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#### Abstract

The link of a vertex $v$ in a graph $G$ is the subgraph induced by all vertices adjacent to $v$. If all the links in $G$ are isomorphic to the same graph $L$, then $L$ is called the link graph of $G$. We consider the operation of an amalgamation of graphs. Using the construction of the free product of groups with amalgamated subgroups, we give a sufficient condition for a class of link graphs of Cayley graphs to be closed under amalgamations.


## 1. Introduction

The link of a vertex $v$ of a graph $G$ is the subgraph induced by all vertices adjacent to $v$; we denote it by $\operatorname{link}(v, G)$. If all the links in $G$ are isomorphic to the same graph $L$, then we say that $G$ has a constant link $L$ and $L$ is called the link graph of $G$. In 1963 Zykov [6] posed the problem of characterizing link graphs. It turned out that the problem is algorithmically unsolvable in the class of all (possibly infinite) graphs, see Bulitko [2]. However, the solution of Zykov's problem is known for certain classes of graphs (for survey see Hell [4] and Blass, Harary and Miller [1]). Consequently, it is natural to ask whether the class of link graphs is closed or not under standard binary operations, and how to modify the graph to be a link graph. These problems are treated in Hell [4].

In the present paper we shall discuss a similar question, namely, whether an amalgamation of link graphs results in a link graph or not. Using the construction of the free product of groups with amalgamated subgroups, we give a sufficient condition for a class of link graphs of Cayley graphs to be closed under amalgamations. Further, the class of $m$-treelike graphs is defined and some necessary and sufficient conditions for an $m$-treelike graph to be a link graph are derived.

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1 Groups

We follow the standard terminology and notation of Lyndon and Shupp [5] and Blass, Harary and Miller [1].

All groups considered are finitely generated. Let $H$ be a group. We use 1 to denote the identity element of $H . U \leq H$ means that $U$ is a subgroup of $H$. $\langle X ; R\rangle$ denotes the presentation with generators $x \in X$ and relators $r \in R$. Let

$$
H_{1}=\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right\rangle \text { and } H_{2}=\left\langle y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m} ; s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m}\right\rangle
$$

be disjoint groups. Let $U_{1} \leq H_{1}$ and $U_{2} \leq H_{2}$ be subgroups, such that there exists an isomorphism $f: U_{1} \rightarrow U_{2}$. Then the free product of $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$, amalgamating $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ by the isomorphism $f$ is the group

$$
\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m} ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m}, u=f(u), u \in U_{1}\right\rangle .
$$

In order to simplify the notation this group will be denoted by

$$
\left\langle H_{1} * H_{2} ; u=f(u), u \in U_{1}\right\rangle .
$$

### 2.2 Graphs

Let $H$ be a group, and let $Z \subseteq H$ be a generating subset closed under inverses and not containing the identity. The Cayley graph $[H, Z]$ of $H$ with respect to $Z$ has $H$ as its vertex set, with $u$ and $v$ adjacent if $u^{-1} v \in Z$.

Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be graphs. Let $L_{1} \leq G_{1}$ and $L_{2} \leq G_{2}$ be subgraphs, and let $f: L_{1} \rightarrow L_{2}$ be an isomorphism. The graph with amalgamated subgraphs $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ by the isomorphism $f$ arises from the disjoint union of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ by identifying every vertex $v \in V\left(L_{1}\right)$ with $f(v) \in V\left(L_{2}\right)$, and every edge of $L_{1}$ with the corresponding edge of $L_{2}$. The graph just defined will be denoted by $\left(G_{1}, L_{1}, f, L_{2}, G_{2}\right)$.

## 3. Amalgamations of Link Graphs of Cayley Graphs

Consider the following problem. Let $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ be link graphs. Let $L_{1}^{\prime} \leq L_{1}$ and $L_{2}^{\prime} \leq L_{2}$ be subgraphs, such that there is an isomorphism $f: L_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow L_{2}^{\prime}$. Does there exist a graph $G$ with constant link $\left(L_{1}, L_{1}^{\prime}, f, L_{2}^{\prime}, L_{2}\right)$ ?

Note that the class of link graphs is not closed under amalgamations. Figure 1 shows the amalgamation of link graphs $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ by the isomorphism $f$ which results in a non-link graph $P_{3}$.


