

**UNIFORM (C, α) ($-1 < \alpha < 0$) SUMMABILITY OF FOURIER
SERIES WITH RESPECT TO THE WALSH-PALEY SYSTEM**

V. TEVZADZE

ABSTRACT. In the present paper we prove a number of statements dealing with the uniform convergence of Cesàro means of negative order of the Fourier–Walsh series.

1. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATION

Let $r_0(x)$ be the function defined by

$$r_0(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}), \\ -1 & \text{if } x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1), \end{cases} \quad r_0(x+1) = r_0(x).$$

The Rademacher system is defined by

$$r_n(x) = r_0(2^n x), \quad n \geq 1, \quad \text{and } x \in [0, 1).$$

Let $\psi_0(x), \psi_1(x), \psi_2(x), \dots$ represent the Walsh functions, i.e. $\psi_0(x) = 1$, and if $k = 2^{n_1} + 2^{n_2} + \dots + 2^{n_s}$ is a positive integer with $n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_s$, then

$$\psi_k(x) = r_{n_1}(x) \cdot r_{n_2}(x) \cdot \dots \cdot r_{n_s}(x).$$

Denote by $K_n^\alpha(t)$ the kernel of the method (C, α) and call it the Cesàro kernel:

$$K_n^\alpha(t) = \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{\nu=0}^n A_{n-\nu}^\alpha \psi_\nu(t),$$
$$A_k^\alpha = \frac{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2) \cdots (\alpha+k)}{k!} \quad (\alpha \neq -k).$$

It is well-known ([19, Ch. 3]), that

- (I) $A_n^\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^n A_{n-k}^{\alpha-1}$;
- (II) $A_n^\alpha - A_{n-1}^\alpha = A_n^{\alpha-1}$;
- (III) $A_n^\alpha \sim n^\alpha$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42C19.

Key words and phrases. Walsh system, Cesàro summability, negative order, uniform convergence, modulus of continuity, modulus of variation.

By $C(0,1)$ we denote the space of the continuous periodic functions with period 1 and norm

$$\|f\|_C = \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |f(x)|.$$

Let $f \in C(0,1)$; the *modulus of continuity* of function f is called the function

$$\omega(\delta, f) = \max_{\substack{|x-y| \leq \delta \\ x, y \in [0,1]}} |f(x) - f(y)|, \quad 0 \leq \delta \leq 1.$$

A modulus of continuity is called the nonnegative function ω of the nonnegative argument possessing the following properties:

- (1) $\omega(0) = 0$;
- (2) $\omega(\delta)$ is nondecreasing;
- (3) $\omega(\delta)$ is continuous on $[0, 1]$;
- (4) $\omega(\delta_1 + \delta_2) \leq \omega(\delta_1) + \omega(\delta_2)$ for $0 \leq \delta_1 \leq \delta_2 \leq \delta_1 + \delta_2 \leq 1$.

Given the modulus of continuity $\omega(\delta)$, by H^ω we denote a set of all those functions $f \in C(0,1)$ for each of which $\omega(\delta, f) = O(\omega(\delta))$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. If, however, $\omega(\delta) = \delta^\alpha$ ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$), then by $\text{Lip } \alpha$ we denote a class H^{δ^α} .

Let ϕ be an increasing continuous function on $[0, \infty)$, and $\phi(0) = 0$.

By V_ϕ it is denoted the class of bounded on $[0, 1]$ functions f for which

$$V_\phi(f) = \sup_{\Pi} \sum_{k=1}^n \phi(|f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})|) < \infty,$$

where $\Pi = \{0 \leq x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n \leq 1\}$ is an arbitrary partitioning of the segment $[0, 1]$.

Let $M(0,1)$ denote a class of bounded functions on $[0, 1]$. The modulus of variation of the function $f \in M(0,1)$ is called the function of an entire argument $v(n, f)$ defined as follows: $v(0, f) = 0$, and for $n \geq 1$

$$v(n, f) = \sup_{\Pi_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |f(t_{2k+1}) - f(t_{2k})|,$$

where Π_n is an arbitrary system of n nonintersecting intervals (t_{2k}, t_{2k+1}) ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$) of the segment $[0, 1]$.

The notion of modulus of variation has been introduced by Z. Chanturia [3].

If $v(n)$ is nondecreasing convex upwards function and $v(0) = 0$, then $v(n)$ is called the *modulus of variation*. Given the modulus of variation $v(n)$, by $V[v]$ we denote the class of those functions which satisfy the relation $v(n, f) = O(v(n))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Next, let $f \in C(0,1)$, and $\sigma(f)$ be the Fourier–Walsh–Paley series of that function, i.e.

$$\sigma(f) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k \psi_k(x), \quad \text{where } c_k = \int_0^1 f(t) \psi_k(t) dt, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

By $\sigma_n^\alpha(f, x)$ we denote Cesàro means, or (C, α) means of the Fourier–Walsh–Paley series of the function f , i.e.

$$\sigma_n^\alpha(f, x) = \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{\nu=0}^n A_{n-\nu}^\alpha c_\nu \psi_\nu(t).$$

2. INTRODUCTION

N. Fine [5] has proved that for any summable function f the means $\sigma_n^\alpha(x, f)$ converge almost everywhere for all $\alpha > 0$, and for any continuous function $\sigma(f)$ is uniformly $(C, 1)$ summable to f .

In [18], S. Yano has studied the points (C, α) convergence of the summable function f , namely: if $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = A$, then $\sigma(f)$ is (C, α) summable to A at the point x_0 ($\alpha > 0$). Moreover, Yano has shown that if $f(x)$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition of order α ($0 < \alpha < 1$), then for every $\beta > \alpha$

$$|\sigma_n^\beta(f, x) - f(x)| = O(n^{-\alpha}).$$

This result has been somewhat amplified by V. Kokilashvili [12], who found that

$$\|\sigma_{n-1}^\beta(f, x) - f(x)\|_C \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\nu=1}^n E_\nu(f), \quad \beta \geq 1,$$

$E_n(f)$ is the best approximation of $f(x)$ in the metric $C(0, 1)$ with the help of polynomials by the Walsh system.

The problems of summability of Cesàro means of positive order for Walsh–Fourier series were studied in [8]–[7].

The questions dealing with the uniform convergence of Cesàro means of negative order were first studied by the author, and the obtained results without proof were published in [17].

Important results in this direction have been obtained by Goginava in [9], [10].

3. MAIN RESULTS

The main results of the paper are presented in the form of the following propositions.

Theorem 1. *Let $\omega(\delta, f)$ be the modulus of continuity, and let $v(n, f)$ be the modulus of variation of the function $f \in C(0, 1)$.*

If

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min_{1 \leq m \leq n} \left\{ \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}, f\right) \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k^{1-\alpha}} + \sum_{k=m+1}^n \frac{v(k, f)}{k^{2-\alpha}} \right\} = 0, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1,$$

then $\sigma(f)$ is uniformly $(C, -\alpha)$ summable to f .

Theorem 1 can be rewritten in the following equivalent form.

Theorem 2. *Let $\omega(\delta, f)$ be the modulus of continuity, and let $v(n, f)$ be the modulus of variation of the function f . Then*

$$|\sigma_n^{-\alpha}(f, x) - f(x)| \leq c(\alpha) \left\{ \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}, f\right) \sum_{k=1}^{m_0(n)} \frac{1}{k^{1-\alpha}} + \sum_{k=m_0(n)+1}^n \frac{v(k, f) - v(k-1, f)}{k^{1-\alpha}} \right\} + o(1),$$

where $m_0(n) = m_0$ is defined by the inequality

$$(1^0) \quad \frac{v(m_0 + 1, f)}{m_0 + 1} \leq \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}, f\right) \leq \frac{v(m_0, f)}{m_0}.$$

If $\omega\left(\frac{1}{n}, f\right) < \frac{v(n, f)}{n}$, then we take $m_0 = n$.

