



# INEQUALITIES FOR 3-LOG-CONVEX FUNCTIONS

KENNETH S. BERENHAUT AND DONGHUI CHEN

Department of Mathematics  
Wake Forest University  
Winston-Salem, NC 27109

EMail: [berenhks@wfu.edu](mailto:berenhks@wfu.edu)    [chend6@wfu.edu](mailto:chend6@wfu.edu)  
URL: <http://www.math.wfu.edu/Faculty/berenhaut.html>

*Received:* 07 December, 2007  
*Accepted:* 13 August, 2008  
*Communicated by:* S.S. Dragomir  
*2000 AMS Sub. Class.:* 33B15, 26A51, 26A48, 26D20.  
*Key words:* Inequalities, Logarithmic derivative, Convexity, Gamma function, Digamma function, Extended means.  
*Abstract:* This note gives a simple method for obtaining inequalities for ratios involving 3-log-convex functions. As an example, an inequality for Wallis's ratio of Gautchi-Kershaw type is obtained. Inequalities for generalized means are also considered.  
*Acknowledgements:* We are grateful to a referee for informing us of references [21, 22, 23, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32] as well as for stylistic comments that improved the manuscript.


---

3-log-convex Functions  
Kenneth S. Berenhaut  
and Donghui Chen  
vol. 9, iss. 4, art. 97, 2008

---

Title Page

Contents



Page 1 of 19

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of **inequalities**  
in pure and applied  
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Proof of Theorem 1.1</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Applications</b>	<b>6</b>
3.1	Inequalities of Gautschi-Kershaw type . . . . .	6
<b>4</b>	<b>Inequalities for Functions of the Form <math>(v^x - u^x)/x</math></b>	<b>10</b>



---

**3-log-convex Functions**  
Kenneth S. Berenhaut  
and Donghui Chen

vol. 9, iss. 4, art. 97, 2008

---

Title Page

Contents



Page 2 of 19

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of **inequalities**  
in pure and applied  
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756



Title Page

Contents



Page 3 of 19

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

## 1. Introduction

This paper studies inequalities for positive real valued 3-log-convex (and 3-log-concave) functions. As has become customary (see for instance [23] and [31]), we refer to a function  $f$  as 3-log-convex on the interval  $(a, b)$  if  $f$  is positive and 3-times differentiable on  $(a, b)$  and  $[\ln(f(t))]''' \geq 0$  for  $t \in (a, b)$  ( $f$  is referred to as 3-log-concave if instead  $[\ln(f(t))]''' \leq 0$ ). In particular, suppose that  $g$  is a positive differentiable function defined on the interval  $(a, b)$ , and let  $h$  be the logarithmic derivative of  $g$ , i.e.

$$(1.1) \quad h(x) = \frac{g'(x)}{g(x)}$$

for  $x \in (a, b)$ .

We will prove the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose that for  $a < x < b$ ,  $g(x) > 0$ ,  $h = g'/g$  is twice differentiable and  $h''(x) > 0$ . Set  $R(x) = g(a + b - x)/g(x)$ . Then*

$$(1.2) \quad R(b-)e^{2h(\frac{a+b}{2})(b-x)} \leq R(x) \leq R(a+)e^{2h(\frac{a+b}{2})(a-x)}$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad R(a+)e^{(h(a+)+h(b-))(a-x)} \leq R(x) \leq R(b-)e^{(h(a+)+h(b-))(b-x)}.$$

for  $a < x < b$ , where it is assumed that all four of the one-sided limits,  $h(a+)$ ,  $R(a+)$ ,  $h(b-)$  and  $R(b-)$  exist and are finite.

In addition, if instead  $g(x) > 0$  and  $h''(x) < 0$  for  $a < x < b$  then the inequalities in (1.2) and (1.3) are reversed.

To see where one might apply Theorem 1.1, consider the function  $g$  defined via  $g(x) = \Gamma(A + x)$ , where  $A > 0$  and  $\Gamma$  is the well-known Euler's gamma function.