Figure 1.
Thus we are led to restrict the question to the special classes of graphs, namely, to the link graphs of Cayley graphs. (Recall that the Cayley graph $[H, Z]$ is vertex transitive. Hence $L=\operatorname{link}(1,[H, Z])$ is the constant link of $[H, Z]$.)

Set $I=\{1,2\}$. Let $H_{i}$ be a group with the generating subset $Z_{i}$, such that the identity element $1_{i}$ of $H_{i}$ does not belong to $Z_{i}$ and $Z_{i}=Z_{i}^{-1}, i \in I$. Consider the Cayley graph $\left[H_{i}, Z_{i}\right]$ with the constant link $L_{i}, i \in I$. Let $L_{1}^{\prime} \leq L_{1}$ and $L_{2}^{\prime} \leq L_{2}$ be subgraphs, and let $f: L_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow L_{2}^{\prime}$ be an isomorphism. As $\operatorname{link}\left(1_{i},\left[H_{i}, Z_{i}\right]\right) \cong L_{i}$, the vertices in $L_{i}$ can be considered as elements contained in $Z_{i}$, where $i \in I$. Thus the amalgamation of vertices in $L_{1}^{\prime}$ and $L_{2}^{\prime}$ by $f$ induces an amalgamation of elements in $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$.

Theorem 1. Let $\left[H_{i}, Z_{i}\right], L_{i}$ and $L_{1}^{\prime}$ with $i=1,2$ be the graphs defined above. Let $f: L_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow L_{2}^{\prime}$ be an isomorphism, and let $U_{i} \leq H_{i}$ be a subgroup such that $V\left(L_{i}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq U_{i}$, for $i=1,2$. Suppose that the following properties hold.
P1. The isomorphism of graphs $L_{1}^{\prime}$ and $L_{2}^{\prime}$ can be extended to an isomorphism of subgroups $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$.
P2. $U_{i} \cap Z_{i}=V\left(L_{i}^{\prime}\right) \quad$ for $i=1,2$.
Then there is an infinite graph with constant link $\left(L_{1}, L_{1}^{\prime}, f, L_{2}^{\prime}, L_{2}\right)$.
Proof. Denote by $\bar{f}$ the isomorphism of subgroups $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ induced by $f$. Set

$$
H=\left\langle H_{1} * H_{2} ; u=\bar{f}(u), u \in U_{1}\right\rangle
$$

Since $Z_{i}$ is closed under inverses and $1_{i}$ does not belong to $Z_{i}$ for $i \in I$, the generating set of $H$ (say $Z$ ) satisfies these conditions, too.

Let $L$ denote the constant link of $[H, Z]$, i.e. $L \cong \operatorname{link}(1,[H, Z])$. From the properties P 1 and P2 there follows that the elements from $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$ identified by $\bar{f}$, correspond to the vertices in $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ identified by $f$, and vice versa. Next, suppose that $u \in Z_{1}-V\left(L_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $v \in Z_{2}-V\left(L_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. If $u^{-1} v=c$ and $c \in Z_{1}$ then $u c \in H_{1}$. However, it is in contradiction with the fact that $v \in Z_{2}-V\left(L_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. Using similar arguments one can show that $u^{-1} v$ does not belong to $Z_{2}$. It implies that $L$ is isomorphic to the graph $\left(L_{1}, L_{1}^{\prime}, f, L_{2}^{\prime}, L_{1}\right)$, as required.