Let $\omega(\delta)$ be an arbitrary modulus of continuity and $v(n)$ be an arbitrary modulus of variation. Furthermore, let

$$(2^0) \quad \tau(n) = \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{m_0(n)} \frac{1}{k^{1-\alpha}} + \sum_{k=m_0(n)+1}^n \frac{v(k) - v(k-1)}{k^{1-\alpha}}.$$

where $m_0(n)$ is defined by the relation (1⁰) and omitting in it the function f .

Suppose $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(n) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \tau(n_i) = \tau_0 > 0$. Then the following theorem is valid.

Theorem 3. *In the class $H^\omega \cap V(v)$ there exists a function f_0 , such that*

$$(3^0) \quad \overline{\lim} \frac{|f_0(0) - \sigma_{2^{n_i}}^{-\alpha}(f_0, 0)|}{\tau(2^{n_i})} > 0.$$

4. AUXILIARY RESULTS

We shall need the following

Lemma 1 ([16]). *Let*

$$K_n^\alpha(t) = \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{\nu=0}^n A_{n-\nu}^\alpha \psi_\nu(t).$$

Then the estimate

$$|K_n^{-\alpha}(t)| \leq c(\alpha) \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \frac{1}{t^{1-\alpha}}, \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad 0 < \alpha < 1,$$

holds.

Lemma 2 ([16]). *For any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $p \geq 2^m$ the equality*

$$\text{Sgn} \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} A_{n-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu(t) \right) = \text{Sgn}(\psi_{2^m-1}(t)), \quad t \in [0, 1),$$

is valid.

Lemma 3 ([3]). *If $f \in C \cap V_\phi$, where $\phi(u)$ is strictly increasing for $u \in [0, \infty)$ and $\phi(0) = 0$, then*

$$v(n, f) \leq c(f) n \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} \right), \quad n \geq 1.$$

Lemma 4. *If $f \in C \cap V_\phi$, where ϕ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3, then the following two conditions*

$$(1) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{-\alpha}} \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{k} \right) < \infty \quad (0 < \alpha < 1)$$

and

$$(2) \quad \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\phi^\alpha(\tau)} d\tau < \infty \quad (0 < \alpha < 1)$$

are equivalent.

Proof. We have

$$(3) \quad \sum_{k=2}^m \frac{1}{k^{1-\alpha}} \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{k} \right) \leq \int_1^m \frac{1}{t^{1-\alpha}} \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) dt \leq \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{k^{1-\alpha}} \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{k} \right).$$

Since

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_1^m \frac{1}{t^{1-\alpha}} \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) dt = \int_{\frac{1}{m}}^1 u^{1-\alpha} \phi^{-1}(u) \frac{1}{u^2} du \\ & = \int_{\frac{1}{m}}^1 \frac{1}{u^{1+\alpha}} \phi^{-1}(u) du = \int_{\phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{m})}^{\phi^{-1}(1)} \frac{\tau}{\phi^{1+\alpha}(\tau)} \phi'(\tau) d\tau \\ & = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\tau}{\phi^\alpha(\tau)} \Big|_{\phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{m})}^{\phi^{-1}(1)} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{\phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{m})}^{\phi^{-1}(1)} \frac{\tau}{\phi^{1+\alpha}(\tau)} \phi'(\tau) d\tau \\ & = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\tau}{\phi^\alpha(\tau)} \Big|_{\phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{m})}^{\phi^{-1}(1)} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{\phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{m})}^{\phi^{-1}(1)} \frac{\tau}{\phi^\alpha(\tau)} d\tau \\ & = \frac{1}{\alpha} \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{m} \right) m^\alpha - \frac{1}{\alpha} \phi^{-1}(1) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{\phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{m})}^{\phi^{-1}(1)} \frac{1}{\phi^\alpha(\tau)} d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

therefore if the condition (1) is fulfilled, then the condition (2) is likewise fulfilled; and if the condition (2) is fulfilled, then

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\phi^\alpha(\tau)} d\tau \geq \int_0^{\phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{m})} \frac{d\tau}{\phi^\alpha(\tau)} \geq \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{m} \right) m^\alpha$$

and by virtue of (3) and (4) the condition (1) is fulfilled. \square

Lemma 5. ([15]) *Let $f \in C(0, 1)$, then for every $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ the estimation*

$$\frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \left| \int_0^1 \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{k-1}-1} A_{n-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu(u) [f(x+t) - f(x)] dt \right| \leq c(\alpha) \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} 2^{r-k} \omega \left(\frac{1}{2^r}, f \right),$$

where $2^k \leq n < 2^{k+1}$, holds.

5. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

Proof of Theorem 1. Represent $n \geq 1$ in the form $n = 2^m + p$, $0 \leq p < 2^m$. As is known (see [4, p. 393]),¹

$$\begin{aligned}
(5) \quad \sigma_n^{-\alpha}(f, x) - f(x) &= \int_0^1 K_n^{-\alpha}(t)[f(x \dot{+} t) - f(x)] dt \\
&= \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \int_0^1 \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} A_{n-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu(t)[f(x \dot{+} t) - f(x)] dt \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \int_0^1 \sum_{\nu=2^m}^{2^m-1} A_{n-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu(t)[f(x \dot{+} t) - f(x)] dt \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \int_0^1 \sum_{\nu=2^m}^{2^m+p} A_{n-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu(t)[f(x \dot{+} t) - f(x)] dt \\
&= \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \int_0^1 \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} A_{n-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu(t)[f(x \dot{+} t) - f(x)] dt \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \int_0^1 \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} A_{n-2^{m-1}-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu(t)[f(x \dot{+} t) - f(x)] dt \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \int_0^1 \sum_{\nu=0}^p A_{n-2^m-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_{2^m}(t) \psi_\nu(t)[f(x \dot{+} t) - f(x)] dt \\
&= A_1 + A_2 + A_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Estimate A_1 . By Lemma 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|A_1| &= \left| \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \int_0^1 \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} A_{n-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu(t)[f(x \dot{+} t) - f(x)] dt \right| \leq \\
&\leq c(\alpha) \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} 2^{\nu-m} \omega\left(\frac{1}{2^\nu}, f\right),
\end{aligned}$$

whence

$$(6) \quad A_1 = o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

¹ $x \dot{+} y$ means that x, y is written as dyadic fractions which are combined pairwise with respect to $[\text{mod } 2]$.

Now we proceed to estimation A_2 ; first we estimate the sum

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} A_{n-2^{m-1}-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_{\nu}(t).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} A_{n-2^{m-1}-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_{\nu}(t) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-2^{m-1}} A_{n-2^{m-1}-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_{\nu}(t) - \sum_{\nu=2^{m-1}}^{n-2^{m-1}} A_{n-2^{m-1}-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_{\nu}(t) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^p A_{p-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_{\nu}(t) \right| + \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^q A_{q-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_{\nu}(t) \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where $p = n - 2^{m-1}$, $q = n - 2^m$. This by virtue of Lemma 1 implies that

$$(7) \quad \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} A_{n-2^{m-1}-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_{\nu}(t) \right| \leq c(\alpha) \frac{1}{t^{1-\alpha}}.$$

