

CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH $R(x)$ AND $R\langle x \rangle$ ARE ALMOST Q -RINGS

H. A. KHASHAN AND H. AL-EZEH

ABSTRACT. All rings considered in this paper are assumed to be commutative with identities. A ring R is a Q -ring if every ideal of R is a finite product of primary ideals. An almost Q -ring is a ring whose localization at every prime ideal is a Q -ring. In this paper, we first prove that the statements, R is an almost ZPI -ring and $R[x]$ is an almost Q -ring are equivalent for any ring R . Then we prove that under the condition that every prime ideal of $R(x)$ is an extension of a prime ideal of R , the ring R is a (an almost) Q -ring if and only if $R(x)$ is so. Finally, we justify a condition under which $R(x)$ is an almost Q -ring if and only if $R\langle x \rangle$ is an almost Q -ring.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let R be a ring and let $f \in R[x]$. Then $C(f)$ denotes the ideal of R generated by the coefficients of f . If $S = \{f \in R[x] : C(f) = R\}$ and $W = \{f \in R[x] : f \text{ is monic}\}$, then S and W are regular multiplicatively closed subsets of $R[x]$ and the rings $S^{-1}R[x]$ and $W^{-1}R[x]$ are denoted by $R(x)$ and $R\langle x \rangle$ respectively. Some basic properties and related Theorems of $R(x)$ and $R\langle x \rangle$ can be found in [2].

Recall that a ring R is called a Laskerian ring if every ideal of R is a finite intersection of primary ideals. A ring R is a Q -ring if every ideal of R is a finite product of primary ideals. This class of rings has come as a generalization of an important class of rings called the ZPI -rings that are defined as rings in which every ideal is a product of prime ideals. Equivalently, a ring R is a Q -ring if and only if R is Laskerian and every non maximal prime ideal of R is finitely generated and locally principal, see [1]. If the localization R_P of a ring R is a Q -ring for every prime ideal P of R , then R is called an almost Q -ring. The classes of Q -rings and almost Q -rings were studied in detail in [1] and [5].

One of the main results appeared in [1] is that a ring R is a ZPI -ring if and only if $R[x]$ is a Q -ring. In this paper, we first generalized this result to almost Q -rings and then we have tried to find a condition under which a ring R is a (an

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 13A15.

Key words and phrases: Q -rings, almost Q -rings, the rings $R(x)$ and $R\langle x \rangle$.

Received July 10, 2006, revised August 15, 2007.

almost) Q -ring if and only if $R(x)$ is a (an almost) Q -ring. We have investigated that this is true if every prime ideal of $R(x)$ is an extension of a prime ideal of R . Those rings that satisfy this property are said to satisfy the property $(*)$, see [2]. We gave some examples of such rings and in order to achieve our result, we proved that the localization of a ring that satisfies the property $(*)$ at every prime ideal satisfies the property $(*)$ as well.

Finally, we proved that under the condition that a ring R is one dimensional reduced ring, $R(x)$ is an almost Q -ring if and only if $R(x)$ is so.

The following Lemma will be needed in the proof of the next main Theorem. It can be proved by using [7, Theorem 3.16].

Lemma 1.1. *Let R be any ring and let Q be a prime ideal of $R[x]$, then $R[x]_Q \cong R_P[x]_{Q_{R_P[x]}}$ where $P = Q \cap R$.*

By [4, Theorem 14.1], each maximal ideal of $R(x)$ is of the form $MR(x)$ where M is a maximal ideal of R and $R(x)_{MR(x)} \cong R_M(x) \cong R[x]_{M[x]}$. Hence, $R(x)$ is an almost ZPI -ring if and only if $R_M(x)$ is a ZPI -ring for each maximal ideal M of R .

