Acta Mathematica Academiae Paedagogicae Nyíregyháziensis 22 (2006), 27-31 www.emis.de/journals ISSN 1786-0091

A STUDY ON THE GENERALIZATION OF JANOWSKI FUNCTIONS IN THE UNIT DISC

Y. POLATOĞLU, M. BOLCAL, A. ŞEN AND E. YAVUZ

ABSTRACT. Let Ω be the class of functions w(z), w(0) = 0, |w(z)| < 1 regular in the unit disc $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. For arbitrarily fixed numbers $A \in (-1, 1]$, $B \in [-1, A)$, $0 \le \alpha < 1$ let $P(A, B, \alpha)$ be the class of regular functions p(z) in D such that p(0) = 1, and which is $p(z) \in P(A, B, \alpha)$ if and only if $p(z) = \frac{1+[(1-\alpha)A+\alpha B]w(z)}{1+Bw(z)}$ for some function $w(z) \in \Omega$ and every $z \in D$.

In the present paper we apply the principle of subordination ([1], [3], [4], [5]) to give new proofs for some classical results concerning the class $S^*(A, B, \alpha)$ of functions f(z) with f(0) = 0, f'(0) = 1, which are regular in D satisfying the condition: $f(z) \in S^*(A, B, \alpha)$ if and only if $z \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = p(z)$ for some $p(z) \in P(A, B, \alpha)$ and for all z in D.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Ω be the family of functions w(z) regular in the unit disc D and satisfying the conditions w(0) = 0, |w(z)| < 1, for $z \in D$.

For arbitrary fixed numbers $A, B, \alpha, -1 \le B < A \le 1, 0 \le \alpha < 1$, let $P(A, B, \alpha)$ denote the family of functions

(1)
$$p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + \dots + p_n z^n + \dots$$

regular in D and such that p(z) is in $P(A, B, \alpha)$ if and only if

(2)
$$p(z) \prec \frac{1 + \left[(1 - \alpha)A + \alpha B\right]z}{1 + Bz} \Leftrightarrow p(z) = \frac{1 + \left[(1 - \alpha)A + \alpha B\right]w(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}$$

for some function $w(z) \in \Omega$ and every $z \in D$.

Furthermore, let $S^*(A, B, \alpha)$ denote the family of functions

(3)
$$f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \cdots$$

regular in D and such that f(z) is in $S^*(A, B, \alpha)$ if and only if

(4)
$$z\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = p(z)$$

for some p(z) in $P(A, B, \alpha)$ and for all z in D.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 30C45.

Key words and phrases. Starlike functions, distortion theorem, representation theorem, radius of starlikeness.

2. NEW RESULTS ON THE CLASS $S^*(A, B, \alpha)$

In this section we shall give representation theorems, distortion theorems and establish the radius of starlikeness for the class $S^*(A, B, \alpha)$. Our proofs are based on I.S. Jack's Lemma [2].

Lemma 1. Let w(z) be a non-constant and analytic function in the unit disc D with w(0) = 0. If |w(z)| attains its maximum value on the circle |z| = r at the point z_1 , then $z_1w'(z_1) = kw(z_1)$ and $k \ge 1$.

From the definition of the class P(1, -1, 0) called the Caratheodory class and $P(A, B, \alpha)$ we easily obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 2. If $p(z) \in P(A, B, \alpha)$ if and only if

(5)
$$p(z) = \frac{[1 + (1 - \alpha)A + \alpha B]q(z) + [(1 - \alpha)(A + B)]}{[1 + B]q(z) + [1 - B]}$$

for some $q(z) \in P(1, -1, 0)$.

Let ζ be an arbitrary fixed point of D. We consider the functional

(6)
$$F(p) = p(\zeta), p(z) \in P(A, B, \alpha).$$

Lemma 3. The set of the values of the functional (6) is the closed disc with centered at C(r) and having the radius $\rho(r)$, where

$$\begin{cases} C(r) = \left(\frac{1-B[(1-\alpha)A + \alpha B]r^2}{1-B^2r^2}, 0\right), & \rho(r) = \frac{(1-\alpha)(A-B)r}{1-B^2r^2}, \ B \neq 0, \\ C(r) = (1,0), & \rho(r) = (1-\alpha) \left|A\right|r, \ B = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Every boundary function $p_0(z)$ of $P(A, B, \alpha)$ with respect to the functional (6) can be written in the form (5), where

$$q(z) = \frac{1 + \varepsilon z}{1 - \varepsilon z}, |\varepsilon| = 1.$$

Hence

(7)
$$p_0(z) = \frac{1 + [(1 - \alpha)A + \alpha B]z}{1 + Bz}$$

Since $z = re^{i\theta}, 0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$,

(8)
$$p_0(z) = C(r) + \rho \eta,$$
$$\eta = \varepsilon e^{i\theta} \frac{1 + Br \bar{\varepsilon} e^{-i\theta}}{1 + Br \varepsilon e^{i\theta}},$$

which completes the proof.