Obviously, the graph constructed by the procedure described above, is infinite. However, in the special cases "finitizing" relations for the group $H$ can be found. For instance, consider a group $H_{1}$ generated by the set $Z_{1}=\{B C, B, C, C B\}$, and with defining relations $B^{2}=C^{2}=1_{1}, B C \neq C B,(B C)^{3}=C B$. Let $H_{2}$ be an Abelian group generated by the set $Z_{2}=\{D, A, D A\}$, and with $D^{2}=$ $A^{2}=1_{2}$. Let $V\left(L_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\{B\}$ and $V\left(L_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\{D\}$. Then $U_{1}=\left\{1_{1}, B\right\}$ and $U_{2}=\left\{1_{2}, D\right\}$. For the group $H=\left\langle H_{1} * H_{2} ; u=\bar{f}(u), u \in U_{1}\right\rangle$ the finitizing relation can be specified as $A C=C A$. The Cayley graph of the group $\bar{H}=$ $\left\langle H_{1} * H_{2} ; u=f(u), u \in U_{1}, A C=C A\right\rangle$ with generating set $\bar{Z}=\{B C, B, C, C B$, $A, B A\}$ is shown in Fig. 2.
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Theorem 2. Let $\left[H_{i}, Z_{i}\right]$ be a Cayley graph of an Abelian group $H_{i}$, such that $\operatorname{link}\left(1_{i},\left[H_{i}, Z_{i}\right]\right) \cong L_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. Let $L_{i}^{\prime} \leq L_{i}, i=1,2$ be a subgraph, and let $f: L_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow L_{2}^{\prime}$ be an isomorphism. Consider the subgroups $U_{1} \leq H_{1}$ and $U_{2} \leq H_{2}$
satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1. Then there exists a finite Cayley graph with constant link ( $\left.L_{1}, L_{1}^{\prime}, f, L_{2}^{\prime}, L_{2}\right)$.

Proof. Let $\bar{f}$ be the isomorphism of $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ induced by $f$. Consider the group $H=<H_{1} * H_{2} ; u=\bar{f}(u), u \in U_{1}>$ and the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u v=v u \quad \text { if } \quad u \in Z_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad v \in Z_{2} \tag{A}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall show that the Cayley graph of the group

$$
\bar{H}=\left\langle H_{1} * H_{2} ; u=\bar{f}(u), u \in U_{1},(A)\right\rangle
$$

has the constant link $\left(L_{1}, L_{1}^{\prime}, f, L_{2}^{\prime}, L_{2}\right)$. In fact, we shall prove that

1. The generating set of $\bar{H}$ (say $\bar{Z}$ ) coincides with $Z$.
2. (A) preserves the edges and non-edges in $\left(L_{1}, L_{1}^{\prime}, f, L_{2}^{\prime}, L_{2}\right)$.

Take the elements $u \in Z_{1}-U_{1}$ and $v \in Z_{2}-U_{2}$. Set $u v=c$ and $v u=d$. If $c^{-1} d \in H_{i}$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$ and $c^{-1} d \neq 1$ with respect to the defining relations in $H_{i}$, then (A) produces relations which are not valid in $H_{i}$, and consequently $Z \neq \bar{Z}$. Now, we shall show, it is not the case.

Since both $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are Abelian groups, $u$ and $v$ can be written as $u=X_{1} Y_{1}$ and $v=X_{2} Y_{2}$ where $Y_{i} \in U_{i}, X_{i} \in Z_{i}-U_{i}$, and there is no element from $U_{i}$ contained in $X_{i}, i=1,2$. As $Y_{1} X_{2} Y_{2} \in H_{2}$ and $Y_{2} X_{1} Y_{1} \in H_{1}$, we have $u v=X_{1} X_{2} Y_{1} Y_{2}$ and $v u=X_{2} X_{1} Y_{2} Y_{1}$. It implies that the equation $c^{-1} d=1$ holds in $H_{i}, i=1,2$. Hence $Z=\bar{Z}$, and the applying of (A) does not result in the new edges in $L_{i}, i=1,2$. Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 1 one can derive that if $u \in Z_{1}-U_{1}$ and $v \in Z_{2}-U_{2}$ then $u^{-1} v \notin Z_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. This completes the proof.

## 4. m-Treelike Graphs

In this section the operation of the amalgamation of groups will be used to construct graphs with constant link isomorphic to the so-called $m$-treelike graphs.

Definition 1. Let $n$ and $m$ be integers such that $n \geq 3$ and $m \geq 1$. A connected graph $T$ is said to be $m$-treelike if
A. $T$ does not contain any cycle of length greater than three as an induced subgraph.
B. The maximal cliques in $T$ have the same size $n$. The intersection of any two maximal cliques is empty or is the complete graph on $m$ vertices.

Note that the concept of $m$-treelike graph generalizes that of treelike graph introduced in Harary and Palmer [3].