We have  $g'(x)/g(x) = \Psi(A+x)$ , where  $\Psi$  is the digamma function (cf. [2, 3]). It is well-known (see for instance [9]) that  $\Psi$  is concave on  $(0, \infty)$ . Hence Theorem 1.1 is applicable. In Section 3, below, we will prove the following.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Suppose that  $0 < s < 2$  and  $v > 0$ , then*

$$(1.4) \quad \left(v + \frac{s}{2}\right) e^{-\Psi\left(v + \frac{s+1}{2}\right)s} \leq \frac{\Gamma(v+1)}{\Gamma(v+s)} \leq \frac{1}{v + \frac{s}{2}} e^{2\Psi\left(v + \frac{s+1}{2}\right)\left(1 - \frac{s}{2}\right)}$$

and

$$(1.5) \quad \frac{1}{v + \frac{s}{2}} e^{\left(2\Psi\left(v + \frac{s}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{v + \frac{s}{2}}\right)\left(1 - \frac{s}{2}\right)} \leq \frac{\Gamma(v+1)}{\Gamma(v+s)} \leq \left(v + \frac{s}{2}\right) e^{-\left(2\Psi\left(v + \frac{s}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{v + \frac{s}{2}}\right)\frac{s}{2}}.$$

Note that the inequalities in (1.4) and (1.5) hold in the range  $0 < s < 2$  which is somewhat uncustomary for results of this type for the ratio  $\frac{\Gamma(v+1)}{\Gamma(v+s)}$  which tend to hold for  $0 < s < 1$  (although reversed inequalities hold for  $1 < s < 2$ ) (see [10, 13]). Some comparisons are provided in Section 3.

We remark that recently many functions have been shown to be logarithmically completely monotone (see for instance [4, 7, 8, 19, 20, 24, 25, 27]). Such functions have, in particular, convex (or concave) logarithmic derivatives and hence Theorem 1.1 is applicable in these cases.

The remainder of the paper proceeds as follows. In Section 2, we provide a simple proof of Theorem 1.1. Section 3 is devoted to applications including a proof of Theorem 1.2 and an inequality for generalized means.

Title Page

Contents



Page 4 of 19

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of **inequalities**  
in pure and applied  
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756



Title Page

Contents



Page 5 of 19

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of **inequalities**  
in pure and applied  
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this short section we provide a proof of Theorem 1.1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* First, suppose  $h''(x) > 0$  for  $x \in (a, b)$ , and for  $W \in \mathbb{R}$ , define  $f_W$  via

$$f_W(x) = R(x)e^{Wx}.$$

Then, we have

$$\log(f_W(x)) = \log(g(a + b - x)) - \log(g(x)) + Wx$$

and

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{d}{dx} \log(f_W(x)) = W - (h(x) + h(a + b - x)) = W - V(x),$$

where  $V(x) = h(x) + h(a + b - x)$ .

Now, for  $x \in (a, \frac{a+b}{2})$ ,  $x < a + b - x$  and hence since  $h''(x) > 0$ ,  $h'(x) < h'(a + b - x)$  and thus

$$(2.2) \quad V'(x) = h'(x) - h'(a + b - x) < 0.$$

Similarly, for  $x \in (\frac{a+b}{2}, b)$ ,  $x > a + b - x$  and hence

$$(2.3) \quad V'(x) = h'(x) - h'(a + b - x) > 0.$$

Combining (2.2) and (2.3) gives that for  $x \in (a, b)$ ,

$$V\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq V(x) \leq V(a+) = V(b-).$$

Employing (2.1) we then have that  $f_W$  is nondecreasing on  $(a, b)$  for  $W = V(a+)$  and nonincreasing on  $(a, b)$  for  $W = V(\frac{a+b}{2})$ . The inequalities in (1.2) and (1.3) then follow. The case  $h''(x) < 0$  follows similarly, and the result is proven.  $\square$

### 3. Applications

#### 3.1. Inequalities of Gautschi-Kershaw type

Inequalities for the ratio  $\Gamma(v+1)/\Gamma(v+s)$  have been studied extensively by many authors; for results and useful references, see [1, 6, 10, 12, 14, 15, 18, 20, 21, 22, 25, 27, 30, 32].

