

Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics

POWER-MONOTONE SEQUENCES AND FOURIER SERIES WITH POSITIVE COEFFICIENTS

J. NEMETH

Department of Mathematics
Bolyai Institute, University of Szeged,
Aradi Vértanúk Tere 1.
6720 Szeged, Hungary
EMail: nemethj@math.u-szeged.hu

©2000 Victoria University
ISSN (electronic): 1443-5756
027-00



volume 2, issue 2, article 14,
2001.

*Received 25 July, 2000;
accepted 10 August, 2000.*

Communicated by: L. Leindler

Abstract

Contents



Home Page

Go Back

Close

Quit

Abstract

M. and S. Izumi [2] and the present author [7] have extended certain theorems of R.P. Boas [1] concerning to the Fourier coefficients of functions belonging to the Lipschitz classes. Very recently L. Leindler [6] has given further generalization using the so called quasi power-monotone sequences. The goal of the present work is to prove further theorems similar to those of L. Leindler.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26A16, 26A15, 40A05.


Key words: Fourier series, Fourier coefficients, modulus of continuity, quasi power-monotone sequences.

This research was partially supported by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research under Grant # T029094.

Dedicated to Professor L. Leindler on his 65th birthday

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Notions and Notations	5
3	Theorems	8
4	Lemmas	13
5	Proof of the Theorems	16
	References	



Power-Monotone Sequences and Fourier Series with Positive Coefficients

J. Németh

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit


Page 2 of 23

1. Introduction

In 1967 R.P. Boas [1] proved a series of theorems on the connection between the magnitude of the Fourier-coefficients of a function f and its structural properties described by the modulus of continuity. Namely, he investigated the function classes $\text{Lip}\alpha$ and the Zygmund class from this point of view. In 1969 M. and S. Izumi [2] generalized these results for the case $0 < \alpha < 1$ and for the Zygmund class. They used in the definition of these classes a function $j(t)$, which is more a general function than t^α . In 1990 Boas's results were also generalized by the present author [7] using the so called generalized Lipschitz and Zygmund classes replacing the function t^α ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) by the more general function of moduli of continuity: $\omega_\alpha(t)$ ($0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$).

Very recently, L. Leindler [6] has given generalization of two of our theorems of the type mentioned above, using the so called quasi power-monotone sequences. His results contain our theorems for the case $0 < \alpha < 1$ and in the case $\alpha = 1$ for the sine series. It should be noted that it can easily be proved that Leindler's theorems contain the main results of M. and S. Izumi, too. In other words it turns out that the common root of the two directions of generalizations given by M. and S. Izumi and the present author is tightly connected with the main properties of the quasi power-monotone sequences.

The object of this paper is to prove two further theorems using Leindler's method for the case $\omega_\alpha(t)$ if $\alpha = 0$ and for the generalized Zygmund class, showing again the utility of the concept of quasi power-monotone sequences in unifying the earlier completely different directions of generalization concerning Boas's results. These results are the generalizations of further theorems of M. and S. Izumi and ours.



Power-Monotone Sequences
and Fourier Series with Positive
Coefficients

J. Németh

Title Page

Contents




Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 3 of 23

The idea of writing this paper originated from L. Leindler's intention drawn up in his recent paper [6].



**Power-Monotone Sequences
and Fourier Series with Positive
Coefficients**

J. Németh

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 4 of 23

2. Notions and Notations

Before formulating the known and new results we recall some definitions and notations.

Let $\omega(\delta)$ be a modulus of continuity, i.e. a nondecreasing function on the interval $[0, 2\pi]$ having the properties: $\omega(0) = 0$, $\omega(\delta_1 + \delta_2) \leq \omega(\delta_1) + \omega(\delta_2)$.

Denote $\omega(f; \delta)$ and $\omega^{(2)}(f; \delta)$ the modulus of continuity and the modulus of continuity of second order of a function f , respectively.

