



**NEIGHBOURHOODS AND PARTIAL SUMS OF STARLIKE FUNCTIONS BASED
ON RUSCHEWEYH DERIVATIVES**

THOMAS ROSY, K.G. SUBRAMANIAN, AND G. MURUGUSUNDARAMOORTHY

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
MADRAS CHRISTIAN COLLEGE,
TAMBARAM, CHENNAI , INDIA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
VELLORE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, DEEMED UNIVERSITY,
VELLORE, TN-632 014, INDIA
gmsmoorthy@yahoo.com

Received 14 November, 2002; accepted 26 March, 2003

Communicated by A. Lupas

ABSTRACT. In this paper a new class $S_p^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$ of starlike functions is introduced. A subclass $TS_p^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$ of $S_p^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$ with negative coefficients is also considered. These classes are based on Ruscheweyh derivatives. Certain neighbourhood results are obtained. Partial sums $f_n(z)$ of functions $f(z)$ in these classes are considered and sharp lower bounds for the ratios of real part of $f(z)$ to $f_n(z)$ and $f'(z)$ to $f'_n(z)$ are determined.

Key words and phrases: Univalent , Starlike , Convex.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 30C45.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let S denote the family of functions of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. Also denote by T , the subclass of S consisting of functions of the form

$$(1.2) \quad f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| z^k$$

which are univalent and normalized in U .

For $f \in S$, and of the form (1.1) and $g(z) \in S$ given by $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k$, we define the Hadamard product (or convolution) $f * g$ of f and g by

$$(1.3) \quad (f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k.$$

For $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $\beta \geq 0$, we let $S_p^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$ be the subclass of S consisting of functions of the form (1.1) and satisfying the analytic criterion

$$(1.4) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z (D^\lambda f(z))'}{D^\lambda f(z)} - \alpha \right\} > \beta \left| \frac{z (D^\lambda f(z))'}{D^\lambda f(z)} - 1 \right|,$$

where D^λ is the Ruscheweyh derivative [6] defined by

$$D^\lambda f(z) = f(z) * \frac{1}{(1-z)^{\lambda+1}} = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} B_k(\lambda) a_k z^k$$

and

$$(1.5) \quad B_k(\lambda) = \frac{(\lambda+1)_{k-1}}{(k-1)!} = \frac{(\lambda+1)(\lambda+1)\cdots(\lambda+k-1)}{(k-1)!}, \quad \lambda \geq 0.$$

We also let $TS_p^\lambda(\alpha, \beta) = S_p^\lambda(\alpha, \beta) \cap T$. It can be seen that, by specializing on the parameters α, β, λ the class $TS_p^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$ reduces to the classes introduced and studied by various authors [1, 9, 11, 12].

The main aim of this work is to study coefficient bounds and extreme points of the general class $TS_p^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$. Furthermore, we obtain certain neighbourhoods results for functions in $TS_p^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$. Partial sums $f_n(z)$ of functions $f(z)$ in the class $S_p^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$ are considered.

2. THE CLASSES $S_p^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$ AND $TS_p^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$

In this section we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition and extreme points for functions $f(z)$ in the class $TS_p^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$.

Theorem 2.1. *A sufficient condition for a function $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) to be in $S_p^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$ is that*

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[(1+\beta)k - (\alpha+\beta)] B_k(\lambda) |a_k|}{1-\alpha} \leq 1,$$

$-1 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0$ and $B_k(\lambda)$ is as defined in (1.5).

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$\beta \left| \frac{z (D^\lambda f(z))'}{D^\lambda f(z)} - 1 \right| - \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z (D^\lambda f(z))'}{D^\lambda f(z)} - 1 \right\} \leq 1 - \alpha.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta \left| \frac{z (D^\lambda f(z))'}{D^\lambda f(z)} - 1 \right| - \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z (D^\lambda f(z))'}{D^\lambda f(z)} - 1 \right\} &\leq (1+\beta) \left| \frac{z (D^\lambda f(z))'}{D^\lambda f(z)} - 1 \right| \\ &\leq \frac{(1+\beta) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1) B_k(\lambda) |a_k| |z|^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} B_k(\lambda) |a_k| |z|^{k-1}} \\ &\leq \frac{(1+\beta) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1) B_k(\lambda) |a_k|}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} B_k(\lambda) |a_k|}. \end{aligned}$$

This last expression is bounded above by $1 - \alpha$ if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(1 + \beta)k - (\alpha + \beta)] B_k(\lambda) |a_k| \leq 1 - \alpha,$$

and the proof is complete. \square

Now we prove that the above condition is also necessary for $f \in T$.