To see how Theorem 1.2 follows from Theorem 1.1, set  $(a, b) = (0, 1)$  and  $g(x) = \Gamma(A+x)$ . Then, note that  $h(x) = \Psi(A+x)$ ,  $h(1/2) = \Psi(A + \frac{1}{2})$ ,

$$h(0+) + h(1-) = \Psi(A) + \Psi(A+1) = 2\Psi(A) + \frac{1}{A},$$

$$R(1-) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\Gamma(A+1-x)}{\Gamma(A+x)} = \frac{1}{A},$$

and

$$R(0+) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\Gamma(A+1-x)}{\Gamma(A+x)} = A.$$

Employing (1.2) and (1.3), since  $h''(x) < 0$ , we have

$$(3.1) \quad Ae^{-2\Psi(A+1/2)x} \leq \frac{\Gamma(A+1-x)}{\Gamma(A+x)} \leq \frac{1}{A}e^{2\Psi(A+1/2)(1-x)}$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{1}{A}e^{(2\Psi(A)+\frac{1}{A})(1-x)} \leq \frac{\Gamma(A+1-x)}{\Gamma(A+x)} \leq Ae^{-2(\Psi(A)+\frac{1}{A})x}$$

for  $0 < x < 1$ . Theorem 1.2 then follows upon substituting  $A = v + s/2$  and  $x = s/2$ .



Title Page

Contents



Page 6 of 19

Go Back

Full Screen

Close



Title Page

Contents



Page 7 of 19

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

From Kershaw [14], we have that for  $0 < s < 1$ ,

$$(3.3) \quad e^{(1-s)\Psi(v+\sqrt{s})} \leq \frac{\Gamma(v+1)}{\Gamma(v+s)} \leq e^{(1-s)\Psi(v+\frac{s+1}{2})}$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad \left(v + \frac{s}{2}\right)^{1-s} \leq \frac{\Gamma(v+1)}{\Gamma(v+s)} \leq \left(v - \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{s + \frac{1}{4}}\right)^{1-s}.$$

In [10, 13], it was proven that the inequalities in (3.3) and (3.4) are reversed for  $1 < s < 2$ .

Computations suggest that the upper bound in (1.5) is an improvement on both upper bounds in (3.3) and (3.4) for small  $s$  and that the lower bound in (1.5) is an improvement on the lower bounds implied by (3.3) and (3.4) for  $s$  near 2. Let  $L_1, U_1, L_2, U_2$  denote the lower and upper bounds in (3.3) and (3.4), respectively and  $L_1^*, U_1^*, L_2^*, U_2^*$  denote the lower and upper bounds in (1.4) and (1.5), respectively. Comparison data is given in Table 1 for  $v = 1$  and  $s \in \{1/4, 7/4\}$ . We have in particular that for  $(v, s) = (1, 1/4)$

$$L_2^* < L_1 < L_1^* < L_2 < \frac{\Gamma(v+1)}{\Gamma(v+s)} < U_2^* < U_1 < U_2 < U_1^*,$$

while for  $(v, s) = (1, 7/4)$

$$L_1^* < U_2 < U_1 < L_2^* < \frac{\Gamma(v+1)}{\Gamma(v+s)} < L_2 < U_1^* < L_1 < U_2^*.$$

In the first case, the best of the four upper bounds is given by  $U_2^*$  (the right hand side of (1.5)) while in the second case the best lower bound is given by  $L_2^*$  (the left hand side of (1.5)).



Title Page

Contents

◀◀ ▶▶

◀ ▶

Page 8 of 19

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Table 1: Numerical comparisons

$(v, s)$	$(1, 1/4)$	$(1, 7/4)$
$\Gamma(v+1)/\Gamma(v+s)$	<b>1.103262651</b>	<b>0.6217515729</b>
$L_1$	1.027745410	0.6317370766
$L_2$	1.092356486	0.6240926184
$U_1$	1.116801087	0.6188110780
$U_2$	1.151620182	0.6144792307
$L_1^*$	1.084327768	0.6118384856
$L_2^*$	0.980328638	<b>0.6204985722</b>
$U_1^*$	1.150246913	0.6258631306
$U_2^*$	<b>1.109373110</b>	0.6498406288