L. Leindler [3] introduced the following function classes. Let Ω_α ($0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$) denote the set of the moduli of continuity $\omega(\delta) = \omega_\alpha(\delta)$ having the following properties:

(1) for any $\alpha' > \alpha$ there exists a natural number $\mu = \mu(\alpha')$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad 2^{\mu\alpha'} \omega_\alpha(2^{-n-\mu}) > 2\omega_\alpha(2^{-n}) \text{ holds for all } n(\geq 1),$$

(2) for every natural number ν there exists a natural number $N := N(\nu)$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad 2^{\nu\alpha} \omega_\alpha(2^{-n-\nu}) \leq 2\omega_\alpha(2^{-n}), \text{ if } n > N.$$

For any $\omega_\alpha \in \Omega_\alpha$ the classes H^{ω_α} , and $(H^{\omega_1})^*$, i.e.

$$H^{\omega_\alpha} := \{f : \omega(f; \delta) = O(\omega_\alpha(\delta))\}$$

and

$$(H^{\omega_1})^* := \{f : \omega^{(2)}(f; \delta) = O(\omega_1(\delta))\}$$



will be called generalized Lipschitz and Zygmund classes, respectively.

M. and S. Izumi [2], introduced the following function classes. Let $j(t)$ be a positive and non-decreasing function defined on the interval $(0, 1)$. The $\text{Lip}j(t)$ and $\Lambda(j(t))$ classes are defined as follows:

$$\text{Lip}j(t) : = \left\{ f : \sup_{t,x} \left(\frac{|f(x+t) - f(x)|}{j(t)} \right) < \infty \right\};$$

$$\Lambda(j(t)) : = \left\{ f : \sup_{t,x} \left(\frac{|f(x+t) - 2f(x) + f(x-t)|}{j(t)} \right) < \infty \right\}.$$

(Further conditions required on $j(t)$ will be detailed later in the next paragraph.)

We shall say that a sequence $\gamma := \{\gamma_n\}$ of positive terms is quasi β -power-monotone increasing (decreasing) if there exists a natural number $N := N(\beta, \gamma)$ and constant $K := K(\beta, \gamma) \geq 1$ such that

$$(2.3) \quad Kn^\beta \gamma_n \geq m^\beta \gamma_m, \quad (n^\beta \gamma_n \leq Km^\beta \gamma_m)$$


holds for any $n \geq m \geq N$.

Here and in what follows K and K_i denote positive constants that are not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

If (2.3) holds with $\beta = 0$ then we omit the attribute “ β -power” in the inequality.

Furthermore, we shall say that a sequence $\gamma := \{\gamma_n\}$ of positive terms is quasi geometrically increasing (decreasing) if there exist natural numbers $\mu := \mu(\gamma)$, $N := N(\gamma)$ and a constant $K := K(\gamma) \geq 1$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad \gamma_{n+\mu} \geq 2\gamma_n \text{ and } \gamma_n \leq K\gamma_{n+1}, \quad \left(\gamma_{n+\mu} \leq \frac{1}{2}\gamma_n \text{ and } \gamma_{n+1} \leq K\gamma_n \right)$$



**Power-Monotone Sequences
and Fourier Series with Positive
Coefficients**

J. Németh

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 6 of 23


hold for all $n \geq N$.

Finally a sequence $\{\gamma_n\}$ will be called bounded by blocks if the inequalities

$$\alpha_1 \Gamma_m^{(k)} \leq \gamma_n \leq \alpha_2 \Gamma_M^{(k)}, \quad 0 < \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 < \infty$$

hold for any $2^k \leq n \leq 2^{k+1}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, where

$$\Gamma_m^{(k)} := \min(\gamma_{2^k}, \gamma_{2^{k+1}}) \text{ and } \Gamma_M^{(k)} := \max(\gamma_{2^k}, \gamma_{2^{k+1}}).$$



**Power-Monotone Sequences
and Fourier Series with Positive
Coefficients**

J. Németh

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 7 of 23

3. Theorems

To begin with, we recall one theorem of M. and S. Izumi [2], two of ours [7] and finally one of Leindler's theorems [6].

Throughout the rest of this paper $g(x), f(x), \varphi(x)$ will denote continuous 2π periodic functions; furthermore $g(x)$ and $f(x)$ always denote odd and even functions, respectively. $\varphi(x)$ will denote either an odd or an even function while λ_n will denote the Fourier coefficients of $g(x), f(x)$ or $\varphi(x)$.