Theorem 2.2. *A necessary and sufficient condition for f of the form (1.2) namely $f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k$, $a_k \geq 0$, $z \in U$ to be in $TS_p^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$, $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$, $\beta \geq 0$, $\lambda \geq 0$ is that*

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(1 + \beta)k - (\alpha + \beta)] B_k(\lambda) a_k \leq 1 - \alpha.$$

Proof. In view of Theorem 2.1, we need only to prove the necessity. If $f \in TS_p^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$ and z is real then

$$\frac{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k a_k B_k(\lambda) z^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k B_k(\lambda) z^{k-1}} - \alpha \geq \frac{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1) a_k B_k(\lambda) z^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k B_k(\lambda) z^{k-1}}.$$

Letting $z \rightarrow 1$ along the real axis, we obtain the desired inequality

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(1 + \beta)k - (\alpha + \beta)] B_k(\lambda) a_k \leq 1 - \alpha.$$

\square

Theorem 2.3. *The extreme points of $TS_p^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$, $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$, $\beta \geq 0$ are the functions given by*

$$(2.3) \quad f_1(z) = 1 \text{ and } f_k(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{[(1 + \beta)k - (\alpha + \beta)] B_k(\lambda)} z^k,$$

$k = 2, 3, \dots$ where $\lambda > -1$ and $B_k(\lambda)$ is as defined in (1.5).

Corollary 2.4. *A function $f \in TS_p^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$ if and only if f may be expressed as $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k f_k(z)$ where $\mu_k \geq 0$, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k = 1$ and f_1, f_2, \dots are as defined in (2.3).*

3. NEIGHBOURHOOD RESULTS

The concept of neighbourhoods of analytic functions was first introduced by Goodman [4] and then generalized by Ruscheweyh [5]. In this section we study neighbourhoods of functions in the family $TS_p^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$.

Definition 3.1. For $f \in S$ of the form (1.1) and $\delta \geq 0$, we define $\eta - \delta$ -neighbourhood of f by

$$M_\delta^\eta(f) = \left\{ g \in S : g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k \text{ and } \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{\eta+1} |a_k - b_k| \leq \delta \right\},$$

where η is a fixed positive integer.

We may write $M_\delta^\eta(f) = N_\delta(f)$ and $M_\delta^1(f) = M_\delta(f)$ [5]. We also notice that $M_\delta(f)$ was defined and studied by Silverman [7] and also by others [2, 3].

We need the following two lemmas to study the $\eta - \delta$ -neighbourhood of functions in $TS_p^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $m \geq 0$ and $-1 \leq \gamma < 1$. If $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k$ satisfies $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{\mu+1} |b_k| \leq \frac{1-\gamma}{1+\beta}$ then $g \in S_p^\mu(\gamma, \beta)$. The result is sharp.*

Proof. In view of the first part of Theorem 2.1, it is sufficient to show that

$$\frac{k(1+\beta) - (\gamma + \beta)}{1 - \gamma} B_k(\mu) = \frac{k^{\mu+1}}{(1 - \gamma)} (1 + \beta).$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{k(1+\beta) - (\gamma + \beta)}{1 - \gamma} B_k(\mu) &= \frac{(k(1+\beta) - (\gamma + \beta))(\mu + 1) \cdots (\mu + k - 1)}{(1 - \gamma)(k - 1)!} \\ &\leq \frac{k(1+\beta)(\mu + 1)(\mu + 2) \cdots (\mu + k - 1)}{(1 - \gamma)(k - 1)!}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we need to prove that

$$H(k, \mu) = \frac{(\mu + 1)(\mu + 2) \cdots (\mu + k - 1)}{k^\mu (k - 1)!} \leq 1.$$

Since $H(k, \mu) = [(\mu + 1)/2^\mu] \leq 1$, we need only to show that $H(k, \mu)$ is a decreasing function of k . But $H(k + 1, \mu) \leq H(k, \mu)$ is equivalent to $(1 + \mu/k) \leq (1 + 1/k)^\mu$. The result follows because the last inequality holds for all $k \geq 2$. \square

Lemma 3.2. Let $f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in T$, $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$, $\beta \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon \geq 0$. If $\frac{f(z) + \varepsilon z}{1 + \varepsilon} \in TS_p^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$ then