Recently, there have been some improvements obtained on the inequalities in (3.3). In particular, results in [21] and [29] (see also [22, 30, 32]) give that for  $0 < s < 1$ ,

$$(3.5) \quad e^{(1-s)\Psi(L(v+1,v+s))} \leq \frac{\Gamma(v+1)}{\Gamma(v+s)} \leq e^{(1-s)\Psi(I(v+1,v+s))},$$

where  $L(a, b) = (b - a)/(\ln b - \ln a)$  and  $I(a, b) = e^{-1}(b^b/a^a)^{1/(b-a)}$  are the logarithmic and exponential means, respectively. Again considering  $v = 1$ , it can be noted that for small  $s > 0$ , the lower bound in (1.4),  $L_1^*$ , is an improvement on that in (3.5) and the upper bound in (1.5),  $U_2^*$ , is an improvement on that in (3.5). In fact, denoting the lower and upper bounds in (3.5) by  $L_3$  and  $U_3$ , respectively, we have  $\Gamma(2)/\Gamma(1) = 1$  and  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} L_2^* = 1 = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} U_1^*$ , while  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} L_3 < 1 < \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} U_3$ . It is interesting to note that for  $(v, s) = (1, 1/4)$ ,



Title Page

Contents



Page 9 of 19

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Table 2: Numerical comparisons

$(v, s)$	(1, 0.02)	(0, 0.10)
$\Gamma(v+1)/\Gamma(v+s)$	<b>1.011281653</b>	<b>1.051137006</b>
$L_1$	0.6986450960	0.8729765884
$L_2$	1.009799023	1.044889510
$U_1$	1.045903237	1.076807140
$U_2$	1.019219191	1.082081647
$L_1^*$	1.009075328	1.041402026
$L_2^*$	0.8690926716	0.9139917416
$U_1^*$	1.084075243	1.113415941
$U_2^*$	<b>1.011330762</b>	<b>1.052276188</b>
$L_3$	0.9941107436	1.038103958
$U_3$	1.020141278	1.057551215

computations similar to those above display that  $U_3$  provides a modest improvement on  $U_2$  ( $U_3 = 1.106505726$ ), but for  $(v, s) = (1, s)$  with  $s$  near zero we have

$$\frac{\Gamma(2)}{\Gamma(1+s)} < U_2^* < U_2 < U_3 < U_1 < U_1^*.$$

As noted in [21, 29],  $U_3$  is a refinement of  $U_1$  and  $L_3$  is a refinement of  $L_1$ .

Values for  $(v, s) = (1, 0.02)$  and  $(v, s) = (1, 0.10)$  are given in Table 2.

Many functions related to the  $\Gamma$  function have recently been shown to be logarithmically completely monotone. As mentioned earlier, strong bounds may be attained in these cases as well, via Theorem 1.1.



Title Page

Contents



Page 10 of 19

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of **inequalities**  
in pure and applied  
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

© 2007 Victoria University. All rights reserved.

## 4. Inequalities for Functions of the Form $(v^x - u^x)/x$

In [17, 34, 33], functions of the form

$$(4.1) \quad f(x) = f_{u,v}(x) = \int_u^v s^{x-1} ds = \frac{v^x - u^x}{x}$$

for  $v > u > 0$  and  $x \neq 0$  were studied. Among other results, it was shown in [33] that  $f$  is completely monotonic on  $(-\infty, +\infty)$  for  $0 < u < v < 1$ . As of the time of submission, we are unaware of any proof that  $f$  possesses a concave logarithmic derivative, for  $v > u > 0$  and  $0 < x < 1$ , hence we will prove that here and apply Theorem 1.1 in order to obtain some new inequalities for the ratios of the form  $f(\gamma - x)/f(x)$ .<sup>1</sup>

In [33] It was shown that

$$\frac{f(x + \gamma)}{f(x)} \geq \left(\frac{u + v}{2}\right)^\gamma$$

for  $\gamma \geq 1$ ,  $x \geq 0$  and  $0 < u < v$ , and

$$\frac{f(x + \gamma)}{f(x)} \geq (uv)^{\gamma/2}.$$

Here we will prove the following via Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Suppose  $0 < u < v$  and  $0 < x < 1$ . Then*