Theorem 3.1. ([2]). *Let $\lambda_n \geq 0$ and let $j(t)$ be a positive and nondecreasing function in the interval $(0, 1)$, satisfying the conditions*

$$(3.1) \quad \int_0^t j(u)u^{-1}du \leq Kj(t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0,$$

and


$$(3.2) \quad \int_t^1 j(u)u^{-3}du \leq Kj(t)t^{-2} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

Then $\varphi \in \Lambda(j(t))$ if and only if

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{k=n/2}^n \lambda_k \leq Kj\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

It should be noted that by (3.1) the condition (3.3) is equivalent to

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \lambda_k \leq Kj\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$



Furthermore, in its original form this theorem seems to be slightly more general, since the continuity of φ is not mentioned, although in the definition of $\Lambda(t)$ given by Zygmund [9] this additional condition is assumed.

Theorem 3.2. ([7]). Let $\lambda_n \geq 0$. Then

$$(3.5) \quad \varphi \in (H^{\omega_1})^*$$

if and only if

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \lambda_k = O\left(\omega_1\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right).$$

Theorem 3.3. ([7]). Let $\lambda_n \geq 0$. Then

$$(3.7) \quad f \in H^{\omega_0}$$

if and only if


$$(3.8) \quad \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \lambda_k = O\left(\omega_0\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right).$$

Furthermore,

$$(3.9) \quad g \in H^{\omega_0}$$

implies

$$(3.10) \quad \sum_{k=n}^n k \lambda_k = O\left(n \omega_0\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right),$$



**Power-Monotone Sequences
and Fourier Series with Positive
Coefficients**

J. Németh

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 9 of 23

and from

$$(3.11) \quad \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \lambda_k = O\left(\omega_0\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right),$$

$$(3.12) \quad g \in H^{\omega_0}$$

follows.

Theorem 3.4. ([6]). Assume that a given positive sequence $\{\gamma_n\}$ has the following properties. There exists a positive ε such that:

(P_+) the sequence $\{n^\varepsilon \gamma_n\}$ is quasi monotone decreasing and

(P_-) the sequence $\{n^{1-\varepsilon} \gamma_n\}$ is quasi monotone increasing.

If $\lambda_n \geq 0$, then


$$(3.13) \quad \omega\left(\varphi, \frac{1}{n}\right) = O(\gamma_n)$$

if and only if

$$(3.14) \quad \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \lambda_k = O(\gamma_n)$$

or, equivalently,

$$(3.15) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n k \lambda_k = O(n \gamma_n).$$



Power-Monotone Sequences
and Fourier Series with Positive
Coefficients

J. Németh

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 10 of 23

As we mentioned earlier, Theorem 3.4 contains one of theorems of M. and S. Izumi (see [2, Theorem 1]) and two of ours (see [7, Theorems 1 and 2]). We now proceed to formulate our new theorems.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\lambda_n \geq 0$ and let γ_n have the properties:*

(P_+) *the sequence $\{n^\varepsilon \gamma_n\}$ is quasi monotone decreasing and*

(\hat{P}) *the sequence $\{n^{2-\varepsilon} \gamma_n\}$ is quasi monotone increasing for some positive ε .*
Then

$$(3.16) \quad \omega^{(2)} \left(\varphi; \frac{1}{n} \right) = O(\gamma_n)$$

if and only if

$$(3.17) \quad \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \lambda_k = O(\gamma_n).$$


Theorem 3.6. *Assume that γ_n has the following property:*

(P_-) *the sequence $\{n^{1-\varepsilon} \gamma_n\}$ is quasi monotone increasing for some positive ε . If $\lambda_n \geq 0$, then*

$$(3.18) \quad \omega \left(f; \frac{1}{n} \right) = O(\gamma_n)$$

if and only if

$$(3.19) \quad \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \lambda_k = O(\gamma_n).$$



Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 11 of 23

Furthermore,

$$(3.20) \quad \omega \left(g; \frac{1}{n} \right) = O(\gamma_n)$$

implies

$$(3.21) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n k \lambda_k = O(n\gamma_n),$$


and from

$$(3.22) \quad \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \lambda_k = O(\gamma_n),$$

$$(3.23) \quad \omega \left(g; \frac{1}{n} \right) = O(\gamma_n)$$

follows.