Lemma 4. The function

$$w = w(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{(1-\alpha)(A-B)z}{1+Bz}, & B \neq 0, \\ (1-\alpha)Az, & B = 0, \end{cases}$$

maps |z| = r onto the disc centered at C(r), and having the radius $\rho(r)$

$$\begin{cases} C(r) = \left(-\frac{B(1-\alpha)(A-B)r^2}{1-B^2r^2}, 0, \right), & \rho(r) = \frac{(1-\alpha)(A-B)r}{1-B^2r^2}, B \neq 0, \\ C(r) = (0,0), & \rho(r) = (1-\alpha) |A| r, B = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. This is immediate from

$$w = \frac{(1-\alpha)(A-B)z}{1+Bz} \Rightarrow$$
(9)

$$u^{2} + v^{2} + \frac{2B(1-\alpha)(A-B)r^{2}}{1-B^{2}r^{2}}u - \frac{(1-\alpha)^{2}(A-B)^{2}r^{2}}{1-B^{2}r^{2}} = 0, B \neq 0,$$

$$w = (1-\alpha)Az \Rightarrow u^{2} + v^{2} - (1-\alpha)^{2}A^{2}r^{2} = 0, B = 0.$$

Theorem 1. Let $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + \cdots$ be an analytic function in the unit disc D. If f(z) satisfying

(10)
$$\left(z\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}-1\right) \prec \begin{cases} \frac{(1-\alpha)(A-B)z}{1+Bz} = F_1(z), & B \neq 0, \\ (1-\alpha)Az = F_2(z), & B = 0, \end{cases}$$

then $f(z) \in S^*(A, B, \alpha)$ and this result is as sharp as the function

$$\left(\frac{1+[(1-\alpha)A+B\alpha]z}{1+Bz}\right).$$

Proof. We define the function w(z) by

(11)
$$\frac{f(z)}{z} = \begin{cases} (1+Bw(z))^{\frac{(1-\alpha)(A-B)}{B}}, & B \neq 0, \\ e^{(1-\alpha)Aw(z)}, & B = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $(1 + Bw(z))^{\frac{(1-\alpha)(A-B)}{B}}$ and $e^{(1-\alpha)Aw(z)}$ have the value 1 at the origin. Then w(z) is analytic in D and w(0) = 0. If we take the logarithmic derivate of equality (11), simple calculations yield

(12)
$$\left(z\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} - 1\right) = \begin{cases} \frac{(1-\alpha)(A-B)zw'(z)}{1+Bw(z)}, & B \neq 0, \\ (1-\alpha)Azw'(z), & B = 0. \end{cases}$$

Now it is easy to realize that the subordination (10) is equivalent to |w(z)| < 1for all $z \in D$ indeed assume the contrary. There exist $z_1 \in D$ such that $|w(z_1)| = 1$. Then by I.S. Jack's Lemma $z_1w'(z_1) = kw(z_1)$ and $k \ge 1$, for such $z_1 \in D$ and using Lemma 4 we have

(13)
$$\left(z_1 \frac{f'(z_1)}{f(z_1)} - 1\right) = \begin{cases} \frac{(1-\alpha)(A-B)kw(z_1)}{1+Bw(z_1)} = F_1(w(z_1)) \notin F_1(D), & B \neq 0, \\ (1-\alpha)Akw(z_1) = F_2(w(z_1)) \notin F_2(D), & B = 0, \end{cases}$$

because $|w(z_1)| = 1$ and $k \ge 1$. But this contradicts condition (10) of this theorem and so |w(z)| < 1 for all $z \in D$. By using condition (10) we get

$$z\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \begin{cases} \frac{1 + [(1-\alpha)A + \alpha B]w(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}, & B \neq 0, \\ 1 + (1-\alpha)Aw(z), & B = 0, \end{cases}$$

which ends the proof.