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{\mu+1} a_k \leq \frac{2^{\eta+1} (1 - \alpha) (1 + \varepsilon)}{(2 - \alpha + \beta) (1 + \lambda)},$$

where either $\eta = 0$ and $\lambda \geq 0$ or $\eta = 1$ and $1 \leq \lambda \leq 2$. The result is sharp with the extremal function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{(1 - \alpha) (1 + \varepsilon)}{(2 - \alpha + \beta) (1 + \lambda)} z^2, \quad z \in U.$$

Proof. Letting $g(z) = \frac{f(z) + \varepsilon z}{1 + \varepsilon}$ we have $g(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{1 + \varepsilon} z^k$, $z \in U$.

In view of Corollary 2.4 $g(z)$, may be written as $g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k g_k(z)$, where $\mu_k \geq 0$, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k = 1$,

$$g_1(z) = z \text{ and } g_k(z) = z - \frac{(1 - \alpha) (1 + \varepsilon)}{(k - \alpha + \beta) B_k(\lambda)} z^k, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(z) &= \mu_1 z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \mu_k \left(z - \frac{(1 - \alpha) (1 + \varepsilon)}{(k - \alpha + \beta) B_k(\lambda)} z^k \right) \\ &= z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \mu_k \left(\frac{(1 - \alpha) (1 + \varepsilon)}{(k - \alpha + \beta) B_k(\lambda)} \right) z^k. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mu_k \geq 0$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k \leq 1$, it follows that

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{\eta+1} a_k \leq \sup_{k \geq 2} k^{\eta+1} \left(\frac{(1 - \alpha) (1 + \varepsilon)}{(k - \alpha + \beta) B_k(\lambda)} \right).$$

The result will follow if we can show that $A(k, \eta, \alpha, \varepsilon, \lambda) = \frac{k^{\eta+1}(1-\alpha)(1+\varepsilon)}{(k-\alpha+\beta)B_k(\lambda)}$ is a decreasing function of k . In view of $B_{k+1}(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda+k}{k} B_k(\lambda)$ the inequality

$$A(k + 1, \eta, \alpha, \varepsilon, \lambda) \leq A(k, \eta, \alpha, \varepsilon, \lambda)$$

is equivalent to

$$(k+1)^{\eta+1} (k-\alpha+\beta) \leq k^\eta (k+1-\alpha+\beta) (\lambda+k).$$

This yields

$$(3.1) \quad \lambda(k-\alpha+\beta) + \lambda + \alpha - \beta \geq 0$$

whenever $\eta = 0$ and $\lambda \geq 0$ and

$$(3.2) \quad k[(k+1)(\lambda-1) + (2-\lambda)(\alpha-\beta)] + \alpha - \beta \geq 0,$$

whenever $\eta = 1$ and $1 \leq \lambda \leq 2$. Since (3.1) and (3.2) holds for all $k \geq 2$, the proof is complete. \square

Theorem 3.3. Suppose either $\eta = 0$ and $\lambda \geq 0$ or $\eta = 1$ and $1 \leq \lambda \leq 2$.

Let $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$, and

$$-1 \leq \gamma < \frac{(2-\alpha+\beta)(1+\lambda) - 2^{\eta+1}(1-\alpha)(1+\varepsilon)(1+\beta)}{(2-\alpha+\beta)(1+\lambda)(1+\beta)}.$$

Let $f \in T$ and for all real numbers $0 \leq \varepsilon < \delta$, assume $\frac{f(z)+\varepsilon z}{1+\varepsilon} \in TS_p^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$.

Then the η - δ -neighbourhood of f , namely $M_\delta^\eta(f) \subset S_p^\eta(\gamma, \beta)$ where

$$\delta = \frac{(1-\gamma)(2-\alpha+\beta)(1+\lambda) - 2^{\eta+1}(1-\alpha)(1+\varepsilon)(1+\beta)}{(2-\alpha+\beta)(1+\lambda)(1+\beta)}.$$

The result is sharp, with the extremal function $f(z) = \frac{(1-\alpha)(1+\varepsilon)}{(2-\alpha+\beta)(1+\lambda)} z^2$.

