

**Zbl 081.01703****Erdős, Pál***On the growth of the cyclotomic polynomial in the interval (0,1).* (In English)**Proc. Glasg. Math. Assoc. 3, 102-104 (1957).**

Suppose  $n$  is a positive integer greater than unity and  $F_n(x)$  is the  $n$ -th cyclotomic polynomial. Let  $A_n$  be the largest absolute value of any coefficient of  $F_n(x)$ , let  $B_n$  be the maximum value taken on by  $F_n(x)$  on the interval  $[0, 1]$ , and let  $C_n$  be the maximum value taken on by  $F_n(x)$  on the disc  $|x| \leq 1$ . In a previous paper (Zbl 038.01004) the author has shown that there is a positive constant  $c$  such that

$$C_n > \exp \exp \{c \log n / \log \log n\}$$

for infinitely many values of  $n$ . Since  $A_n < C_n \leq nA_n$ , this is equivalent to the corresponding assertion for  $A_n$ .

In the present paper the author gives a simpler proof of the more specific assertion that

$$(*) \quad B_n > \exp \exp \{c \log n \log \log n\}$$

for infinitely many values of  $n$ , where  $c$  is a suitably chosen positive number. The values of  $n$  considered are products of a large number of very nearly equal primes and for these values of  $n$  the author investigates  $F_n(x)$  at a carefully chosen value of  $x$  slightly less than  $1 - n^{-1/2}$ . (Since  $F_n(0) = F_n(1) = 1$  if  $n$  has more than one prime factor, the maximum value of  $F_n(x)$  on  $[0, 1]$  occurs at an interior point of the interval.) The argument requires only elementary results on the distribution of prime numbers. Although the author does not calculate  $c$  explicitly, his proof will give (\*) for any  $c$  less than  $\frac{1}{4} \log 2$ , and a slight modification of the argument will give (\*) for any  $c$  less than  $\frac{2}{7} \log 2$ . The author believes that perhaps (\*) holds for any  $c$  less than  $\log 2$ , but that the present method of proof is not strong enough to give such a result. On the other hand, this would be as far as one could go, since, as the reviewer has remarked (cf. Zbl 035.31102), it is almost immediate that if  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then

$$B_n \leq C_n \leq nA_n < \exp \exp \{(1 + \varepsilon)(\log 2) \log n / \log \log n\}$$

for all large  $n$ .

*P. T. Bateman*

Classification:

11C08 Polynomials