An $m$-treelike graph is called $m$-starlike if all its maximal cliques have exactly $m$ vertices in common. An $m$-starlike graph in which the number of maximal cliques is $k \geq 2$ will be denoted by $S(n, m, k)$, see Fig. 3.
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The next proposition gives a necessary and sufficient condition for an $m$-starlike graph to be a link graph. As an $m$-starlike graph has exactly $m$ universal vertices, the assertion of our proposition follows also from Theorem 1 in Hell [4] (for the definition of an universal vertex see the same paper, [4]). However, the method we shall use to prove it allows us to construct Cayley graphs with constant link isomorphic to the prescribed $m$-starlike graphs.

Proposition 1. An m-starlike graph $S(n, m, k)$ is the link graph if and only if $n+1=c(m+1)$ for an integer $c>1$.

Proof of Proposition 1.
Sufficiency. Let $S$ be an $m$-starlike graph with $k \geq 2$, and let $I=\{1, \ldots, k\}$ be an index set. Since $n+1=c(m+1)$, each maximal clique in $S$ (say $C_{i}$ with $i \in I$ ) can be represented as the link graph of a Cayley graph defined in the following way. Let $H_{i}$ be an Abelian group with the generating set

$$
Z_{i}=\left\{x_{i}^{h} a_{i}^{r}: h \in\{0, \ldots, m\}, r \in\{0, \ldots, c-1\},(h, r) \neq(0,0)\right\}
$$

and with defining relations $x_{i}^{m+1}=a_{i}^{c}=1_{i}$ for $i \in I$.
Obviously, $\operatorname{link}\left(1_{i},\left[H_{i}, Z_{i}\right]\right) \cong C_{i}$ for $i \in I$.
Consider the subgraph $C_{i}^{\prime} \leq C_{i}$ induced by the vertices $x_{i}, \ldots, x_{i}^{m}, i \in I$. Let $U_{i}$ be a subgroup of $H_{i}$ with $U_{i}=\left\{1_{i}, x_{i}, \ldots, x_{i}^{m}\right\}$, and let $f_{1}: C_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow C_{2}^{\prime}$ be the mapping defined as $f_{1}\left(x_{1}^{t}\right)=x_{2}^{t}$ for $t=1, \ldots, m$. Then $f_{1}$ is an isomorphism and moreover, it can be naturally extended to the isomorphism of $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$. Set
$\bar{f}_{1}\left(x_{1}^{t}\right)=x_{2}^{t}$ for $t=0, \ldots, m$. Then by Theorem 2, the Cayley graph of the group

$$
P_{1}=\left\langle H_{1} * H_{2} ; x_{1}=\bar{f}_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), x_{1} \in U_{1}, u v=v u, u \in Z_{1} \text { and } v \in Z_{2}\right\rangle
$$

has the constant link (say $L_{1}$ ) isomorphic to $S(n, m, 2)$.
If $k=2$ then $L_{1} \cong S$; otherwise consider the subgraph $L_{1}^{\prime} \leq L_{1}$ induced by the vertices $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{1}^{m}$, and the subgraph $C_{3}^{\prime} \leq C_{3}$ induced by the vertices $x_{3}, \ldots, x_{3}^{m}$. Let $Z$ denote the generating set of $P_{1}$ and let 1 be its identity element. An isomorphism $f_{2}: L_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow C_{3}^{\prime}$ can be extended to the isomorphism (say $\bar{f}_{2}$ ) of groups $A_{1}=\left\{1, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{1}^{m}\right\}$ and $U_{3}=\left\{1_{3}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{3}^{m}\right\}$. As $U_{3} \cap Z_{3}=C_{3}^{\prime}$ and $A_{1} \cap Z=L_{1}^{\prime}$, the Cayley graph of the group

$$
P_{2}=\left\langle P_{1} * H_{3} ; x_{1}=\bar{f}_{2}\left(x_{1}\right), x_{1} \in A_{1}, u v=v u, u \in Z \text { and } v \in Z_{3}\right\rangle
$$

has constant link (say $L_{2}$ ) isomorphic to $S(n, m, 3)$.
If $k=3$ then $L_{2} \cong S$; otherwise the construction described above will be used repeatedly (exactly $k-2$-times) to derive the Cayley graph with constant link $S$.

