 0<\varepsilon<1 / 60$ (see (1)). Then, from (8), $5 m-12 k \geq$ $5(n-I \sqrt{n})-12(5 / 12-\varepsilon) n=12 \varepsilon n-5 I \sqrt{n}$ which for sufficiently large $n$ is greater than $10 \varepsilon n$. Hence there exist at least $10 \varepsilon n$ vertices of $M$ not adjacent to any vertex of $L$, thus adjacent to both $x$ and $y$, but not adjacent to $z_{1}, z_{2}$. By (3), among these vertices there exists a vertex $b$ of degree less than $16 /(10 \varepsilon)$. Let $N(b)$ be the set of neighbours of $b$, and put $H=N(b)(H$ is the above-mentioned set.) Now, each vertex of $R$ is adjacent to at least two vertices of $L$, to the vertices $z_{1}, z_{2}$ and to some vertex of $H$. Thus each vertex of $R$ is adjacent to at least 5 vertices of $V-M$ which by (1) and (12) contradicts Lemma 7.

Thus if the conditions $x u v, x w t \subset X, y u w, y v t \subset Y$ (or $y u v, y w t \subset Y$, or $y u t$, $y v w \subset Y)$ are satisfied, then we always get a contradiction. Assume now that these conditions are not satisfied. Say, $X$ does not contain triple-sets of the form $x u v, x w t$. Then the following cases can occur:
(a) $X=x u v \cup x u w \cup x v w$,
(b) all the triple-sets of $X$ are adjacent to a further fixed vertex of $W$,
(c) $X=x u v$.

Now consider these cases.
Case 2. Suppose $X=x u v \cup x u w \cup x v w$. The vertices of $M$ not adjacent to $x$ are adjacent to at least two vertices of the set $\{u, v, w\}$. Hence $2(m-k)+2|X| \leq 3 k$, i.e. $|X| \leq(5 k-2 m) / 2$, a contradiction with (9), because all the remaining vertices of $F$ are adjacent to $y$.

Case 3. Suppose $X=x t x_{1} \cup \cdots \cup x t x_{q}, q \geq 2$. Again we have to distinguish several subcases.

Case 3a. $y x_{i} x_{j} \subset Y$ for some $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, q\}$. Then obviously $q=2$. Let $U=x t x_{1} \cup x t x_{2} \cup y x_{1} x_{2}$. Then every vertex of $M$ is adjacent to at least two vertices of the set $\left\{x, t, y, x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}=W_{0}$ and every vertex of $U$ is adjacent to 3 vertices of $W_{0}$. Thus $2 m+|U| \leq 5 k$, i.e. $|U| \leq 5 k-2 m$ which is a contradiction to (9) because all remaining vertices of $F$ are adjacent to $Y$.

Case 3b. Suppose $Y=y t y_{1} \cup \cdots \cup y t y_{r}$ and put $Z=x y z_{1} \cup \cdots \cup x y z_{s}$. Assume that among the vertices $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{s}$ there exist $u$ distinct vertices $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{u}$ different from $x, y, t$, and put $W_{1}=\left\{x, y, t, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{u}\right\}$. Since $F$ is not covered by a single vertex, every vertex of $M$ is adjacent to at least two vertices of $W_{1}$ and the vertices of $F$ to 3 vertices of $W_{1}$. Thus $2 m+|F| \leq(3+u) k$ which contradicts (9) if $u \leq 3$. Hence assume $u>3$.

Denote by $L$ the set of all vertices of $M$ not adjacent to any vertex of the set $\{x, y, t\}$. Every vertex of $F$ is adjacent to two vertices of this set, hence by (9) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 k+|L| \geq m+|F|, \quad \text { i.e. }|L| \geq m-3 k+|F| \geq 8 k-3 m \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The vertices of $L$ are adjacent to all vertices $w_{i}$, thus for $u=4$ we have

$$
2 m+|F|+2(m-3 k+|F|) \leq 7 k, \quad \text { i.e. }|F| \leq(13 k-4 m) / 3
$$

which is, by (1), a contradiction to (9).
For $u \geq 5$ all vertices of $L$ are adjacent to at least 5 vertices of $W_{1}$ which by (14) contradicts Lemma 7.