For A_2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} |A_2| &= \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \left| \int_0^1 \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} A_{n-2^{m-1}-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_{2^{m-1}}(t) \psi_{\nu}(t) (f(x+t) - f(x)) dt \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} \left(\int_{\frac{2^j}{2^m}}^{\frac{2^{j+1}}{2^m}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} A_{n-2^{m-1}-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_{\nu}(t) (f(x+t) - f(x)) dt \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \int_{\frac{2^{j+1}}{2^m}}^{\frac{2^{j+2}}{2^m}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} A_{n-2^{m-1}-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_{\nu}(t) (f(x+t) - f(x)) dt \right) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the fact that the functions $\psi_{\nu}(t)$ ($\nu = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{m-1} - 1$) are constant in the intervals $[\frac{j}{2^{m-1}}, \frac{j+1}{2^{m-1}})$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{m-1} - 1$, we find that if $t \in [\frac{2^j}{2^m}, \frac{2^{j+1}}{2^m})$, then $\psi_{\nu}(t + \frac{1}{2^m}) = \psi_{\nu}(t) = \psi_{\nu}(\frac{2^j}{2^m})$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
|A_2| &= \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} \int_{\frac{2^j}{2^m}}^{\frac{2^{j+1}}{2^m}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} A_{n-2^{m-1}-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu(t) (f(x+t) - f(x)) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sum_{j=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} A_{n-2^{m-1}-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu \left(t + \frac{1}{2^m} \right) \left(f \left(x + \left(t + \frac{1}{2^m} \right) \right) - f(x) \right) dt \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} \int_{\frac{2^j}{2^m}}^{\frac{2^{j+1}}{2^m}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} A_{n-2^{m-1}-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu \left(\frac{j}{2^{m-1}} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \left(f(x+t) - f \left(x + \left(t + \frac{1}{2^m} \right) \right) \right) dt \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2^m}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} A_{n-2^{m-1}-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu \left(\frac{j}{2^{m-1}} \right) \right. \\
(8) \quad &\quad \left. \times \left(f \left(x + \left(t + \frac{2j}{2^m} \right) \right) - f \left(x + \left(t + \frac{2j+1}{2^m} \right) \right) \right) dt \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} 2^{-m} \int_0^1 \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} A_{n-2^{m-1}-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu \left(\frac{j}{2^{m-1}} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \left(f \left(x + \frac{t+2j}{2^m} \right) - f \left(x + \frac{t+2j+1}{2^m} \right) \right) dt \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{2^{m-1}-1} 2^{-m} \int_0^1 \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} A_{n-2^{m-1}-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu \left(\frac{j}{2^{m-1}} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \left(f \left(x + \frac{t+2j}{2^m} \right) - f \left(x + \frac{t+2j+1}{2^m} \right) \right) dt \right| \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} 2^{-m} \left| \int_0^1 \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} A_{n-2^{m-1}-\nu}^{-\alpha} \left(f \left(x + \frac{t}{2^m} \right) - f \left(x + \frac{t+1}{2^m} \right) \right) dt \right| \\
&= A_2^{(1)} + A_2^{(2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

It can be seen easily that

$$\begin{aligned}
(9) \quad A_2^{(2)} &\leq c(\alpha) n^\alpha 2^{-m} \omega \left(\frac{1}{2^m}, f \right) \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} A_{n-2^{m-1}-\nu}^{-\alpha} \\
&\leq c(\alpha) \omega \left(\frac{1}{2^m}, f \right) n^\alpha 2^{-m} 2^{m(1-\alpha)} \leq c(\alpha) \omega \left(\frac{1}{2^m}, f \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Estimate now $A_2^{(1)}$. Applying (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A_2^{(1)} &\leq c(\alpha) n^\alpha 2^{-m} \int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^{2^{m-1}-1} \left(\frac{2^{m-1}}{j} \right)^{1-\alpha} \\
&\quad \times \left| \left(f \left(x + \frac{t+2j}{2^m} \right) - f \left(x + \frac{t+2j+1}{2^m} \right) \right) \right| dt
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq c(\alpha) \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^{m-1}-1} \frac{1}{j^{1-\alpha}} \left| f\left(x + \frac{t+2j}{2^m}\right) - f\left(x + \frac{t+2j+1}{2^m}\right) \right| \right) dt.$$

Estimate the sum

$$A = \sum_{j=1}^{2^{m-1}-1} \frac{1}{j^{1-\alpha}} \left| f\left(x + \frac{t+2j}{2^m}\right) - f\left(x + \frac{t+2j+1}{2^m}\right) \right|.$$

It is evident that for every $t \in [0, 1)$ there exists a $y(t) \in [0, 1)$, such that $x + \frac{t+q}{2^m} = y + \frac{q}{2^m}$, $q = 1, 2, \dots, 2^m - 1$.

Thus

$$A = \sum_{j=1}^{2^{m-1}-1} \frac{1}{j^{1-\alpha}} \left| f\left(y + \frac{2j}{2^m}\right) - f\left(y + \frac{2j+1}{2^m}\right) \right|.$$

Using the Abelian transformation and taking into account (see [19, p. 378]) that $|(x+h) - x| \leq h$, $x, h \in [0, 1)$ and (see [3, p. 536]) $v(n, f) \leq c(f)n\omega(\frac{1}{n}, f)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{1}{j^{1-\alpha}} \left| f\left(y + \frac{2j}{2^m}\right) - f\left(y + \frac{2j+1}{2^m}\right) \right| \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=s+1}^{2^{m-1}-1} \frac{1}{j^{1-\alpha}} \left| f\left(y + \frac{2j}{2^m}\right) - f\left(y + \frac{2j+1}{2^m}\right) \right| \\ &\leq \omega\left(\frac{1}{2^m}, f\right) \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{1}{j^{1-\alpha}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=s+1}^{2^{m-1}-2} \left(\frac{1}{j^{1-\alpha}} - \frac{1}{(j+1)^{1-\alpha}} \right) \sum_{k=1}^j \left| f\left(y + \frac{2k}{2^m}\right) - f\left(y + \frac{2k+1}{2^m}\right) \right| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{(2^{m-1})^{1-\alpha}} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{m-1}-1} \left| f\left(y + \frac{2j}{2^m}\right) - f\left(y + \frac{2j+1}{2^m}\right) \right| \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{(s+1)^{1-\alpha}} \sum_{j=1}^s \left| f\left(y + \frac{2j}{2^m}\right) - f\left(y + \frac{2j+1}{2^m}\right) \right| \\ &\leq \omega\left(\frac{1}{2^m}, f\right) \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{1}{j^{1-\alpha}} + \sum_{j=s+1}^{2^{m-1}} \frac{v(j, f)}{j^{2-\alpha}} + \frac{v(2^{m-1}, f)}{(2^{m-1})^{1-\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $v(n, f) \leq cn\omega(\frac{1}{n}, f)$ and $\frac{v(n, f)}{n} \downarrow 0$ due to the convexity of $v(n, f)$ [3], therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\omega\left(\frac{1}{2^{m-1}}, f\right) \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{1}{j^{1-\alpha}} + \sum_{j=s+1}^{2^{m-1}} \frac{v(j, f)}{j^{2-\alpha}} \\ &\geq c \left(\frac{v(2^{m-1}, f)}{2^{m-1}} \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{1}{j^{1-\alpha}} \frac{v(2^{m-1}, f)}{2^{m-1}} \sum_{j=s+1}^{2^{m-1}} \frac{1}{j^{1-\alpha}} \right) \\ &\geq c \frac{v(2^{m-1}, f)}{2^{m-1}} \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{1}{j^{1-\alpha}} \geq c \frac{v(2^{m-1}, f)}{(2^{m-1})^{1-\alpha}} \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$A_2^{(1)} \leq c(\alpha) \left(\omega \left(\frac{1}{2^m}, f \right) \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{1}{j^{1-\alpha}} + \sum_{j=s+1}^{2^{m-1}-1} \frac{v(j, f)}{j^{2-\alpha}} \right).$$

It can be easily seen that the last relation is valid for all s , $1 \leq s \leq n$. Thus finally, for $A_2^{(1)}$ we obtain the estimate

$$(10) \quad A_2^{(1)} \leq c(\alpha) \left(\omega \left(\frac{1}{n}, f \right) \sum_{k=1}^s \frac{1}{k^{1-\alpha}} + \sum_{k=s+1}^n \frac{v(k, f)}{k^{2-\alpha}} \right).$$

Analogous estimate is obtained for A_3 ,

$$(11) \quad |A_3| \leq c(\alpha) \left(\omega \left(\frac{1}{n}, f \right) \sum_{k=1}^s \frac{1}{k^{1-\alpha}} + \sum_{k=s+1}^n \frac{v(k, f)}{k^{2-\alpha}} \right).$$

Taking into account (6), (8), (9), (10) and (11), from (5) we get

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\sigma_n^{-\alpha}(x, f) - f(x)| \\ & \leq c(\alpha) \min_{1 \leq m \leq n} \left(\omega \left(\frac{1}{n}, f \right) \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k^{1-\alpha}} + \sum_{k=m+1}^n \frac{v(k, f)}{k^{2-\alpha}} \right) + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

where $o(1)$ is the value tending to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

This implies that Theorem 1 is valid. \square

From Theorem 1 we can obtain a number of corollaries.