Necessity. In order to prove the necessary condition we shall need the next definition, given in [1]. Let $u$ and $v$ be adjacent vertices in a graph $G$. The number of vertices adjacent to both $u$ and $v$ is called the relative degree, and is denoted by $\alpha(u, v)$. If $\alpha(u, v)=q$ then we say that the edge $(u, v)$ is marked $q$.

Suppose that an $m$-starlike graph $S$ is the link graph of a graph $G$. If $X$ denotes the centre of $S$ then by the definition of the relative degree we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha(x, y)=k(n-m)+(m-1) \quad \text { for any } x, y \in X \text { and } \\
& \alpha(a, x)=n-1 \quad \text { for any } x \in X \text { and } a \in S-X .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $a$ be a vertex contained in $S-X$. Then there are $m$ vertices in $S-X$ which belong to the centre of the $\operatorname{link}(a, G)$, say $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$. Clearly, the vertices $a, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$ belong to the same maximal clique in $S$, i.e.

$$
\alpha\left(a, a_{i}\right)=\alpha\left(a_{i}, a_{j}\right)=k(n-m)+(m-1) \quad \text { for } i, j=1, \ldots, m
$$

Thus the edges marked $k(n-m)+(n-1)$ indicate a $K_{m+1}$ factor of $S-X$, and the assertion follows.

Let $T$ be a graph of type $S(n, m, k)$. The set of all vertices in $T$ with degree $k(n-m)+(m-1)$ will be denoted by $X$. Now, we shall introduce a new class of $m$-treelike graphs derived from a given graph $S(n, m, k)$.

Definition 2. Let $k$ and $l$ be integers such that $k \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$. Define the class $S(n, m, k, l)$ of $m$-treelike graphs with $n \geq 2 m$ as follows.

1. $S(n, m, k, 1)=\{S(n, m, k)\}$.
2. A. Let $k \geq 3$ and $l \geq 2$. Consider a graph $T \in S(n, m, k, l-1)$, and all its
maximal cliques such that each of them contains a vertex at distance $l-1$ from $X$; the maximal cliques with the above property will be denoted by $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{j}$, where $j \leq k$. Let $C_{i}^{\prime} \leq C_{i}$ be complete subgraph on $m$ vertices, such that if $u$ and $v$ belong to $V\left(C_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ then $\operatorname{deg}(u, T)=\operatorname{deg}(v, T)=n-1, i=1, \ldots, j$. Further, let $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{t}$ be complete graphs on $n$ vertices with $t \leq j$, and $L_{i}^{\prime} \leq L_{i}$ be the complete subgraph on $m$ vertices, $i=1, \ldots, t$. Consider an isomorphism $f_{i}: C_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow L_{i}^{\prime}$ where $i=1, \ldots, t$. We define $H_{t}^{j}(T)$ to be the graph derived from the disjoint union of $T, L_{1}, \ldots, L_{t}$ by identifying every vertex $v \in V\left(C_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ with $f_{i}(v) \in V\left(L_{i}^{\prime}\right)$, and every edge of $C_{i}^{\prime}$ with the corresponding edge of $L_{i}^{\prime}, i=1, \ldots, t$.
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Let $G$ be an $m$-treelike graph. If there is a graph $T \in S(n, m, k, l-1)$ such that $H_{t}^{j}(T)$ is isomorphic to $G$ for some $t$, then $G$ belongs to the class $S(n, m, k, l)$.
B. If $k=2$ then the class $S(n, m, 2, l)$ contains a single element, and we denote it by $P(n, m, l)$, see Fig. 4.

Figure 4 shows the graph $T \in S(3,1,3,2)$, and graphs $H_{1}^{2}(T)$ and $H_{2}^{2}(T)$ derived from $T$.

To simplify the statement of the next proposition we give the following definition. Let $T$ be a graph in $S(n, m, k, l)$ with $k \geq 3$. We say that the branch $B_{i}$ of $T$ at $X$ has length $l_{i}$ if there is a maximal clique $C$ in $B_{i}$, such that $C$ contains a vertex $v$ at distance $l_{i}$ from $X, i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$.
The branches of $T$ at $X$ will be simply called the branches of $T$.
Note that if $T \in S(n, m, k, l)$ then $1 \leq l_{i} \leq l$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$, and there is at least one branch in $T$ of length equal to $l$.