Remark 3.1. We shall prove that Theorem 3.5 includes Theorems 3.1 and 3.2. Additionally, Theorem 3.6 implies Theorem 3.3.



Power-Monotone Sequences
and Fourier Series with Positive
Coefficients

J. Németh

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 12 of 23

4. Lemmas

To prove our theorems we require the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. ([5]). *A positive sequence $\{\delta_n\}$ bounded by blocks is quasi ε -power monotone increasing (decreasing) with a certain negative (positive) exponent ε if and only if the sequence $\{\delta_{2^n}\}$ is quasi geometrically increasing (decreasing).*

Lemma 4.2. ([4]). *For any positive sequence $\gamma := \{\gamma_n\}$ the inequalities*

$$\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \gamma_n \leq K \gamma_m \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots; K \geq 1),$$

or

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \gamma_n \leq K \gamma_m \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots; K \geq 1),$$


hold if and only if the sequence γ is quasi geometrically decreasing or increasing, respectively.

Lemma 4.3. ([6]). *Let $\mu_n \geq 0$, $\beta_n > 0$ and $\delta > 0$. Assume that there exists a positive ε such that the sequence*

(i) $\{n^{-\varepsilon} \beta_n\}$ is quasi monotone increasing,

and the sequence

(ii) $\{n^{\varepsilon-\delta} \beta_n\}$ is quasi monotone decreasing.



Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 13 of 23

Then

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n k^\delta \mu_k = O(\beta_n)$$

is equivalent to

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \mu_k = O(\beta_n n^{-\delta}).$$

Lemma 4.4. ([6]). Let $\mu_k \geq 0$, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k$ be convergent and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Moreover, assume that a given positive sequence $\{\delta_n\}$ has the following properties. There exists a positive ε such that:

(iii) the sequence $\{n^{\varepsilon-\alpha}\delta_n\}$ is quasi monotone decreasing, and


(iv) the sequence $\{n^{2-\alpha-\varepsilon}\delta_n\}$ is quasi monotone increasing.

Finally let

$$\delta(x) := \begin{cases} \delta_n & \text{if } x = \frac{1}{n}, \quad n \geq 1; \\ \text{linear on the interval} & \left[\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n} \right]. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k (1 - \cos kx) = O(x^\alpha \delta(x)) \quad (x \rightarrow 0)$$



Title Page

Contents



Go Back


Close

Quit

Page 14 of 23

if and only if

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \mu_k = O(n^{-\alpha} \delta_n).$$



**Power-Monotone Sequences
and Fourier Series with Positive
Coefficients**

J. Németh

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 15 of 23

5. Proof of the Theorems

Proof of Theorem 3.5. Firstly we prove the theorem for the cosine series. Suppose that (3.16) holds. This implies that

$$(5.1) \quad |f(x+h) + f(x-h) - 2f(x)| \leq K\gamma(h),$$

where

$$(5.2) \quad \gamma(x) := \begin{cases} \gamma_n & \text{if } x = \frac{1}{n}, \quad n \geq 1; \\ \text{linear on the interval} & \left[\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n} \right]. \end{cases}$$

From (5.1) it follows that

$$(5.3) \quad |f(h) - f(0)| \leq K\gamma(h).$$


Since f is continuous and $\lambda_n \geq 0$, from a theorem of Paley (see [8]) it follows that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k < \infty,$$

whence

$$(5.4) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k (1 - \cos kh) = O(\gamma(h))$$

follows.



Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 16 of 23

Using Lemma 4.4 for $\alpha = 0$, $\mu_k = \lambda_k$, $\delta_n = \gamma_n$ we have (3.17), that was to be proved. Now we assume (3.17) and estimate the following difference by using again Lemma 4.4 in the last step (for $\alpha = 0$, $\mu_k = \lambda_k$ and $\delta_n = \gamma_n$)

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x+2h) + f(x-2h) - 2f(x)| &= 4 \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \sin^2 kh \cos kx \right| \\ &\leq 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \sin^2 kh = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k (1 - \cos 2kh) \\ &= O(\gamma(h)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof of Theorem 3.5 is completed for the cosine series.