Corollary 1. *If $\omega(\delta, f) = O(\delta^\alpha)$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$), then $\sigma(f)$ is uniformly $(C, -\alpha)$ summable to f .*

Corollary 2. *If $f \in C \cap V[v]$, and*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{v(k)}{k^{2-\alpha}} < \infty, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1,$$

then $\sigma(f)$ is uniformly $(C, -\alpha)$ summable to f .

Corollary 3. *If $f \in C \cap V_\phi$, where $\phi(u)$ is strictly increasing convex for $u \in [0, \infty)$, $\phi(0) = 0$, and*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{1-\alpha}} \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{k} \right) < \infty, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1,$$

then $\sigma(f)$ is uniformly $(C, -\alpha)$ summable to f .

Indeed, in the conditions of Corollary 3, by Lemma 3, the relation

$$u(n, f) \leq c(f) n \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} \right), \quad n \geq 1,$$

is valid, and hence

$$\frac{v(n, f)}{n^{2-\alpha}} \leq c(f) \frac{n \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} \right)}{n^{2-\alpha}} = c(f) \frac{1}{n^{1-\alpha}} \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} \right)$$

from which, by virtue of Corollary 2, follows Corollary 3.

Corollary 4. *If $f \in C \cap V_\phi$, where ϕ satisfies the conditions of Corollary 3, and*

$$(13) \quad \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\phi^\alpha(\tau)} d\tau < \infty, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1,$$

then $\sigma(f)$ is uniformly $(C, -\alpha)$ summable to f .

Corollary 4 follows directly from Corollary 3 by using Lemma 4.

Corollary 5. *If $f \in C(0,1)$, $m = \min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} f(t)$, $M = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} f(t)$, and the Banach indicatrix² $N(y, f)$ satisfies the condition*

$$\int_m^M N^\alpha(y, f) dy < \infty, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1,$$

then $\sigma(f)$ is uniformly $(C, -\alpha)$ summable to f .

This corollary follows from Theorem 1 by virtue of the results of [1].

Corollary 6. *Let $f \in C \cap V_\phi$, where $\phi(u)$ is a strictly increasing on $[0, \infty)$ function, $\phi(0) = 0$, and (13) is fulfilled, then*

$$|\sigma_n^{-\alpha}(f, x) - f(x)| \leq c(\alpha) \int_0^{\omega(\frac{1}{n}, f)} \frac{V_\phi(f)}{\phi^\alpha(\tau)} d\tau + o(1),$$

where $V_\phi(f)$ is a full ϕ variation of the function f on $[0, 1]$.

Corollary 6 follows from Theorem 1 by virtue of the results obtained in [3].

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $m_0 < n$ such that

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \min_{1 \leq m \leq n} \left(\omega\left(\frac{1}{n}, f\right) \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k^{1-\alpha}} + \sum_{k=m+1}^n \frac{v(k, f)}{k^{2-\alpha}} \right) \\ & = \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}, f\right) \sum_{k=1}^{m_0} \frac{1}{k^{1-\alpha}} + \sum_{k=m_0+1}^n \frac{v(k, f)}{k^{2-\alpha}}, \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\omega\left(\frac{1}{n}, f\right) \sum_{k=1}^{m_0} \frac{1}{k^{1-\alpha}} + \sum_{k=m_0+1}^n \frac{v(k, f)}{k^{2-\alpha}} \leq \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}, f\right) \sum_{k=1}^{m_0-1} \frac{1}{k^{1-\alpha}} + \sum_{k=m_0}^n \frac{v(k, f)}{k^{2-\alpha}}$$

and

$$\omega\left(\frac{1}{n}, f\right) \sum_{k=1}^{m_0} \frac{1}{k^{1-\alpha}} + \sum_{k=m_0+1}^n \frac{v(k, f)}{k^{2-\alpha}} \leq \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}, f\right) \sum_{k=1}^{m_0+2} \frac{1}{k^{1-\alpha}} + \sum_{k=m_0+1}^n \frac{v(k, f)}{k^{2-\alpha}}.$$

The above inequalities imply that

$$\omega\left(\frac{1}{n}, f\right) \frac{1}{m_0^{1-\alpha}} \leq \frac{v(m_0, f)}{m_0^{2-\alpha}}, \quad \frac{v(m_0+1, f)}{(m_0+1)^{2-\alpha}} \leq \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}, f\right) \frac{1}{(m_0+1)^{1-\alpha}},$$

²The Banach indicatrix $N(y, f)$ is a number (finite or infinite) of solutions of the equation $f(x) = y$.

whence

$$(15) \quad \frac{v(m_0 + 1, f)}{m_0 + 1} \leq \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}, f\right) \leq \frac{v(m_0, f)}{m_0}.$$

Because of the fact that $\frac{v(n, f)}{n}$ is strictly decreasing (see [3, p. 544]) starting from some n_0 , then for $n \geq n_0$ the $m_0(n)$ from the relation (15) is defined uniquely. If, however, the minimum in the left-hand side of (14) is attained for $m_0 = n$, we have one relation

$$\omega\left(\frac{1}{n}, f\right) \leq \frac{v(m_0, f)}{m_0}.$$

Using now the Abelian transformation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=m_0+1}^n \frac{v(k, f) - v(k-1, f)}{k^{1-\alpha}} &= \sum_{k=m_0+1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{k^{1-\alpha}} - \frac{1}{(k+1)^{1-\alpha}} \right) v(k, f) \\ &+ \frac{v(n, f)}{n^{1-\alpha}} - \frac{v(m_0, f)}{(m_0+1)^{1-\alpha}} \geq c(\alpha) \sum_{k=m_0+1}^{n-1} \frac{v(k, f)}{k^{2-\alpha}} - \frac{v(m_0, f)}{(m_0+1)^{1-\alpha}}, \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$(16) \quad \sum_{k=m_0+1}^n \frac{v(k, f)}{k^{2-\alpha}} \leq c(\alpha) \left(\sum_{k=m_0+1}^n \frac{v(k, f) - v(k-1, f)}{k^{1-\alpha}} + \frac{v(m_0, f)}{(m_0+1)^{1-\alpha}} \right),$$

and since

$$\frac{v(m_0, f)}{(m_0+1)^{1-\alpha}} \leq \frac{v(m_0+1, f)}{m_0+1} (m_0+1)^\alpha \leq 2\omega\left(\frac{1}{n}, f\right) m_0^\alpha,$$

taking into account (12) and (16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma_n^{-\alpha}(f) - f\|_C &\leq c(\alpha) \left\{ \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}, f\right) \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k^{1-\alpha}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{k=m_0+1}^n \frac{v(k, f) - v(k-1, f)}{k^{1-\alpha}} \right\} + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

Thus Theorem 2 is proved. \square

To prove Theorem 3 we will need some lemmas.

Lemma 6. *If $v(n) = o(n^{1-\alpha})$, $v(n) \rightarrow \infty$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $v(n)$ is convex, then there exists the sequence of natural numbers $\{\varphi(n)\}$ possessing the following properties:*

- (a) $\varphi(n) = o(n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
- (b) $\sum_{k=\varphi(n)+1}^n \frac{v(k) - v(k-1)}{k^{1-\alpha}} = o(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Suppose

$$\varphi(n) = \max \left(m : \frac{v(m) - v(m-1)}{m^{1-\alpha}} \geq \frac{1}{n} \right)$$

Because $v(n)$ is convex, $v(k) - v(k-1) \downarrow$, and since $\frac{v(k) - v(k-1)}{k^{1-\alpha}} \downarrow 0$, therefore $\varphi(n) \uparrow \infty$.