Proof. For a function f of the form (1.2), let $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k$ be in $M_\delta^\eta(f)$. In view of Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{\eta+1} |b_k| &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{\eta+1} |a_k - b_k - a_k| \\ &\leq \delta + \frac{2^{\eta+1}(1-\alpha)(1+\varepsilon)}{(2-\alpha+\beta)(1+\lambda)}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 3.1, it follows that $g \in S_p^\eta(\gamma, \beta)$ if $\delta + \frac{2^{\eta+1}(1-\alpha)(1+\varepsilon)}{(2-\alpha+\beta)(1+\lambda)} \leq \frac{1-\gamma}{1+\beta}$. That is, if

$$\delta \leq \frac{(1-\gamma)(2-\alpha+\beta)(1+\lambda) - 2^{\eta+1}(1-\alpha)(1+\varepsilon)(1+\beta)}{(2-\alpha+\beta)(1+\lambda)(1+\beta)}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.4. By taking $\beta = 0$ and letting $\lambda = 0$, $\lambda = 1$ and $\eta = 0 = \varepsilon$, we note that Theorems 1,2,4 in [8] follow immediately from Theorem 3.3.

4. PARTIAL SUMS

Following the earlier works by Silverman [8] and Silvia [10] on partial sums of analytic functions. We consider in this section partial sums of functions in the class $S_p^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$ and obtain sharp lower bounds for the ratios of real part of $f(z)$ to $f_n(z)$ and $f'(z)$ to $f'_n(z)$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $f(z) \in S_p^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$ be given by (1.1) and define the partial sums $f_1(z)$ and $f_n(z)$, by

$$(4.1) \quad f_1(z) = z; \quad \text{and} \quad f_n(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (n \in \mathbb{N} / \{1\})$$

Suppose also that

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_k |a_k| \leq 1,$$

where $\left(c_k := \frac{[(1+\beta)k - (\alpha+\beta)]B_k(\lambda)}{1-\alpha} \right)$. Then $f \in S_p^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$. Furthermore,

$$(4.3) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{f_n(z)} \right\} > 1 - \frac{1}{c_{n+1}} z \in U, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f_n(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \frac{c_{n+1}}{1 + c_{n+1}}.$$

Proof. It is easily seen that $z \in S_p^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$. Thus from Theorem 3.3 and by hypothesis (4.2), we have

$$(4.5) \quad N_1(z) \subset S_p^\lambda(\alpha, \beta),$$

which shows that $f \in S_p^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$ as asserted by Theorem 4.1.

Next, for the coefficients c_k given by (4.2) it is not difficult to verify that

$$(4.6) \quad c_{k+1} > c_k > 1.$$

Therefore we have

$$(4.7) \quad \sum_{k=2}^n |a_k| + c_{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k| \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_k |a_k| \leq 1$$

by using the hypothesis (4.2).

By setting

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} g_1(z) &= c_{n+1} \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{f_n(z)} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{c_{n+1}} \right) \right\} \\ &= 1 + \frac{c_{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^n a_k z^{k-1}} \end{aligned}$$

and applying (4.7), we find that

$$(4.9) \quad \left| \frac{g_1(z) - 1}{g_1(z) + 1} \right| \leq \frac{c_{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k|}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=2}^n |a_k| - c_{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k|} \leq 1, \quad z \in U,$$

which readily yields the assertion (4.3) of Theorem 4.1. In order to see that

$$(4.10) \quad f(z) = z + \frac{z^{n+1}}{c_{n+1}}$$

gives sharp result, we observe that for $z = re^{i\pi/n}$ that $\frac{f(z)}{f_n(z)} = 1 + \frac{z^n}{c_{n+1}} \rightarrow 1 - \frac{1}{c_{n+1}}$ as $z \rightarrow 1^-$.

Similarly, if we take

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} g_2(z) &= (1 + c_{n+1}) \left\{ \frac{f_n(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{c_{n+1}}{1 + c_{n+1}} \right\} \\ &= 1 - \frac{(1 + c_{n+1}) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}} \end{aligned}$$

and making use of (4.7), we can deduce that

$$(4.12) \quad \left| \frac{g_2(z) - 1}{g_2(z) + 1} \right| \leq \frac{(1 + c_{n+1}) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k|}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=2}^n |a_k| - (1 + c_{n+1}) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k|} \leq 1, \quad z \in U,$$

which leads us immediately to the assertion (4.4) of Theorem 4.1.