Theorem 1.2. *Let R be a ring. The following are equivalent*

- (1) R is an almost ZPI -ring.
- (2) $R(x)$ is an almost ZPI -ring.
- (3) $R[x]$ is an almost Q -ring.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (3): Suppose that R is an almost ZPI -ring. Let \widehat{P} be a prime ideal of $R[x]$. Then $P = \widehat{P} \cap R$ is a prime ideal of R and so R_P is a ZPI -ring. By Lemma 1.1, $R[x]_{\widehat{P}} \cong R_P[x]_{\widehat{P}_{R_P[x]}}$ and since R_P is a ZPI -ring, $R_P[x]$ is a Q -ring by [1, Theorem 14]. Hence, $R[x]_{\widehat{P}}$ is a ring of quotients of a Q -ring and so it is a Q -ring. Therefore, $R[x]$ is an almost Q -ring.

(3) \Rightarrow (2): Suppose that $R[x]$ is an almost Q -ring. Let M be a maximal ideal of R and let \widehat{M} be a maximal ideal of $R[x]$ such that $M[x] \subset \widehat{M}$. Then $R[x]_{\widehat{M}}$ is a Q -ring and hence any non maximal prime ideal of $R[x]_{\widehat{M}}$ is principal by [1, Lemma 5]. Since $M[x] \subset \widehat{M}$, $M[x]$ is a principal ideal of $R[x]_{\widehat{M}}$ and so $M[x]_{M[x]}$ is principal in $R[x]_{M[x]}$. Thus, all prime ideals of $R_M(x) \cong R[x]_{M[x]}$ are principal and so $R_M(x)$ is a PIR . Hence, $R_M(x)$ is a ZPI -ring by [4, Theorem 18.8]. Since M was arbitrary, $R(x)$ is an almost ZPI -ring.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Suppose $R(x)$ is an almost ZPI -ring. Let P be a prime ideal of R . Then $PR(x)$ is a prime ideal of $R(x)$. Hence, $R_P(x) \cong R(x)_{PR(x)}$ is a ZPI -ring. Again by [4, Theorem 18.8], R_P is a ZPI -ring and so R is an almost ZPI -ring. \square

2. RINGS THAT SATISFY THE PROPERTY $(*)$

The definition of rings that satisfy the property $(*)$ was appeared in [2] as follows: A ring R is said to satisfy the property $(*)$ if for each prime ideal P of $R[x]$ with $P \subseteq MR[x]$ for some maximal ideal M of R , we have $P = QR[x]$ for some prime ideal Q of R .

In the following proposition, we can see one characterization of rings that satisfy the property $(*)$.

Proposition 2.1. *A ring R satisfies the property $(*)$ if and only if every prime ideal of $R(x)$ is an extension of a prime ideal of R .*

Proof. \Rightarrow): Suppose that R satisfies the property $(*)$. Let \widehat{P} be a prime ideal of $R(x) = S^{-1}R[x]$. Then $\widehat{P} = S^{-1}P$ where P is a prime ideal of $R[x]$ with $P \cap S = \emptyset$. Let $\{M_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be the set of all maximal ideals of R . Then $S = R[x] \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} M_\alpha[x]$ by [4, Theorem 14.1]. Hence, $P \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} M_\alpha[x]$ and then $P \subseteq M_\alpha[x]$ for some $\alpha \in \Lambda$.

By assumption, there exists a prime ideal Q of R such that $P = Q[x]$. Hence, $\widehat{P} = S^{-1}P = S^{-1}Q[x] = QR(x)$.

\Leftarrow): Conversely, suppose that any prime ideal of $R(x)$ is an extension of a prime ideal of R . Let P be a prime ideal of $R[x]$ with $P \subseteq M[x]$ for some maximal ideal M of R . Then $P \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} M_\alpha[x]$ and so $P \cap (R[x] \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} M_\alpha[x]) = \emptyset$. Hence, $P \cap S = \emptyset$ and then $S^{-1}P$ is a prime ideal of $R(x)$. Thus, by assumption there exists a prime ideal Q of R such that $S^{-1}P = QR(x) = Q(S^{-1}R[x]) = S^{-1}Q[x]$. Hence, $P = S^{-1}P \cap R[x] = S^{-1}Q[x] \cap R[x] = Q[x]$ as required. \square