From the definition of $\varphi(n)$ it follows that

$$(17) \quad \frac{v(\varphi(n)) - v(\varphi(n) - 1)}{\varphi^{1-\alpha}(n)} \geq \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{v(\varphi(n) + 1) - v(\varphi(n))}{(\varphi(n) + 1)^{1-\alpha}} < \frac{1}{n}.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\varphi^{1-\alpha}(n)}{n} \leq v(\varphi(n)) - v(\varphi(n) - 1) \leq \frac{v(\varphi(n))}{\varphi(n)},$$

whence

$$\frac{\varphi(n)}{n} \leq \frac{v(\varphi(n))}{\varphi^{1-\alpha}(n)} = o(1).$$

By virtue of (17) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=\varphi(n)+1}^n \frac{v(k) - v(k-1)}{k^{1-\alpha}} &\leq (v(\varphi(n) + 1) - v(\varphi(n))) \sum_{k=\varphi(n)+1}^n \frac{1}{k^{1-\alpha}} \\ &\leq c(\alpha) \frac{(\varphi(n) + 1)^{1-\alpha}}{n} n^\alpha \leq c(\alpha) \frac{\varphi^{1-\alpha}(n)}{n^{1-\alpha}} = o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 7. *Let*

$$K_{2^m}^{-\alpha}(t) = \frac{1}{A_{2^m}^{-\alpha}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m} A_{2^{m-\nu}}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu(t), \quad 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

There exists a natural number N such that for $i < m - N$ the estimate

$$\int_{\frac{2^{i-1}}{2^m}}^{\frac{2^i}{2^m}} |K_{2^m}^{-\alpha}(t)| dt \geq c(\alpha) 2^{i\alpha}$$

is valid for sufficiently large m .

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (18) \quad &\int_{\frac{2^{i-1}}{2^m}}^{\frac{2^i}{2^m}} |K_{2^m}^{-\alpha}(t)| dt \geq \frac{1}{A_{2^m}^{-\alpha}} \int_{\frac{2^{i-1}}{2^m}}^{\frac{2^i}{2^m}} \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} A_{2^{m-\nu}}^{-\alpha}(t) \psi_\nu(t) \right| dt \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{A_{2^m}^{-\alpha}} \int_{\frac{2^{i-1}}{2^m}}^{\frac{2^i}{2^m}} |A_0^{-\alpha}(t) \psi_{2^m}(t)| dt \\ &= \frac{1}{A_{2^m}^{-\alpha}} \int_{\frac{2^{i-1}}{2^m}}^{\frac{2^i}{2^m}} \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} A_{2^{m-\nu}}^{-\alpha}(t) \psi_\nu(t) \right| dt - \frac{1}{A_{2^m}^{-\alpha}} \frac{2^{i-1}}{2^m} = A_1 - A_2. \end{aligned}$$

Here we present lower bound of A_1 . By Lemma 2, we have

$$A_1 = \frac{1}{A_{2^m}^{-\alpha}} \int_{\frac{2^{i-1}}{2^m}}^{\frac{2^i}{2^m}} \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} A_{2^{m-\nu}}^{-\alpha}(t) \psi_\nu(t) \right| dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{A_{2^m}^{-\alpha}} \int_{\frac{2^{i-1}}{2^m}}^{\frac{2^i}{2^m}} \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} A_{2^m-\nu}^{-\alpha}(t) \psi_\nu(t) \psi_{2^m-1}(t) \right) dt \\
&= \frac{1}{A_{2^m}^{-\alpha}} \sum_{k=2^{i-1}}^{2^i-1} \int_{\frac{k}{2^m}}^{\frac{k+1}{2^m}} \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} A_{2^m-\nu}^{-\alpha}(t) \psi_\nu(t) \psi_{2^m-1}(t) \right) dt \\
&= \frac{1}{A_{2^m}^{-\alpha}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} A_{2^m-\nu}^{-\alpha} \left(\sum_{k=2^{i-1}}^{2^i-1} \int_{\frac{k}{2^m}}^{\frac{k+1}{2^m}} \psi_\nu(t) \psi_{2^m-1}(t) dt \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{A_{2^m}^{-\alpha}} \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} A_{2^m-\nu}^{-\alpha} \left(\sum_{k=2^{i-1}}^{2^i-1} \psi_\nu\left(\frac{k}{2^m}\right) \psi_{2^m-1}\left(\frac{k}{2^m}\right) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that since (see [2, p. 17])

$$\psi_k\left(\frac{\nu}{2^m}\right) = \psi_\nu\left(\frac{k}{2^m}\right), \quad \nu, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

and ([4, p. 379])

$$\psi_k\left(\frac{\nu}{2^m} + \frac{2^m-1}{2^m}\right) = \psi_k\left(\frac{\nu}{2^m}\right) \psi_k\left(\frac{2^m-1}{2^m}\right), \quad \nu, k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^m-1,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= \frac{1}{A_{2^m}^{-\alpha}} \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} A_{2^m-\nu}^{-\alpha} \left(\sum_{k=2^{i-1}}^{2^i-1} \psi_k\left(\frac{\nu}{2^m}\right) \psi_k\left(\frac{2^m-1}{2^m}\right) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{A_{2^m}^{-\alpha}} \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} A_{2^m-\nu}^{-\alpha} \left(\sum_{k=2^{i-1}}^{2^i-1} \psi_k\left(\frac{\nu}{2^m} + \frac{2^m-1}{2^m}\right) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{A_{2^m}^{-\alpha}} \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} A_{2^m-\nu}^{-\alpha} \left[D_{2^i}\left(\frac{\nu}{2^m} + \frac{2^m-1}{2^m}\right) - D_{2^{i-1}}\left(\frac{\nu}{2^m} + \frac{2^m-1}{2^m}\right) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Since (see [4])

$$(19) \quad D_{2^m}(t) = \begin{cases} 2^m, & 0 \leq t < 2^{-m}, \\ 0, & 2^{-m} \leq t < 1, \end{cases} \quad \text{where } D_n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \psi_k(t),$$

therefore for $\nu < 2^{m-1} + \dots + 2^{m-i+1}$ we will have

$$D_{2^i}\left(\frac{\nu}{2^m} + \frac{2^m-1}{2^m}\right) = 0, \quad D_{2^{i-1}}\left(\frac{\nu}{2^m} + \frac{2^m-1}{2^m}\right) = 0$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= \frac{1}{A_{2^m}^{-\alpha}} \frac{1}{2^m} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=p_i+q_i+1}^{2^m-1} A_{2^m-\nu}^{-\alpha} \left(D_{2^i}\left(\frac{\nu}{2^m} + \frac{2^m-1}{2^m}\right) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - D_{2^{i-1}}\left(\frac{\nu}{2^m} + \frac{2^m-1}{2^m}\right) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\nu=p_i}^{p_i+q_i} A_{2^m-\nu}^{-\alpha} \left(D_{2^i}\left(\frac{\nu}{2^m} + \frac{2^m-1}{2^m}\right) - D_{2^{i-1}}\left(\frac{\nu}{2^m} + \frac{2^m-1}{2^m}\right) \right) \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $p_i = 2^{m-1} + 2^{m-2} + \dots + 2^{m-i+1} = 2^m - 2^{m-i+1}$, $q_i = 2^{m-i+1} + 2^{m-i+2} + \dots + 2^1 + 2^0 = 2^{m-i} - 1$.