Corollary 1. Let $f(z) \in S^*(A, B, \alpha)$. Then f(z) can be written in the form

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} z(1+Bw(z))^{\frac{(1-\alpha)(A-B)}{B}}, & B \neq 0, \\ ze^{(1-\alpha)Aw(z)}, & B = 0. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2. If $f(z) \in S^*(A, B, \alpha)$, then

(14)
$$\begin{cases} r(1-Br)^{\frac{(1-\alpha)(A-B)}{B}} \le |f(z)| \le r(1+Br)^{\frac{(1-\alpha)(A-B)}{B}}, & B \ne 0, \\ re^{-(1-\alpha)|A|r} \le |f(z)| \le re^{(1-\alpha)|A|r}, & B = 0. \end{cases}$$

These bounds are sharp with the extremal function

(15)
$$f_*(z) = \begin{cases} z(1+Bz)^{\frac{(1-\alpha)(A-B)}{B}}, & B \neq 0, \\ ze^{(1-\alpha)Az}, & B = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The set of the values of $\left(z\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}\right)$ is the closed disc with centered at $C(r) = \frac{1-B[A(1-\alpha)+B\alpha]r^2}{1-B^2r^2}$ and having the radius $\rho(r) = \frac{(1-\alpha)(A-B)r}{1-B^2r^2}$ by using Lemma 3, that is

(16)
$$\left| z \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{1 - B[(1 - \alpha)A + \alpha B]r^2}{1 - B^2 r^2} \right| \le \frac{(1 - \alpha)(A - B)r}{1 - B^2 r^2}.$$

After simple calculations from (16) we get

(17)
$$\begin{cases} \frac{1-(1-\alpha)(A-B)r-B[(1-\alpha)A+\alpha B]r^2}{1-B^2r^2} \le Re\left(z\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}\right) \\ \le \frac{1+(1-\alpha)(A-B)r-B[(1-\alpha)A+\alpha B]r^2}{1-B^2r^2}, \qquad B \neq 0, \\ 1-(1-\alpha)|A|r \le Re\left(z\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}\right) \le 1+(1-\alpha)|A|r, \quad B=0. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand we have

(18)
$$Re\left(z\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}\right) = r\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\log|f(z)|, |z| = r.$$

If we substitute (18) into the (17) we get

(19)
$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{r} - \frac{(1-\alpha)(A-B)}{1-Br} \le \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \log |f(z)| \le \frac{1}{r} + \frac{(1-\alpha)(A-B)}{1+Br}, & B \neq 0, \\ \frac{1}{r} - (1-\alpha) |A| \le \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \log |f(z)| \le \frac{1}{r} + (1-\alpha) |A|, & B = 0. \end{cases}$$

Integrating both sides (19) we obtain (14).

Corollary 2. The radius of starlikeness of the class $S^*(A, B, \alpha)$ is

(20)
$$r_s = \frac{2}{(1-\alpha)(A-B) + \sqrt{(1-\alpha)^2(A-B)^2 + 4B[(1-\alpha)A + \alpha B]}}.$$

This radius is sharp because the extremal function is given in (15).

Proof. From (17) we have

(21)
$$Re\left(z\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}\right) \ge \frac{1 - (1 - \alpha)(A - B)r - B[(1 - \alpha)A + \alpha B]r^2}{1 - B^2r^2}.$$

Hence for $r < r_s$ the first hand side of the preceding inequality is positive this implies that

$$r_s = \frac{2}{(1-\alpha)(A-B) + \sqrt{(1-\alpha)^2(A-B)^2 + 4B[(1-\alpha)A + \alpha B]}}$$

Also note that the inequality (20) becomes an equality for the function which is given in (15). It follows that

$$r_s = \frac{2}{(1-\alpha)(A-B) + \sqrt{(1-\alpha)^2(A-B)^2 + 4B[(1-\alpha)A + \alpha B]}}.$$

and the proof is complete.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank the referee for their useful suggestions.

References

- [1] A. W. Goodman. Univalent functions. Mariner Publishing Co. Inc., Tampa, FL, 1983.
- [2] I. S. Jack. Functions starlike and convex of order α . J. London Math. Soc. (2), 3:469–474, 1971.
- [3] W. Janowski. Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions. I. Ann. Polon. Math., 28:297–326, 1973.
- [4] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu. Second-order differential inequalities in the complex plane. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 65(2):289–305, 1978.
- [5] C. Pommerenke. Univalent functions. Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.

Received February 8, 2005; revised November 14, 2005.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCES, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND ARTS, İSTANBUL KÜLTÜR UNIVERSITY, İSTANBUL, TURKEY *E-mail address*: y.polatoglu@iku.edu.tr *E-mail address*: m.bolcal@iku.edu.tr *E-mail address*: a.sen@iku.edu.tr *E-mail address*: e.yavuz@iku.edu.tr