Case 4. The last case is $X=x u v$. If $Z=\emptyset$ then $F$ is covered also by $y$ and $u$, and we may proceed as in the case 3a. Similarly, if all vertices of $F$ are adjacent to $u$ (or $v$ ) then we get again the case 3 b . Hence we may assume

$$
F=x u v \cup x y x_{1} \cup \cdots \cup x y x_{i} \cup u y u_{1} \cup \cdots \cup u y u_{j} \cup v y v_{1} \cup \cdots \cup v y v_{q}
$$

where $i, j, q$ are different from 0 . Now we show that all the vertices $x_{a}, u_{b}, v_{c}$ are distinct. Indeed, suppose, for example, that $x_{1}=u_{1}$ and consider $U=x u v \cup$ $x y x_{1} \cup u y x_{1}$. Every vertex of $M$ is adjacent to two vertices of $W_{3}=\left\{x, y, u, v, x_{1}\right\}$ and every vertex of $U$ is adjacent to 3 vertices of $W_{3}$, thus $|U| \leq 5 k-2 m$. However, all remaining vertices of $F$ are adjacent to $y$, and so we get a contradiction with (9). Now, if $i=j=q=1$ then by Corollary of Lemma 6 we get a contradiction to (9). Hence suppose $i>1$ (as $F$ is covered also by the couples $u, y$ and $v, y$, we may proceed in the remaining cases similarly). Distinguish now two subcases.

Case 4a. Suppose $j=q=1$. Consider first the case $i \geq 3$. By (9) and by Corollary to Lemma 6 we have

$$
\left|x y x_{1} \cup \cdots \cup x y x_{i}\right| \geq 11 k-4 m-(9 k-3 m) / 2 .
$$

Thus the number of vertices adjacent neither to $x$ nor to $y$ is at least $m-2 k+$ $[11 k-4 m-(9 k-3 m) / 2]=(9 k-3 m) / 2$. However, each such vertex is adjacent to at least 5 vertices of $V-M$, a contradiction to Lemma 7 (see (1)).

Assume now $i=2$. Let $s$ be the number of vertices adjacent to $y$ but not to $x_{1}$ nor to $x_{2}$. Each such vertex is adjacent to at least one of vertices $x, u, v$. So let $s_{1}, s_{2}$, and $s_{3}$, respectively, be the number of such vertices adjacent to $x, u, v$, respectively. Obviously,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}+s_{2}+s_{3} \geq s \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now according to Lemma 6 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u y u_{1}\right| \leq\left(3 k-m-s_{2}\right) / 2, \quad\left|v y v_{1}\right| \leq\left(3 k-m-s_{3}\right) / 2 . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider now the number of vertices adjacent to $x$. By (16),

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x u v|+\left|x y x_{1}\right|+\left|x y x_{2}\right| \geq|F|-3 k+m+\left(s_{2}+s_{3}\right) / 2 . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each vertex of $M-F$ must be adjacent to at least one of vertices $x, y, x_{1}$. However, there exist at most $k-\left|x y x_{1}\right|$ such vertices adjacent to $x_{1}$ and $s-s_{1}$ such vertices adjacent to $y$ but not to $x$. So, by (17), the total number of vertices adjacent to $x$ is at least

$$
\left[|F|-3 k+m+\left(s_{2}+s_{3}\right) / 2\right]+\left[m-|F|-k+\left|x y x_{1}\right|-s+s_{1}\right] \leq k
$$