Two examples of rings satisfying the property $(*)$ can be seen in the following proposition

Proposition 2.2. *A zero dimensional ring and a one dimensional Noetherian domain are satisfying the property $(*)$.*

Proof. Suppose that R is a zero dimensional ring. Let \widehat{P} be a non zero prime ideal of $R(x)$. Since R is zero dimensional, $R(x)$ is also zero dimensional by [4, Theorem 17.3] and [7, Theorem 7.13]. Hence, \widehat{P} is a maximal ideal of $R(x)$ and so by [4, Theorem 14.1], $\widehat{P} = MR(x)$ for some maximal ideal M of R . Therefore, R satisfies the property $(*)$ by Proposition 2.1. For one dimensional Noetherian domain, one can use [4, Corollary 17.5] to get a similar proof. \square

Recall that a ring R is called an arithmetical ring if each finitely generated ideal of R is locally principal. Equivalently, a ring R is arithmetical if and only if every ideal of $R(x)$ is of the form $IR(x)$ for some ideal I of R . It follows that any arithmetical ring satisfies the property $(*)$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let R be a ring that satisfies the property $(*)$. Then R_P satisfies the property $(*)$ for each prime ideal P of R .*

Proof. Let P be a prime ideal of R and let \widehat{M} be any prime ideal of $R_P(x) \simeq R(x)_{PR(x)}$. Then $\widehat{M} = M_{PR(x)}$ for some prime ideal M of $R(x)$ such that $M \subseteq PR(x)$. Since R satisfies the property $(*)$, $M = QR(x)$ for some prime ideal Q of R . Hence, $\widehat{M} = QR(x)_{PR(x)} = Q_P R_P(x)$ and Q_P is a prime ideal of R_P since $Q \subseteq P$. So, R_P satisfies the property $(*)$ by Proposition 2.1.

Let R be a ring and let $X = \text{spec}(R)$ denotes the set of all prime ideals of R . For each subset $L \subseteq R$, we let $V(L) = \{P \in \text{spec}(R) : L \subseteq P\}$. Then the collection $\tau = \{V(L) : L \subseteq R\}$ satisfies the axioms for closed sets in some topology on X which is called the prime spectral topology on X . Now, if $X = \text{spec}(R)$ with the above topology is Noetherian (the closed subsets of X satisfy the *DCC*), we say that

R has a Noetherian spectrum. Equivalently, a ring R has a Noetherian spectrum if and only if it satisfies the ACC for the radical ideals. If R has a Noetherian spectrum, then there are only finitely many prime ideals that are minimal over any ideal of R , see [8]. In [1], we can see that any Q -ring has a Noetherian spectrum. \square

Proposition 2.4. *Let R be a ring that satisfies the property (*). Then R has a Noetherian spectrum if and only if $R(x)$ has a Noetherian spectrum.*

Proof. \Rightarrow): Suppose that R has a Noetherian spectrum. Then by [8, Theorem 2.5], $R[x]$ has a Noetherian spectrum and so the ring of quotients $R(x)$ of $R[x]$ has a Noetherian spectrum.

\Leftarrow): Conversely, suppose that $R(x)$ has a Noetherian spectrum. Let $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq I_3 \subseteq \dots$ be an ascending chain of radical ideals of R . The $I_1R(x) \subseteq I_2R(x) \subseteq I_3R(x) \subseteq \dots$ is an ascending chain of radical ideals of $R(x)$. Indeed, let I be an ideal of R such that $I = \text{rad}I$ and let $P_1R(x), P_2R(x), \dots, P_nR(x)$ be the set of all minimal prime ideals of $R(x)$ over $IR(x)$. Then clearly, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n are the set of all minimal prime ideals of R over I . Hence, by [4, Theorem 14.1], we have $\text{rad}(IR(x)) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n P_iR(x) = (\bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i)R(x) = (\text{rad}I)R(x) = IR(x)$. Since $R(x)$ has a Noetherian spectrum, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $I_mR(x) = I_{m+1}R(x) = \dots$. Hence, $I_m = I_{m+1} = \dots$ and so R has a Noetherian spectrum. \square