Taking again into account (19) and equalities $A_k^\alpha - A_{k-1}^\alpha = A_k^{\alpha-1}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= \frac{1}{A_{2^m}^{-\alpha}} \frac{2^{i-1}}{2^m} \left(\sum_{\nu=2^m-2^{m-i}}^{2^m-1} A_{2^m-\nu}^{-\alpha} - \sum_{\nu=2^m-2^{m-i+1}}^{2^m-2^{m-i}} A_{2^m-\nu}^{-\alpha} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{A_{2^m}^{-\alpha}} \frac{2^{i-1}}{2^m} \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-i}-1} A_{2^{m-i}-\nu}^{-\alpha} - \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-i}} A_{2^{m-i+1}-\nu}^{-\alpha} \right) \\
(20) \quad &= \frac{-1}{A_{2^m}^{-\alpha}} \frac{2^{i-1}}{2^m} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-i}-1} (A_{2^{m-i}-\nu}^{-\alpha-1} A_{2^{m-i+1}-\nu}^{-\alpha-1} + \dots + A_{2^{m-i+1}-\nu}^{-\alpha-1}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + A_{2^{m-i}}^{-\alpha} \right\} = -\frac{1}{A_{2^m}^{-\alpha}} \frac{2^{i-1}}{2^m} \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-i}-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{m-i}} A_{2^{m-i}+k-\nu}^{-\alpha-1} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{A_{2^m}^{-\alpha}} \frac{2^{i-1}}{2^m} A_{2^{m-i}}^{-\alpha} = B_1 + B_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Estimate now B_1 . By virtue of (III) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(21) \quad |B_1| &\geq c(\alpha) (2^m)^\alpha \frac{2^{i-1}}{2^m} \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-i}-1} (2^{m-i}-\nu)^{-\alpha} \\
&\geq c(\alpha) 2^{m\alpha} (2^{m-i})^{1-\alpha} \geq c_0(\alpha) 2^{i\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

For B_2 we have

$$(22) \quad |B_2| \leq c(\alpha) 2^{m\alpha} \frac{2^{i-1}}{2^m} \leq c(\alpha) \frac{2^i}{2^{m(1-\alpha)}}.$$

Similar estimate is obtained for A_2 . That is,

$$(23) \quad A_2 \leq c(\alpha) \frac{2^i}{2^{m(1-\alpha)}}.$$

Taking into account (20), (21), (22) and (23), from (18) we obtain

$$\int_{\frac{2^{i-1}}{2^m}}^{\frac{2^i}{2^m}} |K_{2^m}^{-\alpha}(t)| dt \geq c_0(\alpha) 2^{i\alpha} - c_1(\alpha) \frac{2^i}{2^{m(1-\alpha)}}.$$

Since $2^{-(m-i)(1-\alpha)} \rightarrow 0$ as $(m-i) \rightarrow \infty$, there exists a natural number N such that

$$c_1(\alpha) \frac{2^i}{2^{m(1-\alpha)}} < \frac{c_0(\alpha)}{2} 2^{i\alpha} \text{ for } i < m - N,$$

and therefore

$$\int_{\frac{2^{i-1}}{2^m}}^{\frac{2^i}{2^m}} |K_{2^m}^{-\alpha}(t)| dt \geq \frac{c_0(\alpha)}{2} 2^{i\alpha}, \quad i < m - N.$$

Thus the lemma is proved. \square

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $\tau(n)$ be defined by the relation (2⁰), where $m_0(n)$ for $n > n_0$ is defined uniquely by inequality (15) by omitting the function f .

Next, let $\{n_i\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \tau(2^{n_i}) = \tau_0 > 0$.

Without restriction of generality we can assume (see [3, p. 1545]) that $v(n)$ is convex, and $v(n) \leq cn\omega(\frac{1}{n})$. There can take place two cases: (1) $v(n) = o(n^{1-\alpha})$; (2) $v(n) \neq o(n^{1-\alpha})$.

Let us consider the case $v(n) = o(n^{1-\alpha})$. Suppose that $v(n) \rightarrow \infty$ because $\tau(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, otherwise. By Lemma 6, there exists the sequence of natural numbers $\{\varphi(n)\}$ with the properties indicated in the lemma. Note that if $v(n) = o(n^{1-\alpha})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$(24) \quad \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{m_0(n)} \frac{1}{k^{1-\alpha}} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{m_0(n)} \frac{1}{k^{1-\alpha}} &\leq c(\alpha) \frac{v(m_0(n))}{m_0(n)} m_0^\alpha(n) \\ &= c(\alpha) \frac{v(m_0(n))}{m_0^{1-\alpha}(n)} = o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by virtue of the fact that $\lim \tau(2^{n_i}) = \tau_0 > 0$, starting from some i_0

$$\varphi(2^{n_i}) > m_0(2^{n_i}).$$

Taking into account (24) and also the properties of the sequence $\{\varphi(n)\}$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} (25) \quad &\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \omega\left(\frac{1}{2^{n_i}}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{m_0(2^{n_i})} \frac{1}{k^{1-\alpha}} + \sum_{k=m_0(2^{n_i})+1}^{2^{n_i}} \frac{v(k) - v(k-1)}{k^{1-\alpha}} \right\} \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \omega\left(\frac{1}{2^{n_i}}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{m_0(2^{n_i})} \frac{1}{k^{1-\alpha}} + \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=m_0(2^{n_i})+1}^{\varphi(2^{n_i})} \frac{v(k) - v(k-1)}{k^{1-\alpha}} \\ &\quad + \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=\varphi(2^{n_i})+1}^{2^{n_i}} \frac{v(k) - v(k-1)}{k^{1-\alpha}} \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=m_0(2^{n_i})+1}^{\varphi(2^{n_i})} \frac{v(k) - v(k-1)}{k^{1-\alpha}} = \tau_0. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose

$$\xi(n) = \sum_{k=2^{r(n)+3}}^{2^{q(n)+4}} \frac{v(k) - v(k-1)}{k^{1-\alpha}},$$

where $r(n) = [\log_2 m_0(n)]$, $q(n) = [\log_2 \varphi(n)]$.

Now we construct the sequence of natural numbers $\{\ell_k\}$ and the sequence of functions $\{f_k\}$.

Let $\ell_1 \in \{n_i\}$ such that $\ell_1 > n_{i_0}$, and $\ell_1 > n_0$ (see the definition of n_0 and i_0), $2^{\ell_1} - \varphi(2^{\ell_1}) > N$ (N appears in Lemma 7) and $\frac{4\varphi(2^{\ell_1})}{2^{\ell_1}} \leq 1$.

The function $\varphi_1(x)$ is defined as follows:

$$\varphi_1(x) = \begin{cases} v(r+1) - v(r) & \text{for } x = \frac{2r+1}{2^{\ell_1+2}}, \quad r = m_0(2^{\ell_1}), \dots, 4\varphi(2^{\ell_1}) - 1, \\ 0 & \text{for } x = \frac{r}{2^{\ell_1+1}}, \quad r = m_0(2^{\ell_1}), \dots, 4\varphi(2^{\ell_1}), \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in [0, \frac{m_0(2^{\ell_1})}{2^{\ell_1}}] \cup [\frac{4\varphi(2^{\ell_1})}{2^{\ell_1}}, 1] \\ \text{is linear and continuous for the rest } x & \text{from } [0, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Assume

$$f_1(x) = \varphi_1(x) \operatorname{Sgn} K_{2^{\ell_1}}^{-\alpha}(x).$$

Let the numbers $\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_{k-1}$ and periodic with period 1 functions f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{k-1} be already constructed. Then ℓ_k and f_k can be constructed as follows: we choose ℓ_k in such a way that the following conditions be fulfilled:

- (1) $\ell_k > \ell_{k-1}$;
- (2) $\ell_k \in \{n_i\}$;
- (3) $\frac{4\varphi(2^{\ell_k})}{2^{\ell_k}} < \frac{m_0(2^{\ell_{k-1}})}{2^{\ell_{k-1}}}$ ($\varphi(n) = o(n)$);
- (4) $\omega\left(\frac{1}{2^{\ell_k}}\right) \leq v(4\varphi(2^{\ell_{k-1}})) - v(4\varphi(2^{\ell_k} - 1))$;
- (5) $m_0(2^{\ell_k}) > \varphi(2^{\ell_{k-1}})$;
- (6) $\omega\left(\frac{1}{2^{\ell_k}}\right) m_0^\alpha(2^{\ell_{k-1}}) \leq c_1(\alpha)\xi(\ell_{k-1})$;
- (7) $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \omega\left(\frac{1}{2^{\ell_i}}\right) \varphi(2^{\ell_i}) \int_0^{\omega\left(\frac{1}{2^{\ell_k}}\right)} \frac{1}{\tau^\alpha} d\tau < c_2(\alpha)\xi(2^{\ell_k})$

(the constants $c_1(\alpha)$ and $c_2(\alpha)$ will be chosen below).