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{v - u}{\ln(v) - \ln(u)} e^{-2x \left( \frac{\sqrt{v} \ln(v) - \sqrt{u} \ln(u)}{v - u} - 2 \right)} \\ \leq \frac{f_{u,v}(1 - x)}{f_{u,v}(x)} \leq \frac{\ln(v) - \ln(u)}{v - u} e^{2(1-x) \left( \frac{\sqrt{v} \ln(v) - \sqrt{u} \ln(u)}{v - u} - 2 \right)}$$

<sup>1</sup>Following submission of the original manuscript for this paper, F. Qi and B.-N. Guo [28] announced some results which extend our Lemma 4.3, below.



and

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{\ln(v) - \ln(u)}{v - u} e^{(1-x)\left(\frac{(3v-u)\ln(v) - (3u-v)\ln(u)}{2(v-u)} - 1\right)} \\ \leq \frac{f_{u,v}(1-x)}{f_{u,v}(x)} \leq \frac{v-u}{\ln(v) - \ln(u)} e^{-x\left(\frac{(3v-u)\ln(v) - (3u-v)\ln(u)}{2(v-u)} - 1\right)}.$$

Plots comparing the quantities in (4.2) and (4.3), for  $(u, v) = (0.5, 1)$  and  $(u, v) = (1, 20)$  are given in Figure 4.

We first prove the following two simple lemmas.

**Lemma 4.2.** Define  $p$  via

$$p(y) = (1 - y) \left( \frac{1 + y}{1 - y} e^{-2y} - 1 \right).$$

Then  $p(y) > 0$  for  $y > 0$ ,  $p(y) < 0$  for  $y < 0$ , and  $p(0) = 0$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$p'(y) = 1 - (1 + 2y)e^{-2y}, \quad \text{and} \quad p''(y) = 4ye^{-2y}.$$

The result follows upon noting that  $p'(y) \geq p'(0) = 0$ , and hence that  $p(y)$  is monotone non-decreasing for  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ ; the only root is  $y = 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** The function  $f_{u,v}$  defined as in (4.1) has a concave logarithmic derivative (with respect to  $x$ ) for  $0 < u < v$  and  $0 < x < 1$ .

*Proof.* First note that by dividing through by  $v^x$ , it suffices to show the result for  $v = 1$  and  $u = t < 1$ . We then have

$$(4.4) \quad h(x) = \frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} = -\frac{t^x \ln t}{1 - t^x} - \frac{1}{x},$$

Title Page

Contents

◀◀ ▶▶

◀ ▶

Page 11 of 19

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of **inequalities**  
in pure and applied  
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756




Figure 1: Plots of  $R(x) = f_{u,v}(1-x)/f_{u,v}(x)$  along with the bounds given in Theorem 4.1 for  $x \in (0, 1)$  and  $(u, v) = (.5, 1)$  (Figure (a)) and  $(u, v) = (1, 20)$  (Figure (c)). The absolute errors are plotted in (b) and (d), respectively.

Title Page

Contents



Page 12 of 19

Go Back


Full Screen

Close



Title Page

Contents



Page 13 of 19

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

$$(4.5) \quad h'(x) = -\frac{(\ln t)^2 t^x}{(1-t^x)^2} + \frac{1}{x^2},$$

and

$$h''(x) = \frac{(\ln t)^3 t^x (1+t^x)}{(1-t^x)^3} - \frac{2}{x^3}.$$

Note that

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial h''(x)}{\partial t} &= \frac{(\ln t)^2 t^x (3t^{2x} - 3 - xt^{2x} \ln t - x \ln t - 4xt^x \ln t)}{(1-t^x)^4} \\ &= \frac{(\ln t)^2 t^x q(x)}{(1-t^x)^4}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$q'(x) = (5t^{2x} - 1 - 4xt^x \ln t - 4t^x - 2xt^{2x} \ln t) \ln t,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} q''(x) &= 4(\ln t)^2 t^x ((2 - x \ln t)t^x - (2 + x \ln t)) \\ &= 8(\ln t)^2 t^x \left(1 - \frac{x|\ln t|}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1 + \frac{x|\ln t|}{2}}{1 - \frac{x|\ln t|}{2}} t^x - 1\right). \end{aligned}$$

Employing Lemma (4.2), with  $y = x|\ln t|/2$  gives that  $q'(x)$  is increasing for  $0 < x < 1$  and hence  $q'(x) \geq q'(0) = 0$  and finally  $q(x) \geq q(0) = 0$ .