The proof for the sine series in the direction from (3.17) to (3.16) can be done in the same way as for the cosine series, since


$$(5.5) \quad |g(x+2h) + g(x-2h) - 2g(x)| = 4 \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \sin kx \sin^2 kh \right|.$$

So we detail only the other direction. Suppose (3.16), that is

$$(5.6) \quad |g(x+h) + g(x-h) - 2g(x)| = O(\gamma(h)).$$

Writing (5.6) in the following form (using again Paley's theorem cited before):

$$(5.7) \quad 2 \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \sin kx (1 - \cos kh) \right| = O(\gamma(h)).$$



Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 17 of 23

By integrating term by term on $(0, x)$ in (5.7) we get

$$(5.8) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \frac{1 - \cos kx}{k} (1 - \cos kh) = O(x\gamma(h)).$$

From (5.8) we have

$$(5.9) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^2 k \lambda_k \frac{1 - \cos kx}{k^2 x^2} (1 - \cos kh) = O(x\gamma(h)).$$

Since $K \geq t^{-2}(1 - \cos t) \downarrow$ on $(0, 1)$, from (5.9) it follows that

$$(5.10) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{[1/x]} xk \lambda_k (1 - \cos kh) = O(\gamma(h)).$$

Putting $h = x$ in (5.10)


$$(5.11) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{[1/h]} hk \lambda_k (1 - \cos kh) = O(\gamma(h))$$

can be obtained which gives

$$(5.12) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{[1/h]} h^3 k^3 \lambda_k \frac{1 - \cos kh}{k^2 h^2} = O(\gamma(h)).$$

From (5.12) taking $h = \frac{1}{n}$

$$(5.13) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n k^3 \lambda_k = O(n^3 \gamma_n)$$



Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 18 of 23

follows.

By using Lemma 4.3 for $\beta_n = n^3\gamma_n$, $\delta = 3$ (5.13) implies (3.17) which was to be proved. It can easily be verified that the conditions (i) and (ii) of Lemma 4.3 follow from properties \hat{P} and P^+ of γ_n , respectively.

Thus Theorem 3.5 is completely proved. □

Proof of Theorem 3.6. Let $f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \cos kx$ and suppose that (3.18) is valid. Then we have $|f(h) - f(0)| \leq K\gamma(h)$ (for the definition $\gamma(x)$ see (5.2)).

That is,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k (1 - \cos kh) \leq K\gamma(h).$$

Integrating both sides on $(0, x)$ we have


$$(5.14) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_k}{k} (kx - \sin kx) \leq Kx\gamma(x).$$

Since $kx - \sin kx \geq 0$, we have from (5.14)

$$(5.15) \quad \sum_{k=2n}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_k}{k} (kx - \sin kx) \leq Kx\gamma(x).$$

Putting $1/n$ for x and taking into account that

$$\frac{k}{n} - \sin\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{n} \quad \text{for } k \geq 2n$$



Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 19 of 23

we get

$$(5.16) \quad \sum_{k=2n}^{\infty} \lambda_k \leq K\gamma_n,$$

which gives (3.19).

Now we suppose that (3.19) holds and we prove (3.18).

Let us consider the following difference:


$$(5.17) \quad \begin{aligned} |f(x+2h) - f(x)| &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k [\cos k(x+2h) - \cos kx] \right| \\ &= 2 \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \sin k(x+h) \sin kh \right| \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^{[1/h]} \lambda_k \sin kh + \sum_{k=[1/h]}^{\infty} \lambda_k = I + II. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.19) we have that $II = O(\gamma(h))$. Now we estimate I .

$$(5.18) \quad I = 2 \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{[1/h]} \lambda_k \sin kh = 2h \sum_{k=1}^{[1/h]} k \lambda_k \frac{\sin kh}{kh} \leq K \cdot h \sum_{k=1}^{[1/h]} k \lambda_k = I'.$$

But by using the property P_- and Lemma 1, Lemma 2 we show that (3.19) implies

$$(5.19) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n k \lambda_k = O(n\gamma_n).$$



**Power-Monotone Sequences
and Fourier Series with Positive
Coefficients**

J. Németh

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 20 of 23

Indeed, let $2^\nu < n \leq 2^{\nu+1}$ then we have

$$\sum_{k=2}^n k\lambda_k \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\nu} \sum_{k=2^{m+1}}^{2^{m+1}} k\lambda_k \leq K \sum_{m=0}^{\nu} 2^m \sum_{k=2^{m+1}}^{2^{m+1}} \lambda_k \leq K \sum_{m=0}^{\nu} 2^m \gamma_{2^m} \leq Kn\gamma_n,$$

which gives (5.19). Finally (5.17), (5.18) and (5.19) give (3.18), which was to be proved.