Let

$$\varphi_k(x) = \begin{cases} v(r+1) - v(r) & \text{for } x = \frac{2r+1}{2^{\ell_k+2}}, \quad r = m_0(2^{\ell_k}), \dots, 4\varphi(2^{\ell_k}) - 1, \\ 0 & \text{for } x = \frac{r}{2^{\ell_k+1}}, \quad r = m_0(2^{\ell_k}), \dots, 4\varphi(2^{\ell_k}), \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in [0, \frac{m_0(2^{\ell_k})}{2^{\ell_k}}] \cup [\frac{4\varphi(2^{\ell_k})}{2^{\ell_k}}, 1] \\ \text{is linear and continuous for the rest } x & \text{from } [0, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Assume

$$f_k(x) = \varphi_k(x) \operatorname{Sgn} K_{2^{\ell_k}}^{-\alpha}(x).$$

Let now

$$f_0(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k(x)$$

Reasoning just as in [3, p. 548], we can show that $f_0 \in H^\omega \cap V[v]$. It remains to prove the relation (3⁰).

Suppose

$$F_k(x) = \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} f_i(x).$$

Taking into account the definition of the function $f_k(x)$ and Lemma 1, we obtain

$$|\sigma_{2^{\ell_k}}^{-\alpha}(F_k, 0) - F_k(0)| = \left| \int_0^1 F_k(t) K_{2^{\ell_k}}^{-\alpha}(t) dt \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \|F_k\|_C \frac{1}{A_{2^{\ell_k}}^{-\alpha}} \int_0^{\frac{m_0(2^{\ell_k})}{2^{\ell_k}}} \frac{1}{t^{1-\alpha}} dt \leq c(\alpha) \omega\left(\frac{1}{2^{\ell_k+1}}\right) 2^{\ell_k \alpha} \left(\frac{m_0(2^{\ell_k})}{2^{\ell_k}}\right)^\alpha \\
&\leq c_0(\alpha) \omega\left(\frac{1}{2^{\ell_k+1}}\right) m_0^\alpha(2^{\ell_k}).
\end{aligned}$$

By virtue of the property (6) of the sequence $\{\ell_k\}$, we get

$$(26) \quad |\sigma_{2^{\ell_k}}^{-\alpha}(F_k, 0)| \leq c_0(\alpha) c_1(\alpha) \xi(2^{\ell_k}),$$

Further, using Lemma 7 and the definition of $f_k(x)$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
(27) \quad |\sigma_{2^{\ell_k}}^{-\alpha}(f_k, 0) - f_k(0)| &= \left| \int_{\frac{m_0(2^{\ell_k})}{2^{\ell_k}}}^{\frac{4\varphi(2^{\ell_k})}{2^{\ell_k}}} f_k(t) K_{2^{\ell_k}}^{-\alpha}(t) dt \right| \\
&= \int_{\frac{m_0(2^{\ell_k})}{2^{\ell_k}}}^{\frac{4\varphi(2^{\ell_k})}{2^{\ell_k}}} \varphi_k(t) |K_{2^{\ell_k}}^{-\alpha}(t)| dt \geq \int_{\frac{2^r(2^{\ell_k})+1}{2^{\ell_k}}}^{\frac{2q(2^{\ell_k})+2}{2^{\ell_k}}} \varphi_k(t) |K_{2^{\ell_k}}^{-\alpha}(t)| dt \\
&\geq \sum_{i=r(2^{\ell_k})+1}^{q(2^{\ell_k})+1} \int_{\frac{2^i}{2^{\ell_k}}}^{\frac{2^{i+1}}{2^{\ell_k}}} \varphi_k(t) |K_{2^{\ell_k}}^{-\alpha}(t)| dt \\
&\geq c(\alpha) \sum_{i=r(2^{\ell_k})+1}^{q(2^{\ell_k})+1} [v(2^{i+2} + 1) - v(2^{i+2})] 2^{i\alpha} \\
&\geq c_3(\alpha) \sum_{i=2^r(2^{\ell_k})+3+1}^{2q(2^{\ell_k})+4} \frac{v(i) - v(i-1)}{i^{1-\alpha}} = c_3(\alpha) \xi(2^{\ell_k})
\end{aligned}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=2^r(2^{\ell_k})+3+1}^{2q(2^{\ell_k})+4} \frac{v(i) - v(i-1)}{i^{1-\alpha}} &\leq c(\alpha) \sum_{i=2^r(2^{\ell_k})+3}^{2q(2^{\ell_k})+4} \frac{v(i+1) - v(i)}{i^{1-\alpha}} \\
&= c(\alpha) \sum_{i=r(2^{\ell_k})+1}^{q(2^{\ell_k})+1} \sum_{j=2^{i+2}}^{2^{i+3}} \frac{v(j+1) - v(j)}{j^{1-\alpha}} \\
&\leq c(\alpha) \sum_{i=r(2^{\ell_k})+1}^{q(2^{\ell_k})+1} [v(2^{i+2} + 1) - v(2^{i+2})] 2^{i\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

Estimate now $\sigma_{2^{\ell_k}}^{-\alpha}(g_k, 0)$, where $g_k(t) = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(t)$.

Since $g_k(t) \in H^\omega \cap V$, using Corollary 6, we find that

$$|\sigma_{2^{\ell_k}}^{-\alpha}(g_k, 0)| \leq c(\alpha) \int_0^{\omega(\frac{1}{2^{\ell_k}})} \frac{V(g_k)}{\tau^\alpha} d\tau + o(1).$$

It is easy to see that

$$V(g_k) \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \omega\left(\frac{1}{2^{\ell_i}}\right) \varphi(2^{\ell_i}),$$

and therefore

$$|\sigma_{2^{\ell_k}}^{-\alpha}(g_k, 0)| \leq c_1(\alpha) \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \omega\left(\frac{1}{2^{\ell_i}}\right) \varphi(2^{\ell_i}) \int_0^{\omega(\frac{1}{2^{\ell_k}})} \frac{1}{\tau^\alpha} d\tau + o(1).$$

Taking into account the property (7) of the sequence $\{\varphi(n)\}$, we have

$$(28) \quad |\sigma_{2^{\ell_k}}^{-\alpha}(g_k, 0)| \leq c_4(\alpha) c_2(\alpha) \xi(2^{\ell_k}) + o(1).$$

It follows from (26), (27) and (28) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma_{2^{\ell_k}}^{-\alpha}(f_0, 0) - f_0(0)| &= |\sigma_{2^{\ell_k}}^{-\alpha}(f_k, 0) + \sigma_{2^{\ell_k}}^{-\alpha}(g_k, 0) + \sigma_{2^{\ell_k}}^{-\alpha}(F_k, 0)| \\ &\geq |\sigma_{2^{\ell_k}}^{-\alpha}(f_k, 0)| - |\sigma_{2^{\ell_k}}^{-\alpha}(F_k, 0)| - |\sigma_{2^{\ell_k}}^{-\alpha}(g_k, 0)| \\ &\geq c_3(\alpha) \xi(2^{\ell_k}) - x_0(\alpha) c_1(\alpha) \xi(2^{\ell_k}) - c_4(\alpha) c_2(\alpha) \xi(2^{\ell_k}) - o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Choosing now $c_1(\alpha) = \frac{c_3(\alpha)}{3c_0(\alpha)}$, $c_2(\alpha) = \frac{c_3(\alpha)}{3c_4(\alpha)}$, we get

$$|\sigma_{2^{\ell_k}}^{-\alpha}(f_0, 0) - f_0(0)| \geq \frac{c_3(\alpha) \xi(2^{\ell_k})}{3} - o(1),$$

whence

$$\frac{|\sigma_{2^{\ell_k}}^{-\alpha}(f_0, 0) - f_0(0)|}{\xi(2^{\ell_k})} \geq \frac{c_3(\alpha)}{3} - \frac{o(1)}{\xi(2^{\ell_k})}$$

and since $\xi(2^{\ell_k}) \sim \tau(2^{\ell_k})$ by virtue of (25), from the last relation we obtain (3⁰).