Proposition 2. Let $T$ be a graph in $S(n, m, k, l)$ with $k \geq 3$. Suppose that the length of each branch in $T$ is greater than or equal to 2 . If $T$ is a link graph then $n+1 \geq(m+1)^{2}$.

Proof. Let $G$ be a graph with constant link $T$. Take a vertex $v \in G$, and consider the $\operatorname{link}(v, G)$ and the corresponding set $X=\{x: x \in \operatorname{link}(v, G)$ such that $\operatorname{deg}(x, \operatorname{link}(v, G))=k(n-m)+(m-1)\}$.
By the definition of the relative degree we have

$$
\alpha(x, y)=k(n-m)+(m-1) \quad \text { for any } x, y \in X
$$

Let $I=\{1, \ldots, k\}$. To each $i \in I$ there corresponds a branch $B_{i}$ in $T$ containing a maximal clique $C_{i}$ so that $X \leq C_{i}$. As $n \geq 2 m$ there are $m$ vertices in $C_{i}$ (say $\left.s_{i, 1}, \ldots, s_{i, m}\right) i \in I$, with degrees equal to $r=2(n-m)+(m-1)$.
Since

$$
\alpha\left(v, s_{i, j}\right)=r \quad \text { for } j=1, \ldots, m
$$

we obtain

$$
\alpha\left(s_{i, p}, s_{i, j}\right)=r \quad \text { for } p, j=1, \ldots, m
$$

The last equation follows from the following fact: if

$$
\alpha\left(s_{i, p}, s_{i, j}\right)=k(n-n)+(m-1) \quad \text { for } p, j \in\{1, \ldots, m\}
$$

then by the definition of $m$-treelike graph we have

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(v, \operatorname{link}\left(s_{i, j}, G\right)\right)=k(n-m)+(m-1), \quad \text { for } j=1, \ldots, m
$$

However, it is a contradiction with

$$
\alpha\left(v, s_{i, j}\right)=r, \quad \text { for } j=1, \ldots, m
$$

Using similar arguments one can derive the inequality

$$
\alpha\left(x, s_{i, j}\right) \neq r \quad \text { for any } x \in X, \text { and } j=1, \ldots, m
$$

Next, consider link $(x, T)$ where $x \in X$, and the maximal clique $C_{i}^{\prime}=C_{i}-\{x\} \cup\{v\}$ where $i \in I$. As $\alpha\left(x, s_{i, j}\right) \neq r$ for $j=1, \ldots, m$, there are $m$ vertices in $C_{i}^{\prime}$, say $s_{i, 1}^{\prime}, \ldots, s_{i, m}^{\prime}$, such that $\alpha\left(x, s_{i, j}^{\prime}\right)=\alpha\left(s_{i, j}^{\prime}, s_{i, p}^{\prime}\right)_{p}=r$ for $p, j=1, \ldots, m$, and $i \in I$. Hence, $n-2 m \geq m^{2}$.

Theorem 3. Let $T$ be a graph from the class $S(n, m, k, l)$ with $k \geq 2$ and $l \geq 2$. If $n+1=c(m+1)^{2}$ for an integer $c \geq 1$ then $T$ is the link graph.

Proof. First we construct the Cayley graph with constant link $S(n, m, k)$. Let $I=\{1, \ldots, k\}$ be the index set.

Consider an Abelian group $H$ with the generating set

$$
Z=\left\{x^{h} y_{i}^{q} a_{i}^{p}: h, q \in\{0, \ldots, m\}, p \in\{0, \ldots, c-1\},(h, q, p) \neq(0,0,0), i \in I\right\}
$$

and with $x^{m+1}=y_{i}^{m+1}=a_{i}^{c}=1$ for $i \in I$.
For each $i \in I$ the elements from the set

$$
Z_{i}=\left\{x^{h} y_{i}^{q} a_{i}^{p}: h, q \in\{0, \ldots, m\}, p \in\{0, \ldots, c-1\},(h, q, p) \neq(0,0,0)\right\}
$$

correspond to the vertices of the complete subgraph on $n$ vertices in $L$, where $L$ denotes the constant link of $[H, Z]$. Since the elements $a_{i}^{p} a_{j}^{r}, y_{i}^{q} y_{j}^{s}, y_{i}^{q} a_{j}^{p}$ do not belong to $Z$ if $i \neq j, p, r \in\{1, \ldots, c-1\}$, and $q, s \in\{1, \ldots, m\}, L$ is isomorphic to $S(n, m, k)$.