Returning to (4.6),  $h''(x)$  is monotone increasing with respect to  $t$  in  $(0, 1)$ .

The concavity of  $h$  follows upon noting that for  $0 < x < 1$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} h''(x) = 0$ .  $\square$

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 4.1.



Title Page

Contents

◀◀ ▶▶

◀ ▶

Page 14 of 19

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

*Proof of Theorem 4.1.* Note that for  $g = f_{u,v}$  and  $(a, b) = (0, 1)$ , in the notation of Theorem 1.1, we have

$$R(1-) = \frac{\ln(v) - \ln(u)}{v - u} = \frac{1}{R(0+)},$$

$$h(0+) = \frac{\ln(v) + \ln(u)}{2},$$

$$h(1-) = \frac{v \ln(v) - u \ln(u)}{v - u} - 1$$

and

$$h\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{v} \ln(v) - \sqrt{u} \ln(u)}{v - u} - 2.$$

The result then follows immediately upon applying Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 1.1.  $\square$

*Remark 1.* The need for bounds of the sort in (4.2) and (4.3) arose recently in the consideration of the behavior of convolution ratios under local approximation (see [5]).

## References

- [1] H. ALZER, Some gamma function inequalities, *Math. Comp.*, **60** (1993), no. 201, 337–346.
- [2] G.E. ANDREWS, R. ASKEY AND R. ROY, *Special Functions*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1999.
- [3] N. BATIR, On some properties of digamma and polygamma functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **328** (2007), 452–465.
- [4] C. BERG, Integral representation of some functions related to the gamma function, *Mediterr. J. Math.*, **1** (2007), 433–439.
- [5] K.S. BERENHAUT, AND D. CHEN, Inequalities for convolution ratios under local approximation, *Appl. Math. Sci.*, (2008), In press.
- [6] J. BUSTOZ AND M.E.H. ISMAIL, On the gamma function inequalities, *Math. Comp.*, **47** (1986), no. 176, 659–667.
- [7] Ch.-P. CHEN, Complete monotonicity properties for a ratio of gamma functions, *Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser. Mat.*, **16** (2005), 26–28.
- [8] Ch.-P. CHEN AND F. QI, Logarithmically completely monotonic functions relating to the gamma function, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **321** (2006), 405–411.
- [9] S.S. DRAGOMIR, R.P. AGARWAL AND N.S. BARNETT, Inequalities for beta and gamma functions via some classical and new integral inequalities, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, **5**(2) (2000), 103–165.
- [10] N. ELEZOVIĆ, C. GIORDANO AND J. PEČARIĆ, The best bounds in Gautschi's inequality, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, **3**(2) (2000), 239–252.




3-log-convex Functions  
Kenneth S. Berenhaut  
and Donghui Chen

vol. 9, iss. 4, art. 97, 2008

Title Page

Contents



Page 15 of 19

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of **inequalities**  
in pure and applied  
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756



Title Page

Contents



Page 16 of 19

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

- [11] W. GAUTSCHI, The incomplete gamma function since Tricomi, in: Tricomi's ideas and contemporary applied mathematics, *Atti Convegni Lincei*, **147** (1998), Accad. Naz. Lincei, Rome, 203–237.
- [12] C. GIORDANO AND A. LAFORGIA, Inequalities and monotonicity properties for the gamma function, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **133**(1–2) (2001), 387–396.
- [13] C. GIORDANO, A. LAFORGIA AND J. PEČARIĆ, Unified treatment of Gautschi-Kershaw type inequalities for the gamma function, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **99**(1–2) (1998), 167–175.
- [14] D.S. KERSHAW, Some extensions of W. Gautschi's inequalities for the gamma function, *Math. Comp.*, **41** (1983), no. 164, 607–611.
- [15] M. MERKLE, Convexity, Schur-convexity and bounds for the gamma function involving the digamma function, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, **28**(3) (1998), 1053–1066.
- [16] D.S. MITRINOVIC, *Analytic Inequalities*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970.
- [17] J. PEČARIĆ, F. QI, V. ŠIMIĆ AND S.-L. XU, Refinements and extensions of an inequality, III, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **227** (1998), 439–448.
- [18] F. QI, The best bounds in Kershaw's inequality and two completely monotonic functions, *RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **9**(4) (2006), Art. 2; Available online at <http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/rgmia/v9n4.asp>.
- [19] F. QI, A completely monotonic function involving the divided difference of the psi function and an equivalent inequality involving sums, *ANZIAM J.*, **48**(4) (2007), 523–532.
- [20] F. QI, A class of logarithmically completely monotonic functions and the best bounds in the first Kershaw's double inequality, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **206**(2) (2007), 1007–1014.