By using the above proposition, we can prove the following main theorem

Theorem 2.5. *Let R be a ring that satisfies the property (*). Then R is a Q -ring if and only if $R(x)$ is a Q -ring.*

Proof. \Rightarrow): Suppose that R is a Q -ring. Let \widehat{P} be any non maximal prime ideal of $R(x)$. Since R satisfies the property (*), then $\widehat{P} = PR(x)$ where P is a non maximal prime ideal of R by Proposition 2.1. Since R is a Q -ring, then P is finitely generated and locally principal and hence $PR(x)$ is finitely generated and locally principal by [2, Theorem 2.2]. Since R has a Noetherian spectrum, then $R[x]$ and its ring of quotients $R(x)$ have a Noetherian spectrum. Since also any non maximal prime ideal of $R(x)$ is finitely generated, then $R(x)$ is Laskerian by [3, Corollary 2.3]. Therefore, $R(x)$ is a Q -ring.

\Leftarrow): Suppose that $R(x)$ is a Q -ring. Then $R(x)$ has a Noetherian spectrum and so by Proposition 2.4, R has a Noetherian spectrum. If P is a non maximal prime ideal of R , then $PR(x)$ is a non maximal prime ideal of $R(x)$. So, $PR(x)$ is finitely generated and locally principal and then P is finitely generated and locally principal again by [2, Theorem 2.2]. Thus, R is Laskerian again by [3, Corollary 2.3] and each non maximal prime ideal of R is finitely generated and locally principal. Therefore, R is a Q -ring. \square

By using Proposition 2.3 and Theorem 2.5, we have

Theorem 2.6. *Let R be a ring that satisfies the property (*). Then R is an almost Q -ring if and only if $R(x)$ is so.*

Proof. \Rightarrow): Suppose that R is an almost Q -ring. Let $PR(x)$ be a prime ideal of $R(x)$. Then $R(x)_{PR(x)} \simeq R_P(x)$. Since R_P satisfies the property $(*)$ by Proposition 2.3 and R_P is a Q -ring, Then by Theorem 2.5, $R_P(x)$ is a Q -ring. Hence, $R(x)$ is an almost Q -ring.

\Leftarrow): Suppose that $R(x)$ is an almost Q -ring. Let P be a prime ideal of R . Then $PR(x)$ is a prime ideal of $R(x)$ and so $R(x)_{PR(x)}$ is a Q -ring. Therefore, $R_P(x)$ is a Q -ring. Again, since R_P satisfies the the property $(*)$ and by using Theorem (2.5), we see that R_P is a Q -ring and so R is an almost Q -ring. \square

Remark 2.7. If a ring R is a zero dimensional ring, then $R(x)$ and $R\langle x \rangle$ are coincide, see (i.e. [4, Theorem 17.11]). Hence, in this case, the following are equivalent

- (1) R is a (an almost) Q -ring.
- (2) $R(x)$ is a (an almost) Q -ring.
- (3) $R\langle x \rangle$ is a (an almost) Q -ring.

Finally, we show that if a ring R satisfies a certain condition, then $R(x)$ is an almost Q -ring if and only if $R\langle x \rangle$ is so. Recall that a ring R is said to be reduced if its nilradical is 0, the zero ideal of R .

Theorem 2.8. *Let R be a reduced one dimensional ring. Then $R(x)$ is an almost Q -ring if and only if $R\langle x \rangle$ is an almost Q -ring.*

Proof. \Leftarrow): Suppose that $R\langle x \rangle$ is an almost Q -ring. Since $R(x)$ is a ring of quotients of $R\langle x \rangle$ and clearly the ring of quotients of an almost Q -ring is again an almost Q -ring, then the result follows.