Consider now case 2), i.e. $v(n) \neq o(n^{1-\alpha})$. We define the function $v_1(x)$ as follows:

$$v_1(x) = \begin{cases} v(n) & \text{for } x = n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 0 & \text{for } x = 0, \\ \text{is linear and continuous} & \text{for the rest } x \text{ from } [0, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Let

$$\omega_1(\delta) = \delta v_1\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right).$$

It is easily seen that $\omega_1(\delta)$ is the modulus of continuity, and since $v(n) \leq cn\omega(\frac{1}{n})$, therefore $\omega_1(\delta) \leq c\omega(\delta)$. By S. Stechkin's lemma, there exists the convex modulus of continuity $\omega_0(\delta)$ satisfying the condition

$$c_1\omega_0(\delta) < \omega_1(\delta) < c_2\omega_0(\delta),$$

and since $v_1(n) = v(n)$, we have $H^{\omega_0} \subset H^\omega \cap V[v]$.

By virtue of the fact that $v(n) \neq o(n^{1-\alpha})$, it follows that $\omega_0(\delta) \neq o(\delta^\alpha)$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$). Let us now show that in the class H^{ω_0} there exists the function f_0 whose Fourier–Walsh–Paley series fails to be summable by the method $(C, -\alpha)$ to f_0 at the point $x = 0$.

By the condition $\omega_0(\delta) \neq o(\delta^\alpha)$, there exists the sequence $\{n_i\} \subset \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\omega\left(\frac{1}{2^{n_i}}\right) 2^{n_i \alpha} \geq c > 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

We choose from $\{n_i\}$ the sequence with the following properties:

- 1) $\sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \omega\left(\frac{1}{2^{\ell_i}}\right) \leq 2\omega\left(\frac{1}{2^{\ell_k}}\right)$;
- 2) $2^{\ell_{k+1}} \omega\left(\frac{1}{2^{\ell_{k+1}}}\right) > 2 \cdot 2^{\ell_k} \omega\left(\frac{1}{2^{\ell_k}}\right)$ since $2^{\ell_k} \omega\left(\frac{1}{2^{\ell_k}}\right) \rightarrow \infty$;
- 3) $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \omega\left(\frac{1}{2^{\ell_i}}\right) 2^{\ell_i}\right)^\alpha \omega\left(\frac{1}{2^{\ell_k}}\right) \leq c_0(\alpha) \omega\left(\frac{1}{2^{\ell_k}}\right) 2^{\ell_k \alpha}$ ($c_0(\alpha)$ depends on our choice).

Let

$$\varphi_k(t) = \begin{cases} \omega\left(\frac{1}{2^{\ell_k}}\right) & \text{for } x = \frac{2r+1}{2^{\ell_k+2}}, \quad r = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{\ell_k+1} - 1, \\ 0 & \text{for } x = \frac{r}{2^{\ell_k+1}}, \quad r = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{\ell_k+1}, \\ \text{is linear and continuous for the rest } x & \text{from } [0, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Suppose

$$f_0(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi_k(t) \operatorname{Sgn} K_{2^{\ell_k}}^{-\alpha}(t).$$

Reasoning analogously as in case 1), we can show that f_0 is the unknown function.

Thus Theorem 3 is proved. \square

From Theorems 1 and 3 follows

Theorem 4. *For the Fourier–Walsh–Paley series of all functions of the class $H^\omega \cap V[v]$ to be $(C, -\alpha)$ uniformly summable, it is necessary and sufficient that the condition*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min_{1 \leq m \leq n} \left\{ \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k^{1-\alpha}} + \sum_{k=m+1}^n \frac{v(k)}{k^{2-\alpha}} \right\} = 0$$

be fulfilled.

Theorem 5. *For the Fourier–Walsh–Paley series of all functions of the class $C \cap V[v]$ to be uniformly $(C, -\alpha)$ summable, it is necessary and sufficient that the condition*

$$(29) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{v(k)}{k^{2-\alpha}} < \infty, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1,$$

be fulfilled.

Proof. The sufficiency of the condition (29) is contained in Theorem 4, and the necessity can be proved by using [3] (see [3, p. 552]). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Asatiani and Z. Chanturia. The modulus of variation of a function and the Banach indicatrix. *Acta Sci. Math.*, 45:51–66, 1983.
- [2] S. Bochkarev. A method of averaging in the theory of orthogonal series and some problems in the theory of bases. *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, 146:92 p., 1978. Russian.
- [3] Z. Chanturia. The uniform convergence of Fourier series. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, 100(142)(4):534–554, 1976. Russian.

- [4] N. Fine. On the Walsh functions. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 65:372–414, 1949.
- [5] N. J. Fine. Cesàro summability of Walsh-Fourier series. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 41:588–591, 1955.
- [6] G. Gát. Pointwise convergence of the Cesàro means of double Walsh series. *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budap. Rolando Eötvös, Sect. Comput.*, 16:173–184, 1996.
- [7] G. Gát. On the almost everywhere convergence of Fejér means of functions on the group of 2-adic integers. *J. Approximation Theory*, 90(1):88–96, 1997.
- [8] V. Glukhov. Summation of multiple Fourier series in multiplicative systems. *Mat. Zametki*, 39:364–369, 1986. Russian.
- [9] U. Goginava. On the convergence and summability of N -dimensional Fourier series with respect to the Walsh-Paley systems in the spaces $L^p([0, 1]^N)$, $p \in [1, +\infty]$. *Georgian Math. J.*, 7(1):53–72, 2000.
- [10] U. Goginava. On the approximation properties of Cesàro means of negative order of Walsh-Fourier series. *J. Approximation Theory*, 115(1):9–20, 2002.
- [11] B. Golubov, A. Efimov, and V. Skvortsov. *Walsh series and transforms. Theory and applications*. Nauka, Moscow, 1987 (in Russian), English transl.: Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1991.
- [12] V. Kokilashvili. On some function space and Fourier coefficients. *Soobshch. Akad. Nauk Gruz. SSR*, 35:523–530, 1964. Russian.
- [13] A. Paley. A remarkable series of orthogonal functions. I., II. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 34:241–279, 1932.
- [14] F. Schipp. Über gewisse Maximaloperatoren. *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budap. Rolando Eötvös, Sect. Math.*, 18:189–195, 1976.
- [15] F. Schipp, W. R. Wade, and P. Simon. *Walsh series*. Adam Hilger Ltd., Bristol, 1990. An introduction to dyadic harmonic analysis, With the collaboration of J. Pál.
- [16] V. Tevzadze. On the pointwise estimation of Cesàro kernel of negative order with respect to Walsh-Paley system. *Acta Mathematica Academiae Paedagogicae Nyiregyhaziensis*, 21:177–183, 2005.
- [17] V. I. Tevzadze. Uniform convergence of Cesàro means of negative order of Fourier-Walsh series. *Soobshch. Akad. Nauk Gruz. SSR*, 102(1):33–36, 1981.
- [18] S. Yano. Cesàro summability of Fourier series. *J. Math. Tokyo*, 1:32–34, 1951.
- [19] A. Zygmund. *Trigonometric series. 2nd ed. Vol. I*. Cambridge University Press, New York, 1959.

Received September 26, 2005.

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS,
TBILISI STATE UNIVERSITY,
CHAVCHAVADZE STR. 1, TBILISI 0128,
GEORGIA