Let $G$ be a graph which belongs to the class $S(n, m, k, 2)$. Now, the graph with constant link isomorphic to $G$ will be derived from $[H, Z]$. Let $H_{1}^{\prime}$ be an Abelian group generated by the set

$$
Z_{1}^{\prime}=\left\{r_{1}^{h} s_{1}^{q} b_{1}^{p}: h, q \in\{0, \ldots, m\}, p \in\{0, \ldots, c-1\},(h, q, p) \neq(0,0,0)\right\}
$$

with $r_{1}^{m+1}=s_{1}^{n+1}=b_{1}^{c}=1_{1}$.
Then $\operatorname{link}\left(1_{1},\left[H_{1}^{\prime}, Z_{1}^{\prime}\right]\right)$ is isomorphic to the complete graph on $n$ vertices, say $L_{1}$. Let $L_{1}^{\prime} \leq L_{1}$ be the subgraph induced by the vertices $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{1}^{m}$, and let $L^{\prime} \leq L$ be the subgraph induced by the vertices $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{1}^{m}$. Then the mapping $f: L_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow L^{\prime}$ defined as $f\left(r_{1}^{h}\right)=y_{1}^{h}$ for $h=1, \ldots, m$ is an isomorphism, and it can be extended to the isomorphism of the groups $U_{1}^{\prime}=\left\{1_{1}, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{1}^{m}\right\}$ and $U=\left\{1, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{1}^{m}\right\}$, say $\bar{f}$. According to Theorem 2 we obtain, that the Cayley graph of the group

$$
H^{\prime}=\left\langle H * H_{1}^{\prime} ; r_{1}=\bar{f}\left(r_{1}\right), r_{1} \in U_{1}^{\prime}, u v=v u, u \in Z \text { and } v \in Z_{1}^{\prime}\right\rangle
$$

has constant link isomorphic to a graph (say $G_{1}$ ) from $S(n, m, k, 2)$. Let $Z^{\prime}$ denote the generating set of $H^{\prime}$.

If $k=2$ then $G \cong G_{1}$, and the above construction gives the graph $\left[H^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right]$ with the constant link from $S(n, m, 2,2)$. Since link $\left(1,\left[H^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right]\right)$ contains the subgraph induced by the vertices $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{1}^{m}$ and $H^{\prime}$ contains the subgroup $\left\{1, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{1}^{m}\right\}$, the operation of the amalgamation can be used repeatedly. In such a way we can construct the Cayley graph with the constant link isomorphic to a graph from $S(n, m, k, l)$ with $k=2$ and $l \geq 2$.

Suppose that $k \geq 3$. Then $G$ has $j(1 \leq j \leq k)$ branches of length two, and link $\left(1,\left[H^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right]\right)$ has exactly one branch of length 2. However, $\operatorname{link}\left(1,\left[H^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right]\right)$ contains the subgraph induced by the set $Y_{i}=\left\{y_{i}, \ldots, y_{i}^{m}\right\}$, such that $\{1\} \cup Y_{i}$ is the subgroup of $H^{\prime}$ for $i=1, \ldots, j$. It means that each of $j$ branches in link $\left(1,\left[H^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right]\right)$ can be prolonged by the analogous procedure as we have prolonged the branch containing the subgraph $Y_{1}$. Hence, there exists a Cayley graph with the constant link isomorphic to $G$. As $\operatorname{link}\left(1,\left[H^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right]\right)$ contains the subgraph induced by the set $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{1}^{m}$, and $H^{\prime}$ contains the subgroup $\left\{1, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{1}^{m}\right\}$, the operation of the amalgamation can be used to determine a Cayley graph with the constant link isomorphic to a graph from $S(n, m, k, 3)$. Hence, the proof of theorem follows by induction on $l$.
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