Thus by (15) we get

$$
\left|x y x_{1}\right| \leq 5 k-2 m+\left(s_{1}+s_{2}\right) / 2
$$

Hence, according to (16), we get

$$
\left|u y u_{1}\right|+\left|v y v_{1}\right|+\left|x y x_{1}\right| \leq 8 k-3 m
$$

thus, by Corollary of Lemma $6,|F| \leq 11 k-4 m$, a contradiction to (9).
Case 4b. Assume that at least two of the numbers $i, j, q$ are greater than 1 , say, $i \geq 2, j \geq 2$ (in the remaining cases we may proceed similarly). Now we introduce some notation. Let $W_{3}=\{x, u, v, y\}$, and let $X_{1}, U_{1}$, and $V_{1}$ be the set of all vertices of $M$ adjacent only to $x$, only to $u$, and only to $v$ (and to no other vertices of $W_{3}$ ), respectively. Let further $X U, X V$, and $U V$ be the set of vertices adjacent only to $x$ and $u$, only to $x$ and $v$, and only to $u$ and $v$ (and to no other vertices of $W_{3}$ ), respectively. Finally, let $X U V$ be the set of vertices adjacent to $x, u$, and $v$ but not to $y$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|X_{1}\right|+\left|U_{1}\right|+\left|V_{1}\right|+|X U|+|X V|+|U V|+|X U V| \geq m-k \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The number of vertices adjacent to $x$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
k \geq|X U V|+|X U|+|X V|+\left|X_{1}\right|+\left|x y x_{1}\right|+\cdots+\left|x y x_{i}\right| \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The number of vertices adjacent to $u$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
k \geq|X U V|+|X U|+|U V|+\left|U_{1}\right|+\left|u y u_{1}\right|+\cdots+\left|u y u_{j}\right| \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adding (18), (19) and (20) and using Lemma 6 gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|V_{1}\right| & \geq m-3 k+|X U|+|F|-\left(\left|v y v_{1}\right|+\cdots+\left|v y v_{p}\right|\right) \\
& \geq m-3 k+|F|-(3 k-m)=2 m-6 k+|F|
\end{aligned}
$$

However, all vertices of $V_{1}$ are adjacent to vertices $v, x_{1}, x_{2}, u_{1}, u_{2}$, thus $e(G) \geq$ $4 m-|F|+(2 m-6 k+|F|)=6 m-6 k$ which, by (1), contradicts (3).

We have seen that (3) leads to a contradiction in all cases, and so for any graph $G \in H_{2}(n,\lfloor p n\rfloor)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(G) \geq 8 n-11\lfloor p n\rfloor-8 I \sqrt{n} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Proof of the Theorem

Consider the graph $G_{1}$ consisting of
(a) nine vertices $a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i$ of high degrees;
(b) the edges $a b, a g, a f, b g, b d, c e, c g, c h, d f, d i, e g, e h, f i, g i, g h$;
(c) triple-sets $a c d, a e f, a b g, b c f, b e d ;$
(d) groups abih, dfgh, ceig of vertices of degree 4 adjacent to vertices involved in these 4-tuples.

In case $3 k-n$ is even, the cardinalities of these triple-sets and groups are (in other case proceed similarly):

$$
\begin{aligned}
|a c d| & =|a e f|=|b c f|=|b e d|=(3 k-n) / 2, \\
|a b g| & =5 k-2 n-3, \\
|a b i h| & =3 n-7 k, \\
|c e i g| & =|d f g h|=n-2 k-3 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the vertices $a, b, c, d, e, f$ are of degree $k, g$ is of degree $k-3$ and $\operatorname{deg} h=$ $\operatorname{deg} i=4 n-9 k$ which is less than $k$ for $p>2 / 5$ and $n$ sufficiently large. It is easy to check that $G_{1} \in H_{2}(n,\lfloor p n\rfloor)$ for such $p$ and $n$ and $e\left(G_{1}\right)=8 n-11 k-18$. Hence, by (21), if $G \in H_{2}(n,\lfloor p n\rfloor)$ where $p$ satisfies (1) and $n$ is sufficiently large, we get

$$
8 n-11\lfloor p n\rfloor-8 I \sqrt{n} \leq F_{2}(n,\lfloor p n\rfloor) \leq 8 n-11\lfloor p n\rfloor-18
$$

and the assertion of Theorem follows.

Remark. The structure of extremal graphs in a similar problem for graphs of diameter 3 was determined in [3]. The author hopes to find a characterization of extremal graphs in general for our case in a future paper. The "kernel system" of the extremal graph is a uniquely determined hypergraph in general.
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