\Rightarrow): Suppose that $R(x)$ is an almost Q -ring. Let \widehat{P} be a prime ideal of $R\langle x \rangle$. Then $\widehat{P} = W^{-1}Q$ where Q is a prime ideal of $R[x]$ such that $Q \cap W = \phi$. Now, $R\langle x \rangle_{\widehat{P}} = (W^{-1}R[x])_{W^{-1}Q} \simeq R[x]_Q$. Hence, it is enough to show that $R[x]_Q$ is a Q -ring for each prime ideal Q of $R[x]$ with $Q \cap W = \emptyset$. Take an arbitrary chain $P_0 \subsetneq P_1$ of prime ideals of R . Then P_0 is minimal and P_1 is a maximal ideal of R since $\dim R = 1$. We look for the prime ideals in $R[x]$ that contract to P_0 or P_1 . First, we have the prime ideals $P_0[x]$ and $P_1[x]$ for which we see that $R[x]_{P_i[x]} \simeq R_{P_i}(x)$ is a Q -ring for $i = 1, 2$.

If Q_1 is any other prime ideal of $R[x]$ such that $Q_1 \cap R = P_1$, then Q_1 is a maximal ideal of $R[x]$ since P_1 is a maximal ideal of R , $P_1[x] \subsetneq Q_1$ and there is no chain of three distinct prime ideals of $R[x]$ with the same contraction in R , see [7, Corollary 7.12]. By Theorem 28 in [6], Q_1 contains a monic polynomial and so need not be considered. It remains to consider the prime ideals of $R[x]$ that contract to P_0 . Let Q_0 be a prime ideal of $R[x]$ such that $Q_0 \cap R = P_0$. Then $Q_0 \cap (R \setminus P_0) = \phi$ in $R[x]$ and so $(R \setminus P_0)^{-1}Q_0$ is a prime ideal in $(R \setminus P_0)^{-1}R[x] = R_{P_0}[x]$. Hence, we have, $R[x]_{Q_0} \simeq ((R \setminus P_0)^{-1}R[x])_{(R \setminus P_0)^{-1}Q_0} \simeq (R_{P_0}[x])_{(R \setminus P_0)^{-1}Q_0}$. Since P_0 is minimal and R is reduced, then R_{P_0} is a field, see [6]. Hence, $R_{P_0}[x]$ is a PID and so it is a Q -ring. Thus, $R[x]_{Q_0}$ is a ring of quotients of a Q -ring and then it is a Q -ring. Hence, for each prime ideal Q of $R[x]$ such that $Q \cap W = \phi$, $R[x]_Q$ is a Q -ring and it follows that $R\langle x \rangle$ is an almost Q -ring. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Anderson, D. D., Mahaney, L. A., *Commutative rings in which every ideal is a product of primary ideals*, J. Algebra **106** (1987), 528–535.
- [2] Anderson, D. D., Anderson, D. F., Markanda, R., *The rings $R(x)$ and $R\langle x \rangle$* , J. Algebra **95** (1985), 96–115.
- [3] Heinzer, W., David, L., *The Laskerian property in commutative rings*, J. Algebra **72** (1981), 101–114.
- [4] Huckaba, J. A., *Commutative rings with zero divisors*, Marcel Dekker, INC. New York and Basel, 1988.
- [5] Jayaram, C., *Almost Q -rings*, Arch. Math. (Brno) **40** (2004), 249–257.
- [6] Kaplansky, I., *Commutative Rings*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston 1970.
- [7] Larsen, M., McCarthy, P., *Multiplicative theory of ideals*, Academic Press, New York and London 1971.
- [8] Ohm, J., Pendleton, R. L., *Rings with Noetherian spectrum*, Duke Math. J. **35** (1968), 631–640.

H. A. KHASHAN
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AL AL-BAYT UNIVERSITY
AL-MAFRAQ 130095, JORDAN
E-mail: hakhashan@yahoo.com

H. AL-EZEH
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF JORDAN
AMMAN 11942, JORDAN