Now we prove (3.21) from (3.20). Using the estimation

$$(5.20) \quad |g(x)| \leq K\gamma(x),$$

term by term integration on $(0, x)$ gives from (5.20) that

$$(5.21) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_k}{k} (1 - \cos kx) \leq Kx\gamma(x),$$

that is


$$(5.22) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{[1/x]} k\lambda_k \frac{1 - \cos kx}{k^2 x^2} \leq K \frac{\gamma(x)}{x}$$

holds for any positive x . As before from (5.22) it follows that

$$(5.23) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{[1/x]} k\lambda_k \leq K \frac{\gamma(x)}{x},$$

which taking $x = \frac{1}{n}$ gives (3.21).

The proof of (3.23) from (3.22) can be done in the very same way as (3.18) from (3.19), so we omit it. Theorem 3.6 is completed. \square



**Power-Monotone Sequences
and Fourier Series with Positive
Coefficients**

J. Németh

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close


Quit

Page 21 of 23

Proof of Remark 3.1. For the implication Theorem 3.6 \Rightarrow Theorem 3.1 let $\gamma_n := j(1/n)$. Then using Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 from (3.1), property P_+ follows, while (3.2) implies property \hat{P} of γ_n .

To show that Theorem 3.5 includes Theorem 3.2 it is enough to take $\gamma_n := \omega_1(1/n)$ and to take into account that using Lemma 1 property (2.1) of $\omega_1(\delta)$ implies property \hat{P} while from condition (2.2) the property P_+ of γ_n follows.

Similarly, to prove the conclusion Theorem 3.6 \Rightarrow Theorem 3.3 it is enough to use Lemma 1 to show that the condition (2.1) of $\omega_0(\delta)$ implies that $\omega_0(1/n)$ satisfies the property P_- , so choosing $\gamma_n := \omega_0(1/n)$ the proof is completed. \square



**Power-Monotone Sequences
and Fourier Series with Positive
Coefficients**

J. Németh

Title Page

Contents



Go Back


Close

Quit

Page 22 of 23

References

- [1] R.P. BOAS Jr., Fourier series with positive coefficients, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **17**(1967), 463–483.
- [2] M. IZUMI AND S. IZUMI, Lipschitz classes and Fourier coefficients, *J. Math. Mech.*, **18** (1969), 857–870.
- [3] L. LEINDLER, Strong approximation and generalized Lipschitz classes, *Functional analysis and approximation* (Oberwolfach, 1980), Birkhäuser (Basel-Boston, 1981), 343–350.
- [4] L. LEINDLER, On the converses of inequality of Hardy and Littlewood, *Acta Sci. Math.* (Szeged), **58** (1993), 191–196.
- [5] L. LEINDLER AND J. NÉMETH, On the connection between quasi power-monotone and quasi geometrical sequences with application to integrability theorems for power series, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **68**(1-2) (1995), 7–19.
- [6] L. LEINDLER, Power-monotone sequences and Fourier series with positive coefficients, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, **1**(1) (2000), Article1, http://jipam.vu.edu.au/v1n1/001_99.html.
- [7] J. NÉMETH, Fourier series with positive coefficients and generalized Lipschitz classes, *Acta Sci. Math.* (Szeged), **54** (1990), 291–304.
- [8] R.E.A.C. PALEY, On Fourier series with positive coefficients, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **7**(2) (1932), 205–208.
- [9] A. ZYGMUND, *Trigonometric Series*, Cambridge, 1959.



Power-Monotone Sequences and Fourier Series with Positive Coefficients

J. Németh

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 23 of 23