The bound in (4.4) is sharp for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with the extremal function $f(z)$ given by (4.10). The proof of Theorem 4.1. is thus complete. \square

Theorem 4.2. *If $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) satisfies the condition (2.1). Then*

$$(4.13) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f'(z)}{f'_n(z)} \right\} \geq 1 - \frac{n+1}{c_{n+1}}.$$

Proof. By setting

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} g(z) &= c_{n+1} \left\{ \frac{f'(z)}{f'_n(z)} - \left(1 - \frac{n+1}{c_{n+1}} \right) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1 + \frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k a_k z^{k-1} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^n k a_k z^{k-1}} \\ &= 1 + \frac{\frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^n k a_k z^{k-1}}, \\ \left| \frac{g(z) - 1}{g(z) + 1} \right| &\leq \frac{\frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k |a_k|}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=2}^n k |a_k| - \frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k |a_k|}. \end{aligned}$$

Now $\left| \frac{g(z)-1}{g(z)+1} \right| \leq 1$ if

$$(4.15) \quad \sum_{k=2}^n k |a_k| + \frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k |a_k| \leq 1$$

since the left hand side of (4.15) is bounded above by $\sum_{k=2}^n c_k |a_k|$ if

$$(4.16) \quad \sum_{k=2}^n (c_k - k) |a_k| + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k - \frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1} k |a_k| \geq 0,$$

and the proof is complete. The result is sharp for the extremal function $f(z) = z + \frac{z^{n+1}}{c_{n+1}}$. \square

Theorem 4.3. *If $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) satisfies the condition (2.1) then*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f'_n(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} \geq \frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1 + c_{n+1}}.$$

Proof. By setting

$$\begin{aligned} g(z) &= [(n+1) + c_{n+1}] \left\{ \frac{f'_n(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1 + c_{n+1}} \right\} \\ &= 1 - \frac{\left(1 + \frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1} \right) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^n k a_k z^{k-1}} \end{aligned}$$

and making use of (4.16), we can deduce that

$$\left| \frac{g(z) - 1}{g(z) + 1} \right| \leq \frac{\left(1 + \frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1} \right) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k |a_k|}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=2}^n k |a_k| - \left(1 + \frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1} \right) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k |a_k|} \leq 1,$$

which leads us immediately to the assertion of the Theorem 4.3. \square

Remark 4.4. We note that $\beta = 1$, and choosing $\lambda = 0$, $\lambda = 1$ these results coincide with the results obtained in [13].

REFERENCES

- [1] O.P. AHUJA, Hadamard product of analytic functions defined by Ruscheweyh derivatives, in *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*, World Scientific Publishing, River Edge, N.J. (1992), 13–28.
- [2] O.P. AHUJA AND M. NUNOKAWA, Neighborhoods of analytic functions defined by Ruscheweyh derivatives, *Math. J.*, **51**(3) (2000), 487–492.
- [3] O. ALTINTAS AND S. OWA, Neighborhood of certain analytic functions with negative coefficients, *Inter. J. Math and Math. Sci.*, **19**(4) (1996), 797–800.
- [4] A.W. GOODMAN, Univalent function with analytic curves, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **8** (1957), 598–601.
- [5] S. RUSCHEWEYH, Neighborhoods of univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **81**(4) (1981), 521–527.
- [6] S. RUSCHEWEYH, New criteria for univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **49** (1975), 109–115.
- [7] H. SILVERMAN, Neighborhoods of classes of analytic function, *Far. East. J. Math. Sci.*, **3**(2) (1995), 165–169.
- [8] H. SILVERMAN, Partial sums of starlike and convex functions, *J. Math. Anal. & Appl.*, **209** (1997), 221–227.
- [9] H. SILVERMAN, Univalent function with negative coefficients, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **51** (1975), 109–116
- [10] E.M. SILVIA, Partial sums of convex functions of order α , *Houston J. Math.*, **11**(3) (1985), 397–404.
- [11] K.G. SUBRAMANIAN, T.V. SUDHARSAN, P. BALASUBRAHMANYAM AND H. SILVERMAN, Class of uniformly starlike functions, *Publ. Math. Debercen*, **53**(4) (1998) ,309–315.
- [12] K.G. SUBRAMANIAN, G. MURUGUSUNDARAMOORTHY, P. BALASUBRAHMANYAM AND H. SILVERMAN, Subclasses of uniformly convex and uniformly starlike functions, *Math. Japonica*, **42**(3) (1995), 517–522.
- [13] T. ROSY, Studies on subclasses of starlike and convex functions, Ph.D., Thesis, Madras University (2001).