- [21] F. QI, A new lower bound in the second Kershaw's double inequality, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **214** (2008), no. 2, 610–616. *RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **10**(1) (2007), Art. 9; Available online at <http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/rgmia/v10n1.asp>.
- [22] F. QI, Refinements, extensions and generalizations of the second Kershaw's double inequality, *RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **10**(2) (2007), Art. 8; Available online at <http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/rgmia/v10n2.asp>.
- [23] F. QI, Three-log-convexity for a class of elementary functions involving exponential function, *J. Math. Anal. Approx. Theory*, **1**(2) (2006), 100–103.
- [24] F. QI, J. CAO AND D.-W. NIU, Four logarithmically completely monotonic functions involving gamma function and originating from problems of traffic flow. *RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **9**(3) (2006), Art. 9; Available online at <http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/rgmia/v9n3.asp>.
- [25] F. QI AND B.-N. GUO, Wendel-Gautschi-Kershaw's inequalities and sufficient and necessary conditions that a class of functions involving ratio of gamma functions are logarithmically completely monotonic, *RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **10**(1) (2007), Art. 2; Available online at <http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/rgmia/v10n1.asp>.
- [26] F. QI AND B.-N. GUO, Complete monotonicities of functions involving the gamma and digamma functions, *RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **7**(1) (2004), Art. 8, 63–72; Available online at <http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/rgmia/v7n1.asp>.
- [27] F. QI AND B.-N. GUO, A class of logarithmically completely monotonic functions and the best bounds in the second Kershaw's double inequality, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **212**(2) (2008), 444–456. *RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **10**(2) (2007),

Title Page

Contents

◀◀ ▶▶

◀ ▶

Page 17 of 19

Go Back

Full Screen

Close



- Art. 5; Available online at <http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/rgmia/v10n2.asp>.
- [28] F. QI AND B.-N. GUO, The function  $(b^x - a^x)/x$ : Logarithmic convexity, *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **11**(1) (2008), Art. 5; Available online at <http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/rgmia/v11n1.asp>.
- [29] F. QI AND S. GUO, New upper bounds in the second Kershaw's double inequality and its generalizations, *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **10**(2) (2007), Art. 1; Available online at <http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/rgmia/v10n2.asp>.
- [30] F. QI, S. GUO AND Sh.-X. CHEN, A new upper bound in the second Kershaw's double inequality and its generalizations, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, (2008), in press; Available online at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2007.07.037>.
- [31] F. QI, S. GUO AND B.-N. GUO, A class of k-log-convex functions and their applications to some special functions, *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **10**(1) (2007), Art. 21; Available online at <http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/rgmia/v10n1.asp>.
- [32] F. QI, X.-A. LI AND Sh.-X. CHEN, Refinements, extensions and generalizations of the second Kershaw's double inequality, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, **11**(2) (2008), in press; Available online at <http://www.mia-journal.com/files/mia/0-0/full/mia-1278-pre.pdf>.
- [33] F. QI AND S.-L. XU, The function  $(b^x - a^x)/x$ : inequalities and properties, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **126**(11) (1998), 3355–3359.
- [34] F. QI AND S.-L. XU, Refinements and extensions of an inequality, II, *J. of Math. Anal. and Appl.*, **211** (1997), 616–620.

Title Page

Contents



Page 18 of 19

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of **inequalities**  
in pure and applied  
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756